

General Osteopathic Council (Constitution) (Amendment) Order

A paper for consultation

You may re-use the text of this document (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/
© Crown copyright
Published to gov.uk, in PDF format only.
www.gov.uk/dh

Jamie Samuel
Professional Standards Division

Contents

A paper for consultation	1
Contents	4
Note	
Executive summary	6
Introduction	7
The GOsC	10
Proposed amendments	11
Summary of consultation questions	13
Responding to this consultation	14

Note

The consultation on the changes to the constitution order for the General Osteopathic Council (GOsC) will last for 8 weeks closing on **25 September 2015**.

Executive summary

- The health professions regulatory bodies are independent statutory bodies whose role it is to set and enforce standards of professional competence, conduct and ethics for individual health professionals.
- In February 2011, the Government published the Command Paper Enabling Excellence: Autonomy and Accountability for Healthcare Workers, Social Workers and Social Care Workers. The paper sets out the Government's vision for the future of workforce regulation, including increasing the independence and accountability of the regulatory bodies.
- Following the publication of Enabling Excellence, the Council for Healthcare Regulatory
 Excellence (CHRE), now known as the Professional Standards Authority (PSA), was
 commissioned to lead a sector wide review of the cost-efficiency and effectiveness of each
 regulator within its remit. As an initial part of this work it was asked to look at whether there
 was a case for reducing the size of the governing councils of the regulators.
- The PSA published an interim report, Board size and effectiveness: advice to the Department of Health regarding health professional regulators, on these two issues in September 2011¹. The report is available at: www.professionalstandards.org.uk
- The report recommended that 'boards with a range of 8-12 members are associated with greater effectiveness. The report indicates that a move to smaller councils across the health professional regulators would be possible without compromising effectiveness. Evidence suggests that smaller sized groups are able to communicate more effectively and reach decisions more quickly than larger ones.
- The Department considered that there is merit in the arguments put forward by the PSA and following consultation has been implementing its recommendations.
- The draft order attached to this document proposes a revised constitution in relation to the GOsC only. It makes provisions in respect of reducing the size of the governing council from 14 to 10.
- In the PSA's report, the governing councils of the regulatory bodies are referred to as 'boards'. In this consultation document where direct quotes from the report have been used the term 'board' is retained, however, in the remainder of the document, the term 'governing council' has been used, as 'council' is the term used in the legislation concerning the constitutions of the regulatory bodies.

6

¹ Board and size and effectiveness: advice to the Department of Health regarding health professional regulators, Council for Healthcare Regulatory Excellence, 2011

Introduction

- In 2007, the previous administration published a White Paper *Trust, Assurance and Safety The Regulation of Healthcare Professionals in the 21*st *Century*². This paper set out a series of measures to ensure the independence of the professional regulators, including proposals to reform the constitution of their governing councils.
- 2 *Trust, Assurance and Safety* proposed that those council members of regulatory bodies who, at the time, were elected by their registrants should instead be appointed by the Appointments Commission. Since the publication of *Trust, Assurance and Safety*, all the health professions regulatory bodies have moved to a system of appointed members.
- In February 2011, the Government published the Command Paper Enabling Excellence: Autonomy and Accountability for Healthcare Workers, Social Workers and Social Care Workers³. The paper sets out the Government's vision for the future of workforce regulation which includes increasing the independence and accountability of the regulatory bodies.
- 4 Following this, the Professional Standards Authority (PSA) was commissioned to lead a sector wide review of the cost-efficiency and effectiveness of each regulator within its remit. As an initial part of this work it was asked to establish whether there was a case for reducing the size of the governing councils of the regulators.
- In order to do this, the PSA looked at a number of studies and highlighted what it saw as the most important characteristics of an effective board. The PSA published its interim report, Board size and effectiveness: advice to the Department of Health regarding health professional regulators⁴, in September 2011. The full report is available at: www.professionalstandards.org.uk

SIZE OF GOVERNING COUNCIL

6 Based on a review of literature and its own experiences in overseeing the regulators, the PSA found a number of benefits to having smaller governing councils. These included the following:

- larger boards struggle to involve themselves in operational management issues that should be delegated to the executive, therefore a smaller size of council helps them to focus their efforts on core governance issues;
- governing councils cannot operate in a 'board-like' manner if they are too large; and
- smaller sized groups are able to communicate more effectively and reach decisions more quickly than larger ones.

² Trust, Assurance and Safety – The Regulation of Healthcare Professionals in the 21st Century, Department of Health, 2007

³ Enabling Excellence: Autonomy and Accountability for Healthcare Workers, Social Workers and Social Care Workers, Department of Health, 2011

⁴ Board and size and effectiveness: advice to the Department of Health regarding health professional regulators, Council for Healthcare Regulatory Excellence, 2011

- 7 The PSA also looked at the board size of organisations in other sectors including the private sector and found that in recent years there was a similar trend towards smaller boards. The Eversheds Board Report in 2011⁵ found that 'better performing companies tended to have fewer directors' and that 'directors interviewed were largely unsurprised by this finding, noting the benefits of smaller boards as; greater focus on the key issues; better management from the Chair; quicker decision making; and better overall dynamics between board members'
- 8 The PSA report concluded that 'a council of around 8 to 12 members is likely to be most conducive to effectiveness'.
- 9 Currently, all of the regulatory bodies have either 12 or 14 members. In recent years the General Medical Council (GMC) and the General Dental Council (GDC) have reduced the size of their councils from 24 members to twelve, and the Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) reduced the size of its Council from 20 to 12 members. All of these reductions took place after a public consultation.
- 10 The Government wishes to consult on making a reduction to the council size of the GOsC, from 14 members to 10.

Devolved administrations

- 11 There is currently a requirement, laid out in primary legislation, for the regulatory bodies to have at least one member of their council living or working in each of England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales. We consider that this will still be achievable and remains appropriate with reduced council sizes.
- 12 For example, the PSA has a board of seven non-executive members and one executive member. Currently, the three Devolved Administrations have each appointed one non-executive member.
- 13 We do not intend to make any changes to the legislation around this issue.

Role of the council

- 14 The PSA's interim report also looked in some detail at the role of a governing council or board in a wide range of different sectors and organisations. From its review, it describes the following as the main functions of a governing council or board:
 - strategic leadership and strategic decision making;
 - stewardship, including holding the executive to account;
 - external relations and accountability; and
 - board maintenance.

15 The roles and functions listed above are not specifically itemised in the legislation although they are implicitly encompassed by the statutory framework. However, we think they are

⁵ The Eversheds Board Report: Measuring the impact of board composition on company performance, Eversheds Press Release, 8 July 2011

- relevant to the regulatory bodies and that these functions are an important part of how their governing councils function.
- 16 Certain other functions of the regulatory bodies and their governing councils are set out in legislation and include setting standards of education, training, conduct and performance. These are usually more operational matters and are commonly delegated by the governing council to the regulatory body's executive. However, the governing council does have a role regarding the strategic leadership and decision making in respect of these areas.
- 17 This consultation does not propose to make any changes to the roles or functions of the regulatory bodies.

Representation

- 18 The PSA report also highlights the need for a shift in thinking around the concept of the 'representativeness' of governing councils. The PSA argues that:
 - 'Small boards cannot 'represent' all relevant constituencies or stakeholders, but nor should they attempt to do so. Rather boards should demonstrate the knowledge, understanding and awareness to properly take into account relevant interests, but they should not attempt to 'represent' them'.
- 19 We need to continue to recognise that the governing councils are not established specifically to represent professions or stakeholders. Rather, the focus should be on the knowledge, understanding and ability to take into account relevant views of interested parties as part of the competences required of council members.

Parity

- 20 Parity of lay and registrant members on their governing councils is important for the councils' credibility as the PSA explains:
 - "The councils would struggle to perform their oversight responsibilities if they lacked the knowledge and skills that professional members brought with them. In the same way, they would struggle to acquire and demonstrate insight into patient and public experience, and the independence and flexibility of thinking that are central to credibility if they had no suitably skilled public members".
- 21 We are not therefore planning to change the requirement for parity of lay and registrant members.

The GOsC

- 22 The GOsC is a health and social care professions regulatory body, and its key function is to protect the public. It delivers this function by keeping a register of health professionals who meet requisite standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health. The GOsC currently regulates osteopaths.
- 23 In line with the recommendations by the PSA, the Department consulted on reducing the council membership of the General Medical Council (GMC), the General Dental Council (GDC), the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) and the Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC). The change to the GMC's council came into force in January 2013, the NMC's came into force in May 2013, the change to GDC's council came into force in October 2013 and the change to the HCPC's came into force in January 2014. This completed the reduction in size of the larger councils of the major regulators.
- 24 The GOsC, having undertaken an internal review of its governance following the publication of *Enabling Excellence*, has requested a reduction in the size of its Council from 14 members to 10. The GOsC shares the view of the PSA of the benefits to be gained from having a smaller, more strategic board as well as enabling minor cost savings for the organisation.
- 25 We are therefore proposing to amend the GOsC's constitution order to reduce the size of its governing council to 10 members.

Proposed amendments

Size of governing council

26 The PSA is of the view that evidence indicates that smaller board sizes allow the board to focus on its function of strategic oversight and leadership and holding the executive to account in an effective manner. We agree, and therefore we are proposing, that the governing council of the GOsC be reduced to 10 members, which reflects the recommendation by the PSA in their report for a number between 8 and 12. We would welcome views on whether this gives the regulators adequate scope to ensure effective governance of all their functions.

Q1 Do you agree that a smaller council will be able to provide the necessary expertise in organisational governance?

Q2 Do you agree that the size of the governing council of the GOsC should be between 8 and 12 members?

Q3 Do you agree with the proposal to reduce the GOsC's governing council to 10? If not, what size do you believe the governing council of the GOsC should be, and why?

Quorum

- 27 The quorum is the minimum number of members who must be present to make valid council decisions.
- 28 The guorum is normally 50% of the total number of members plus one.
- 29 If the council of the GOsC were to reduce in size to ten members we would expect that the quorum would be six.

Q4 Do you agree that the quorum of a council should be 50% of the total board membership plus one?

Transitional provisions

- 30 The draft order will deliver a newly constituted GOsC Council. The practical effect of this is that in terms of office, current GOsC Council members will be able to reapply to be members of the new GOsC Council, but their tenure will not automatically be carried across.
- 31 However, in the draft order it is proposed that the Chair of the Council who is incumbent at the time of the reduction in size of the Council should continue in office. This proposal is to ensure there is continuity of leadership through the period of transition to a new Council, including the facilitation of the appointment process.

Q5 Do you agree with the proposal for the transitional provisions in relation to the Chair of Council?

Equality

- 32 The Department of Health and the GOsC are covered by the Equality Act 2010, and, specifically, the Public Sector Equality Duty (the Duty).
- 33 The Duty covers the following protected characteristics: age; disability; gender reassignment; pregnancy and maternity; race (includes ethnic or national origins, colour or nationality); religion or belief (including lack of belief); sex and sexual orientation.
- 34 There are three parts to the Duty and public bodies must, in exercising their functions, have due regard to all of them. They are the need:
 - to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation;
 - to advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not; and
 - to promote good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- 35 We have considered equalities issues whilst producing the draft orders and our initial screening suggests that the proposed changes will not have any significant impact on any of the equalities strands.

Q6 Do you think there are any additional equalities issues that need to be considered?

Costs and benefits

36 The Health and Social Care Act provided for the Appointments Commission to be abolished. The abolition took place on 31st October 2012. The Act also contains powers to enable the regulatory bodies and the PSA to assist the Privy Council in fulfilling its appointments function in relation to the regulatory bodies. In practice, this means that the health professions regulatory bodies manage their own appointments processes and follow good practice guidelines produced by the PSA, with the Privy Council then making the final appointments. This change is contained in the Health and Social Care Act 2012 and the costs and benefits of this were considered as part of its accompanying Impact Assessment.

Q7 Do you have views or evidence as to the likely effect on costs or the administrative burden of the proposed changes?

Q8 Do you think there are any benefits that are not already discussed relating to the proposed changes?

37 Attached to this consultation is a copy of the draft order for the changes to the constitution of the GOsC.

Q9 Do you have any comments on the draft order itself?

Summary of consultation questions

Question 1

Do you agree that smaller councils will be able to provide the necessary expertise in organisational governance?

Question 2

Do you agree that the size of the governing council of the GOsC should be between 8 and 12 members?

Question 3

Do you agree with the proposal to reduce the GOsC's governing council to 10? If not, what size do you believe the governing council of the GOsC should be and why?

Question 4

Do you agree that the quorum of a council should be 50% of the total membership plus one?

Question 5

Do you agree with the proposal for the transitional provisions in relation to the Chair of Council?

Question 6

Do you think there are any additional equalities issues that need to be considered?

Question 7

Do you have views or evidence as to the likely effect on costs or the administrative burden of the proposed changes?

Question 8

Do you think there are any benefits that are not already discussed relating to the proposed changes?

Question 9

Do you have any comments on the draft order itself?

Responding to this Consultation

Consultation Process

This document launches a consultation on amendments to the GOsC constitution.

The consultation is being run, as far as is practical, in accordance with the Cabinet Office Code of Practice on Consultations (reproduced below). The closing date for the consultation is [insert date]

There is a full list of the questions we are asking in this consultation set out in the 'Summary of consultation questions' section of this document.

There is a questionnaire on the Gov.UK website www.gov.uk/ which can be printed and sent by post to: GOsC Consultation, 2N10 Quarry House, Quarry Hill, Leeds LS2 7UE.

Alternatively, comments can be sent by e-mail to: hrdlistening@dh.gsi.gov.uk

You may also complete the online consultation response document at http://consultations.dh.gov.uk

It will help us to analyse the responses if respondents complete the questionnaire provided. However, responses that do not follow the structure of the questionnaire will be considered equally. It would also help if responses were sent in Word format, rather than in Portable Document Format (pdf).

Criteria for consultation

This consultation follows the Government Code of Practice, in particular we aim:

- to formally consult at a stage where there is scope to influence the policy outcome;
- to consult for a sufficient period;
- to be clear about the consultations process in the consultation documents, what is being proposed, the scope to influence and the expected costs and benefits of the proposals;
- to ensure the consultation exercise is designed to be accessible to, and clearly targeted at, those people it is intended to reach;
- to keep the burden of consultation to a minimum to ensure consultations are effective and to obtain consultees' support of the process:
- to analyse responses carefully and give clear feedback to participants following the consultation; and
- to ensure officials running consultations are guided in how to run an effective consultation exercise and share what they learn from the experience.

The full text of the Code of Practice is on the Better Regulation website at: www.bis.gov.uk/policies/better-regulation/consultation-guidance

Confidentiality of information

We manage the information you provide in response to this consultation in accordance with the Department of Health's Information Charter (www.dh.gov.uk/en/FreedomOfInformation/DH 088010).

Information we receive, including personal information, may be published or disclosed in accordance with the access to information regimes (primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004).

If you want the information that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that, under the FOIA, there is a statutory Code of Practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals, amongst other things, with obligations of confidence. In view of this it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Department.

The Department will process your personal data in accordance with the DPA and in most circumstances this will mean that your personal data will not be disclosed to third parties.

Summary of the consultation responses

A summary of the response to this consultation will be made available before or alongside any further action, such as laying legislation before Parliament, and will be placed on the Gov.UK website (www.gov.uk).