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### 1.0 Introduction

This paper presents the analysis on the types of schools attended by the athletes competing in the 2011 and 2012 Sainsbury's School Games (Level 4) competition held in Sheffield and at the London Olympic Park.

The Sainsbury School Games (Level 4) is the highest profile domestic multisport event for young people in the UK and is held annually. The sports are encompassed into a 4 day residential games environment designed to replicate the feel of a major event such as the Olympic Games and Paralympic Games.

The event is aimed at supporting athlete development and acts as a stepping stone to further national and international competition for elite level athletes of school age. The most talented young athletes are selected to compete by their National Governing Body of Sport (NGB), from across all 4 home countries. The inaugural Games were held in 2006 and a number of our Olympic and Paralympics athletes have competed at the Games during their development.

### 2.0 Method

The athlete data used in this study was provided by the Youth Sport Trust and is summarised below.

|  | Venue | Total number of <br> English athletes <br> competing | Total number of <br> athletes <br> competing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2011 School Games Level 4 competition | Sheffield | 703 | 1,396 |
| 2012 School Games Level 4 competition | Olympic Park, London | 762 | 1,439 |

Details of the athlete selection process and the format of the 12 sports involved in the 2011 and 2012 games are provided below:

|  | Team selection and format 2011-12 | Including the <br> top performing <br> athletes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Athletics | Selection was based on performances in school athletics competitions, <br> other major youth events and the Power of 10 rankings system. <br> 8 regional teams competed in the main track and field events. Age <br> requirements were U17 (\& U20 for disability). <br> Disability events were part of the competition | Yes |
| 2. Badminton | Selection into the 4 teams was made by each of the home countries / <br> regions England, Wales, Scotland and Ulster. Primarily selection was <br> based on performance in school and club competitions. <br> Each of the 4 teams consisted of 6 boys and 6 girls, U16 years. <br> 5 events: Boys' Singles, Girls' Singles, Boys' Doubles, Girls' Doubles, and <br> Mixed Doubles. | Yes - NB <br> England team <br> selected U15s <br> players. |
| 3. Cycling | The 13 regional and national teams were selected locally and made up of <br> 4 male riders and up to 4 female riders under 16 years of age. | Yes |


|  | British Cycling's Inter-Regional Road Championship and a one-day track event (London only). There were separate boys and girls events. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Fencing | The 4 home country teams were selected based on British team selection ranking. Each of the teams was made up of 24 fencers. <br> The 6 events included; men's sabre, women's sabre, men's epee, women's epee, men's foil, women's foil and wheelchair fencing. | Yes |
| 5. Gymnastics | Each of the 4 home countries (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) were selected from gymnast within the talent development programme. <br> Each boy's artistic team had 17 gymnasts per team aged under 19 years competing on 6 pieces of apparatus. The events included team, all round, floor, vault, high bars, rings, pommel horse, and parallel bars. <br> Each girls artistic team had 6 gymnasts per teams aged 12-15 years old competing on 4 pieces of apparatus. The events included team, all round, floor, vault, beam and asymmetrical bars. | Yes |
| 6. Hockey | 4 Home Nations teams were selected at U16 level. <br> 11 aside format with two round robin tournaments. Boys \& Girls. | Yes - excluding players in U21 or Senior squads. |
| 7. Judo | 4 home country teams were selected on the basis of their standing with the national squads of their own home country. <br> Events included an overall team. <br> Girls events included u48kg, u52, u57kg, u63kg, u70kg, over70kg. <br> Boys included u55kg, u60kg, u66kg, u73kg, u81kg, over81kg. | Yes. |
| 8. Women's Rugby sevens | Selection into the 4 Home Country teams was made by each Union. <br> The competition format was Sevens played in a round robin tournament. <br> Each team had 12 players under the age of 19 years. | No - for <br> players outside <br> of the 7 s <br> national teams |
| 9. Swimming | Eight teams were selected by regions and countries based on performance in specific competition throughout the calendar. Selection for the disability events was for athletes outside of the World Class programme. <br> The team event was won by the team that had the most points from the individual events. | No - for swimmers who have not competed at European level or above. |
| 10 Table tennis | The 8 regional and Home Country teams were selected based on results at their respective home countries national school championships, national junior championships and ranking lists. There were also disability qualification events. All players in the boys' and girls' teams were under 17 years of age and all players in the disability teams were under 19 years of age. <br> There were 3 team and 3 individual events - <br> - Boys' and Girls' Team Events <br> - Mixed Disability Team Event <br> - Boys' and Girls' Individual Events <br> - Wheelchair, Standing \& Learning Disability Individual Events | Yes |
| 11 Volleyball | The volleyball players were selected through the regional/national talent development programmes in each of the home nations, which culminated in a selection event where athletes played for the right to play at the | Yes |


|  | Level 4 School Games. Players were identified to join the <br> regional/national talent development programmes after being spotted at <br> the Level 3 School Games or playing for their school or club in the national <br> junior championships. <br> Fourteen teams (boys and girls) competed. The boys teams were under 17 <br> years and the girls teams under 16 years. The event followed a knock out <br> tournament structure. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 Wheelchair |  |  |
| basketball | The 6 teams were selected by British Wheelchair Basketball. Players in <br> England (2 regional squads) were aged 14-18 years and players in the <br> home nation squads (Northern Ireland, Scotland, Wales) were 14-19 <br> years. <br> After the pool games, the top 2 teams in each pool played in the semi <br> finals to make it into either the gold or bronze medal game. The 3rd <br> placed team in both pools played in the 5th /6th classification game. | Yes |

### 3.0 Athlete data

The following analysis concerns the number of English state and independent school educated boys and girls selected to compete in the School Games (Level 4) in all sports from 2011 and 2012.

## Sport cohort descriptor

For 2011, the information was sourced on 703 English athletes from 12 sports; descriptor data is presented in figure 1.1. School information was available for all athletes.

Figure 1.1 Sports cohort descriptors for 2011

| Sport | Female Athletes (n) | Male Athletes (n) | Total Athletes (n) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athletics | 109 | 113 | 222 |
| Badminton | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Cycling | 28 | 40 | 68 |
| Fencing | 16 | 14 | 30 |
| Gymnastics | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| Hockey | 16 | 16 | 32 |
| Judo | 12 | 12 | 24 |
| Rugby Sevens | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| Swimming | 53 | 49 | 102 |
| Table Tennis | 22 | 34 | 56 |
| Volleyball | 36 | 37 | 73 |
| Wheelchair Basketball | $\mathbf{3 5 3}$ | 22 | 30 |
| Total $=$ |  | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 3}$ |

For 2012, the information was sourced on 762 English athletes from 12 sports; descriptor data is present in figure 1.2. Relevant school information was available for 755 of these athletes; therefore, the following analysis will be based on the data of 755 athletes for this cohort.

Figure 1.2 Sports cohort descriptors for 2012

| Sport | Female Athletes (n) | Male Athletes (n) | Total Athletes (n) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athletics | 114 | 117 | 231 |
| Badminton | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Cycling | 38 | 40 | 78 |
| Fencing | 14 | 14 | 28 |
| Gymnastics | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| Hockey | 32 | 31 | 63 |
| Judo | 11 | 13 | 24 |
| Rugby Sevens | 48 | 0 | 48 |
| Swimming | 48 | 48 | 96 |
| Table Tennis | 23 | 32 | 55 |
| Volleyball | 37 | 36 | 73 |
| Wheelchair Basketball | 8 | 32 | 40 |
| Total = | $\mathbf{3 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 2}$ |

It should be noted that the age ranges of these competitions spans from 12 years to under 20 years.

## School type by sport

In 2011, the cohort for all sports comprised of $85 \%$ state schooled athletes and $15 \%$ independent educated athletes. In 2012, these figures were the same; $85 \%$ and $15 \%$ respectively. When compared to the national average of school age children under the age of 16 years attending independent schools $(7 \%)^{1}$, the privately educated athletes in both cohorts are overrepresented. However, if this was compared to the national average of 16-19 years olds attending independent schools (14\%) they would not be overrepresented.

These figures can be further broken down into the school type for each individual sport. Figure 1.3 illustrates this for 2011, and figure 1.4 demonstrates this for the 2012 cohort.

Figure 1.3 School type by sport ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) for 2011.


[^0]Figure 1.4 School type by sport ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) for 2012.


Overall, for both the 2011 and 2012 games and across all sports, there were a higher percentage of athletes who had attended state schools compared to independent schools, except for athletes competing in hockey. Interestingly, across both years volleyball had the highest percentage of athletes competing from state schools than independent schools ( $96 \%$ in 2011 and 96\% in 2012).

In 2011, 11 of the 12 sports had more athletes competing from independent schools compared to the national average of $7 \%^{2}$, with hockey having the largest percentage (50\%). In 2012, this was the case for 10 of the 12 sports with hockey again having the largest percentage (61\%). However, if this was compared to the national average of 16-19 years olds attending independent schools there would be fewer sports with this trend.

[^1]
## School type by gender

Figure 1.5 illustrates that there was no distinct difference for school type between each gender or each year.

Figure 1.5 School type, by gender, by year.


This data was broken down for each individual sport. Figure 1.6 illustrates the school type by gender for 2011 and figure 1.7 demonstrates this data for 2012. Both figures (1.6 and 1.7) indicate that although male and female athletes had similar proportions of state and independent schooled athletes overall, the numbers varied within each sport.

For the 2011 cohort, the biggest variation between the genders was for badminton (67\%), which had all of the male players educated at state schools, while only $33 \%$ of the female players were state schooled.

The smallest variation between the genders for 2011 was in athletics and volleyball, with less than $3 \%$ variation across the genders.

Figure 1.6 The gender breakdown of school type for each sport ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) for 2011.

| Sport | Female - state schooling | Male - state schooling | Female independent schooling | Male independent schooling | Difference between genders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athletics ( $\mathrm{n}=222$ ) | 87.2\% | 85.0\% | 12.8\% | 15.0\% | 2.2\% |
| Badminton ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) | 33.3\% | 100.0\% | 66.7\% | 0.0\% | 67.0\% |
| Cycling ( $\mathrm{n}=68$ ) | 96.4\% | 87.5\% | 3.6\% | 12.5\% | 8.9\% |
| Fencing ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ) | 75.0\% | 57.1\% | 25.0\% | 42.9\% | 17.9\% |
| Gymnastics ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) | 85.7\% | 100.0\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% |
| Hockey ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ) | 56.3\% | 43.8\% | 43.8\% | 56.3\% | 12.5\% |
| Judo ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ) | 83.3\% | 100.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% |
| Rugby Sevens ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | 92.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { N/A - no } \\ \text { male } \\ \text { competitors } \end{gathered}$ |
| Swimming ( $\mathrm{n}=102$ ) | 71.7\% | 79.6\% | 28.3\% | 20.4\% | 7.9\% |
| Table Tennis ( $\mathrm{n}=56$ ) | 81.8\% | 100.0\% | 18.2\% | 0.0\% | 18.2\% |
| Volleyball ( $n=73$ ) | 94.4\% | 97.3\% | 5.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.9\% |
| Wheelchair <br> Basketball ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ) | 87.5\% | 90.9\% | 12.5\% | 9.1\% | 3.4\% |

For the 2012 cohort, the biggest variation between the genders was for wheelchair basketball (28\%); $91 \%$ of males were state schooled, while $63 \%$ of females attended state schools.

The smallest variation between the genders for 2012 was gymnastics with less than $3 \%$.

Figure 1.7 The gender breakdown of school type for each sport ( $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) for 2012.

| Sport | Female - state schooling | Male - state schooling | Female independent schooling | Male - <br> independent schooling | Difference <br> between <br> genders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athletics ( $\mathrm{n}=229$ ) | 89.5\% | 94.8\% | 10.5\% | 5.2\% | 5.3\% |
| Badminton ( $\mathrm{n}=11$ ) | 100.0\% | 80.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |
| Cycling ( $\mathrm{n}=78$ ) | 86.8\% | 97.5\% | 13.2\% | 2.5\% | 10.7\% |
| Fencing ( $\mathrm{n}=27$ ) | 84.6\% | 64.3\% | 15.4\% | 35.7\% | 20.3\% |
| Gymnastics ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | 85.7\% | 83.3\% | 14.3\% | 16.7\% | 2.4\% |
| Hockey ( $\mathrm{n}=62$ ) | 35.5\% | 41.9\% | 64.5\% | 58.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Judo ( $\mathrm{n}=24$ ) | 90.9\% | 84.6\% | 9.1\% | 15.4\% | 6.3\% |
| Rugby Sevens ( $\mathrm{n}=48$ ) | 93.8\% | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{no}$ <br> male competitors |
| Swimming ( $\mathrm{n}=96$ ) | 72.9\% | 85.4\% | 27.1\% | 14.6\% | 12.5\% |
| Table Tennis ( $\mathrm{n}=55$ ) | 87.0\% | 96.9\% | 13.0\% | 3.1\% | 9.9\% |
| Volleyball ( $\mathrm{n}=72$ ) | 91.9\% | 100.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% |
| Wheelchair <br> Basketball ( $\mathrm{n}=40$ ) | 62.5\% | 90.6\% | 37.5\% | 9.4\% | 28.1\% |

## School lists for athletes

The following data illustrates the most frequent schools attended by the athletes. The schools in which more than 3 athletes had declared their attendance were noted. Figures 1.8, 1.9 and 1.10 are for 2011 and figures 1.11, 1.12 and 1.13 are for 2012.

Figure 1.8. The most frequently attended schools for female athletes in 2011.

|  | Most recent school attended | School type | Number | Sport |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Fairfield High School for Girls | State | 8 | 8 Volleyball |
| 2 | Hartpury College | State | 5 | 5 Rugby Sevens |
| 3 | Lavington School | State | 4 | 3 Volleyball \& 1 Rugby Sevens |
| 4 | Redhill School | State | 3 | 3 Volleyball |
| 5 | St Aidans Church of England <br> High School (Harrogate) | State | 3 | 2 Athletics \& 1 Fencing |

All of the most frequently attended schools by females in 2011 were state schools.

Figure 1.9. The most frequently attended schools for male athletes in 2011.

|  | Most recent school attended | School type | Number | Sport |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | The Boswells School | State | 7 | 6 Volleyball \& 1 Cycling |
| 2 | Whitgift | Independent | 5 | 4 Hockey \& 1 Swimming |
| 3 | Madeley High School | State | 4 | 4 Volleyball |
| 4 | Rainhill High School | State | 4 | 2 Table Tennis, 1 Athletics \& 1 <br> Swimming |
| 5 | Heaton Manor School | State | 3 | 2 Athletics \& 1 Swimming |
| 6 | Heckmondwike Grammar <br> School | State | 3 | 2 Athletics \& 1 Cycling |
| 7 | West Somerset Community <br> College | State | 3 | 2 Cycling \& 1 Athletics |

Six of the 7 most frequently attended schools for male athletes in 2011 were state schools.

Figure 1.10. The most frequently attended schools for all athletes in 2011.

|  | Most recent school attended | School type | Number | Sport and Gender |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | The Boswells School | State | 9 | 6 Male Volleyball, 2 Female Volleyball <br> \& 1 Male Cycling |
| 2 | Fairfield High School for Girls | State | 8 | 8 Female - Volleyball |
| 3 | Hartpury College | State | 5 | 5 Female - Rugby Sevens |
| 4 | Madeley High School | State | 5 | 4 Male Volleyball, 1 Female Volleyball |
| 5 | Oxted School | State | 3 | 1 Female Fencing, 1 Female Volleyball <br> \& 1 Male Athletics |
| 6 | Whitgift | Independent | 5 | 4 Male Hockey \& 1 Male Swimming |
| 7 | Rainhill High School | State | 4 |  <br> 1 Male Swimming |
| 8 | Heaton Manor School | State | 3 | 2 Male Athletics \& Male Swimming |
| 9 | Heckmondwike Grammar | State | 3 | 2 Male Athletics \& 1 Male Cycling |


|  | School |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 10 | Lavington School | State | 3 | 3 Female Volleyball, 1 Female Rugby <br> Sevens \& 1 Male Hockey |
| 11 | Plymouth College | Independent | 3 | 2 Male Swimming \& 1 Female <br> Swimming |
| 12 | Redhill School | State | 3 | 3 Female - Volleyball. |
| 13 | St Aidans Church of England <br> High School (Harrogate) | State | 3 | 2 Female Athletics \& 1 Female Fencing |
| 14 | West Somerset Community <br> College | State | 3 | 2 Male Cycling \& 1 Male Athletics |

Of the 14 most frequently attended schools for all athletes in 2011, 12 were state and 2 were independent.

Figure 1.11. The most frequently attended schools for female athletes in 2012.

|  | Most recent school attended | School type | Number | Sport |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Fairfield High School For Girls | State | 10 | 10 Female Volleyball |
| 2 | Hartpury College | State | 8 | 8 Female Rugby Sevens |
| 3 | Redhill School | State | 4 | 4 Female Volleyball |
| 4 | The Boswells School | State | 3 | 3 Female Volleyball |
| 5 | Beaconsfield High School | State | 3 | 2 Female Athletics \& 1 Female Judo |
| 6 | Horsforth School | State | 3 | 2 Female Table Tennis \& 1 Female <br> Swimming |
| 7 | Huish Episcopi Academy | State | 3 | 2 Female Athletics \& 1 Female Cycling |
| 8 | Tring School | Independent | 3 | 2 Female Wheelchair Basketball \& 1 <br> Female Gymnastics |

Of the 8 most frequently attended schools for female athletes in 2012, 7 were state.

Figure 1.12. The most frequently attended schools for male athletes in 2012.

|  | Most recent school attended | School type | Number | Sport |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Whitgift School | Independent | 7 | 5 Male Hockey \& 2 Male Swimming |
| 2 | The Boswells School | State | 6 | 6 Male Volleyball |
| 3 | Bournemouth School | State | 3 | 1 Male Swimming, 1 Male Table Tennis <br> \& 1 Male Volleyball |
| 4 | Dulwich College | Independent | 3 | 1 Male Fencing, 1 Male Judo \& 1 Male <br> Swimming |
| 5 | Loughborough College | State | 3 | 2 Male Volleyball \& 1 Male Wheelchair <br> Basketball |
| 6 | Millfield School | Independent | 3 | 2 Male Swimming \& 1 Male Cycling |
| 7 | St John Fisher Catholic High <br> School, Harrogate | State | 3 | 2 Male Athletics \& 1 Male Volleyball |

Of the 7 most frequently attended schools for female athletes in 2012, 4 were state and 3 were independent.

Figure 1.13. The most frequently attended schools for all athletes in 2012.

|  | Most recent school attended | School type | Number | Sport and Gender |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Fairfield High School For Girls | State | 10 | 10 Female Volleyball |
| 2 | The Boswells School | State | 9 | 6 Male Volleyball \& 3 Female Volleyball |
| 3 | Hartpury College | State | 8 | 8 Female Rugby Sevens |
| 4 | Whitgift School | Independent | 7 | 5 Male Hockey \& 2 Male Swimming |
| 5 | Redhill School | State | 4 | 4 Female Volleyball |
| 6 | Repton School | Independent | 4 | 2 Female Hockey \& 2 Male Hockey |
| 7 | Beaconsfield High School | State | 3 | 2 Female Athletics \& 1 Female Judo |
| 8 | Bournemouth School | State | 3 | 1 Male Swimming, 1 Male Table Tennis \& 1 Male Volleyball |
| 9 | Bradford Grammar School | Independent | 3 | 1 Female Cycling, 1 Male Swimming \& 1 <br> Male Wheelchair Basketball |
| 10 | Charters School | State | 3 |  <br> 1 Male Athletics |
| 11 | De La Salle High School | State | 3 | 1 Female Athletics, 1 Female Judo \& 1 Male Swimming |
| 12 | Dulwich College | Independent | 3 | 1 Male Fencing, 1 Male Judo \& 1 Male Swimming |
| 13 | Horsforth School | State | 3 | 2 Female Table Tennis \& 1 Female Swimming |
| 14 | Huish Episcopi Academy | State | 3 | 2 Female Athletics \& 1 Female Cycling |
| 15 | Loughborough College | State | 3 | 2 Male Volleyball \& 1 Male Wheelchair Basketball |
| 16 | Millfield School | Independent | 3 | 2 Male Swimming \& 1 Male Cycling |
| 17 | Sir William Borlase's Grammar School | State | 3 | 2 Male Hockey \& 1 Female Hockey |
| 18 | St John Fisher Catholic High School, Harrogate | State | 3 | 2 Male Athletics \& 1 Male Volleyball |
| 19 | Tring School | Independent | 3 | 2 Female Wheelchair Basketball \& 1 Female Gymnastics |

Of the 19 most frequently attended schools for all athletes in 2012,13 were state and 6 were independent.

In summary, figure 1.14 provides an overview of school types for all athletes attending both the 2011 and 2012 games, broken down by gender.

Figure 1.14 A breakdown of school types for all athletes, boys and girls for the 2011 and 2012 games.

|  |  | Number of state schools | Number of independent schools |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2011 | All athletes | $12(86 \%)$ | $2(14 \%)$ |
|  | Girls | $5(100 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
|  | Boys | $6(86 \%)$ | $1(14 \%)$ |
| 2012 | All athletes | $13(68 \%)$ | $6(32 \%)$ |
|  | Girls | $7(88 \%)$ | $1(13 \%)$ |
|  | Boys | $4(57 \%)$ | $3(43 \%)$ |

Fourteen schools had multiple competitors at the 2011 school games and at the 2012 school games there were 19 schools. The schools with the most competitors attending were the Boswells School and Fairfield High school for Girls. The majority of these competitors were volleyball players.

### 4.0 Summary

The Sainsbury School Games (Level 4) is held each year during term time, the 2012 event was the last event held in the Olympic Park before the start of the 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Games. It is unlikely that any school prevented their young people from attending the event, unless there were significant academic or behavioural issues. The investigation team felt that the schools' data is a good reflection of the types of schools our talented individuals attended in 2012.

In an attempt to compare individual selection with team selection, individual school type reported through the School Games is compared to the winning school' teams data from the NGB survey (figure 1.15).

Figure 1.15 Comparing the school type between the School Games L4 athletes and Ofsted NGB Survey of winning school teams in national schools finals for the last 10 years.

|  | School Games L4 Data (2012) <br> School background of English athletes <br> representing regional teams or England teams |  | Ofsted NGB Survey <br> Winning school teams from national <br> schools finals over the last 10 years |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% attending a state <br> school | \% attending an <br> independent school | \% of winng <br> state schools <br> teams | \% of winning <br> independent school <br> teams |  |
| Track and field | $92 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Volleyball | $96 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Gymnastics | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Hockey | $39 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Swimming | $79 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Badminton | $91 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Table tennis | $93 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Mean \% = | $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |

A comparison of the data suggests that when teams are built using individual selection for national events, in this case the School Games, there is a much greater representative of the state school sector than when looking at school teams. While this is a very blunt comparison it does support the notion that many of the most talented young athletes in the state sector do not compete for their school team whilst their independent school counterparts do.

END


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This figure has been estimated from 2 sources: the Independent Schools Council's 2013 Census, [http://www.isc.co.uk/] and the Department for Education's 2013 Statistical First Release on Pupils and their Characteristics [https://www.gov.uk/]. A comparison of these indicates a potential error of $+/-1 \%$ for the under 16 s data and a possible $+/-3 \%$ error for the over 16 s data.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This figure has been estimated from 2 sources: the Independent Schools Council's 2013 Census, [http://www.isc.co.uk/] and the Department for Education's 2013 Statistical First Release on Pupils and their Characteristics [https://www.gov.uk/]. A comparison of these indicates a potential error of $+/-1 \%$ for the under 16 s data and a possible $+/-3 \%$ error for the over 16 s data.

