## Independent Information Governance Oversight Panel (IIGOP)

5 March 2014, Richmond House, London

# Members of the panel present:

Dame Fiona Caldicott (Chair)

lan Atkinson

John Carvel Martin Severs Janet Davies Mark Taylor

Alan Hassey

#### In attendance:

Mark Davies (Sponsor- DH) - for agenda items 1-4 Christina Munns Neil McCrirrick (HSCIC) David Riley

## **Apologies:**

Anne Stebbing Ming Tang (NHS E - due to present a quarterly update

on commissioning ) Eileen Phillips Caroline Tapster Richard Wild

### 1. Minutes of the previous meeting and actions

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved. All actions were complete or not due.

#### 2. Sponsor's Update

#### New IG Operating Model

Mark Davies updated the Panel on the proposed new operating model for IG post-IGAR (The Informatics Governance Assurance Review). The role of IIGOP would be within the independent assurance 'pillar' of the new model.

#### • Care.data

The DH Sponsor updated the Panel on the six month pause to the care.data roll out. The Panel sought assurances that a new course of action would be taken through the six month pause and that correct governance processes would be followed for what would be a 'collection' of data under the definition within the Health and Social Care Act 2012.

The IIGOP asserted that early consultation on any plans for activities to be carried out during the six month pause was essential, so that advice could be sought and acted upon.

Mark Davies undertook to offer the care.data team help from the IIGOP in terms of advice on activities to be carried out during the six month pause.

#### 3. Panel Working

#### Public Voice

The Panel discussed ways in which the IIGOP could "reflect" the public voice. The feasibility of "reflecting" the public voice was discussed and this would be explored

further. A session with representatives from groups which capture the public voice was held immediately before the Panel meeting. This had provided an insight into the primary and secondary research methodologies available, using both qualitative and quantitative methodologies.

Ipsos Mori attended to provide examples of similar work already undertaken and it was agreed that CM would work with Ipsos MORI to establish what relevant information was already available and to present some options for obtaining the public voice back to the IIGOP SG.

## 4. Panel members' Views/Thoughts

• Caldicott2/Government Response Recommendations Gap Analysis

The Panel approved the gap analysis and endorsed the recommended approach of sending the gap analysis between the C2 recommendations and the Government's response to Caldicott2 to the ISCG IG sub-group.

 The role of the HSCIC C2 Implementation Monitoring Group & Initial Baseline Report Presentation

Neil McCrirrick provided an update for the IIGOP on the progress made on forming the Caldicott 2 Implementation Monitoring Group (C2IMG) and presented the initial baseline report of progress against the recommendations.

### 5. Issues for panel consideration

• Data Protection Regulation

The Panel heard about the issues regarding the current drafting of the proposed data protection regulations in relation to research. Given the stage in the legislative process the Panel decided to keep a watching brief on the development of the legislation.

### 6. AOB

The Panel noted that the recommendation from the Caldicott2 Report about developing a glossary of IG terms was being progressed through the ISCG IG sub-group.

v.0.1 12 March 2014