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## Preface

The Annual Report of the National Food Survey Committee for 1955 is the sixth of the series which was introduced in 1950 with the object of providing continuous information on the trends of domestic food consumption, expenditure and nutrition in Great Britain. Like its five predecessors, the present volume describes the diets of households in different income groups and of different family composition, but some new analyses have been developed in each section. In previous Reports the classification by household composition cut across the division into classes as defined by the income of the head of the household; in the present Report a special section deals with the diets of different household types within each social class in order to identify more precisely the groups of households with which nutritional policy is specially concerned.

The usual analysis of the diets of families living in urban and rural areas has been amplified to distinguish Greater London from other large cities, and has been combined with a regional analysis to form a new type of study of geographical differences in the diet, the first to be made since the removal of food controls and rationing. The results are considered in relation to the differences shown by the 1949 regional analysis for urban working-class households* and the special Scottish analysis of $1953 \dagger$. The extended treatment of geographical differences follows the recommendations of the Interdepartmental Committee on Social and Economic Research. $\ddagger$

One of the appendices contains a preliminary study of occupational differences in relation to food expenditure and consumption, the first of its kind since that relating to the austere post-war years 1947 and $1948 *$; it is hoped to give greater consideration in future reports to differences in the dietary pattern which are associated with occupational status. Apart from the customary appendix giving detailed statistics of consumption, expenditure and average prices, the appendices contain more extensive information on sampling variations; the first estimates made since controls were removed of income elasticities of demand for the commodities distinguished in the Survey; a table showing the contribution of different foods to the nutrient content of the average diet; and full details of regional food consumption and expenditure.

The preparation of the Report was again undertaken jointly by the Secretaries of the Committee. Mr. A. H. J. Baines was responsible for the sections on food supplies, expenditure, consumption and prices, and Miss D. F. Hollingsworth for the sections on the nutritional value of the diet. The Committee desire to express their indebtedness to these officers of the Ministry, and to their colleagues in the Ministry's Economic Advice and Food Consumption Division and Scientific Adviser's Division (Food) for the way in which they have implemented the Committee's recommendations. The Ministry and the Committee also wish to thank the field staff of the Social Survey Division of the Central Office of Information, and the many housewives who willingly provided the information on which the Report is based.

## April 1957

NORMAN C. WRIGHT

* See Studies in Urban Household Diets, 1944-49. H.M.S.O., 1956.
$\dagger$ See Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1953. H.M.S.O., 1955.
$\ddagger$ Third Report of the Interdepartmental Committee on Social and Econpmic Research. H.M.S.O., 1956.


## I

## Introduction

1. The year 1955 was the first full year after the end of rationing. For all the main foods except bread and milk, which were still subsidized and subject to price control, the redistribution of demand following decontrol was practically completed during the year. Differences associated with the size of the family increased appreciably as controls were lifted, but income group differences were not much affected. A special section of the present Report deals with the joint effect on food consumption of family composition and social class, and indicates the types of household that may fare less well than others under free market conditions.
2. The Annual Report for 1955 follows the same general arrangement as in previous years. A comprehensive regional analysis has been included for the first time, and has been combined with the section dealing with urban and rural diets, in which households in Greater London have been distinguished from those in other major conurbations. Other new features are an appendix on occupational differences, additional information on sampling variations and the first post-control estimates of the income elasticities of demand for different foods.
3. The basic tabulations of survey data, which will remain available for reference, contain full particulars of consumption and expenditure in respect of 114 foods, by social class, type of household, region and type of area. The series of national averages for this full classification is continued in Appendix B, but elsewhere in the Report a simplified list of 38 food groups has been used. The sections of the Report dealing with social class, family composition, region and degree of urbanization include nutritional assessments of the diets of the groups considered, and, as in previous years, scales of allowances based on the recommendations of the British Medical Association's Committee on Nutrition (1950) have been used for purposes of comparison. In some of the tables, figures have been rounded to the nearest final digit, and this may cause an apparent slight discrepancy between the total and the sum of the constituent items.

## II

## Food Supplies, 1955

4 The nutritional composition of the diet in the United Kingdom during 1955, the first full year after all rationing ended, was similar to that recorded in 1954, but there was an increase in real consumption as estimated by revaluing at constant prices the quantities purchased. This form of measurement, which probably includes some improvement in quality, shows that at 1948 prices the rise in food purchases was only I per cent, compared with 4 per cent in the previous year. The total volume of goods and services, similarly measured at their 1948 prices, rose in 1955 by 3 per cent, food accounting for only one-tenth of the total increase,
most of which was devoted to clothing, durable household goods, wines and spirits and especially private motoring*.
5. Before entering on a detailed examination of the National Food Survey data, which are confined to the domestic food consumption of private households, it is convenient to give a general view of the nation's food consumption, based on supply data. Changes between 1953 and 1955 in supplies moving into consumption are summarized in Table I, with comparative figures for 1934-1938 and 1947. The Survey estimates of consumption are not directly comparable with those given in Table I, which include items not covered by the Survey, such as meals, snacks and ice-cream obtained outside the home, sweets and soft drinks, all food consumed in institutions, and also any food losses at the retail level. In estimating the value of the diet, allowance is made for meals taken outside the home.
6. In most of the main food groups the changes between 1954 and 1955 were small. The only marked increase ( 6 per cent) was that for meat which for the first time reached parity with 1934-38, though there were considerable changes in the pattern of consumption; for supply reasons, less beef was consumed than before the war, but more imported canned meat and more pig-meat, of which less was in the form of bacon. Changes in the oils and fats group were largely compensatory, leaving the total fat content of these foods very slightly below that of 1954. Consumption of butter continued to increase but remained over 40 per cent below the pre-war level; margarine supplies receded from the 1954 level, but were still more than twice those in 1934-38. Sugar and syrups showed a further increase above the pre-war level. There was no significant change in potato supplies, but supplies of cereal foods, another cheap source of energy, again decreased. Increased imports of vegetables other than potatoes did not make good the decrease in home supplies, which was partly attributable to the dry summer. The slight fall in dairy products (other than butter) was mainly in cheese and may represent only a change in distributors' stocks; consumption of liquid milk was practically unchanged.
7. Although supplies of chocolate and sugar confectionery fell slightly from the high levels of 1954, they still showed a much greater increase over 1934-38 than total sugar and syrups. As sweets and chocolates are often bought on impulse, the usual survey methods do not provide accurate estimates of consumption by different sections of the population, even when all members of the household are questioned, but we are informed by the research department of a large firm that interviewing purchasers at the shop door has recently given better results. This method indicates that women tend to buy more chocolate and sweets than men, the higher income groups more than the lower, and members of smaller families more than members of larger. Children and older adults buy more sugar confectionery than chocolate; the former is less frequently bought to give away, except to children. There are no indications of pronounced regional differences in the consumption of chocolate or sweets.
8. The nutrient data in Table I relate to total supplies moving into consumption. They are thus not comparable with those relating to food obtained for consumption in the home, given later in this Report. They are included primarily to give an indication of the changes which have occurred since before the war. The total energy value of the diet, at $3,120 \mathrm{Cal}$. per head per day, was about the same as in 1954. A range of only 4 per cent on either side of the pre-war level of 3,000 represents the

[^0]table I SEE ERRATA
Changes in National Supplies of Principal Foods ${ }^{1}$
Pre-war, 1947, 1953, 1954 and 1955
(b. per head per annum)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

${ }^{1}$ Board of Trade fournal, Vol. 171, No. 3106, which contains more detailed information on these estimates and their nutrient equivalents. Tomatoes and tomato products have been included with fruit (in terms of fresh fruit equivalent) to conform with National Food Survey practice.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes that used for brewing and distilling. Sugar content.

- Ingredients of chocolate and sugar confectionery are also included elsewhere.
${ }^{4}$ Relate to civilian population only.
difference between the shortages of 1947 (when the daily average was 2,880 calories) and the abundance of 1954-55. It may be, however, that the reduction in fat in the early post-war years was a greater source of dissatisfaction than the reduction in total energy value. The increase in meat consumption between 1954 and 1955 was the most significant dietary change, one effect of which was that the animal protein and fat contents of total food supplies were the highest on record.

9. Food supplies in 1955 were in every respect of greater nutritional value than those available before the war. The most marked changes were in calcium and vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$. A 60 per cent increase in calcium was made up of a rise of 160 mg . per day from increased milk consumption and an addition of 250 mg . per day caused by the fortification of flour. More than half of the 30 per cent ( 0.4 mg . per day) increase in vitamin $B_{1}$ was due to the changes in the composition of flour. Increased milk consumption was also partly responsible for improvements in the amount of protein, vitamin A and riboflavin and the changes in flour composition for those in iron and nicotinic acid. The fortification of margarine with vitamin A helped in this respect to compensate for the reduction in butter consumption.
10. In considering the economic background of the national diet, it is still convenient to take 1950 as a base period. Food supplies were then not far from the pre-war level, though most of the controls inherited from the war years continued. During the recession of 1951-52 earnings kept pace with the general price level and food expenditure with the more rapid increase of food prices. The improvement in the standard of living, which had been somewhat abruptly halted in 1950 by the Korean crisis, was resumed towards the end of 1952. Average weekly earnings began to move ahead of retail prices, and food expenditure ahead of food prices. During the next two years the improvement gained momentum, and by 1955 average earnings were well ahead of prices generally, compared with 1950 , and had almost

TABLE 2
Changes in Earnings, Prices and Expenditure on Food, 1950-55

|  | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index of average weekly earnings ${ }^{1}$ | 100 | 110 | 119 | 126 | 134 | 146 |
| Index of retail prices (all items) | 100 | 110 | 119 | 123 | 125 | 131 |
| Retail food prices: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National Food Survey Index | 100 | 112 | 129 | 135 | 138 | 147 |
| London and Cambridge Index ${ }^{2}$ | 100 | 111 | 128 | 135 | 139 | 149 |
| Household food expenditure ${ }^{3}$. | 100 | 113 | 129 | 142 | 148 | 161 |
| Expenditure on food as percentage of total expenditure on consumers' goods and services ${ }^{4}$ | $29 \cdot 1$ | $29 \cdot 6$ | $31 \cdot 1$ | 31.9 | 32-1 | $32 \cdot 6$ |

[^1]caught up with average food prices paid by housewives as measured by the National Food Survey. The average number of hours worked per week was about 2 per cent greater than in 1950. Over the five years the general level of retail prices had risen by nearly a third, earnings and food prices by nearly a half and domestic food expenditure by three-fifths. The proportion of consumers' total expenditure devoted to food was increasing steadily throughout this period.
II. Table 3 compares quarterly changes in household food expenditure in 1954 and 1955 with changes in prices, wage rates and estimated weekly earnings. During the year food prices rose more rapidly than other prices, but domestic food expenditure more than kept pace. The rise in the second quarter is a normal seasonal feature (see paragraph 13 below), though in 1954 the summer peak in food expenditure was delayed until the third quarter. The reasons for the rise in retail food prices between 1954 and 1955 were domestic, not external. Over the year as a whole, food import prices were only slightly higher in 1955 than in the previous year. During this period restrictions on trade in foodstuffs were progressively removed.
table 3
Household Food Expenditure, Wages, Earnings and Prices, 1954-55


[^2]
# III <br> The Household Diet in 1955 

Food Expenditure and Prices

12. Estimates of total domestic food expenditure and the value of free food al given in Table 4 for each quarter of 1954 and 1955. "Free" food comprised foo which entered the household without payment during the survey week, includin supplies obtained from a garden, allotment or farm, or from an employer, an withdrawals from larder stocks of certain home-produced foods*, but excludin gifts of food from another household. These free supplies were valued for eac group of households by applying the average prices currently paid by that grou for corresponding purchases. School milk, free welfare milk, welfare cod liver 0 and vitamin A and D tablets were not valued. The value of free food has bee added to the household food expenditure to obtain an estimate of the total valu of food obtained for domestic consumption (abbreviated as "value of consumption".

TABLE 4
Domestic Food Expenditure, Value of Free Food, and Value of Food obtained for Domestic Consumption, 1954 and 1955
(per head per week)

|  | Expenditure on Food |  |  | Value of Free Food |  |  | Value of Consumption |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1954 | 1955 | Percentage Change | 1954 | 1955 | Percentage Change | 1954 | 1955 | Percentag Chans |
|  | s. d. | s. d. |  | s. d. | s. d. |  | s. d. | s. d. |  |
| 1st Quarter | 226 | 249 | +10 | 7 | 8 | +10 | 231 | 255 | +10 |
| 2nd Quarter . | 236 | 260 | +10 | 7 | 9 | +15 | 242 | 269 | +11 |
| 3rd Quarter . | 243 | 259 | + 6 | 14 | 14 | $-1$ | 257 | 271 | $+6$ |
| 4th Quarter . | 242 | 263 | + 9 | 11 | 10 | +10 | 251 | 273 | + 9 |
| Yearly Average | 237 | 258 | $+9$ | 10 | 11 | + 7 | 246 | 267 | + 9 |

13. Average domestic expenditure on food was slightly higher in the first quarte of the year than in the preceding quarter and rose from 245.6 d . per head per wee in January to 24s. 1rd. in March and 25s. 6d. in April and early May, exceedin the previous maximum of $\mathbf{2 5 s}$. 2d. recorded in July, 1954, just after rationing endec Estimates for May were not obtained because of the interruption caused by th General Election campaignt, but in June the average rose further to 26 s .6 d . mainly because of increased expenditure on potatoes and tomatoes. Food expendi ture then declined to 26 s. od. in July, a temporary reduction in purchases of frest meat counter-balancing the seasonal peak in soft fruit, and 25s. 6d. in August, bu

[^3]increased to 26s. 4d. in October, the rise being spread over many foods, and continued at almost the same level until the end of the year. As in previous years, the average for December is doubtless somewhat understated, as fieldwork was not continued over the Christmas holiday. Log-books placed after Christmas were included in the January 1956 sample.
14. The average value of free food in 1955 at current retail prices was ind. per head per week, 7 per cent more than in the previous year. The seasonal peak of Is. 7d. per head per week was reached in July; in 1954 unfavourable weather had extended the maximum over August and September. As the availability of free supplies is largely governed by the degree of urbanization, a discussion is deferred to paragraph 134.
15. Table 5 compares the proportions of expenditure devoted to five broad food groups before the war with corresponding estimates for several recent periods. As the survey by Crawford and Broadley took place between October 1936 and March 1937, the comparison relates to the autumn and winter quarters. The similarity between pre-war and post-war patterns of food expenditure is striking; the main differences are that relatively more is now spent on fruit and vegetables and less on the miscellaneous group of foods (including beverages). The average price of milk was, of course, still kept down in 1951-56 by the general and welfare subsidies. From 1952 onwards there was a tendency to spend relatively more on meat and less on the cereal foods, of which national bread was still subsidized in 1955.
table 5
Percentage Expenditure on Main Food Groups

|  | Crauoford \& Broadley Oct. 1936Mar. 1937 | National Food Survey |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oct. 195IMar. 1952 | Oct. 1952Mar. 1953 | Oct. 1953Mar. 1954 | Oct. 1954Mar. 1955 | Oct. 1955- <br> Mar. 1956 | Year $1955$ |
| Milk, eggs and cheese. | 18 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Meat and fish | 30 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 31 |
| Fruit and vegetables . | 14 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| Cereals, fats, sugar and preserves | 27 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 26 |
| Other foods | 11 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| All foods | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

16. The seasonal pattern of domestic food expenditure and the value of consumption during the years 1951-55 is illustrated in Chart I. A similar chart for the period 1944-50 was given in the Annual Report for 1950*, but was confined to expenditure in urban working-class households. The seasonal variations are measured as deviations from the general rising trend indicated by the 12 months' centred moving average. During four of the five years under review, the summer peak in value of consumption occurred in July, when garden and allotment produce was usually

[^4]
most plentiful. The maximum expenditure, however, occurred in May or June except in 1954, when there was a sudden rise in July just after rationing ended.
17. The general level of domestic food expenditure was rising steadily throughout the period except for a temporary pause in 1953 when food prices were relatively stable. Table 6 indicates that from 1952 to 1954 the increase was concentrated on a few basic foods, namely cheese, meat, bacon and ham, eggs, butter, sugar and tea, but in 1955 nearly all the main foods showed increases. Expenditure on these seven foods accounted for 28 per cent of total food expenditure in 1952, but 38 per cent in 1955. Until 1955 the total expenditure on the remaining foods was stationary.

TABLE 6
Principal Changes in Food Expenditure and Prices, 1952-55 $(1952=100)$

|  |  | Expenditure |  |  |  | Price |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 |
| Cheese |  | 100 | 111 | 123 | 133 | 100 | 102 | 101 | 113 |
| Carcase meat |  | 100 | 142 | 170 | 195 | 100 | 106 | 114 | 128 |
| Bacon and ham, uncooked |  | 100 | 119 | 114 | 112 | 100 | 104 | 97 | 95 |
| Eggs, shell |  | 100 | 151 | 136 | 148 | 100 | 109 | 88 | 98 |
| Butter |  | 100 | 151 | 212 | 238 | 100 | 120 | 147 | 149 |
| Sugar | - | 100 | 144 | 191 | 204 | 100 | 117 | 125 | 128 |
| Tea | - | 100 | 130 | 168 | 200 | 100 | 109 | 131 | 160 |
| Total of above foods | - | 100 | 137 | 155 | 172 | 100 | 108 | 111 | 121 |
| Other foods | - | 100 | 100 | 99 | 106 | 100 | 103 | 106 | 111 |
| All foods | - | 100 | 110 | 114 | 124 | 100 | 105 | 107 | 114 |

18. Estimates of household expenditure on the main foods during each quarter of the year are given in Table 7, which also shows percentage changes compared with the previous year. Expenditure rose by 2 s . Id. per head per week ( 9 per cent) compared with an IId. (4 per cent) rise between 1953 and 1954 , and 2 s . Id. (Io per cent) between 1952 and 1953.
19. The average expenditure on liquid milk, the processed milks and cheese increased by 6 to 8 per cent, and that on cream by 25 per cent. Sweetened condensed milk continued to lose ground to unsweetened. The classification of cheese, which was previously based on the rationing regulations, was altered so as to distinguish the natural from the processed and packeted cheeses; during the year expenditure on both kinds tended to increase, especially that on the latter, which displayed a marked seasonal peak in August.
20. During the first half of the year expenditure on all types of meat, including bacon, was steady near 68 . IId. per head per week, of which carcase meat accounted for about 3s. $8 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. A sharp fall in expenditure on carcase meat in July was largely offset by increased purchases of cooked, canned and corned meat, and by October, expenditure on all meat had risen to 7 s . 7 d . and on carcase meat to 4 s . od., the highest monthly averages yet recorded. Beef and veal accounted for most of the increase. In the second quarter, when bacon was temporarily as cheap as carcase
table 7
Domestic Food Expenditure by All Households, 1955
(pence per head per week)


| The Household Diet in 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1954 | 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yearly average | Quarter |  |  |  | Yearly average |  |
|  |  | I | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| vEGETABLES <br> Potatoes, including chips and crisps | $9 \cdot 42$ | 9•71 | 13.97 | $10 \cdot 58$ | 11-28 | $11 \cdot 38$ | +21 |
| Fresh green Other ${ }^{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 23 \\ & 8 \cdot 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \cdot 04 \\ 10 \cdot 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \cdot 15 \\ 11 \cdot 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 65 \\ & 7 \cdot 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 22 \\ & 9 \cdot 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 00 \\ & 9.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +15 \\ & +13 \end{aligned}$ |
| Toral Vegetables other than potatoes. | 13.67 | 15.30 | 18. 17 | 14.37 | 14.54 | 15.56 | $+14$ |
| ERUIT <br> Fresh ${ }^{7}$ <br> Others | $\begin{array}{r} 15.69 \\ 6.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \cdot 38 \\ 6 \cdot 91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \cdot 57 \\ 8 \cdot 08 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \cdot 74 \\ 8 \cdot 03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \cdot 11 \\ 9 \cdot 87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \cdot 69 \\ 8 \cdot 22 \end{array}$ | +6 +19 |
| Total Frusit ${ }^{7}$ | 22.61 | 19.29 | 27.65 | 29.77 | 22.98 | 24.91 | +10 |
| CEREALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National bread | 14.75 | $14 \cdot 65$ | 14.72 | 15.08 | $14 \cdot 52$ | 14.74 | - 0 |
| White bread | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 23$ | 0.18 | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.18 | -33 |
| Wholemeal bread | 0.94 | $0 \cdot 79$ | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.81 | -13 |
| Other bread | 1.80 | 1.83 | 1.96 | $2 \cdot 00$ | 1.91 | 1.92 | + 7 |
| Toral Bread* | 17.77 | 17.50 | 17:72 | 18.05 | 17•39 | 17.65 | - |
| Flour | $3 \cdot 73$ | $3 \cdot 82$ | $3 \cdot 68$ | $3 \cdot 18$ | 3.71 | $3 \cdot 60$ | $-3$ |
| Cakes ${ }^{10}$ | 8.91 | $8 \cdot 34$ | $9 \cdot 73$ | $9 \cdot 76$ | 9.76 | $9 \cdot 39$ | + 5 |
| Biscuits . | 8.42 | $8 \cdot 30$ | $8 \cdot 62$ | $8 \cdot 72$ | $8 \cdot 96$ | $8 \cdot 65$ | + 3 |
| Oatmeal and oat products | 0.86 | $1 \cdot 29$ | 0.64 | $0 \cdot 50$ | $1 \cdot 18$ | 0.90 | + 5 |
| Breakfast cereals | $2 \cdot 25$ | $2 \cdot 02$ | 2.56 | 2.85 | $2 \cdot 38$ | 2.45 | + 3 |
| Other | $3 \cdot 04$ | $3 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 40$ | 3.63 | $3 \cdot 29$ | $3 \cdot 36$ | +11 |
| Toral Cereals | 44.98 | 44•37 | $46 \cdot 35$ | $46 \cdot 69$ | $46 \cdot 67$ | 46-00 | +2 |
| beverages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea. | $12 \cdot 23$ | $15 \cdot 41$ | 15.08 | 13.98 | $13 \cdot 86$ | 14.58 | +19 |
| Caffer | 1.95 | 2.51 | 2.06 | 1.94 | $2 \cdot 25$ | $2 \cdot 19$ | +12 |
| Cocos . | 0.56 | 0.79 | 0.56 | 0.46 | $0 \cdot 62$ | 0.61 | + 7 |
| Branded food drinks | $0 \cdot 79$ | 0.78 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 0.87 | $0 \cdot 70$ | $-11$ |
| Total Beverages | 15.53 | 19.49 | 18.36 | 16.89 | 17.60 | 18.08 | +16 |
| misceleaneous ${ }^{12}$ | $6 \cdot 10$ | $6 \cdot 74$ | $6 \cdot 30$ | 5.98 | 6.87 | $6 \cdot 46$ | + 6 |
| Total all Foods | 283.40 <br> (235.7d.) | $\begin{aligned} & 296 \cdot 72 \\ & (245.9 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 312 \cdot 02 \\ & (26 s .0 d .) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 308 \cdot 70 \\ (255.9 \mathrm{d.} .) \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 314 \cdot 85 \\ & (26 s .3 d .) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308.07 \\ & (255.8 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $+9$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ Ration-type cheese. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Other cheese. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Includes cooked and canned meats and meat products. <br> ${ }^{4}$ Includes smoked, dried and salted. <br> ' Includes cooked, canned and bottled fish and fish products. <br> - Includes dried and canned vegetables, and vegetable products. |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ Includes tomatoes. <br> - Includes dried, canned and bottled fruit. <br> - Includes rolls, fruit bread and sandwiches. <br> ${ }^{10}$ Includes buns, scones, tea cakes, muffins and crumpets. <br> ${ }^{11}$ Invalid and baby foods, spreads and dressings, soups, meat and vegetable extracts and items on which expenditure only was recorded. |  |  |  |  |

meat, the relatively low prices of all cuts led to a sudden surge of demand and the price of bacon then rose sharply. In spite of the warm weather and some consumer resistance, expenditure on bacon continued to rise until November, when the price reached 4 s .4 d . per lb . More was spent on liver and other offals, corned meat and pork sausages than in the previous year, but slightly less on beef sausages. Expenditure on rabbits, game and other meats was only $0 \cdot 14 \mathrm{~d}$. per head per week compared with 0.50 d . in 1954, 0.92 d . in 1953 and I.62d. in 1952.
21. There was a general increase in expenditure on fish (except for fresh herrings), but cooked, canned and bottled fish accounted for most of the rise.
22. The average price of eggs, which probably did not fall below 3s. I Id. per dozen in the spring (though the May average is wanting), rose to 5 s .8 d . per dozen by the end of the year. Expenditure increased from Is. 3d. per head per week in February to is. $8 \frac{1}{d}$ d. in December.
23. Expenditure on butter rose unsteadily from II $\frac{3}{4}$ d. per head per week in January to 1s. 2d. in October, but fell to is. 11d. in December. The price varied around 3s. Iod. per lb until June and then fell to 3 s . $7 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. in August before rising to 4 s . $2 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. by the end of the year. Average expenditure on margarine remained within about a farthing of 6 d . per head per week, and the average price scarcely moved from is. $8 \frac{3}{3} \mathrm{~d}$. per lb , suggesting that brand preferences were firmly established.
24. Expenditure on sugar again increased while that on preserves fell slightly; this continued a movement which began after sugar was derationed. Sugar expenditure reached 94d. per head per week in July and remained above gd. until December. The average price paid was about $7 \cdot 7 \mathrm{~d}$. per lb during the first half of the year but then rose gradually to 8.3 d . per lb by the end of the year.
25. The increase in expenditure on potatoes was wholly, and on "other" vegetables mainly, due to rising prices, but for fresh green vegetables and fruit most of the rise was attributable to increased supplies.
26. By the end of 1955, the progressive lowering of the extraction rate of National flour which followed the decontrol of the milling industry had made National bread scarcely distinguishable from white, although the latter was nearly $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. per lb dearer. Less was spent on flour but more on cakes, biscuits and other cereal foods.
27. The average price of tea, which had risen during 1954 from 5 s. $0 \frac{1}{2} d$. to $6 s .9 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} d .}$ per lb , reached 7 s . $7 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. in February but fell back to 6 s . IId. in June and 6 s . $7 \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. in August; it then remained steady until early in 1956. Expenditure followed the trend of prices. Expenditure on coffee and cocoa also increased because of higher prices, but that on branded food drinks declined, although prices showed little change.
28. Table 8 shows for each quarter of the year, and for each of the main food groups, the percentage change in the average price paid and the average "quantity" purchased by housewives, compared with the corresponding quarters of 1954. The comparison has been made in this way in order to eliminate seasonal variations as far as possible, and so to indicate the underlying trend of prices. In order to allow for changes in the pattern of consumption between the two periods compared, the price index used is of the Fisher Ideal type, the geometric mean of a Laspeyres and a Paasche index, with weights appropriate to the earlier and the later periods respectively. The quantity index has been constructed by dividing the ratio of the expenditures in the two periods by the price index. The main object of this calcula-
table 8
Changes in Indices of Average Prices and Quantities Purchased Quarters of 1955 compared with corresponding Quarters of 1954
(percentage change)

|  | Price |  |  |  |  | Quantity purchased |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ist } \\ \mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{L} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 r d \\ & Q t r . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \mathbf{Q t r} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1955 \\ \text { on } \\ 1954 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rst } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & Q t r . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 r d \\ & Q t r . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & Q t r . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1955 \\ \text { on } \\ 1954 \end{gathered}$ |
| mile and milk PRODUCTS | $+6$ | +10 | $+6$ | $+4$ | + 7 | - 0 | + 0 | +1 | + 1 | +1 |
| meat Carcase | +14 | +13 | +10 | +12 | +12 | +14 | $+8$ | - 7 | - 2 | $+3$ |
| Bacon | -9 | -16 | + 4 | +19 | -2 | - 1 | +13 | - 3 | - 7 | + 0 |
| Other | $+6$ | + 6 | + 8 | + 9 | + 7 | - 6 | + 2 | - 6 | + 5 | $+2$ |
| All | $+7$ | $+5$ | +8 | +12 | $+8$ | + 5 | + 7 | - 2 | - 1 | + 2 |
| FISH | $+6$ | $+3$ | + 2 | + 3 | $+4$ | +10 | $+5$ | + 6 | +11 | + 8 |
| EGGS | +16 | $+23$ | $+2$ | + 3 | +11 | -4 | - 3 | + 1 | $+2$ | - 2 |
| fats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butter | +11 | - 3 | - 7 | + 7 | + 2 | +21 | + 9 | +10 | + 4 | +11 |
| Margarine | +15 | + 6 | - 2 | - 2 | + 4 | - 0 | - 4 | -7 | - 0 | - 3 |
| Other | +16 | - 3 | -15 | -14 | $-4$ | $-1$ | - 3 | $-5$ | + 4 | $-1$ |
| All . | +13 | - 0 | - 7 | + 1 | + 1 | +10 | + 3 | + 3 | $+3$ | + 5 |
| SUGAR | $-1$ | $-1$ | $+5$ | $+6$ | $+2$ | $+7$ | $+5$ | + 1 | $+3$ | + 4 |
| Preserves | 1 | $-1$ | $+4$ | $+5$ | $+2$ | $-8$ | - 4 | - 4 | + 0 | - 5 |
| Fegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potatoes | + 4 | + 6 | +53 | +39 | +22 | $-1$ | + 6 | -10 | - 1 | $-3$ |
| Fresh green | +16 | - 6 | $+6$ | +25 | $+6$ | + 9 | +23 | $-1$ | - 7 | +88 |
| Other | +16 | +14 | + 4 | + 3 | +9 | - 0 | + 3 | + 6 | $+10$ | + 3 |
| All . | +11 | $+6$ | +21 | +21 | +14 | $+1$ | +8 | - 2 | + 2 | + 2 |
| fruit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh | + 2 | + 4 | + 0 | + 6 | + 2 | $-1$ | + 6 | + 6 | - 1 | + 4 |
| Other | - 4 | - 2 | + 4 | + 4 | + 1 | +21 | +25 | +10 | +17 | +18 |
| All | - 0 | $+2$ | + 1 | + 5 | + 2 | + 6 | +11 | + 7 | $+6$ | +8 |
| cereals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bread | +1 | $+1$ | + 2 | + 2 | + 1 | - 2 | $-1$ | $-1$ | $-4$ | $-2$ |
| Flour | - 3 | $-1$ | - 0 | + 2 | $-1$ | - 4 | $+1$ | -11 | + 3 | - 3 |
| Cakes and biscuits | - 2 | - 2 | - 1 | + 1 | - 1 | - 1 | $+9$ | $+8$ | + 4 | $+5$ |
| Other | + 1 | + 2 | + 2 | + 3 | + 2 | +1 | $+6$ | +12 | $+8$ | $+6$ |
| All . | $-1$ | - 0 | + 1 | + 2 | + 0 | - 2 | $+4$ | + 3 | + 1 | + 2 |
| beverages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea | $+44$ | +30 | $+15$ | + 1 | +21 | - 4 | - 1 | +1 | - 0 | - 1 |
| Other | +11 | +12 | + 3 | + 5 | + 9 | - 1 | - 4 | - 9 | + 5 | - 3 |
| All | +35 | +26 | +12 | $+2$ | +19 | $-3$ | $-2$ | - 1 | + 1 | $-2$ |
| Miscellaneous ${ }^{1}$ | -2 | $-1$ | $-1$ | - 2 | - 1 | +17 | +11 | $+5$ | +21 | +14 |
| All Foods ${ }^{1}$ | $+7 \cdot 4$ | $+5 \cdot 8$ | $+5 \cdot 0$ | $+6 \cdot 7$ | $+6 \cdot 3$ | +2.4 | $+4 \cdot 6$ | $+1.0$ | $+1 \cdot 8$ | $+2 \cdot 4$ |

'Excludes a few miscillaneous items for which expenditure only was recorded. Digitized by aOORE
tion is to ascertain how much of the 9 per cent increase (see Table 5) in domestic food expenditure between 1954 and 1955 was due to price increases and how far it represented a real improvement in the diet, in terms of consumer satisfaction, not of nutrient content.
29. Such an apportionment of the expenditure rise between price and "quantity," as defined above, presents some conceptual difficulty. When incomes rise more than prices, housewives tend to buy more expensive foods. Any increase in expenditure must, by the method of calculation used, be shown as associated with either a price or a quantity rise. Purchase of a more expensive variety of a particular food might appropriately be recorded in a third category, that is as a quality change. Conceptually, purchase of a more expensive variety indicates a rising standard of living, and if it has to be shown as either a price or a quantity change it should therefore be shown as a quantity change. In some circumstances, however, it is shown as a price change, because the Survey classification of foods cannot be indefinitely detailed. A shift to a dearer variety within the same kind of food, for example, Danish instead of New Zealand butter, appears as a price rise because the average price paid for the commodity butter has increased. A shift of demand from margarine to butter, on the other hand, is a change to a new kind of food, from one commodity to another; there is no change in the price of either margarine or butter and hence this is recorded as a quantity change and does not affect the price index. It seems, therefore, that with rising standards of living the method used may slightly exaggerate the price rise and correspondingly underestimate the "quantity" rise. With declining standards of living the fall in standard might similarly be somewhat underestimated. With an indefinitely detailed subdivision of foods, an improvement in the average quality of purchases would always be regarded as a replacement of some foods by others, and thus would raise the quantity but not the price index-as it should, since the former is intended to assess changes in the standard of purchases, as measured by consumer preference. With the classification of foods actually used, such an interpretation of the quantity index can only be approximate. Strictly speaking, this interpretation also presupposes free market conditions, since under rationing and price control a shift from rationed food to a more expensive alternative does not necessarily increase consumer satisfaction. As rationing did not end until the middle of 1954, the comparison given in Table 9 is on somewhat safer ground for the second half of the year than the first.
30. Table 8 indicates that in each quarter of 1955 the general level of food prices was from 5 to $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent higher than in the corresponding quarter of the previous year. In the first half of the year this was to be expected. In 1954 most fats had been subject to rationing and price control until May and meat until July, and the price of eggs had been unusually low from February to June. After the middle of the year these abnormal factors ceased to affect the comparison, but any prospect of greater stability was deferred by a further rise in meat prices, an upward trend in the price of bacon and especially by the much higher price of potatoes. In 1954 the price of potatoes of that year's crop had been little more than 2 d . per lb from August onwards. Because of shortage of supplies the price of the 1955 crop, which was no longer controlled, did not fall below 3d. until September and continued near that level for the rest of the year. Further, in the last quarter fresh green vegetables, which had been relatively plentiful in the spring, were scarcer and dearer than a year before. Nevertheless the quantity index continued to register an upward trend, as it had done in every quarter since the end of 1952 . The principal contributions to the increase of 2.4 per cent recorded for the year as a whole were from
"other" fruit ( 18 per cent), butter (il per cent), fish and fresh green vegetables (each 8 per cent), and also from miscellaneous foods ( 14 per cent).

## Consumption

31. Table 9 summarizes domestic consumption per head of the main foods during each quarter of the year and shows annual averages for 1954 and 1955. Tables showing consumption, expenditure and prices in more detail are given for all foods in Appendix B. The percentage changes shown in the last column of Table 9 differ somewhat from the corresponding changes in the quantity index in Table 8 partly because the latter is confined to purchases and takes no account of "free" supplies, and partly because the quantity index is affected by changes in the proportions of different foods within each group. Most of the movements shown in Table 9 are normal seasonal variations. The proportions of households buying each food during the survey week are shown in Table I of Appendix B; Table ia gives quarterly percentages for the more important foods which exhibit a marked seasonal variation.
milk, Cheese, meat, fish and eggs
32. Household consumption of liquid milk and the processed milks was almost exactly the same in 1955 as in the previous year, and exhibited the same seasonal variation. The absence of school milk during the summer holidays and the usual slight fall in purchases of liquid milk during the same period were partly offset by increased consumption of full cream unsweetened (evaporated) condensed milk. In spite of the great increase in liquid milk consumption since before the war, evaporated milk has gained ground, at the expense both of sweetened condensed milk (full cream and more particularly skimmed) and of cream, which has not recovered from its wartime prohibition and averaged only 0.01 pt . (or 0.23 oz .) per head per week.
33. Cheese consumption at 2.83 oz . per head per week was slightly less than in 1954, possibly because of the reduction in imports and some hardening in the prices of Cheddar cheese from the Commonwealth, which in turn tended to shift demand to other varieties and raise their prices.
34. The monthly estimates of consumption of carcase meat and bacon are of interest, as they provide the first evidence of a seasonal pattern of free demand. The sharp fall in consumption of fresh meat in July and August, which was not observed in 1954 following decontrol, was probably accentuated by price rises (though these were less marked than a year before) as well as by the onset of hot weather. Bacon consumption rose steeply until May or June and then fell sharply as prices rose, but tended to level off after August at around 4.9 oz . per head per week compared with 5.2 oz . a year before. Corned meat and other canned meat both had a marked and probably seasonal maximum in the third quarter. From June onwards pork sausages tended to lose ground to beef sausages and the price difference widened.
35. The consumption of fish (including sbellfish), which had been steadily declining since 1952, averaged 6.0 oz . per head per week compared with 5.7 oz . in 1954. The increase arose largely from improved supplies of canned salmon and crab which became available early in the year and from increased domestic purchases of cooked fish (sales for consumption outside the home are not recorded). In the fourth quarter consumption of fresh fish was 3.9 oz . per head per week compared with only 3.5 oz . in the corresponding months of 1954. Fresh and processed herrings did not share in the general rise, the East Anglian fishing being poor.

TABLE 9
Domestic Food Consumption by All Households, 1955
(os. per head per woek except where othervise stated)


TABLE 9-continued
(oz. per head per week except where othervise stated)

${ }^{1}$ Ration-type cheese.
$\because$ Other cheese.

- Includes cooked and canned meats and meat products.
- Includes smoked, dried and salted.
- Includes cooked, canned and bottled fish and fish products.
- Includes dried and canned vegerables, and vegetable products.
${ }^{-}$Includes tomatoes.
${ }^{2}$ Includes dried, canned and bottled fruit.
- Includes rolls, fruit bread and sandwiches.
${ }^{16}$ Includes buns, scones, tea cakes, muffins and crumpets.
${ }^{11}$ Invalid and baby flogds, spreads and dressings, soups and meat and vegetable extracts.

36. From January to April (and probably May)* consumption of shell eggs was somewhat lower than during the glut of 1954, but from August onwards it was slightly greater than a year before, in spite of higher prices.

## fats, sugar and preserves

37. Butter consumption rose from $4 \cdot 1 \mathrm{oz}$. per head per week in January to 4.8 oz . in August as prices declined to the level before decontrol, but fell to 4.2 oz . in December as supplies decreased and prices hardened. Changes in consumption of margarine were smaller, but tended to offset those for butter. From June to October purchases of butter exceeded those of margarine for the first time since rationing ended. Consumption of lard and compound cooking fats, which were not satisfactorily distinguished by housewives, averaged $2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{oz}$. per head per week and exhibited what is probably its normal seasonal pattern under free conditions, with a minimum in July. For other fats (mainly suet and dripping) the seasonal minimum occurred in August and was more pronounced. Total consumption of fats was somewhat greater than in 1954 and reached $12 \cdot 2 \mathrm{oz}$. per head per week in the last quarter.
38. The consumption of sugar continued to increase in 1955 and averaged $17.6 \mathbf{~ o z}$. per head per week, with a seasonal maximum of 19.3 oz . in July, as against 17.0 oz . in 1954 (July peak $19 \cdot 0 \mathrm{oz}$.). Consumption of preserves was nearly as great as in the previous year, with a seasonal trough coinciding with the peak in sugar consumption.

## FRUIT AND VEGETABLES

39. In the first quarter of 1955 , potato consumption was slightly greater than a year before. The interruption of the Survey fieldwork in May* coincided with a shortage of old potatoes, and the early English new potatoes were not available in any quantity until the end of the month. In June the domestic consumption of old potatoes was nearly as great as in June 1954, and the average price was only 2.6 d . per lb . In July supplies of old potatoes were practically exhausted, and total potato consumption was only 47.7 oz . per head per week compared with 57.3 oz . a year before. The new season's crop realised 4.9 d . per lb . compared with 2.8 d . in July 1954, and for the rest of the year prices were $40-50$ per cent higher than in the previous season. The effect on demand proved transient, and by the end of the year consumption, including purchases for stock, was running at only a little less than a year earlier; this, however, probably arose from consumers' anticipation of severe shortage early in 1956.
40. Consumption of cabbage varied between 9.4 oz . per head per week in June and 4.3 oz . in July and December, with a subsidiary peak of $7 \cdot 7 \mathrm{oz}$. in October. Brussels sprouts were more plentiful than in 1954 in the spring but not in the autumn. Consumption of leafy salads rose to 3.5 oz . per head per week in July, compared with 2.9 oz . a year before. July was also the peak month for fresh peas and beans, with consumption rising to 15.0 oz . per head per week at $6 \frac{9}{4} \mathrm{~d}$. per lb. Purchases of quick-frozen legumes were greatest (about 0.25 oz . per head per week) between March and June but continued higher than in previous years.
41. The average consumption of carrots fell from 4.0 oz . per head per week in January to 1.4 oz . in June and rose to 4.4 oz . in November. The seasonal variation in onions, shallots and leeks was similar but not so wide: from 4.1 oz. in February to $2 \cdot 1 \mathrm{oz}$. in July and back to $\mathbf{4 . 2} \mathbf{~ o z}$. in November. Purchases of dried pulses were

[^5]steady at about 0.9 oz . per head per week in the early months of the year, but fell to 0.3 oz . in July and August. Consumption of canned peas rose steadily from 2.8 oz. per head per week in January to 3.7 oz . in June, fell sharply when fresh peas became available and then levelled off at $2 \cdot 6 \mathrm{oz}$. The seasonal variation in canned beans was much smaller, the extremes being $\mathbf{2 . 2} \mathbf{~ o z}$. in March and September, and 1.7 oz . in July.
42. During the latter part of 1955 both green and root vegetables were decidedly superior in quality to those obtainable earlier in the year, and the cost to the consumer of vegetables of equivalent quality was actually reduced. Such changes in quality are difficult to measure, but their occurrence should always be borne in mind in interpreting Survey averages; they may also be of nutritional significance, in that with vegetables of good quality the wastage is much smaller.
43. Changes in consumption of fresh fruit were mostly slight. Tomatoes were more plentiful but stone and soft fruit scarcer in 1955. The quantities of canned and bottled fruit were uniformly greater than a year before, with a maximum in August, probably not for immediate consumption, although this assumption is made in the nutritional calculations.

CEREALS
44. There was a further slight decline in the consumption of bread. National bread continued to constitute about 92 per cent of the total, wholemeal and similar proprietary breads 3 per cent, white bread under I per cent, malt bread under $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent and other bread about 4 per cent. National milk bread, containing skimmed milk powder, was introduced on February 20th, and during the last three quarters of the year averaged 0.6 oz . per head per week, or little more than I per cent of all National bread. Any unsubsidized milk bread is included in "other bread." More cakes were purchased than in 1954, but fewer buns; less oatmeal and oat products, but more of other breakfast cereals, especially in the summer months.
beverages and miscellantous foods
45. As tea prices rose to their peak, there was some slight consumer resistance, consumption falling to 2.69 oz . per head per week in February. For the rest of the year the average fluctuated with diminishing amplitude about 2.80 oz .
46. Purchases of canned soups were uniformly greater than in 1954, except during the hot months of July and August; the average for the year was I• 44 oz . per head per week compared with $1 \cdot 16 \mathrm{oz}$. The seasonal trend was similar for the small quantities of dehydrated and powdered soups purchased.

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content

47. The energy value and nutrient content of the household diet in 1955 was calculated by the method described in The Urban Working-Class Household Diet, 1940 to 1949*. The only major change in the procedure was that, as in the report for 1954, the nutritive values of flour and bread were estimated using analyses of flour made by the Government Chemist for the National Flour Survey. The figures shown in Table io represent the nutritive value of the edible portion of food purchased or obtained "free" for consumption at home or packed meals carried and eaten away from home. As in previous reports, other food eaten outside the home is not included, nor are sweets, soft or alcoholic drinks, fish liver oil or vitamin

[^6]tablets, whether proprietary or welfare. In calculating the nutritive value of the diet, no allowance has been made for kitchen or plate wastage, but the figures for vitamin $B_{1}$ and $C$ have been adjusted to allow for cooking losses, in accordance with the recommendations of the Medical Research Council.*
48. Table 10 shows the quarterly averages for all households during 1955 and the yearly averages for 1952-1955. The yearly averages for 1955 were equal to or slightly greater than those for 1954 for energy value and all nutrients, except the vitamins of the B complex, but the only increase exceeding 2 per cent was that for vitamin A ( 7 per cent) which arose mainly from the increased fortification of margarine after decontrol. $\dagger$ These small rises in the average nutritive value of the household diet can be accounted for by slightly increased consumption of a large number of foods. The decreases (from I to 3 per cent) in the averages for the $\mathbf{B}$ vitamins were mainly attributable to the reduced amounts of these vitamins present in flour and bread.
49. The most interesting trends between 1952 and 1955 are the continuous rise in the amounts of animal protein, fat, carbohydrate, iron and vitamin A in the average diet; the total protein and calcium remained remarkably constant throughout these years. After 1953 there was some decrease in the vitamin $B_{1}$, nicotinic acid and vitamin C content of the diet. Between 10952, when many foods were rationed, and 1955, the first full year after decontrol, the energy value increased by 8 per cent, animal protein by 11 per cent, fat by 14 per cent, carbohydrate by 6 per cent and vitamin $A$ by 8 per cent; while vitamins $B_{1}, C$ and $D$ decreased by between 3 and 4 per cent. For the remaining nutrients the average values either remained unchanged or rose by less than 2 per cent.

TABLE 10

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Domestic Food Consumption

 All Households, 1952-55(per head per day)

|  | 1952 <br> Yearly average | 1953 <br> Yearly average | 1954 <br> Yearly average | 1955 <br> Yearly average | 1955 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ist } \\ \text { Qtr. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 r d \\ Q t r . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 t h \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Energy value (Cal.) | 2,447 | 2,520 | 2,626 | 2,641 | 2,664 | 2,634 | 2,600 | 2,667 |
| Total protein (g.) | 77 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 78 | 77 | 75 | 77 |
| Animal protein (g.) | 38 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 42 |
| Fat (g.) . . | 94 | 101 | 107 | 107 | 108 | 108 | 105 | 109 |
| Carbohydrate (g.) | 324 | 325 | 340 | 342 | 344 | 338 | 339 | 345 |
| Calcium (mg.) . | 1043 | 1040 | 1034 | 1044 | 1054 | 1047 | 1027 | 1046 |
| Iron (mg.) . . | $13 \cdot 0$ | $13 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 4$ | 13.5 | $13 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 4$ | $13 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 6$ |
| Vitamin A (i.u.) | 3551 | 3836 | 3911 | 4199 | 4136 | 4098 | 4091 | 4472 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ (mg.) | $1 \cdot 28$ | $1 \cdot 31$ | $1 \cdot 28$ | $1 \cdot 24$ | $1 \cdot 27$ | $1 \cdot 25$ | $1 \cdot 22$ | $1 \cdot 22$ |
| Riboflavin (mg.) | $1 \cdot 64$ | $1 \cdot 66$ | $1 \cdot 67$ | $1 \cdot 65$ | $1 \cdot 69$ | 1.65 | $1 \cdot 61$ | $1 \cdot 66$ |
| Nicotinicacid (mg.) | 12.9 | $13 \cdot 3$ | 13.3 | $13 \cdot 1$ | $13 \cdot 7$ | $13 \cdot 0$ | $12 \cdot 7$ | $13 \cdot 1$ |
| Vitamin C (mg.) | 53 | 53 | 50 | 51 | 41 | 47 | 67 | 47 |
| Vitamin D (i.u.) | 148 | 139 | 144 | 144 | 149 | 139 | 140 | 148 |

[^7]50. The quarterly averages shown in Table 10 were all within 3 per cent of the yearly average values except for vitamins A and C and nicotinic acid. The variation from 20 per cent below the yearly average in the first quarter to 31 per cent above in the third in vitamin C arose from the usual seasonal changes in the consumption of fruits and vegetables and in the vitamin $C$ content of potatoes. The increase in vitamin $\mathbf{A}$ in the fourth quarter to 7 per cent above the yearly average arose mainly from an increased intake from carrots, which have a higher carotene content at that time of year. The greater consumption of carcase meat, potatoes and flour in the first quarter accounts for the high value found for nicotinic acid ( 5 per cent above the yearly average). Between 1952 and 1955 the average energy value and the protein and fat content of the household diet were always highest during the two winter quarters. In 1953 and 1954 the highest values for most of the vitamins were recorded in the third quarter, but in 1955 the values for nearly all nutrients, except of course vitamin C , were low in the third quarter.
51. Table II gives figures illustrating the adequacy of the average household diet for the four quarters by comparison with allowances based on the scale of dietary requirements recommended by the British Medical Association.* In this comparison adjustments have been made for meals taken outside the home, $\dagger$ and a further adjustment of io per cent has been applied to allow for plate and other wastage or spoilage of edible food, $\ddagger$ and also for food bought for human consumption and given to domestic pets. Only in tables relating to the adequacy of the diet has this 10 per cent been deducted. In interpreting the percentages in Table II, and in similar tables, it is important to bear in mind that there will be wide variation in wastage in different groups and that the 10 per cent is nothing more than a crude approximation. It is also important to appreciate the nature of the estimates of nutritional requirements on which the percentages are based. Before making their recommendations, the Committee on Nutrition of the British Medical Association reviewed an extensive literature dealing with the nutritional requirements of man. They believed that the allowances recommended were "sufficient to establish and maintain a good nutritional state in representative individuals of the groups concerned," but they "recognized that in every group there must be cases where the need for one or other nutrient is greater than . . . the average." The Committee drew particular attention to the need for more detailed information on the wide range of energy requirements within groups of the population, divided according to age, sex or occupation, but stated expressly that they did not wish to cast serious doubt on calorie estimates which had been widely and successfully used to calculate the needs of large groups of people: they merely warned that such estimates lack precision. They considered that average protein requirements of groups of individuals could not be assessed with any certainty and fell back on recommending relationships between energy and protein intakes, believing that such a system "will prove a safe, practical guide in studying and appraising food consumption and diet in the United Kingdom." They also suggested desirable relationships between energy and fat intake. They found "no little difficulty" in reaching a decision on the desirable intake of calcium, pointing out that although there was growing evidence that the human body readily adapts itself to low calcium intakes, there was not sufficient quantitative data on the maintenance

[^8]of "good calcium balances on relatively low intakes" to justify lower recommendations than those made. The only opinion they expressed on iron was that much remained to be discovered about the iron requirements of the human body. For most of the vitamins they thought that more information was needed before firm recommendations could be made, though for vitamin $\mathbf{C}$ they were emphatic in stating that they did not think necessary the large "recommended allowances" of $75-100 \mathrm{mg}$. daily advocated by the National Research Council of the United States of America. This is a matter on which there is still marked difference of scientific opinion.

TABLE II
Comparison of Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Domestic Food Consumption with Allozoances based on the British Medical Association's Recommendations All Households, 1952-55
(per cent)

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1952 \\ \text { Yearly } \\ \text { average } \end{gathered}$ | 1953 <br> Yearly <br> average | 1954 Yearly average | $\underset{\text { Yearly }}{1955}$average | 1955 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ist } \\ \text { Qtr. } \end{gathered}$ | and Qtr. | 3rd Qtr. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Energy value | 99 | 101 | 105 | 105 | 107 | 104 | 102 | 106 |
| Total protein | 104 | 105 | 103 | 103 | 106 | 103 | 99 | 104 |
| Calcium | 108 | 108 | 107 | 108 | 110 | 109 | 105 | 109 |
| Iron. . | 106 | 107 | 108 | 109 | 111 | 108 | 106 | 1.10 |
| Vitamin A. | 148 | 160 | 164 | 176 | 174 | 171 | 171 | 187 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | 131 | 132 | 129 | 124 | 129 | 125 | 121 | 123 |
| Riboflavin . | 109 | 110 | 109 | 108 | 112 | 108 | 104 | 109 |
| Nicotinic acid | 131 | 135 | 134 | 131 | 138 | 130 | 126 | 132 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{C l}^{1}$ | 244 | 242 | 229 | 231 | 186 | 215 | 303 | 217 |

${ }^{1}$ Use of the vitamin C allowances recommended by the National Research Council of the U.S.A., which are over three times those of the British Medical Association, would give much lower figures here and in Tables, 20, 30, 40 and 53.
52. The average household diet was of adequate nutritional value throughout the year; the lowest estimate in Table in is 99 per cent for protein in the third quarter. The yearly averages in 1955 were the same as in 1954 for energy value and protein, slightly higher for calcium, iron and vitamins A and C, and lower for the vitamin B complex; comparison with corresponding quarters of 1954 shows that the decreases in the percentages for the vitamins of the B group arose entirely from the second half of the year. In the first and second quarters of 1955 nearly all the percentages were either equal to or greater than those found a year before, and, except for the vitamin B group, in the fourth quarter also; but, in the third quarter all percentages except that for calcium were lower than in the corresponding period of 1954. As in earlier years, the greatest variation between quarters was found for vitamin $\mathbf{C}$, though it was rather less marked than in 1954.
53. The proportions of the total energy value derived from protein, fat and carbohydrate were almost the same as in 1954 (Table 12) so that, compared with earlier years, the increase in the proportion from fat and the decrease from both protein and carbohydrate were maintained. Although the proportions from the three sources
remained relatively constant throughout the year, there was a slight rise in the proportion from carbohydrate in the third quarters of both 1954 and 1955, probably because the derationing of sugar permitted an increase in its consumption during the months when fruit was most plentiful. The proportion of protein derived from animal foods was greater each quarter of 1955 than in the corresponding quarter of 1954. Although during the years 1952-55 the contribution of protein to the energy value of the diet fell, the percentage of the total protein obtained from animal sources increased steadily, with a corresponding gain in palatability of the diet as a whole.

TABLE I2
Percentage of Energy Value derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate All Households, 1952-55
(per cent)

|  | 1952 <br> Yearly <br> average | $1953$ <br> Yearly <br> average | 1954 <br> Yearly average | 1955 <br> Yearly average | 1955 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ist } \\ Q t r . \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Qtr. | $\begin{aligned} & 3 r d \\ & Q t r . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4th } \\ & \text { Qtr. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Protein | $12 \cdot 6$ | 12.4 | $11 \cdot 7$ | 11.6 | 11.8 | $11 \cdot 7$ | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| Fat . . | $34 \cdot 5$ | 36.0 | $36 \cdot 5$ | $36 \cdot 6$ | $36 \cdot 6$ | 37-0 | $36 \cdot 3$ | 36.7 |
| Carbohydrate | $52 \cdot 9$ | $51 \cdot 6$ | 51.8 | 51.7 | $51 \cdot 7$ | $51 \cdot 3$ | $52 \cdot 2$ | 51.8 |
| Total energy value | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Animal protein as percentage of cocal protein . | $48 \cdot 6$ | $51 \cdot 1$ | $53 \cdot 9$ | $54 \cdot 5$ | $54 \cdot 4$ | 54.9 | $54 \cdot 0$ | 54.4 |

# IV <br> Household Diets of Social Classes 


#### Abstract

Classification 54. As in previous years, the definition of social class was based on the gross weekly income of the head of the household, the income ranges employed being those used in 1954, with points of subdivision at $£ 6, £ 9, £_{15}$ and $£ 24$ per week. Information on income was usually obtained directly from the housewife, but sometimes had to be imputed from occupation. In a few, cases, the type of dwelling and other amenities were taken into account. 55. Households in Class D, in which the income of the head was under $£ 6$ per week, were again divided into three groups, one of which consisted of households whose head was an old age pensioner and whose sole or main source of income consisted of one or more contributory or non-contributory old age pensions (including the pension of a widow over 60 years of age). The remaining households in this class were subdivided into those containing one or more earners (Class Dr) and those with no earner (Class D2). The former group contained not only those highly vulnerable households whose head supported the family on earnings of less than £6 per week, but also many families in which the head was retired but one or more younger members were in normal employment; such households were largely protected from the effect of price increases by the general upward trend in earnings, and in many of them the total family income was sufficient to support a standard of living characteristic of a higher social class. An experimental re-classification of Class Dr confirms that the level of food expenditure tends to be associated with the income of the principal earner, even when he or she is not regarded as the head of the household. Thus this class contains a number of households which could appropriately be transferred to Class C, B or even A. The definition of Class $\mathrm{DI}_{1}$ is to be modified in the 1956 analyses to give effect to this finding. At the same time, the income grades will be revised to take account of the general increase in money incomes, which has shifted many households into a higher income group without altering their way of life. It is proposed in future to review the definitions annually.


## Expenditure and Consumption

56. Table 13 gives the average domestic food expenditure per head and per household for each social class, with some demographic information.
57. As in 1954, the average number of adults per household was greatest in Classes Ar and Dr, and the number of children in Class B. All the classes containing earners included a substantial proportion of men in non-sedentary occupations; expressed as a proportion of the number of adult males under 65, the figure ranged from 14 per cent in Class AI to 76 per cent in Class C. The proportion of nonsedentary workers whose work was classified as active or very active was higher in Class A than in the other classes, but although a few of the heavy manual workers had reached Class AI, it remained the only group with essentially middle-class characteristics.
58. All classes spent more on food than in 1954, although in old age pensioner households the increase was small. In terms of expenditure per person the per-

TABLE I 3
Food Expenditure and Social Class Distribution of Households, 1955

centage rise was greatest in Class D2 households, the average size of which fell, but was nearly as great in Classes AI and Dr. The comparatively small increase in expenditure per head in Class A2 may be partly explained by the increased number of children in this income group. Percentage changes in expenditure per household were more regular than those per person, ranging from $14 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent in Class Ar to under I per cent in old age pensioner households. The range in food expenditure per head remained very narrow, partly no doubt because some of the more affluent housewives were unwilling, and some of the more aged and infirm unable, to participate in the survey.
59. The comparison with the preceding year is, however, not strictly valid as the definition of the classes was not revised in 1955 to keep pace with the rise in money incomes. To determine whether class differences in domestic food expenditure had
really widened since 1952, an alternative method of analysis was applied to eleven of the more common types of household, namely older and younger childless couples, households of one woman living alone, two women, two women and one man, one woman and two men, and one man and one woman with one, two or three children,* one adolescent or one adolescent and one child. These accounted in all for some 70 per cent of all households and 60 per cent of persons; though not fully representative, there is no indication that the inclusion of the more complex household types would materially alter the conclusions. The households of each type in each year were ranged in order of declared family income, and the median and upper and lower quartiles were determined for each year; there was a small residual group of households which had not declared their family income. The four income groups thus determined for each household type were then combined for all the eleven types in each year. Over the four years considered the demographic composition of each of the four equal groups thus defined varied only slightly. The proportion of earners naturally increased with the total family income, ranging in 1952 from 28 per cent in households below the lower quartile (group IV) to 47 per cent above the upper quartile (group I), in 1955 from 29 to 55 per cent.
60. In Table 14 the average domestic food expenditure and declared family income per head in each of the four groups defined above is expressed as a percentage of the average expenditure and family income for all households of the selected types who stated their total income, and also as a percentage of the corresponding averages in the year 1952.

TABLE I4
Average Domestic Food Expenditure and Declared Family Income per head, 1952-55 (Group I, above upper quartile; II, upper quartile to median; III, median to lower quartile; IV, below lower quartile)

| Income Group |  | Domestic food expenditure per head |  |  |  |  | Declared family income per head |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | II | III | IV | $I-I V$ | $I$ | II | III |  | $I-I V$ |
|  | Avarage household | (As percentage of values for all households of selected types in each year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 1953 | 2.77 2.73 | 114 112 | 103 104 | 96 97 | 87 87 | $\underset{(=21 \mathrm{s.} \mathrm{6d} .)}{ } \begin{gathered} 100 \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ |  | 103 104 | 82 84 | 62 | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ (=593 .) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1954 | $2 \cdot 75$ | 112 | 104 | 96 | 87 | 100 |  | 104 | 83 | 60 | 100 |
| 1955 | $2 \cdot 73$ | 113 | 104 | 97 | 86 | 100 | 152 | 104 | 83 | 60 | $100$ |
| (As percentage of corresponding values in 1952) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1953 |  | 108 | 111 | 112 | 111 | 110 | 108 | 112 | 112 | 110 | 110 |
| 1954 |  | 114 | 116 | 116 | 115 | 115 | 117 | 119 | 118 | 115 | 118 |
| 1955 |  | 124 | 126 | 126 | 124 | 125 | 127 | 129 | 129 | 125 | 128 |

[^9]61. Table 14 indicates that, in households of given composition, there has been virtually no widening since 1952 of differences in food expenditure attributable to differences in family income, when allowance is made for the fall in the value of money. This conclusion is not invalidated by the known propensity of informants to understate family incomes, since the definition of the four income groups depends only on the ranking of incomes in each year, not on their absolute magnitude. The finding is in striking contrast to the widening of differences associated with family size. Thus, younger childless couples increased their average food expenditure by 27 per cent between 1952 and 1955, and older couples by 31 per cent, but for couples with one, two, three and four or more children the increases were only 24, 20, 21 and i5 per cent respectively.
62. The regression coefficient of the logarithm of mean food expenditure per head on the logarithm of mean family income per head for a sample of households of given composition is an estimate of the income elasticity of total domestic food expenditure. The estimate obtained from the selected types of household in 1955 is 0.30 , so that, on the average, households of similar composition which differed in declared family income by to per cent differed in food expenditure by 3 per cent. Corresponding figures for the three years 1952-54 were $0.30,0.29$ and 0.28 . Table 15 gives estimates for each of the eleven selected household types. The low income elasticity found for younger childless couples suggests that they were nearest to the satiety level, but in this group the elasticity of domestic food expenditure was much reduced by the incidence of outside meals.
63. The calculations on the 1955 family income groups have been extended to provide estimates of the income elasticity of expenditure on most individual foods and groups of foods. The reliability of the results, which are given in Appendix B, Table I, varies with the food, but approximate estimates of their standard errors can be obtained by multiplying the appropriate coefficients of variation of expenditure per person by 0.0004 . However, understatement of family income is known to be relatively greater among households with higher incomes, so that all absolute values of income elasticity derived from declared incomes are probably on the high side.

TABLE 15
Estimated Income Elasticity of Domestic Food Expenditure

| Type of Household | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1952-55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One man, one woman and: |  |  |  |  |  |
| No other (both under 55) | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0. 12 | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 15$ |
| No other (one or both 55 or over) | 0.33 | $0 \cdot 32$ | 0.33 | $0 \cdot 36$ | 0.33 |
| 1 child. . . | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.24 | $0 \cdot 26$ |
| 2 children | 0.30 | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 29$ |
| 3 children | 0.33 | $0 \cdot 29$ | 0.39 | 0.29 | $0 \cdot 33$ |
| 1 adolescent . | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.28 | $0 \cdot 27$ |
| 1 child and 1 adolescent. | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.31 | $0 \cdot 29$ |
| One woman only | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.32 | $0 \cdot 30$ |
| Two women . | $0 \cdot 25$ | $0 \cdot 32$ | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 34$ | $0 \cdot 30$ |
| One man, two women | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.32 | $0 \cdot 32$ |
| Two men, one woman | $0 \cdot 25$ | 0.33 | $0 \cdot 32$ | 0.38 | $0 \cdot 34$ |
| All above households (veighted average). | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.28 | $0 \cdot 30$ | 0.29 |

64. The average food expenditure and value of food obtained for domestic consumption by households of different social class are shown for each quarter of the year in Table i6. The value of free supplies was greatest in Class Ai, and rather less in Class B than in Class C, which included most of the agricultural workers. For all classes, the maximum expenditure and value of consumption occurred in the third or fourth quarter.
65. As 1955 was the first full year after the removal of price controls other than those on milk, potatoes and bread, the opportunity has been taken to examine the extent to which different classes paid different prices for the same commodities, presumably because of differences in quality or service. The national average purchases of each food distinguished by the Survey have been costed for each class at the average price paid by that class, and the aggregate cost has been expressed in Table 16 as a percentage of the national average domestic food expenditure. For food as a whole, the average level of prices ranged from 8 per cent above the national average in Class AI to 4 per cent below in old age pensioner households. For particular food groups, price variations between classes tended to follow the same pattern as that found for all food, differences being greatest for fresh meat, fish, beverages other than tea and the heterogeneous residual group of foods. For bread, butter and margarine the price gradient was inappreciable, and for flour it was reversed, prices being lowest in Class Ar. The average price of the energy value of the diet (pence per calorie) is also expressed as an index in Table 16 ; it ranged from 28 per cent above the national average in Class Ar to 8 per cent below in old age pensioner households. This 'price of energy' index shows wider class differences than the index of food prices, but the two differ in that the average cost per calorie is affected by differences in the pattern of diet, while the food price index has been determined by reference to a standard diet, namely that of all households in the sample.
66. Details of class differences in food expenditure and consumption are given in Tables 17 and 18, which may be compared with Tables 29 and 30 in the Report for 1954. For nearly all the main foods, class differences in both expenditure and consumption conformed to one of four patterns:
Maximum in Class AI, minimum in old age pensioner households:
dried milk, cream, processed and packeted cheese; carcase meat (expenditure), bacon, other meat; eggs; "other" fats (expenditure); fresh green and other vegetables, fresh and other fruit.
Maximum in Class AI, minimum in Class B, C, or DI:
liquid milk, natural cheese; carcase meat (consumption), fresh and processed fish; butter; wholemeal and other bread.
Maximum in Class B, C or DI, minimum in Class AI or old age pensioner households: prepared fish; margarine, lard and compound cooking fats; potatoes; national bread, cakes.
Maximum in Class D2 or old age pensioner households, minimum in Class B, C or DI : sugar (expenditure), preserves; flour, white bread, oatmeal.

The patterns for food consumption per head were closely similar to those for expenditure, the effect of price differences being small and for some foods partly offset by the incidence of free supplies. Class C had the lowest average consumption of carcase meat, but old age pensioner households, who bought cheaper cuts, showed the lowest expenditure. For other fats (mainly suet and dripping) expenditure was
highest in Class A1, consumprion in Classes B and C. Class C had the lowest expenditure on liquid milk, but Class Dr, with fewer children entitled to cheap or free milk, the smallest consumption.

TABLE 16
Domestic Food Expenditure and Value of Consumption by Social Class, 1955 (per head per zoeek)

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  |  | $B$ | C | D |  |  |  |
|  | AI | A2 | All |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exch } \\ \text { O. } \end{gathered}$ | uding A.P. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | with earners ( $D_{I}$ ) | without earners (D2) | O.A.P. |  |
| $15 t$ Quarter <br> Expenditure. <br> Value of free food . | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} s . & d . \\ 31 & 6 \\ 1 & 11 \end{array}\right\|$ | s. $\begin{array}{rr}\text { d. } \\ \\ 27 & 3 \\ 1 & 5\end{array}$ | s. $\begin{array}{rr}\text { d. } \\ 28 & 5 \\ 1 & 7\end{array}$ | s. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { d. } \\ 25 & 2 \\ & 5\end{array}$ | s. $\begin{array}{ll}\text { d. } \\ 24 & 0 \\ & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { s. } & d . \\ & \\ 23 & 10 \\ & 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} s . & d . \\ & \\ 23 & 6 \\ & 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} s . & d . \\ & \\ 21 & 8 \\ & 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { s. } & d . \\ & 24 \\ & 9 \\ & 8 \end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | 336 | 288 | $30 \quad 0$ | 257 | 248 | 247 | 243 | 220 | $25 \quad 5$ |
| 2nd Quarter <br> Expenditure. <br> Vabue of free food. | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 32 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr} 29 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 29 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll} 26 & 1 \\ & 7 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 24 & 11 \\ & 10 \end{array}\right\|$ | 2511 | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \quad 11 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 227 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll} 26 & 0 \\ & 9 \end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | 337 | $30 \quad 1$ | 3011 | 26 9 | $25 \quad 9$ | $26 \quad 5$ | 266 | 230 | 26 9 |
| Ird Quarter <br> Expenditure. <br> Value of free food | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 33 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr} 27 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr} 28 & 11 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr} 26 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 24 & 6 \\ 1 & 6 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 26 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 25 & 3 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 22 & 9 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 25 & 9 \\ 1 & 4 \end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | 356 | $29 \quad 9$ | 313 | 272 | 26 o | 270 | $26 \quad 2$ | 2310 | $27 \quad$ I |
| sh Quarter <br> Expenditure. <br> Value of free food . | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 32 & 1 \\ 3 & 8 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 28 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 29 & 1 \\ 1 & 9 \end{array}\right\|$ | 26 7 <br>  10 | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 25 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2410 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2611 \\ \quad 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 22 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 26 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | $35 \quad 9$ | 296 | 3010 | $27 \quad 4$ | $26 \quad 6$ | 256 | 2710 | 234 | $27 \quad 3$ |
| Yaarly Average Expenditure. Value of free food. | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 32 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 28 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr} 29 & 1 \\ 1 & 8 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 26 & 0 \\ & 9 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 24 & 9 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \quad 2 \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ \\ \\ \hline 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 224 \\ & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 25 & 8 \\ & 11 \end{array}$ |
| Value of conszomption | 347 | 296 | $30 \quad 9$ | $26 \quad 9$ | 259 | 2511 | 262 | 230 | $26 \quad 7$ |
| Price index (all foods). <br> 'Price of energy' inder | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 108 \cdot 4 \\ 128 \cdot 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 103 \cdot 7 \\ 109 \cdot 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \cdot 0 \\ & 114 \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \cdot 3 \\ & 100 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \cdot 5 \\ & 95 \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \cdot 7 \\ & 97 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \cdot 3 \\ 100 \cdot 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \cdot 7 \\ & 91 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \cdot 0 \\ & 100 \cdot 0 \end{aligned}$ |

B

TABLE I7
Domestic Food Expenditure by Social Class, 1955
(pence per head per woeek)


TABLE I7 continued
(pence per head per woek)

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  |  | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | C | D |  |  |  |
|  | AI | A2 | All |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. |  | O.A.P. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | with earners (DI) | without earners (D2) |  |  |
| fats <br> Butzer . <br> Margarine <br> Lard and compound cooking fat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17•71 | $15 \cdot 08$ | $15 \cdot 68$ | 12.91 | 11.81 | $12 \cdot 55$ | $14 \cdot 40$ | 13.88 | 12.90 |
|  | $5 \cdot 78$ | $5 \cdot 46$ | $5 \cdot 56$ | $6 \cdot 00$ | $6 \cdot 42$ | $6 \cdot 08$ | $5 \cdot 29$ | $5 \cdot 12$ | $6 \cdot 05$ |
|  | 2.68 | 3.02 | 2.93 | $3 \cdot 10$ | 3.06 | 2.85 | $2 \cdot 71$ | 2.72 | 3.02 |
|  | 0.94 | 0.65 | $0 \cdot 71$ | $0 \cdot 75$ | 0.73 | 0.66 | $0 \cdot 75$ | 0.63 | $0 \cdot 73$ |
| Total Fats • . | 27-1I | 24.31 | $24 \cdot 88$ | 22-76 | 22.02 | $22 \cdot 14$ | 23.15 | 22•35 | 22.70 |
| SUGAR AND <br> PRESERVES <br> Sugar - <br> Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.91 | $8 \cdot 90$ | $8 \cdot 90$ | $8 \cdot 80$ | $8 \cdot 82$ | $8 \cdot 46$ | 9•10 | 9.01 | $8 \cdot 80$ |
|  | $4 \cdot 61$ | $4 \cdot 38$ | 4.46 | 3.85 | 4.06 | $3 \cdot 90$ | 5•12 | 4.32 | 4.05 |
| Total Sugar and Preserves. | 13.52 | 13.28 | 13.36 | 12.65 | 12.88 | 12•36 | 14.22 | 13•33 | 12.85 |
| pegetables <br> Potatoes (including chips and crisps) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7-70 |  |  | 12.08 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh green <br> Orher ${ }^{4}$. | $8 \cdot 58$ | 7-19 | $7 \cdot 56$ | 6.45 | $5 \cdot 04$ | 5.99 | $6 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 55$ | 6.00 |
|  | 11.89 | 10-10 | $10 \cdot 51$ | 10.02 | $9 \cdot 22$ | 9.39 | $7 \cdot 42$ | 6.04 | 9.56 |
| Total Vegetables other than Potatoes | $20 \cdot 47$ | 17.29 | 18.07 | 16.47 | 14. 26 | 15.38 | 13:52 | 10. 59 | 15.56 |
| fruit <br> Fresh ${ }^{5}$ <br> Other" <br> Total Fruit ${ }^{3}$. | $26 \cdot 71$ | 23.06 | 23.98 | 17-72 | 14.29 | 14.88 | $16 \cdot 85$ | 10.13 | $16 \cdot 69$ |
|  | $13 \cdot 74$ | 10.93 | 11.61 | $8 \cdot 72$ | $7 \cdot 52$ | $6 \cdot 86$ | $6 \cdot 15$ | $3 \cdot 58$ | $8 \cdot 22$ |
|  | $40 \cdot 45$ | $33 \cdot 99$ | 35.59 | $26 \cdot 44$ | 21.8I | 21-74 | $23 \cdot 00$ | 13.71 | 24.91 |
| CEREALS <br> National bread White bread. Wholemeal bread Other bread? | 9.57 | 12.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.25 | $0 \cdot 24$ | 0.24 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.15 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.18 |
|  | 1.74 | $1 \cdot 11$ | $1 \cdot 27$ | 0.75 | 0.64 | $0 \cdot 88$ | $1 \cdot 42$ | 1.06 | 0.81 |
|  | 2.53 | $2 \cdot 27$ | $2 \cdot 33$ | 1.79 | 1.91 | 2.01 | $2 \cdot 49$ | 1.84 | 1.92 |
| Total Bread . | 14.09 | $16 \cdot 17$ | 15.63 | 17.17 | 18.61 | 19.01 | 17•23 | 17.20 | 17.65 |

Table 17 continued (pence per head per week)

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { hower- } \\ & \text { holds } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  |  | $B$ | C | D |  |  |  |
|  | Ar | $A 2$ | All |  |  | Exeluding O.A.P. |  | O.A.P. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { with } \\ \text { earners } \\ (D r) \end{gathered}$ | withour $\begin{gathered}\text { acorners } \\ \left(D_{2}\right)\end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Flour | 3.83 | $3 \cdot 61$ | 3.67 | 3.41 | 3.73 | 3.63 | 3.99 | $4 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 60$ |
| Cakes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8.95 | $9 \cdot 27$ | $9 \cdot 15$ | $9 \cdot 71$ | 9.81 | $8 \cdot 74$ | $7 \cdot 89$ | $6 \cdot 44$ | 9.39 |
| Biscuits | $10 \cdot 44$ | 10-20 | 10.23 | 9.02 | $8 \cdot 38$ | $7 \cdot 42$ | 8.47 | $6 \cdot 78$ | 8.65 |
| Oatmeal and oat products . | 1.09 | 1.03 | 1.04 | 0.83 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 1.03 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 0.90 |
| Breakfast cereals | 3.08 | $3 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 70$ | $2 \cdot 33$ | 1.83 | 1.58 | $1 \cdot 34$ | 2.45 |
| Other cereals | $4 \cdot 46$ | $4 \cdot 34$ | $4 \cdot 36$ | $3 \cdot 53$ | $3 \cdot 18$ | $2 \cdot 60$ | $3 \cdot 08$ | $2 \cdot 58$ | $3 \cdot 36$ |
| Total Cereals | 45:94 | 47•73 | 47:17 | 46-37 | 46-98 | $44 \cdot 03$ | 43:27 | $39 \cdot 58$ | $46 \cdot 00$ |
| beverages Tea | 13.59 | $13 \cdot 78$ | $13 \cdot 68$ | 14.07 | 14.90 | 15.53 | $15 \cdot 24$ | 17-24 | $14 \cdot 58$ |
| Coffee | $7 \cdot 16$ | 3.58 | 4.53 | 2.02 | 1.59 | 2.14 | 3.20 | 1.84 | $2 \cdot 19$ |
| Cocos. | $0 \cdot 72$ | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.61 |
| Branded food drinks | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.54 | $0 \cdot 72$ | $0 \cdot 67$ | 0.70 | $1 \cdot 18$ | $1 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 70$ |
| Total Beverages | 21.99 | 18.52 | 19.41 | 17.44 | 17-73 | 18.91 | 20.25 | 20.82 | 18.08 |
| miscellaneous . | $9 \cdot 55$ | $8 \cdot 67$ | 8.89 | $6 \cdot 55$ | $6 \cdot 25$ | $5 \cdot 36$ | $5 \cdot 68$ | $4 \cdot 54$ | 6.46 |
| Total Expenditure . | $\begin{gathered} 387 \cdot 24 \\ (32 / 3) \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 335 \cdot 98 \\ (28 / 0) \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 348 \cdot 72 \\ & (29 / I) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 3 I I \cdot 59 \\ (26 / 0) \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 296 \cdot 54 \\ & (24 / 9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 301 \cdot 93 \\ (25 / 2) \end{gathered}$ | 305-00 $(25 / 5)$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 268 \cdot I I \\ (22 / 4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 308 \cdot 07 \\ (25 / 8) \end{array}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Includes cooked and canned meats and meat products.
: Includes smoked, dried and salted.
${ }^{2}$ Includes cooked, canned and bottled fish and fish products.

- Includes dried and canned vegetables, and vegetable products.
${ }^{5}$ Includes tomatoes.
- Includes dried, canned and bottled fruit.
${ }^{7}$ Includes rolls, fruit bread and sandwiches.
${ }^{8}$ Includes buns, scones, tea cakes, muffins and crumpets.

67. Tea was the only item to exhibit a reversed class gradient in consumption and expenditure, with Class Ai lowest and old age pensioner households highest. Towards the end of 1954 expenditure on tea had been abnormally low in the former group and high in the latter, but by February 1955 the period of buying for stock had passed, and class differences diminished as prices began to fall.
68. Class differences in consumption of natural cheese differed only slightly from those found in 1954 for ration-type cheese, except that Class Ax clearly tended to buy the more expensive "fancy" cheeses, which were sold off the ration and have now been reclassified. Consumption of processed and packeted cheese was low in all sections of Class D.

TABLE 18
Domestic Food Consumption by Social Class, 1955 (ox. per head per week except where otherwise stated)

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All houscholds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  |  | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | C | D |  |  |  |
|  | Ar | A2 | All |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. |  | O.A.P. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | with earners $(D r)$ | without earners (D2) |  |  |
| MILE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquid, retail (pt.). | 5.45 | $4 \cdot 68$ | 4.87 | 3.85 | 3.75 | $4 \cdot 08$ | $4 \cdot 77$ | 4.73 | $4 \cdot 02$ |
| Liquid, welfare and school (pt.) | $0 \cdot 67$ |  | 0.85 | 0.97 | 0.82 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 79$ |
| Allliquid Milk (pt.) | 6.12 | $5 \cdot 60$ | $5 \cdot 72$ | 4.82 | 4.57 | 4.49 | 4.96 | $4 \cdot 76$ | 4.81 |
| Condensed (eq. pt.) | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.12 | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| Dried and other, (pt. or eq. pt.) . | 0.13 | 0.10 | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 11$ |
| Cream (pt.). . | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | ... | ... | ... | 0.01 |
| Total Milk and Cream (pt. or eq. pr.) . | 6.39 | $5 \cdot 85$ | $5 \cdot 97$ | $5 \cdot 14$ | 4.86 | $4 \cdot 70$ | 5.20 | 4.95 | 5.09 |
| cheese <br> Excluding processed and packeted Processed and packeted | $\begin{aligned} & 2.78 \\ & 0.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.48 \\ & 0.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.55 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.38 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.50 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | 2.47 0.32 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.71 \\ & 0.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.62 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.46 \\ & 0.37 \end{aligned}$ |
| Tozal Chease . | 3.25 | 2.85 | 2.95 | $2 \cdot 78$ | $2 \cdot 86$ | 2•79 | 3.02 | 2.92 | $2 \cdot 83$ |
| meat <br> Carcase <br> Becon and ham, uncooked <br> Other meat ${ }^{1}$. | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \cdot 02 \\ 6.23 \\ 12.44 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 18.94 \\ 5.61 \\ 10.78 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 19 \cdot 66 \\ 5.75 \\ 11.20 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 10 \\ 5 \cdot 32 \\ 10 \cdot 81 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 17 \cdot 50 \\ 5 \cdot 22 \\ 11.24 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.99 \\ 5 \cdot 58 \\ 10.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.96 \\ 5.42 \\ 9.68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 62 \\ 4 \cdot 93 \\ 7 \cdot 53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 23 \\ 5 \cdot 35 \\ 10 \cdot 84 \end{array}$ |
| Total Meat . | $40 \cdot 69$ | 35-33 | $36 \cdot 6 \mathrm{I}$ | 34'23 | 33-96 | 35.56 | 34.06 | 31-08 | $34 \cdot 42$ |
| PISH <br> Fresh and processed ${ }^{\text {B }}$. Prepared ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.38 \\ & 0.95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 07 \\ & 1 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.66 \\ & 1.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 43 \\ & 1 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 14 \\ & 1 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 60 \\ & 1 \cdot 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 56 \\ & 1 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.35 \\ & 0.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 58 \\ & 1 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Fish - | 8.33 | $6 \cdot 19$ | $6 \cdot 74$ | 5.83 | 5.59 | $6 \cdot 18$ | $7 \cdot 68$ | 6.29 | 5.95 |
| bgos . . (No.) | $5 \cdot 18$ | $4 \cdot 66$ | $4 \cdot 79$ | 4-30 | 4.06 | 3.92 | 3.96 | $3 \cdot 40$ | 4.19 |

TABLE 18 continued
(oz. per head per week except where othervoise stated)

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  |  | B | C | D |  |  |  |
|  | AI | A2 | All |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. |  | O.A.P. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | with earners (Dr) | without earners (D2) |  |  |
| fats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butter . | $6 \cdot 11$ | $5 \cdot 32$ | $5 \cdot 50$ | $4 \cdot 46$ | $4 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 35$ | $4 \cdot 96$ | $4 \cdot 78$ | $4 \cdot 47$ |
| Margarine . | $4 \cdot 39$ | 4.15 | $4 \cdot 23$ | $4 \cdot 59$ | $5 \cdot 04$ | 4.72 | $4 \cdot 06$ | $3 \cdot 98$ | $4 \cdot 68$ |
| Lard and compound cooking fat | 1.87 | $2 \cdot 17$ | 2.09 | $2 \cdot 23$ | $2 \cdot 23$ | 2.07 | 1.91 | 1.99 | $2 \cdot 18$ |
| Other fats . . | $0 \cdot 55$ | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.57 | $0 \cdot 54$ | 0.56 | 0.49 | $0 \cdot 55$ |
| Total Fats | 12.92 | 12.07 | 12.28 | II 185 | II•94 | II. 68 | II'49 | II'24 | II 88 |
| sugar and PRESERVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar | $17 \cdot 08$ | $17 \cdot 60$ | $17 \cdot 46$ | 17-70 | $17 \cdot 70$ | 17•11 | $18 \cdot 36$ | $18 \cdot 26$ | 17-64 |
| Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle | 4.77 | 4.31 | $4 \cdot 44$ | $3 \cdot 84$ | $4 \cdot 17$ | $3 \cdot 96$ | 5•26 | $4 \cdot 54$ | $4 \cdot 09$ |
| Total Sugar and Preserves. | 21.85 | 2I•9I | 21-90 | 21.54 | 2I•87 | $21 \cdot 07$ | $23 \cdot 62$ | 22-80 | 21.73 |
| vegetables <br> Potatoes (including chips and crisps) | $45 \cdot 27$ | $56 \cdot 97$ | 54.05 | 62.58 | 63.83 | 62-24 | 47-09 | $46 \cdot 48$ | 61-17 |
| Fresh green | 17-36 | $15 \cdot 23$ | 15.72 | 14.78 | 14.54 | 14.77 | 15.00 | 14.49 | 14.79 |
| Other ${ }^{4}$. | 17-88 | $15 \cdot 69$ | 16.18 | 16.14 | $15 \cdot 64$ | $15 \cdot 93$ | $15 \cdot 61$ | $12 \cdot 59$ | $15 \cdot 87$ |
| Total Vegetables other than Potatoes | 35.24 | 30-92 | 31-90 | $30 \cdot 92$ | 30-18 | 30-70 | 30.6I | 27-08 | $30 \cdot 66$ |
| fRUIT <br> Fresh ${ }^{5}$. | $33 \cdot 48$ | $28 \cdot 23$ | $29 \cdot 52$ | 21.60 | $17 \cdot 83$ | $18 \cdot 25$ | $22 \cdot 26$ | $13 \cdot 90$ | 20.65 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 9.90 | $8 \cdot 44$ | $8 \cdot 80$ | $6 \cdot 84$ | 6.01 | $5 \cdot 51$ | $5 \cdot 08$ | $3 \cdot 10$ | 6.49 |
| Total Frait ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 43•38 | $36 \cdot 67$ | 38-32 | 28.44 | $23 \cdot 84$ | 23•76 | 27•34 | 17.00 | 27.14 |
| cerrals <br> National bread | 33.14 | $43 \cdot 08$ | $40 \cdot 56$ | $49 \cdot 36$ | 54.35 | $54 \cdot 44$ | 44.11 | $48 \cdot 13$ | 50.41 |
| White bread. | $0 \cdot 61$ | 0.58 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.66 | 0.45 | 0.43 |
| Wholemeal bread | 3.60 | $2 \cdot 31$ | $2 \cdot 66$ | 1.58 | $1 \cdot 32$ | 1.84 | $2 \cdot 81$ | $2 \cdot 16$ | 1.69 |
| Other bread ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 3.35 | $2 \cdot 84$ | 2.95 | $2 \cdot 38$ | $2 \cdot 54$ | 2.96 | $3 \cdot 29$ | $2 \cdot 66$ | $2 \cdot 60$ |
| Total Bread . | 40.70 | $48 \cdot 8 \mathrm{I}$ | 46.74 | 53.75 | 58.56 | 59•70 | 50.87 | 53.40 | 55.13 |

table 18 continued
(os. per head per week except where otherwise stated)

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $A$ |  |  | $B$ | C |  | D |  |  |
|  | AI | A2 | All |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. |  | O.A.P. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | with ( $D_{I}$ ) | without earners (D2) |  |  |
| Flour | $9 \cdot 38$ | $8 \cdot 73$ | 8.94 | 8.09 | $8 \cdot 86$ | $8 \cdot 62$ | 9.53 | 9.65 | $8 \cdot 57$ |
| Cakes ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | $4 \cdot 77$ | $5 \cdot 10$ | 4.98 | $5 \cdot 67$ | $5 \cdot 90$ | $5 \cdot 29$ | $5 \cdot 06$ | 4.48 | $5 \cdot 56$ |
| Biscuits | $5 \cdot 45$ | $5 \cdot 72$ | $5 \cdot 64$ | $5 \cdot 30$ | $5 \cdot 02$ | 4.51 | $5 \cdot 38$ | $4 \cdot 42$ | 5.12 |
| Oatmeal and oat products . | $1 \cdot 36$ | $1 \cdot 35$ | 1.35 | 1.08 | $1 \cdot 27$ | 1.06 | 1.45 | 1.47 | $1 \cdot 19$ |
| Breakfast cereals | $2 \cdot 10$ | $2 \cdot 09$ | $2 \cdot 09$ | 1.86 | $1 \cdot 62$ | 1.28 | $1 \cdot 13$ | 0.98 | 1.69 |
| Other cereals | $3 \cdot 25$ | 3.40 | $3 \cdot 35$ | $2 \cdot 85$ | $2 \cdot 66$ | $2 \cdot 33$ | $2 \cdot 73$ | $2 \cdot 58$ | $2 \cdot 78$ |
| Total Cereals | 67.01 | 75:30 | 73.09 | $78 \cdot 60$ | 83.89 | 82.79 | $76 \cdot 15$ | $76 \cdot 98$ | 80.04 |
| beverages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea . | $2 \cdot 50$ | 2.56 | $2 \cdot 54$ | 2.69 | $2 \cdot 86$ | 3.02 | $2 \cdot 94$ | $3 \cdot 34$ | 2.79 |
| Coffer . | 1.02 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.33 | $0 \cdot 30$ | $0 \cdot 38$ | 0.40 | $0 \cdot 38$ | $0 \cdot 36$ |
| Cocoa . | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.19 | 0.21 | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 21$ |
| Branded food drinks | $0 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | 0.14 | 0.19 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.19 | 0.29 | $0 \cdot 29$ | 0.18 |
| Total Beverages | $3 \cdot 94$ | $3 \cdot 43$ | $3 \cdot 55$ | 3.43 | $3 \cdot 53$ | 3.78 | $3 \cdot 84$ | $4 \cdot 21$ | $3 \cdot 54$ |

${ }^{1}$ Includes cooked and canned meats and meat products.
${ }^{2}$ Includes smoked, dried and salted.
${ }^{2}$ Includes cooked, canned and bottled fish and fish products.
${ }^{4}$ Includes dried and canned vegetables, and vegetable products.
${ }^{5}$ Includes tomatoes.

- Includes dried, canned and bottled fruit.
${ }^{7}$ Includes rolls, fruit bread and sandwiches.
- Includes buns, scones, tea cakes, muffins and crumpets.

69. There was a general similarity between the average diets of Classes $B, C$ and Dr, which included the great majority of manual workers' households, and comprised 78 per cent of all households and 83 per cent of all persons in the sample. These three groups sometimes reacted to price changes in the same way. Thus they all reduced their bacon consumption when prices rose steeply in the summer; in the non-earning classes the decrease was slight, and Class A households even increased their purchases.
70. Old age pensioner households reduced their consumption of several of the major foods, but, as shown in Table 20, their diet remained nutritionally adequate in almost all respects. Households in the related Class D2 improved their position. Middle-class features of their diet included their readiness to pay higher prices for some foods to secure good quality, and their relatively high expenditure on liquid milk, fresh fish, fresh fruit, wholemeal and proprietary bread and coffee, with a low
average for national bread. On the other hand, they tended to buy evaporated milk instead of cream, which thus showed a continuous downward gradient from the highest income group to the lowest. In other respects Class D2 resembled the old age pensioner households; these were the only groups to buy more oatmeal and oat products than other breakfast cereals and, as in 1954, they spent more than other classes on flour, but less on cakes. They resembled Class A in purchasing more butter than margarine.

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content

71. Table 19 shows the energy and nutritive value of household diets according to social class. If Class AI and old age pensioner households are excluded, there was no difference greater than 7 per cent between any social class and the national average for any nutrient except vitamins $A$ and $C$. The main reason for the greater class disparities for these two vitamins was the downward trend in consumption of fresh fruits and fresh green vegetables from Class A to Class C.
72. The similarity in nutritional value of the diets of Classes B, C and Dr, and in some respects A2, was striking. As in 1954, the value of the diet of Class A1 was appreciably higher than that of Class A2 for all nutrients, except carbohydrate, and especially so for animal protein and vitamins A, C and D. Within Class D the nutritive value of the diet was generally highest in Class DI and always lowest in old age pensioner households. For the latter group the average value for each nutrient was below the national average: those for iron and vitamins A, C and D were more than io per cent below. The differences between the old age pensioner and Class D2 households were greater than in 1954 for every nutrient, and in 1955 the most important differences were those for animal protein and vitamins A, C and D. As indicated in Tables 17 and 18 and paragraph 70 above, the differences between the diets of Class D2 and old age pensioner households and between those of Classes Ar and A2 tended to widen, and for essentially the same reason-the different levels of expenditure on and consumption of nearly all foods of animal origin, fruit and vegetables other than potatoes.
73. In comparison with similar data for 1954, the changes in energy value and all nutrients, except vitamins A and D, were less than 5 per cent in nearly all types of household, except Class Ai. In nearly all groups there were small decreases for vitamin $B_{1}$, riboflavin, nicotinic acid and vitamin $C$, and increases in the energy value, protein, calcium, iron and vitamins A and D. In contrast the average diet of old age pensioner households showed a general decrease for every nutrient except vitamin A. Increased consumption of either liver or carrots or both, together with increased fortification of margarine after derationing, were the most common reasons for increases ranging from 2 per cent to 23 per cent in the vitamin A content of the diets of all classes. The average diet of Class Ar households changed rather more than that of any other group, the largest changes being increases in fat and in vitamins $A$ and $D$ and decreases in vitamins $B_{1}$ and $C$.
74. The adequacy of the average diet of households of different social class has been calculated by comparison with allowances recommended by the British Medical Association. Table 20 shows that, as in the two previous years, the values for all nutrients in all types of household with only two exceptions (iron in the diet of both Class D2 and old age pensioner households) were at least 100 per cent of the recommended allowances. The percentage for iron in Class D2 households has remained

TABLE I9
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Diets of Households of Different Social Class, 1955
(per head per day)

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All <br> housp- <br> holds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  |  | B | C | D |  |  |  |
|  | AI | Aa | $A \\|$ |  |  | Exchudine | O.A.P. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { wish } \\ \text { carnors } \\ \left(D_{1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | withown carners (Da) |  |  |
| Enersy value (Cal) | 2,675 | 2,637 | 2,666 | 2,635 | 2,676 | 2,635 | 2,377 | 2,484 | 2,641 |
| Toual provein (E.) | 81 |  |  | 77 | 77 | 77 | 75 | 72 |  |
| Andmal procein (g.) | 51 | 45 | 46 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 39 | 42 |
| Far (8) - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( | 121 | 112 | 115 | 108 | 107 | 106 | 106 | 99 | 107 |
| Carbohydrare (g.) | 314 | 334 | 330 | 339 | 351 | 343 | 332 | 326 | 342 |
| Calcium (mg.) | 1,154 | 1,102 | 1,115 | 1,044 | 1,038 | 1,017 | 1,042 | 998 | 1,044 |
| Iron (mg.) | 14.3 | 13.7 | $13.8$ | 13.5 | $13.5$ | 13.5 | 12.6 | ${ }_{3}^{11} \cdot 9$ | 13.5 |
| Vitamin $A$ (i. u.) | 3,519 | 4,572 | $48826$ | 4,277 | 3,960 | 4,024 | 3,959 | 3,668 | 4,199 |
| Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ (mg.) | 1.28 | 1.27 | 1.27 | 1-24 | 1-24 | 1.25 | 1-19 | 1-12 | 1.24 |
| Riboftevin (mg) | 1.9x | $1 \cdot 77$ | 1.80 | 1.67 | 1.61 | 1.62 | 1.62 | $1 \cdot 54$ | 1.65 |
| Nicotinic acid (mg.) | $14 \cdot 2$ | 13.4 | 13.6 | 13.1 | $13 \cdot 1$ | 13.5 | 13.1 | $12 \cdot 3$ | $13 \cdot 1$ |
| Vitamin C (mes.) | 63 | 57 | 59 | 52 | 49 | 48 | 46 | 39 | 51 |
| Vimmin D (i.u.) | 168 | 145 | 150 | 147 | 146 | 138 | 137 | 122 | 144 |

TABLE 20
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Diets of Households of Different Social Class expressed as a Percentage of Allowances based on the British Medical Association's Recommendations
(per cent)

|  | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  |  | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | C | D |  |  |  |
|  | $A I$ | A2 | All |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. |  | O.A.P. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | with earners (DI) | without earners (D2) |  |  |
| Energy value | 113 | 109 | 110 | 105 | 103 | 103 | 109 | 107 | 105 |
| Total protein | 115 | 107 | 109 | 102 | 100 | 104 | 113 | 112 | 103 |
| Calcium | 121 | 114 | 116 | 107 | 107 | 108 | 114 | 112 | 108 |
| Iron . | 116 | 113 | 114 | 111 | 109 | 105 | 96 | 90 | 109 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{A}$ | 235 | 198 | 208 | 184 | 167 | 159 | 147 | 133 | 176 |
| Vitamin $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ | 137 | 132 | 133 | 126 | 121 | 123 | 127 | 121 | 124 |
| Riboflavin | 132 | 120 | 123 | 110 | 103 | 104 | 112 | 110 | 108 |
| Niootinic acid | 152 | 140 | 143 | 132 | 127 | 133 | 140 | 133 | 131 |
| Vitamin C | 291 | 265 | 272 | 240 | 220 | 214 | 209 | 174 | 231 |

almost constant since 1953, but that for old age pensioner households has tended to decrease. The percentages for energy value and all nutrients showed a downward gradient from Class Ai to Class C, and those for iron and vitamins A and C from Class Ai to old age pensioner households. For old age pensioner households and Class D2, nearly all the percentages for protein and the B vitamins either equalled or exceeded the corresponding values for Class $B$. The allowances for these nutrients recommended by the B.M.A. Committee on Nutrition are related to energy needs, which are smaller for the elderly than for younger adults. For calcium the values for both these classes exceeded that for Class B, mainly because although the average milk consumption of Class B, D2 and old age pensioner households was much the same, Class B households contained a much higher proportion of children, who need more calcium than adults.
75. Compared with the previous year, the percentage in all classes was higher for vitamin $A$ and lower for vitamin $B_{1}$, and most classes also showed lower percentages for riboflavin and vitamin C. Changes for other nutrients were smaller but there was a tendency for increases to occur in Classes $\mathrm{Ar}_{1}, \mathrm{DI}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$, and decreases in Classes A2, B and C and old age pensioner households.

TABLE 21
Percentage of Energy Value derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate, 1952 to 1955

*. The proportions of the total energy value derived from protein, fat and carbobydrate in 1952-1955 are shown in Table 21. There was a tendency for the contribution from protein to calories to decrease during these years, but between 1954 md 1955 there was little change except in Class A. In Class Ar there was a rise in the proportion from fat and a fall in that from carbohydrate; the reverse was wue in Class A2. For all other types of household changes in these percentages xetween the two years were negligible. Thus Classes C, DI and old age pensioner souseholds continued to depend slightly more than other classes on the contrisution from carbohydrate and less on that from fat for their total energy needs.
7. Table 22 shows the proportion of the total protein derived from animal sources, md , for easy comparison with Table 21, the proportions of calories derived from mimal protein. As in 1954, the ratio of animal to total protein was lowest in Classes こand D1. Since 1952, this ratio has been higher in Class D2 and old age pensioner zouseholds than in Class C, mainly because of their relatively high milk consumpion; in 1955 the percentage for Class D2 approached the corresponding figure for class A2. An outstanding feature of the table is that Class Ai households obtained wer 62 per cent of their total protein from animal sources.

TABLE 22
Percentage of Total Protein derived from Animal Sources, 1952 to 1955 (per cent)


## V

# Household Diets and Family Composition 

## Classification

78. Differences in family composition have a greater effect on the household diet than differences in the income of the household or of its head, occupation, location or any other method of classification so far examined. They were therefore studied in the Report for 1954 in more detail than for earlier years. The grouping then adopted was continued in 1955. In 63 per cent of the households of the sample the adult element consisted of one man and one woman (a "couple," usually man and wife). These households, which will be described as "classified," included 64 per cent of the persons in the sample, and 78 per cent of the children.
79. Table 2 of Appendix A indicates that, as in previous years, the heads of families with two or three children tended to have rather higher incomes than those with only one child. In families with four or more children, however, the proportion in Classes C and D was greater than for smaller families. Only 22 per cent of the men of working age in these large families were classified as sedentary, compared with 35-40 per cent of those in families with up to three children, and 35 per cent of them were engaged in active or very active work, compared with only 19-24 per cent in the smaller families and younger couples. A tendency for heavy manual workers to have larger families than light manual or sedentary workers has previously been noticed.*

## Expenditure and Consumption

80. Table 23 gives the food expenditure and value of consumption per head per week in households of different composition during each quarter and for the year. The increase in expenditure between the first and second quarters was common to all groups. In the third quarter the sample included relatively fewer younger couples and other households with adults only and rather more households with children than had been usual; this could account for the slight reduction in average food expenditure per head, although most types of household increased their expenditure. Changes between the third and fourth quarters were irregular; the younger couples and families with up to three children maintained their previous increases, but families with four or more children probably fell back.
81. Comparing 1955 with 1954, the increase in food expenditure per household was greatest ( 9 s .8 d .) in families with three children and smallest for older couplea (3s. 8d.) and unclassified adult households ( 2 s .8 d .). The relative increase in food expenditure per head ranged from 5 per cent in unclassified households witt adolescents to II per cent for families with three children and younger childless couples. The latter group increased their weekly expenditure on food by 7 s .4 d per household, or 3 s .8 d . per head. In families with four or more children the increase was 7 s . 5 d . per household, but this represented only 1s. 3d. per head During the years 1952-55, as food prices rose, the increases in expenditure pei household have tended to be of the same order of magnitude in large as in smal households, with a consequent widening of the differences in expenditure per head and thus in consumption. A continuous rise in wages and prices is necessarily un-

[^10]frourable to families with dependent children, especially when food prices increase more rapidly than prices generally. Nevertheless, even the largest families increased their expenditure on food so as to keep pace with rising prices; the deterioration in their position was relative, not absolute.
82. The greater dependence of the larger families on the cheaper sources of energy kads to a steep fall in the expenditure per calorie, which in 1955 ranged from 12 per cent above the general average in younger childless two-adult households to 22 per cent below in families with four or more children. The corresponding range in a Laspeyres-type index of food prices was from 3 per cent above the average to 2 per cent below. Differences in the prices paid for particular commodities were thus less pronounced for family size than for social class (cf. Table 16).
83. Table 24 summarizes the main differences in consumption per head between different types of household, taking the averages for younger childiess couples as the standard of reference. Compared with 1954, group differences widened considerably for fats and for sugar and preserves, and also for potatoes, though families with three or more children were still consuming rather more potatoes than the share which their relative energy requirement (shown at the foot of the table) would indicate. Differences in consumpion of fresh and other fruit were less marked than in the previous year, though the downward trend with increasing family size was still steeper than for any other major food. There was some levelling up in fish consumption.
84. One of the most striking consequences of decontrol was the redistribution of demand for the formerly rationed foods. Until 1953-4 differences associated with family size had been compressed by the effect of rationing and the incidence of consumer subsidies. While rationing remained effective, many large families almost automarically took up their full entitlement of the rationed foods and if necessary economized on other foods. This ensured that they gained maximum benefit from the subsidies, which under rationing thus acted as an important means of redistributing the national income in favour of families with children. After the ending of controls, the more ample supplies available on the free market served in the main to increase the differences between households with and without children; consumption increased markedly in the latter but exhibited only slight changes in the former. Table 25 illustrates this development.
85. In 1952, when rationing was still in full operation, differences between groups were relatively small except for cheese, for which there were special entitlements, and for carcase meat and tea, where some difference was to be expected since children under 5 were entited to only half the adult ration of meat and no tea. By 1955 the differences had increased very markedly for all the formerly rationed foods, though not at the same time and at different rates. The divergence became apparent for each individual commodity as control on it was relaxed (legally or otherwise), but the change was more marked for butter and cooking fat than for carcase meat, bacon and sugar.
86. Table 25 contrasts younger childless couples with the largest families. In order to show the position of families of intermediate size, and to indicate the ways in which the observed position has emerged, Charts I-III have been constructed to show trends in consumption on a quarterly basis. For carcase meat (Chart I) the steady widening of group differences as supplies improved was checked in the early months of each year when supplies were lowest. For bacon (Chart II) demand was
table 23 Domestic Food Expenditure and Value of Consumption by Household Composition, 1955 (per head per week)

|  | Clasnifed households with one male and one fomale adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unclassified houstholds with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescants and children | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adults } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | adolescents but no children | one or more children with or without adolescents |
|  | one or both adules aged 55 or over | both adults under 55 | I | 2 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| IST QUARTER <br> Expenditure <br> Value of free food | $\begin{array}{cc}8 . & \text { d. } \\ 28 & 10 \\ & 11\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 34 & 11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}8 . & \text { d. } \\ 26 & 5 \\ & 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 3. } & \text { d. } \\ 22 & 0 \\ & \\ & 5\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cr}\text { 8. } & \text { d. } \\ 16 & 10 \\ & 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}8 . \\ 89 & \text { d. } \\ \text { I } & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cr}\text { B. } & \text { d. } \\ 21 & 6 \\ & 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}8 . & \text { d. } \\ 27 & 2 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { 3. } & \text { d. } \\ 26 & 3 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ \text { 2I } & \text { II } \\ & 7 \end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | 29 Io | 355 | 2611 | 23 | 197 | 17 | 30 - | 2180 | 282 | 273 | 22 |
| 2ND QUARTER <br> Expenditure <br> Value of free food | $\begin{array}{rr}30 & 7 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $35 \begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 11\end{aligned}$ | $27 \quad 8$ | 22 <br>  <br>  <br>  | $\begin{array}{ll}19 & 9 \\ & 5\end{array}$ | $17 \quad 5$ | $30 \begin{array}{ll}11 \\ & 11\end{array}$ | $22 \quad 9$ | $29 \begin{gathered}5 \\ \\ 10\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}27 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}23 & \\ \\ \\ 10\end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | 317 | 363 | 277 | 2210 | 20 | 182 | 319 | 232 | $30 \quad 2$ | 28 | 240 |
| 3RD QUARTER <br> Expenditure <br> Value of free food : | 30  <br> 1 5 | $\begin{array}{rr}37 & 9 \\ 1 & 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}27 & 4 \\ 1\end{array}$ | 22 11 <br> 1 1 | $\begin{array}{rr}20 \\ 1 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}17 \quad 7 \\ & 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}30 & 10 \\ 1 & 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}22 & 10 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}28 & 3 \\ 1 & 11\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}28 & 4 \\ 1 & 11\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}22 & 7 \\ 1 & 4\end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | 322 | $38 \quad 9$ | 28 | 240 | 21 | 18 | 32 | 2310 | 30 | 30 | 2311 |
| 4TH QUARTBR <br> Expenditure <br> Value of free food | $\begin{array}{rr}30 & 6 \\ 1 & 4\end{array}$ | 37  <br> $\mathbf{1}$ $\mathbf{1}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}28 & 4 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | 22 II | 20 <br>  <br> 10 | $16 \quad 2$ | $\begin{array}{ll}30 & 4 \\ & 11\end{array}$ | 22 11 <br>   <br>   | $\begin{array}{rr}29 & 0 \\ 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}26 & 10 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}23 & 8 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ |
| Value of consumption | 31 II | 384 | 294 | 238 | 216 | 169 | 31 | 237 | 30 | 28 - | 248 |
| ybarly average <br> Expenditure <br> Value of free food | $\begin{array}{rr} 30 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}36 & 2 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 27 \\ & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $22 \quad 6$ | 1911 <br>  <br>  | $17 \quad 0$ <br>  <br>  | $\begin{array}{rr}30 & 3 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$ | 22 <br>  <br>  | $\begin{array}{rr}28 \\ 1 & 5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}27 & \mathbf{1} \\ 1 & 3\end{array}$ | $22 \begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \\ & 11\end{aligned}$ |
| Value of consumprion | 314 | 372 | 28 | 233 | 20 | 17 | 31 | 23 | 298 | 28 | 23 9 |
| percentage incriase in <br> 1955 over 1954 <br> Expenditure <br> Value of consumption | $\begin{aligned} & +6 \\ & +7 \end{aligned}$ | +11 +11 | $\begin{aligned} & +9 \\ & +9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +7 \\ & +7 \end{aligned}$ | +11 +12 | +8 +8 | +9 +9 | +8 +7 | +7 +8 | +5 +6 | $\begin{aligned} & +9 \\ & +9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Expenditure per household: Yearly average | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 60 & 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 2 . & \mathrm{d} . \\ 72 & 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 8 \mathrm{II} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { a. } & \text { d. } \\ \infty & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 8 . & d . \\ 99 & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { e. } & \text { d. } \\ 109 & 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { e. } & \text { d. } \\ 97 & 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { a. } & \text { d. } \\ 114 & 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { s. } & \text { d. } \\ 61 & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { B. } & \text { d. } \\ 104 & 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { e. } & \text { d. } \\ 108 & 1 \end{array}$ |
| Price index (all foods) <br> 'Price of energy' index (all foods) | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \cdot 6 \\ 103.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103.3 \\ & 112.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.2 \\ & 103.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.9 \\ 96.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.4 \\ & 90.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97.8 \\ & 81.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \cdot 7 \\ & 104.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \cdot 6 \\ & 90 \cdot \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.7 \\ & 105.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.4 \\ 101.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.3 \\ 95.9 \end{array}$ |

Comsumption per head by howseholds of aifferant composirson comparad witn cinstarnipiturn (per cent)

|  | Houscholds with one male and one fomale adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unclassified households with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  | children |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescents and children | adults only | adolescents but no children | one or more children with or without adolescents |
|  |  | one or both adults aged 55 or over | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | 2 | 3 | mor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protein requirements Calcium requirements | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \\ 104 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \\ 109 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 92 \\ 113 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 91 \\ 116 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 118 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \\ & 117 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \\ & 123 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111 \\ & 113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \\ 114 \end{array}$ |
| Liquid milk $\quad$. Cheese Meat (including bacon) Fish . . . . Eggs . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \\ 101 \\ 90 \\ 111 \\ 83 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 74 \\ & 73 \\ & 74 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | 94 61 60 59 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 54 \\ & 52 \\ & 58 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 42 \\ & 45 \\ & 45 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 88 \\ & 87 \\ & 97 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 65 \\ & 61 \\ & 63 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \\ & 84 \\ & 85 \\ & 98 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 81 \\ & 81 \\ & 83 \\ & 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 62 \\ & 65 \\ & 70 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fats. <br> Sugar and preserves | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 81 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ |
| Potatoes ${ }^{1}$. <br> Fresh green vegetables Other vegetables. | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 95 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 69 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 58 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 47 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 41 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \\ & 80 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 52 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 79 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & 80 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 56 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fresh fruit . Other fruit . | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ |
| Bread. <br> Flour . <br> Other cereals | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 127 \\ 78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 81 \\ & 81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 70 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 65 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & 55 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 96 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 73 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 93 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 91 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 75 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ |
| Beverages . . | 100 | 93 | 72 | 58 | 50 | 44 | 82 | 61 | 86 | 77 | 61 |
| Energy requirements | 100 | 94 | 89 | 83 | 81 | 79 | 105 | 96 | 94 | 103 | 91 |

TABLE 25
Widening of differences between consumption by households of one man and one woman (both under 55)


## Chart I

CARCASE MEAT CONSUMPTION


CHART II
BACON CONSUMPTION


CHART III
BUTTER CONSUMPTION

fully satisfied by the end of 1953; families with two or more children were ceasing to take their full entitlement, and the quantities thus released were mainly taken up by childless households. Such off-ration sales were legalized in August 1953, and the formal end of bacon rationing in July 1954 thus had little immediate effect on the average for any type of household. There was a sharp increase in consumption in the second quarter of 1955 , in response to lower prices. In the second half of the year prices rose again and consumption fell, but differences widened only slightly.
87. Chart III shows trends in consumption of butter, for which rationing remained effective until it ended in May 1954. Wholly adult households, including those of old age pensioners, then increased their consumption of butter, while households with several children turned from butter to margarine. This segregation of buttereating from margarine-eating families was determined not by social class but by the presence of children. During 1955 butter consumption generally tended to increase at the expense of margarine, though this was reversed in the last quarter owing to a temporary reduction in butter supplies. After decontrol, margarine consumption per head was highest in classified households containing adolescents, with or without children; the fluctuations in 1954-55 were too narrow and irregular for a chart to be helpful. To some extent the changes tended to offset those for butter. The trends for cooking fats were broadly similar to those for butter; consumption declined sharply in the larger families but rose in households with one child or none. Group differences in sugar consumption also increased, though less rapidly than those for butter and cooking fats. The pattern of demand for cheese and eggs was already established before control ended, and soon settled down under free conditions.
88. Details of expenditure and consumption per head are given in Tables 26 and 27, which may be compared with Tables 40 and 4I of the Report for 1954. Changes in consumption of liquid and other milk were slight, but the average in the twoadult households remained about a pint per head per week higher than that in large families. Moreover, in families with four or more children about half the total milk
table 26
Domestic Food Expenditure by Household Composition, 1955 (pence per head per week)

|  | Classified households writh one male and one famale adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unclassified households with |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  | childrem only |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { adolescents } \\ \text { only } \end{gathered}$ | adolescents and children | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adults } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | adolescants but no children | ons or more children with or without adolescents |
|  | one or both aduls aged 55 or coer | both adults | I | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| MILK AND CRBAM <br> Liquid (full price) <br> Liquid (welfare and school). | $\stackrel{36 \cdot 44}{-}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.74 \\ 0.32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \cdot 34 \\ 1 \cdot 73 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \cdot 13 \\ 2 \cdot 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}19.39 \\ 2.62 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \cdot 39 \\ 2.47 \end{array}$ | 38.81 | 23.51 0.65 | $\begin{gathered} 33.66 \\ 0.04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.26 \\ 0.16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.62 \\ 1.15 \end{gathered}$ |
| All Liquid Milk . | 36.44 | 36.06 | 30.07 | 25.47 | 22.01 | 16.86 | 31.81 | 24.12 | 33.70 | 28.42 | 24.77 |
| Condensed <br> Dried and other. <br> Cream | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 0.88 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1.92 0.10 1.66 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.28 \\ & 0.69 \\ & 0.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & 0.76 \\ & 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | 0.85 1.03 0.35 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.71 \\ & 0.93 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | 1.57 0.06 1.01 | 1.17 0.15 0.52 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.26 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.49 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 0.90 \end{aligned}$ | 1.04 0.49 0.69 |
| Total Milk and Cream. . | 38.74 | $39 \cdot 74$ | $32 \cdot 83$ | $27 \cdot 87$ | 24.24 | 18.84 | 34.45 | 25.96 | $35 \cdot 89$ | 30.83 | $26 \cdot 99$ |
| CHEESB <br> Excluding processed and packered <br> Processed and packeted | 6.75 1.13 | 6.45 1.90 | 4.67 1.33 | 3.81 1.05 | 3.41 0.91 | 2.50 0.76 | 5.55 1.57 | 4.05 1.12 | 3.48 1.25 | 4.96 1.49 | 4.00 0.99 |
| Total Cheese . . | $7 \cdot 88$ | 8.35 | $6 \cdot 00$ | 4.86 | $4 \cdot 32$ | 3.26 | $7 \cdot 12$ | $5 \cdot 17$ | 6.73 | 6.45 | 4.99 |
| MEAT <br> Carcase <br> Bacon and ham, uncooked Other ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \cdot 61 \\ & 17.76 \\ & 27.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.84 \\ & 21.88 \\ & 39.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.60 \\ & 14.68 \\ & 27.3^{8} \end{aligned}$ | 35.71 <br> 11 <br> 21.90 <br> 1.82 | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \cdot 49 \\ 9.43 \\ 20.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.84 \\ 7.98 \\ 16.29 \end{array}$ | 54.34 1884 30.94 | 34.75 11.18 23.42 | $\begin{aligned} & 54.92 \\ & 17.33 \\ & 27.74 \end{aligned}$ | 49.37 16.41 28.84 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \cdot 79 \\ & 12.18 \\ & 24 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ |
| Toral Meat . . . | 105.40 | 125.87 | 87.66 | 69.43 | 59.34 | $49 \cdot 15$ | 103.62 | 69.35 | 99.99 | 94.62 | 74.38 |
| PISH <br> Fresh and processed ${ }^{2}$ <br> Prepared ${ }^{3}$. | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \cdot 22 \\ 3.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.36 \\ 5.95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 09 \\ & 4 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 20 \\ & 3 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \cdot 09 \\ 2.78 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 35 \\ & 3 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.77 \\ & 5 \cdot 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 18 \\ & 3 \cdot 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \cdot 14 \\ 4.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 16 \\ & 4.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \cdot 48 \\ .3 .59 \end{array}$ |
| Toral Fish . . . . | 15:20 | 16.31 | 11.23 | 8.37 | 7.87 | $6 \cdot 47$ | 14.43 | 9.02 | 14.14 | H1.80 | 10.07 |

Household Diets and Family Composition
TABLB 26 contimued
(pence por head por week)


[^11]> Includes tomatdes 7 Includes canned, bottled and dried Includes rolls, fruit bread and sandwiches 10 Includes buns, scones, tea cakes, muffins and 10 Inhiea invalid and hahv fonds,
Includes invalid and baby foods, apseads and dressings, soups and mear and
vegetable extracte and items on which expenditure only was recorded
table 27
Domestic Food Consumption by Household Composition, 1955 (ox. per head per week except where otherwise stated)

|  | Classified households with one mole and one fomale adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unclassifiod households wirh |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  | childron onty |  |  |  | adolascomrs only | adolescents and children | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adults } \\ & \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | adolescents but no children | ont or more childrom evich or without adohescents |
|  | one or both adults aged 55 or over | both adults under 55 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| mile and cream <br> Liquid (full cream) (pt.) <br> Liquid (welfare and school) (pt.) . | $5 \cdot 22$ ... | $\begin{aligned} & 5.18 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 05 \\ & 1 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.40 \\ & x \cdot 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 84 \\ & 1 \cdot 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 18 \\ & 1 \cdot 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.66 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.40 \\ 0.77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.94 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.29 \\ 0.54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.57 \\ & 0.98 \end{aligned}$ |
| All Liquid Milk (pr.) | 5.22 | $5 \cdot 36$ | 5.79 | 5.05 | $4 \cdot 73$ | $4 \cdot 17$ | 4.71 | 4.17 | 4.96 | 4.43 | $4 \cdot 48$ |
| Condensed (eq. pt.) <br> Dried and other (pt. or eq. pt.) <br> Cream (pt.) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.18 \\ & 0.01 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.23 \\ \because 02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 0.21 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 0.26 0.08 | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ 0.31 \\ \ldots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ \because 02 \end{gathered}$ | 0.16 0.08 0.01 | 0.16 0.02 0.01 | $\begin{gathered} 0.19 \\ \cdots 0 \\ 0.02 \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 0.16 0.01 |
| Toral Milk and Cream (pr. or aq. pr.) | $5 \cdot 43$ | $5 \cdot 61$ | 5.58 | 5.40 | $5 \cdot 17$ | 4.57 | 4.93 | 4.43 | 5.15 | 4.64 | $4 \cdot 78$ |
| CHBESB <br> Excluding processed and packeted Processed and packeted | $\begin{aligned} & 3.36 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.29 \\ & 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 46 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.03 \\ & 0.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.34 \\ & 0.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.92 \\ & 0.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 14 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.86 \\ & 0.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.66 \\ & 0.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 11 \\ & 0 \cdot 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Cheese | $3 \cdot 92$ | 3.87 | $2 \cdot 86$ | $2 \cdot 35$ | 2.09 | 1.61 | $3 \cdot 42$ | $2 \cdot 50$ | $3 \cdot 35$ | $3 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 41$ |
| meat <br> Carcase <br> Bacon and ham, uncooked Other ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.45 \\ 6.70 \\ 10.87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.36 \\ 7.69 \\ 14.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.21 \\ 5.43 \\ 11.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.50 \\ 4.48 \\ 9.48 \end{array}$ | 12.38 3.61 9.09 | 10.55 3.10 7.64 | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \cdot 83 \\ 6.79 \\ 12.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.32 \\ & 4.25 \\ & 10.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \cdot 52 \\ 6.50 \\ 11.37 \end{array}$ | 20.35 66.30 11.80 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.55 \\ & 4.58 \\ & 10.72 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Mear . . . | 43.02 | 47.70 | 34.82 | 28.46 | 25.04 | 21-39 | $41 \cdot 60$ | 28.89 | $40 \cdot 39$ | 38.45 | 30.85 |
| EISH <br> Fresh and processed ${ }^{2}$. <br> Prepared ${ }^{3}$. | $7 \cdot 14$ 1.33 | $5 \cdot 80$ 1.82 | 4.30 1.36 | 3.34 1.55 | 3.33 1.06 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 18 \\ & 1 \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.46 \\ & 1.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 36 \\ & 1 \cdot 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.14 \\ & 1.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.65 \\ & 1.67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 02 \\ & x \cdot 35 \end{aligned}$ |
| Toral Pish. | $8 \cdot 47$ | $7 \cdot 62$ | 5.66 | $4 \cdot 49$ | $4 \cdot 39$ | $3 \cdot 40$ | 7.96 | $4 \cdot 78$ | $7 \cdot 50$ | $6 \cdot 32$ | S'37 |

Household Diets and Family Composition
TABLE 27 continused


Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1955
TABLE 27
Domestic Food Consumption by Household Composition, 1955
(oz. per head per woek except where otherwise stated)


| TABLE 27 continued <br> (ox. per head per week except where otherwise stated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classified households with one male and ome fomale adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unclassified houscholds with |  |  |
|  | no othar |  | children onis |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescomes and children | $\begin{gathered} \text { adules } \\ \text { only } \end{gathered}$ | adolescents but mo children | one or more children with ar without adolescents |
|  | one or both adules aged 5S or over | both adulus under 55 | I | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| zoes . . . . . (No.) | $4 \cdot 67$ | 5.65 | $4 \cdot 44$ | 3.89 | $3 \cdot 58$ | 2.95 | $4 \cdot 86$ | $3 \cdot 71$ | $4 \cdot 32$ | 4.56 | $3 \cdot 90$ |
| \%ats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.97 | 6.84 | $4 \cdot 74$ | $3 \cdot 64$ | $3 \cdot 11$ | 2.28 | $5 \cdot 13$ | 3.54 | 5.61 | $4 \cdot 90$ | $3 \cdot 57$ |
| Margerine. . | 4.56 | 4.58 | 4.56 | 4.55 | 4.59 | 4.96 | $5 \cdot 14$ | 5.51 2.09 | 3.97 2.15 | 4.70 2.28 | 4.82 1.97 |
| Lard and compound cooking fat Other fats | 2.57 0.64 | 2.89 0.70 | 2.44 0.61 | 2.02 0.46 | 1.68 0.45 | 1.57 0.41 | 2.39 0.71 | 2.09 0.50 | 2.13 0.52 | 2.28 0.66 | 1.97 0.58 |
| Total Fats. | 13.74 | 13.01 | 12.35 | 10.67 | 9.83 | 9.22 | $13 \cdot 37$ | II 64 | 12.23 | 12.54 | 10.94 |
| sugar and prasiryes <br> Sugar <br> Honey, preserves, syrup and rreacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.53 | 27.52 | 18.32 | 16.68 | 15.76 | 14.51 | $19 \cdot 34$ | 16.94 | 18.61 | 17.37 | 15.70 |
|  | $5 \cdot 25$ | 4.65 | 3.78 | 3.48 | 3.94 | 4.14 | $4 \cdot 37$ | 4.25 | 4.40 | $3 \cdot 66$ | 3.59 |
| Total Sugar and Prasoroes | 25.78 | $26 \cdot 17$ | $22 \cdot 10$ | 20.16 | 19.70 | 18.65 | 23.78 | 21.19 | 23.01 | 21.03 | 19.29 |
| vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presh green | 50.67 | 71.78 | 15.00 | 12.61 | 10.16 | 88.92 | 17.38 19. | 64.46 11.34 | 57.40 17.28 | 66.78 17.40 | 12.26 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 17.01 | 21.60 | 17.23 | 14.96 | 15.45 | 10.85 | 19.07 | 14.23 | 16.17 | 15.24 | 14.60 |
| Total Vagetables. | 95.00 | 113.67 | 96.50 | 84.8I | 84.95 | 78.11 | 103.75 | 90.03 | 90.85 | 99.42 | 87.50 |
| prutt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.38 6.77 | 29.93 9.89 | 23.16 7.73 | 19.40 6.06 | 15.58 5.17 | 12.29 3.87 | 24.97 8.14 | 16.93 5.36 | 23.12 6.34 | 20.90 7.15 | 17.77 5.80 |
| Total Fruit | 30.15 | 39.82 | 30.89 | 25.46 | 20.75 | 16.16 | 33•11 | $22 \cdot 29$ | 29.46 | 28.05 | $23 \cdot 57$ |

TABLE 27 continued
(oz. per head per week except where othervise stated)


[^12]1 Includes cooked and canned meate and meat products
a Includes smoked, dried and salted
B Includes cooked, canned and bottled fish and fish products
a Includes chips and crisps

- Includes dried and canned vegetables, and vegetable products
Includes dried and canned vegetables, and vegecable product
obtrained ( 2.3 pt . or equiv. pt.) was cheap or free welfare, school or national dried milk. All groups save the largest families spent more on cheese than in 1954, but all but two groups obtained less for their expenditure.

89. Fish consumption increased in all types of household, mainly because of increased purchases of cooked and canned fish. The very small consumption of fish in large families is probably inevitable until means can be found of making fish more acceptable to and manageable by young children without increasing its cost. Except in Class A, households with adolescents but no children consumed almost as much fish per head as those with adulss only.
ga. Consumption of preserves continued to be greatest in the older two-adult households, and there was a well-marked minimum in families with two children.
90. Potato consumption again exhibited a minimum for the second child, with a rise for the third and a slight fall for the fourth. The upward turn from the second to the third child is now a consistent finding of the Survey, except in the second quarter of the year, when new potatoes are replacing old, and family households refrain from buying the new. The slight downward turn for the fourth child had not been noticed since 1951; its recurrence suggests that some large families were making up their energy requirements from bread rather than potatoes. The whole pattern is exactly what would be expected for a food which is a fairly cheap, but not the cheapest, source of energy. As the size of the family increases and income per head declines, the average consumption of potatoes at first falls because the presence of children reduces average energy requirements per head, then rises because of increased dependence on the cheaper foods, and in the largest families may fall again at a time when even potatoes are considered relatively expensive compared with bread, as in the latter part of 1955. A somewhat similar pattern of group differences was found for other root vegetables.
91. The 1955 estimates confirmed that the minimum consumption of bread had shifted from the second to the third child.* The upward turn at the fourth child was confined to national (subsidized) bread. The steepest downward gradient found for any food was that for white bread, but the quantities were very small, and the difference between white bread and the national loaf had become inappreciable (see Chapter III, paragraph 26). Purchases per head of wholemeal bread in the three groups containing adults only were about twice as great as in other types of household.
92. The effects of family size on the consumption of potatoes and bread may be studied in another way if the calorie values of the consumption of the two foods are expressed as percentages of the calorie value of the total diet in each group. The percentages for the classified households are shown in Table 28. Corresponding estimates for other cheap energy foods, flour, sugar and preserves and total fats and for the protein foods of animal origin are given for comparison. A marked break occurred between the second and third child for potatoes and after the third child for bread, particularly national bread. For flour, visible fats and the group consisting of milk, cheese, eggs, meat and fish, the percentages decreased with increasing family size, and were roughly the same in adult households as in those with adolescents but no child. For sugar and preserves the reverse occurred: consumption data are not arailable for sweets, so that it is impossible to include their contribution in the table.
[^13]In the largest families-those with four or more children and those with adolescents and children-bread made the same contribution to total calories as the animal protein foods.
94. Younger couples bought more cake than in 1954 but less flour; older couples, more flour, but slightly less cake. Oatmeal was preferred to other breakfast cereals only by the older couples and the unclassified adult households, which also consisted mainly of elderly people. The same two groups reduced their consumption of tea, but older couples were nevertheless spending more on tea than on bread. Cocoa was the only beverage of which households with children drank as much per head as those with none.
95. For food as a whole, the prices paid by younger couples were 3 per cent above the average level for all households, and those paid by households containing three, four or more children 2 per cent below (see Table 23). These price differences appear to be closely related to net family income per head; thus, families containing both children and adolescents had greater income per head than those with three or more children, and paid prices only y per cent below the average for all households. For older couples the price level, like the income per head, was near the national average. Price differences were most marked for fish and beverages other than tea.

TABLE 28
Contribution of certain foods to the energy value of the diet

| Households with one male and one female adult and | Percentage of calories from |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Potatoes | Bread | Flour | Sugar and Preserves | Visible fars | Milk, cheese, eggs, meat and fish |
| No other (both under 55). | $5 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | 11.6 | 14.5 | $29 \cdot 7$ |
| 1 child . | $6 \cdot 0$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | 11.9 | 14.5 | 29.1 |
| 2 children | $6 \cdot 1$ | $20 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | $12 \cdot 2$ | 14.2 | $28 \cdot 9$ |
| 3 children | $6 \cdot 7$ | 21.7 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 5$ | $13 \cdot 7$ | $27 \cdot 6$ |
| 4 or more children | $7 \cdot 1$ | $25 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | 12.5 | 13.7 | $25 \cdot 3$ |
| Adolescents only | $5 \cdot 8$ | $22 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | 11.5 | 14.4 | 28.7 |
| Adolescents and children. | $6 \cdot 6$ | 25.5 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | 14.5 | $25 \cdot 2$ |

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content

96. The energy value and nutrient content of the average food consumption of households of different composition are shown in Table 29. As in 1954, data relating to both "classified" and "unclassified" households are included. The unclassified household diets supplied less of each nutrient than those of the corresponding classified households. This may be seen if the nutritive value of the diets of the three types of adult household and of both types of household containing adolescents but no child are compared. The third group of unclassified households (those containing children) was too heterogeneous to permit a comparison with any one classified type.
97. Among the classified households, as in previous years, the nutritive value of the diet of younger couples exceeded that of older couples in all nutrients estimated, and

table 30
Comparison of Energy Value and Nutrient Content of Domestic Food Consumption， 1955

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 8850 |
|  |  | 串䀄 |  |
|  |  |  | 5\％¢ ¢ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { 友 } \\ & \text { 窝 } \end{aligned}$ | － $\begin{gathered}\text { \％} \\ \text { \％} \\ \text { \％}\end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | m |  |
|  |  | $N$ |  |
|  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5 } \\ & \text { 5 } \\ & \text { R } \end{aligned}$ | 砍缶 |  |
|  |  | $5 \cos$ 5 \％ 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

there were the usual reductions for all nutrients with the addition of each child to the household, except for vitamin A which was almost the same for households with 2 md 3 children. However, the nutritional requirements of adults, adolescents and ctildren differ greatly and thus the dietary differences which depend on the composition of households can be assessed only in relation to requirements, as in Table 30.
2. With only a few exceptions, the average value for energy and all nutrients in all types of household were within 5 per cent of the corresponding values recorded in 1954. The main trends between 1954 and 1955 were slight increases or no change for energy value, animal protein, fat, carbohydrate, calcium, iron and vitamin $\mathrm{C}_{\text {, }}$ md slight decreases for vitamin $B_{1}$, riboflavin and nicotinic acid, because of the reduced quantities of these three nutrients in bread and flour. The changes were usually small decreases in households with four or more children and slight increases in those with from one to three children. The greatest changes occurred for vitamins A and D. Generally, all types of household increased their vitamin A intake by between 4 and II per cent; in households with three children there was an exceptional rise ( 26 per cent) attributable mainly to relatively large increases in the consumption of carrots and liver and also to the increased fortification of margarine; in contrast, households with four or more children barely maintained the 1954 level. Such fluctuations in the vitamin A content are to be expected even on a year's sample, because of the very high concentration of this vitamin in one or two nonssaple foeds, particularly liver and carrots. The greatest changes in vitamin D were smaller (between 6 and 7 per cent) and occurred in households with three or more children, or with children and adolescents, and in unclassified adult households. They arose mainly from changes in national dried milk consumption and, for the last group, in canned fish.
9. In Table 30 the nutritive value of the diets is compared with allowances based on the British Medical Association's recommendations and, as in similar earlier tables, 10 per cent has been deducted from the nutritive value of food purchases wo allow for wastage and other losses in the home. The lowest percentages were for protein and calcium in the classified households with three or more children, and protein, calcium and riboflavin in those with adolescents and children.
rea. Compared with similar data in 1954, the values in Table 30 show only very slight changes for all types of household for nearly all nutrients except vitamin A, which increased generally, and vitamin C, which increased by as much as io per cent in households with three or more children. The percentages for these nutrients have, however, always been well above the recommended allowances and the changes are thus not of special importance.

10I. The trends for protein and calcium, which have caused concern for some years, were shown for the years 1950-1954 in Table 45 of the Report for 1954. The declines appear to have halted in 1955, so that the largest families were no worse and those with three children slightly better off than in 1954 .
102. The sources of the energy value in the years 1952 to 1955 are shown in Table 31. There was a continuous decrease for all groups in the proportion of calories from protein between 1952 and 1954, but no appreciable change in 1955. For fat and carbohydrate there were no regular trends common to all groups over the years. The percentages for protein and fat usually decreased with the addition of a child and those for carbohydrate usually increased.

TABLE 31
Percentage of Energy Value derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate, 1952-55 (per cent)

|  |  | Classified households with one male and one female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | no other |  | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescents and children |
|  |  | one or both 55 or over | both under 55 | I | 2 | 3 | $4 \text { or }$ more |  |  |
| Protein | 1952 | n.e. | n.a. | 12.6 | $12 \cdot 4$ | $12 \cdot 1$ | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.4 |
|  | 1953 | $12 \cdot 8$ | 12.9 | $12 \cdot 4$ | $12 \cdot 1$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | 11.8 | $12 \cdot 6$ | $12 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 1954 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.7 | $11 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 1955 | $11 \cdot 7$ | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.5 | $11 \cdot 3$ | 11.7 | 11-3 |
| Fat | 1952 | n.a. | n.a. | $35 \cdot 0$ | $35 \cdot 2$ | 34.8 | $33 \cdot 6$ | 33.8 | 32.6 |
|  | 1953 | $36 \cdot 1$ | 37-1 | $36 \cdot 7$ | 36.7 | $35 \cdot 4$ | 34.0 | 36.0 | 34-0 |
|  | 1954 | $37 \cdot 9$ | $38 \cdot 4$ | $37 \cdot 0$ | $36 \cdot 4$ | $35 \cdot 3$ | $33 \cdot 9$ | $36 \cdot 8$ | $34 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 1955 | $37 \cdot 9$ | 38.5 | $37 \cdot 4$ | $36 \cdot 5$ | $35 \cdot 0$ | $33 \cdot 4$ | $37 \cdot 3$ | $34 \cdot 6$ |
| Carbohydrate | 1952 |  | n.a. | 52.4 | 52.4 | $53 \cdot 1$ | 54.4 | 53.4 | 55-0 |
|  | 1953 | $51 \cdot 1$ | $50 \cdot 0$ | 50.9 | 51.2 | $52 \cdot 6$ | $54 \cdot 2$ | $51 \cdot 4$ | 53.9 |
|  | 1954 | $50 \cdot 2$ | $49 \cdot 7$ | $51 \cdot 3$ | $52 \cdot 1$ | $53 \cdot 2$ | $54 \cdot 9$ | $51 \cdot 5$ | 54.4 |
|  | 1955 | $50 \cdot 4$ | $49 \cdot 8$ | 51.0 | 51.9 | $53 \cdot 5$ | $55 \cdot 3$ | 51.0 | 54-1 |

TABLE 32
Percentage of Total Protein derived from Animal Sources, 1952-1955
(per cent)

|  | Classified households voith one male and one female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no other |  | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | adolescents and children |
|  | one or both 55 or over | both under 55 | I | 2 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Animal protein as percentage of total protein |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | n.a. | n.a. | 50.2 | $50 \cdot 3$ | $48 \cdot 5$ | $45 \cdot 0$ | $47 \cdot 8$ | $44 \cdot 6$ |
| 1953 | 52.5 | 53.4 | $52 \cdot 7$ | 52.4 | 50.1 | $46 \cdot 9$ | $50 \cdot 1$ | $46 \cdot 2$ |
| 1954 | $56 \cdot 6$ | $56 \cdot 5$ | $55 \cdot 0$ | $54 \cdot 1$ | $52 \cdot 5$ | $49 \cdot 5$ | $53 \cdot 5$ | $48 \cdot 6$ |
| 1955 | $57 \cdot 0$ | $56 \cdot 2$ | 55.9 | $55 \cdot 0$ | 53.4 | 49.8 | 54.5 | $49 \cdot 7$ |
| Percentage of calories from animal protein |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | n.a. | n.a. | $6 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 9$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 5$ |
| $1953$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 6$ |
| $1954$ | $6 \cdot 8$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 5$ |
| 1955 | $6 \cdot 7$ | 6.6 | $6 \cdot 5$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 6$ |

109. The proportion of total protein derived from animal sources, shown in Table 32, increased in all types of household from 1952 to 1955, and in each year after 1952 the percentages decreased as family size increased. The proportions for households with adolescents and children resembled those for households with four or more children and remained below 50 per cent throughout. To facilitate comparisons between Tables 3 I and 32 the percentages of calories derived from animal protein are given in Table 32. These proportions were remarkably constant over the four years for each type of family.

## Effect of Children on Expenditure

ro4. The Annual Reports for 1952-1954 have given regression estimates of the domestic food expenditure attributable to the adult couple and to each child in a selected group of households consisting of childless couples (both under 55) and families of one man and one woman with varying numbers of children. The younger childless couples provide a group broadly comparable in age and household income with the households with children, so that differences in food expenditure may be atributed to the presence of children. Household food expenditure in 1955 averaged 72s. 3d. for younger childless couples and 81s. Iod., 90s. Id., 99s. 8d. and 109s. 5 d . for two-adult households containing respectively $1,2,3$ and 4 or more (average 4:44) children under 15 . If a straight regression line is fitted to these averages, the basic element in household food expenditure associated with the adult couple is

TABLE 33
Regression estimates of Domestic Food Expenditure attributable to Adult Couple and to a Child in Classified Households for the years 1952-55

| Income Group | $\begin{gathered} I \\ \text { (above upper } \\ \text { quartile) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I I \\ \text { (upper quartile } \\ \text { to median) } \end{gathered}$ | III (median to lower quartile) | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV } \\ \text { (belowo lower } \\ \text { quartile) } \end{gathered}$ | All households of Selected Groups ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Constant element associated with the adult couple (pence) |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | $739 \cdot 8$ | 700-1 | $665 \cdot 1$ | 626.4 | $687 \cdot 1$ |
| 1953 | $802 \cdot 2$ | $760 \cdot 9$ | 749.9 | 696.2 | $753 \cdot 4$ |
| 1954 | $817 \cdot 0$ | 814.4 | $792 \cdot 6$ | $729 \cdot 4$ | $793 \cdot 0$ |
| 1955 | $925 \cdot 7$ | $880 \cdot 3$ | 846. 1 | $803 \cdot 5$ | $873 \cdot 3$ |
|  | Average increment for each additional child (pence) |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | $126 \cdot 6$ | $103 \cdot 4$ | 95.9 | $83 \cdot 7$ | $102 \cdot 5$ |
| 1953 | $120 \cdot 7$ | $113 \cdot 2$ | 88.8 | $79 \cdot 1$ | $101 \cdot 2$ |
| 1954 | $145 \cdot 4$ | $101 \cdot 0$ | 91.3 | $73 \cdot 8$ | $100 \cdot 5$ |
| 1955 | $131 \cdot 7$ | 112.3 | $106 \cdot 6$ | $77 \cdot 5$ | $103 \cdot 7$ |
|  | Child increment as percentage of amount associated woith adult couple |  |  |  |  |
| 1952 | $17 \cdot 1$ | 14.8 | 14.4 | 13.4 | 14.9 |
| 1953 | $15 \cdot 0$ | 14.9 | 11.8 | $11 \cdot 4$ | $13 \cdot 4$ |
| 1954 | $17 \cdot 8$ | $12 \cdot 4$ | 11.5 | 10.1 | $12 \cdot 7$ |
| 1955 | $14 \cdot 2$ | $12 \cdot 8$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | 9.6 | 11.9 |

[^14]estimated as 72 s . 9 d . and the average increment for each additional child as 8 s .8 d . Similar calculations on previous years' data show that while the expenditure associated with the adult couple has risen from 57s. 3d. in 1952 to 72 s . 9 d . in 1955, the additional expenditure attributable to each child has remained almost constant, varying only between 8 s .4 d . and 8 s .8 d .
05. To examine the dependence on income of the basic element and the increment, the calculation has been repeated for the expenditures of the classified household types included in each of the income groups defined in paragraph 59 of Chapter IV above. These four groups had practically the same demographic composition but differed in declared family income per head, each selected household type having been divided at the median and quartiles of the distribution of family income. Table 33 gives comparative results for the years 1952-55. The mode of classification by quartiles within each household type, rather than by specific levels of money income, is intended to secure comparability of the results from year to year.
106. In 1955 the child increment ranged from IIs. od. in group I, consisting of families with incomes above the upper quartile for their type, to 6 s . 6 d . in group IV, consisting of those below the lower quartile; the corresponding basic elements were 77s. 2d. and 66s. IId. During 1952-55 the element in food expenditure associated with the adult members of the household was relatively insensitive to differences in income; the ratio of its value in the highest to that in the lowest income group was about $1 \cdot 15$, and as the corresponding ratio of declared average incomes per head was about $2 \cdot 1$, this suggests an income elasticity of about $0 \cdot 18$. The child increment, on the other hand, was more sensitive to income and also more variable; it was from I. 5 to 2.0 times as great in income group I as in group IV, and may be regarded as having an income elasticity of the order of 0.6 to 0.9 . Over the four years the child increment expressed as a percentage of expenditure attributed to the adult couple tended to decrease, although the upper age limit for a child was raised to 15 in 1954, the trend being clearest in the lowest income group and most irregular in the highest. The decline was mainly due to the changed distribution of expenditure on carcase meat, bacon, eggs, butter and cooking fats. By 1955 butter had almost reached the position of fresh green vegetables, for which the addition of a child does not increase the average household expenditure on the commodity at all. Coffee was the only important food for which the presence of children significantly decreased the total household expenditure.

## Family Composition and Social Class

107. The analyses given in Table 30 show that households with three or more children and those with adolescents and children were obtaining less than 95 per cent of the estimated requirements of protein and calcium. The last group was also obtaining less than 95 per cent of riboflavin. The analysis does not, however, show to what extent such percentages were limited to the lower income groups within each family type. Each of the classified household types (except older couples) has therefore been further analysed according to the gross weekly income of the head of the household. Class D2 and the old age pensioner group, which contained hardly any large families, were omitted from the analyses. The number of households with children in Classes AI and Dr were scarcely sufficient to warrant separate treatment, and these groups were therefore combined with A 2 and C respectively, giving three broad classes, A, B and C \& Di. Each of the resulting $3 \times 7$ sub-groups contained over 50 households and over 200 persons, except the families with four or more
Household Diets and Family Composition
1 1 - 1 -

| Household Composition Group | Social Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All houstholds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ar |  | A2 |  | All A |  | $B$ |  | C |  | Dr |  | $C O D$ |  |  |  |
|  | Per head | Par household | Par haed |  | Per head |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { head } \end{gathered}$ |  | Par head | Per household | Par head | Par household | Per head | Par household | Per head |  |
|  | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. | s. d. |
| Classified households of one male and one female adult and: no other (both under 55) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5310 | 1077 | 406 | 8011 | 430 | 86 | 3510 | 718 | 344 | $68 \quad 7$ | 3410 | 698 | 344 | $68 \quad 9$ | $36 \quad 2$ | $72 \cdot 3$ |
| 1 child . . . . | 332 | 996 | 29 I | 872 | 2910 | 897 | 2711 | 838 | 257 | 7610 | 241 | 724 | 25 5 | $76 \quad 4$ | 27 | 8110 |
| 2 children. | 27 o | 1082 | 2411 | 999 | 254 | 1014 | 229 | 9011 | 211 | 842 | 18 3 | 7211 | 2010 | 834 | 226 | $90 \quad 1$ |
| 3 children . . | $(2710)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}139 & 2\end{array}\right)$ | 219 | 1089 | 23 3 | 1162 | 19 II | 998 | 188 | 932 | (16 9) | $(839)$ | 185 | 92 I | 19 II | 998 |
| 4 or more children | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}21 & 10\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}131 & 1\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}20 & 2\end{array}\right)$ | (127 10) | 206 | 128 <br> 15 | 175 | 113 | 153 | 978 | (14 11) | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}99 & 0\end{array}\right.$ | 15 | 9711 | 170 | 1093 |
| adolescents only . | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}(42 & 7\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}127 & 9\end{array}\right)$ | 32 25 | 108 125 | 34.5 | 11110 | 302 | 988 | 294 | 928 | 2710 | 8710 | 290 | 916 | $\begin{array}{ll}30 & 3\end{array}$ | 975 |
| adoleacents and children | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}27 & 3\end{array}\right)$ | $\binom{130}{$} | 258 | 1250 | 25 II | 126 1 |  | 117 I | 210 | 1107 | 190 | 1063 | 208 | 109 II | 226 | 11411 |
| Averases, all houceholds | 323 | 1552 | $28 \quad 0$ | 987 | 29 I | 1027 | $36 \quad 0$ | 9818 | 249 | 839 | 252 | 756 | 2410 | 8 I | 258 | 8 II |

Figures in parentheses are averages besed on fewer than 25 houscholda
children in Class $A$, of whom there were 30 , including 188 persons. Details are given in Table 4 of Appendix A. Although classified households with three or more child ren or with children and adolescents comprised only 12 per cent of all households in the sample, they included 20 per cent of the persons, 39 per cent of the children an 37 per cent of the adolescents. A further 22 per cent of the children and 18 per cen of the adolescents were in unclassified households with children, which were no included in the two-way analysis, as it was considered that the classified household: would provide a sufficient indication of the relative importance of family composition and social class.
108. Table 34 gives the average domestic food expenditure per head per weel and per household per week for each sub-group. Classes Ar and A2 and Classer C and $\mathrm{DI}_{\text {I }}$ are distinguished, but averages based on fewer than 25 households art shown in brackets. The extreme range was from 53s. Iod. per head per week in thr most prosperous group of households to 14s. IId. in the largest and poorest families The results confirm that expenditure per head was more affected by householc composition than by social class. In all classes, younger couples without dependant spent from 2.0 to 2.3 times as much per head on food as families with four or mor children; but in all household types, the Class Ar households (income of head oves $\mathrm{f}^{24}$ per week) spent only $1 \cdot 3$ to 1.7 times as much per head as the corresponding households in Class Di (income of head under $£ 6$ per week). Class differences ir food expenditure were rather more marked for large families than for small. There is a suggestion, but no more because of the small numbers in the sub-groups; that the addition of the first child caused less additional expenditure on food in the highest income group than in the others, but that the second and third children caused greater increases in that group than in the rest. Although the differences art of doubtful significance, they suggest that further research on the effect of childrer on expenditure in households of different social class would be rewarding.
109. Details of expenditure on and consumption of the main foods by each of the seven types of household in each of the three broad social classes are given in Table 35 and 36 . For most of the main food groups, differences associated with family size were more important than those attributable to social class. For each type of household the most marked class differences were between Class A and the rest: the diets of Classes B and C \& Dr were in most respects similar. In general, the pattern of class differences is much the same for each household type. In all three broad classes, a minimum in the average consumption of oatmeal occurs in families with one child, and of preserves and potatoes in those with two. The downward turn in potato consumption at the fourth child, mentioned in paragraph 91, was marked only in Classes C \& DI, as would be expected.
110. In all types of household, Class A was characterised by relatively high expenditure on and consumption of fresh milk and cream, butter, eggs, bacon, fish, fruit wholemeal bread, breakfast and "other" cereals and coffee, with low values for national bread and margarine. For fresh meat, the fall from Class A to Classes B and C \& DI was not appreciable except in families with several children.
1II. Table 37 gives the energy value and nutrient content of the diets of the seven household types within the three classes, and Table 38 expresses these values as percentages of the recommended allowances, after making a uniform deduction of Io per cent to allow for plate wastage, food given to pets and food thrown away, such as stale bread. Such wastage is no doubt subject to wide variations dependent upon many factors, including the financial circumstances of the family, its size, the
faddiness of its members, the cooking ability of the housewife and the presence of pets. Wastage is probably least in the large families of small means, and it is suggested that no particular remark need be made on any sub-group of the larger families in which the eniergy value or nutrient content is recorded as not less than 95 per cent of estimated requirements. With wastage estimated at 5 instead of io per cent, all such percentages would be at least 100 . In 1955 no sub-group fell below 95 per cent in energy value, but percentages below 95 were recorded in certain sub-groups for protein, calcium, iron and riboflavin.
112. In families with four or more children, the household diet provided 95 per cent of the recommended allowance of protein in Class A, 87 per cent in Class B and 85 per cent in C \& Dr. Corresponding figures in 1954 were 92,89 and 86 per cent. For calcium, the percentages in 1955 were 95 in Class A, 85 in B and 83 in C \& Dr ; in 1954, 86, 87 and 83 . For both calcium and protein, the class differences in 1955 tended to follow those found for energy value: 108, 99 and 95 . The Committee on Nutrition of the British Medical Association recommended that infants, children and adolescents should have $1 \cdot 0$ to 1.4 g . of calcium a day according to age, and pregnant and nursing women up to 2 g ., compared with 0.8 g . for other adults. They suggested 14 per cent of calories in the form of protein of a mixed diet to be sufficient for pregnant and nursing women, infants, children and adolescents, and II per cent for other adults not engaged in hard work. Yet most adults recuire more calories than do children. Thus, if the aim is to meet the recommendations of the British Medical Association in all types of household, the families with the greatest proportion of growing members should consume relatively more of foods such as milk and cheese, which are rich in protein and calcium in relation to calories, than the small families.
113. The data in Table 36 indicate that in all classes the pattern of consumption paried with increasing family size, but not in the direction required. Table 37 summarizes the consumption of foods which are good sources of calcium and protein by families of different size in each class. The differences shown in this table do not arise from variations in the incidence of meals taken outside the home. The quantities of foods taker in school meals are not included in the survey records, but even the most favourable allowance for them has little effect on the patterns of consumption (cf. paragraph 121). School milk is included in the total for all milk given in Table 37.
114. In Classes A and B, households with one child obtained more milk per head than two-adult families. Otherwise no family with children obtained more of any food shown in Table 37 than the childless couple of the corresponding class. The most striking feature of the table is that for cheese, eggs, meat, fish and flour there is more similarity between families of the same composition than between households of the same class. Each family group in Class A obtained considerably more milk than the corresponding families in other classes; to a lesser extent this was also true for meat and fish. Except in the largest families there was an almost regular increase in bread consumption from Class A to Classes C \& Dr.
115. These results suggest that Class A families may have appreciated to a greater extent than others that milk is nourishing, but otherwise they provide no grounds for assuming that a general improvement in real income (or indeed in any attribute, associated with the income level) would necessarily have any effect on the differences between large and small families.

TABLE 35
Expenditure on Main Foods by Household Composition Groups and Social Class, 1955 (pence per person per roeek)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sacial } \\ & \text { Class AI } \end{aligned}$ | Social Class A (AI © Az) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classified households with ono male and ono famale acdult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { no other } \\ \text { (both } \\ \text { under 55) } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mo other } \\ & \text { (both } \\ & \text { wouder 55) } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{c}^{I}{ }_{i} \text { d }$ | $\underset{\text { children }}{2}$ | childran | $\begin{aligned} & \text { or }_{4}^{4} \\ & \text { childrenen } \end{aligned}$ | adolescomiss onty | c |
| Milk, liquid (full price) <br> Milk, liquid (welfare and achool) | $45 \cdot 68$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.64 \\ 0.45 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \cdot 12 \\ \mathrm{r} \cdot \mathrm{sj} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 29 \cdot 30 \\ 2.21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \cdot 28 \\ 2.72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.35 \\ 3.02 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 36.91 |  |
| All Liquid Milk | 47.46 | 43.09 | 36.67 | 31.51 | 31.00 | $23 \cdot 37$ | 36.91 | : |
| Mill, condensed <br> Mili, dried and other <br> Cream | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 01 \\ & 1 \cdot 81 \\ & 4 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.36 \\ & 0.46 \\ & 2.36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \cdot 13 \\ & \mathrm{x} \cdot 37 \\ & \mathrm{I} \cdot 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 10 \\ & 0 \cdot 72 \\ & 0 \cdot 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.58 \\ & 0.56 \\ & 0.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 1.46 \\ & 0.76 \end{aligned}$ | 1.57 <br> 1.73 |  |
| Total Milk and Cream | 55.52 | 47.30 | $40 \cdot 81$ | 35.05 | 32.64 | 25.99 | $40 \cdot 12$ | j |
| Cheese (excluding procersed and pecketed) Chesese (procensed and packeted) | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \cdot 36 \\ 3.77 \end{array}$ | 7.03 2.62 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.98 \\ & 0.96 \end{aligned}$ | 3.95 1.06 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.75 \\ & 0.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.04 \\ & 0.85 \end{aligned}$ | 7.25 <br> 7.32 <br> 8.57 |  |
| Total Cheese | 15.13 | 9.65 | 5.94 | 5.01 | 4.65 | 2.89 | 8.57 |  |
| Butter <br> Margarine <br> Lard and compound cooking fars Other fatu | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \cdot 26 \\ 7.82 \\ 4.63 \\ 3.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.71 \\ 5.73 \\ 3.75 \\ 1.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.00 \\ 5.05 \\ 2.99 \\ 0.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.60 \\ 5.05 \\ 2.83 \\ 0.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \cdot 11 \\ 4.49 \\ 2.47 \\ 0.53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.49 \\ 5.90 \\ 2.95 \\ 0.13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 07 \\ 7 \cdot 49 \\ 3 \cdot 40 \\ 1 \cdot 03 \end{array}$ | 1 |
| Toral Fats | 46.40 | 34.41 | 23.64 | 21-13 | 19.60 | 19.47 | 29.99 | 2 |
| Ears | 32.20 | 29.03 | 19.02 | 18.46 | 16.75 | 13.60 | $22 \cdot 12$ | 1 |
| Carcase meat <br> Bacon and ham, uncooked <br> All other meat . | $\begin{aligned} & 91.57 \\ & 74.56 \\ & 50.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \cdot 21 \\ & 30.82 \\ & 45 \cdot 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \cdot 13 \\ & 15.70 \\ & 27.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.80 \\ & 12.89 \\ & 24.93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \cdot 53 \\ & 11.31 \\ & 20.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.60 \\ & 10.14 \\ & 14.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.68 \\ & 20.91 \\ & 35.69 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Total Meat | 216.58 | 144.74 | 96.07 | $77 \cdot 63$ | 68.48 | 60.72 | 117 -28 | 7 |
| Fresh fish All other fish | 15.13 21.18 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.62 \\ & 11.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 88 \\ & 6.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.41 \\ & 4.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 63 \\ & 4.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.66 \\ & 3.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 18 \\ & 7.73 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Toral Fish | 36.31 | 23.49 | 14.19 | 9.88 | 9.78 | 7.35 | 16.91 | I |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pugar } \\ & \text { areserves: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.03 \\ 3.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.29 \\ 5 \cdot 19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.30 \\ & 4.11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.15 \\ 3.64 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.56 \\ & 4.99 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \cdot \infty \\ & 3.52 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \cdot 63 \\ 5 \cdot 13 \end{array}$ |  |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 14.43 | 15.48 | 12.41 | 15.79 | 14.55 | 11.52 | 16.76 | 1 |
| Poratces . <br> Fresh green vegetables Other vegetables | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \cdot 4 \mathrm{II} \\ & 21.84 \\ & 24 \cdot 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.21 \\ & 14.79 \\ & 16.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \cdot 20 \\ 8 \cdot 21 \\ 15 \cdot 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.37 \\ 5.99 \\ 10.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.90 \\ 5.43 \\ 9.66 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} x 2.04 \\ 4.37 \\ 7.76 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.40 \\ & 10.45 \\ & 13.24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Total Vagetables | $58 \cdot 77$ | $45 \cdot 78$ | 28.55 | $26 \cdot 01$ | 25.99 | 24.17 | 35.09 | 2 |
| Fresh fruit Other fruit | $\begin{aligned} & 39.83 \\ & 18.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \cdot 13 \\ 18 \cdot 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \cdot 9 \\ & 12.32 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \cdot 10 \\ & 10.80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \cdot 09 \\ 8 \cdot 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.70 \\ 8.57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \cdot 61 \\ & 12 \cdot 23 \end{aligned}$ | 2 |
| Total Fruit | 58.62 | 55.36 | 37-31 | 32.90 | 26.38 | 27.27 | 39.84 | 3. |
| National bread. White bread Wholemeal bread Other bread | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11.65 \\ 0.26 \\ 1.98 \\ 5.42 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \cdot 12 \\ 0.44 \\ 2.09 \\ 4.88 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \cdot 17 \\ 0 \cdot 39 \\ 1 \cdot 36 \\ 2 \cdot 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.24 \\ 0.122 \\ 0.80 \\ 1.72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10.54 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.81 \\ 1.54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 11.91 \\ 0.38 \\ 0.89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.86 \\ 0.18 \\ 1.20 \\ 2.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | I: |
| Toral Bread | 19.3I | $20 \cdot 53$ | 15.30 | 12.88 | 13.13 | 13.18 | 18.74 | It |
| Flour <br> Cakes <br> Biscuits <br> Oatmeal and oat products Breakfast cereals Other cereals | $\begin{array}{r} 3.26 \\ 9.36 \\ 96.59 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.72 \\ 5.47 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.55 \\ 13.89 \\ 14.49 \\ 1.46 \\ 3.05 \\ 6.15 \end{array}$ | 3.01 11.02 11.94 0.59 2.90 5.92 5 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 3.17 \\ \hline 8.69 \\ 10.24 \\ 0.80 \\ 3.93 \\ 4.09 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.07 \\ & 6.84 \\ & 8.88 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 4.01 \\ & 3.65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2.45 5.45 5.93 1.93 2.97 2.70 3.20 | $\begin{array}{r}3.81 \\ 9.65 \\ \text { 91.39 } \\ 0.63 \\ 3.75 \\ 3.65 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ! |
| Toral Cereals | 57.07 | 64.12 | 50.68 | 43.80 | 40.42 | 37.00 | 51.62 | 4 |
| Tea <br> Coffee <br> Cocon <br> Branded food drinks. | $\begin{gathered} 19.92 \\ 17.79 \\ 0.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.37 \\ 10.21 \\ 0.65 \\ 0.85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.09 \\ 3.81 \\ 0.83 \\ 0.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10.13 \\ 3.54 \\ 0.63 \\ 0.27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.28 \\ & 3.37 \\ & 0.91 \\ & 0.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.46 \\ & 0.75 \\ & 0.99 \\ & 0.97 \end{aligned}$ | 17.99 4.30 0.20 0.59 | II 2 0 0 0 |
| Total Beverages | 38.11 | 32.08 | 19.35 | 14.57 | 14.26 | 11.47 | 23.08 | 15 |
| Miscellaneous . | 17.44 | 14.48 | 10.46 | 7.64 | 5.34 | 4.79 | 11-02 | 9 |
| Toral Food Expendirurs - . | s3s. rod. | 43s. od. | 29s. rod. | 25s. 4 d. | 238. 3d. | 20s. 6d. | 348. 9d. | 25 |

Social Class $B \quad$ Social Classes C © Dr
Classified households with one male and one female adult and


TABLE 36
Quantities of Food Obtained for Consumption by Household Composition Groups and Social Class, 1955
(oz. per person per week*)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social } \\ & \text { Class AI } \end{aligned}$ | Social Class $A(A) \& A z)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classified houscholds with one male and one famale aturte and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { no other } \\ \text { (both } \\ \text { mondor } 55) \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { no orher } \\ \text { (booth } \\ \text { under 55) } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $c_{\text {child }}^{I}$ | children | childiran | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { or inore } \\ \text { chilltren } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | adolesconts | $c$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 6.34 \\ & 0.37 \end{aligned}$ | 5.90 0.17 | 5.16 1.00 | 4.15 1.53 | 3.94 1.82 | 3.06 2.21 | 5.47 0.10 |  |
| All Liquid Milk . . . . (pr.) | 6.91 | 6.07 | 6.16 | 5.68 | $5 \cdot 7$ | 5.27 | 5.57 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \\ & 0.07 \\ & 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 0.02 \\ & 0.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 0.19 \\ & 0.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 0.12 \\ & 0.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.03 \\ & 0.03 \end{aligned}$ | 0.05 0.37 0.01 | 0.20 0.02 |  |
| Total Milk and Cream . (pr. or eq. pt.) | $7 \cdot 30$ | 6.29 | 6.62 | 5.96 | 5.90 | 5.70 | 5.79 |  |
| Checse (excluding processed and packered) Checre (procassed and packeted) | 5.16 0.99 | 3.46 <br> 0.72 <br> 0.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.62 \\ & 0.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.92 \\ 0.29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78 \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 10 \\ & 0 \cdot 32 \end{aligned}$ | 3.45 <br> 0.43 |  |
| Toral Checse | 6.15 | 4.18 | 2.88 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 2.03 | 1.43 | 3.88 |  |
| Butcer <br> Margarine <br> Lard and compound cooking fats Other fats | $\begin{gathered} 10.00 \\ 5.78 \\ 2.93 \\ 2.93 \\ \hline 8 \end{gathered}$ | 8.17 <br> 4.18 <br> 2.62 <br> 0.84 <br>  <br>  <br> 18.81 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.33 \\ & 3.82 \\ & 2.15 \\ & 0.44 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.45 \\ & 3.8 \mathrm{I} \\ & 1.98 \\ & 0.44 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.28 \\ & 3.43 \\ & 1.82 \\ & 0.29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3.47 <br> 4.88 <br> 2.12 <br> 0.11 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.22 \\ & 5.48 \\ & 2.63 \\ & 0.63 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Total Pats | 21.03 | 15.81 | 11.73 | 10.68 | 9.82 | 10.38 | 14.96 | 1 |
| Eger . . . . . . (No.) | $7 \cdot 0$ | 6.63 | 4.50 | $4 \cdot 34$ | $4 \cdot 00$ | 3.51 | 5.56 |  |
| Carcase meat <br> Bacon and harn, uncooked. <br> All other meat. | $\begin{aligned} & 30.83 \\ & 17.06 \\ & 18.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.56 \\ & 9.08 \\ & 16.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.15 \\ 5.99 \\ 10.67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.06 \\ 4.70 \\ 10.28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.58 \\ 3.90 \\ 8.77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.18 \\ 3.99 \\ 6.27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.34 \\ & 7.68 \\ & 14.03 \end{aligned}$ | : : |
| Toral Meat | 65.99 | 51.14 | $36 \cdot 8 \mathrm{I}$ | 30.04 | $27 \cdot 35$ | 24.44 | $45 \cdot 05$ | 3 |
| Presh fish All other fiah | $\begin{aligned} & 7.78 \\ & 5.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \cdot 73 \\ 3 \cdot 71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 23 \\ & 2 \cdot 54 \end{aligned}$ | 3.37 1.92 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.68 \\ & 1.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 33 \\ & 1 \cdot 3 \mathbf{3 1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 35 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Total Fish | 13.17 | $9 \cdot 44$ | 6.77 | 5.29 | 5.44 | 3.64 | $8 \cdot 35$ |  |
| Sugar <br> Premerven. | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \cdot 39 \\ 3.05 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \cdot 18 \\ 4.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.40 \\ 3.78 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.99 \\ 3.56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.74 \\ 5.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.58 \\ 3.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.04 \\ 5.08 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 24.44 | 24.99 | 20. 88 | 19.55 | 23.75 | 19.23 | 27.12 | , |
| Potatoct . <br> Presh green vegetuble Other vegerablee | $\begin{aligned} & 60.33 \\ & 29.53 \\ & 29.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \cdot 62 \\ & 24.22 \\ & 23 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.40 \\ & 55.64 \\ & 16.05 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.76 \\ & 12.76 \\ & 14.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 32 \\ & 11.94 \\ & 24.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.30 \\ & 9.01 \\ & 12.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.70 \\ & 20.77 \\ & 19.40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 |
| Total Vagetables | 119.65 | 125.37 | 86.09 | 75.66 | 94.49 | 76.07 | 101-87 | $\pi$ |
| Fresh fruit Other frult | $\begin{aligned} & 53.87 \\ & 13.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.42 \\ 12.77 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \cdot 18 \\ 9.24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.52 \\ 7.81 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.11 \\ 6.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.61 \\ 6.51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \cdot 13 \\ 9 \cdot 60 \end{array}$ | 2 |
| Toral Pruit | 67.26 | $56 \cdot 19$ | 40.25 | 37.33 | 32.92 | 29.12 | 41.73 | 3 |
| National bread . White bread Wholemeal bread Other bread | $\begin{gathered} 40.64 \\ 0.61 \\ 3.94 \\ 7.38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.53 \\ 3.02 \\ 4.35 \\ 6.25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.58 \\ 0.89 \\ 2.84 \\ 2.74 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.20 \\ 0.28 \\ 1.64 \\ 2.24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.03 \\ 0.56 \\ 1.70 \\ 1.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 \cdot 00 \\ 1 \cdot 01 \\ 1 \cdot 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.87 \\ 0.46 \\ 2.41 \\ 3.30 \end{gathered}$ | 4 |
| Toral Bread | $52 \cdot 57$ | 56.15 | $4 \cdot 05$ | 39.36 | +0.00 | 43.31 | 57.04 |  |
| Plour <br> Cakes <br> Bitacult: <br> Oltmeal and ant products Brealfait cercals Other cereals | $\begin{aligned} & 7.69 \\ & 5.12 \\ & 8.90 \\ & 1.54 \\ & 1.75 \\ & 3.68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.56 \\ 7.72 \\ 7.86 \\ 1.59 \\ 2.50 \\ 2.80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.166 \\ & 5.63 \\ & 6.58 \\ & 1.76 \\ & 1.99 \\ & 4.40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.87 \\ & 4 \cdot 8 \mathrm{I} \\ & 5 \cdot 49 \\ & \mathrm{I} \cdot 40 \\ & 2.57 \\ & 3.99 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 10 \\ & 3.86 \\ & 4.90 \\ & 1.09 \\ & 2.63 \\ & 2.67 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.70 \\ & 2.85 \\ & 5.14 \\ & 1.64 \\ & 1.80 \\ & 2.36 \end{aligned}$ | 9.27 5.13 5.86 0.80 2.88 2.87 |  |
| Total Cereals | 80.65 | $90 \cdot 80$ | $70 \cdot 57$ | 63.97 | 62.25 | 63.00 | 83.65 | 7 |
| Ten Cofter Cocon Braoded food dinnks | $\begin{aligned} & 3.67 \\ & 3.05 \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.78 \\ & 1.50 \\ & 0.24 \\ & 0.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.58 \\ & 0.47 \\ & 0.29 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91 \\ & 0.45 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78 \\ & 0.47 \\ & 0.32 \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.73 \\ & 0.06 \\ & 0.30 \\ & 0.37 \end{aligned}$ | 3.25 0.56 0.06 0.15 |  |
| Tocal Brourages | 6.83 | 5.74 | 3.52 | 2.65 | $2 \cdot 71$ | $2 \cdot 76$ | 4.02 | ${ }^{2}$ |


116. The consumption data for the chief sources of vitamin C, given in Table 38, also show interesting trends. For the consumption of potatoes and fresh green vegetables it appears that family composition is a more important determinant than social class, but for fresh fruit class seems to become predominant, though family composition plays a part. Thus, while the largest families in Class A obtained about half as much fresh fruit per head as the younger couples, in the other classes the proportion was little more than a third. Couples in Classes C \& DI obtained 58 per cent as much as those in Class A, but the largest families in Classes C \& DI obtained only 42 per cent as much per head as their counterparts in Class A.
117. Although the general impression from Table 39 is that for nutrient intake, as for consumption, there was more similarity between the diets of families of like composition than between families of dissimilar composition belonging to the same class, social class appears to have been of comparable importance for some nutrients,

TABLE 37
Summary of the Consumption of the Main Sources of Protein and Calcium (per head per week)

| Food | Class | Classified households with one male and one female adult and |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | no other (both under 55) | children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $I$ | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| All milk <br> (pt. or eq. pt.) | A B $C \& D_{I}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 29 \\ & 5 \cdot 58 \\ & 5 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 62 \\ & 5 \cdot 61 \\ & 5 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 96 \\ & 5 \cdot 44 \\ & 5 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 90 \\ & 5 \cdot 00 \\ & 4 \cdot 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 70 \\ & 4 \cdot 47 \\ & 4 \cdot 43 \end{aligned}$ |
| All cheese . (oz.) | $A$ B $C \& D I$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 18 \\ & 3 \cdot 77 \\ & 3 \cdot 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 88 \\ & 2 \cdot 92 \\ & 2 \cdot 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 21 \\ & 2 \cdot 35 \\ & 2 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 03 \\ & 2 \cdot 13 \\ & 1 \cdot 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I} \cdot 42 \\ & \mathrm{I} .66 \\ & \mathrm{I} .59 \end{aligned}$ |
| Eggs . . (No.) | $A$ $B$ $C \& D_{I}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 63 \\ & 5.63 \\ & 5.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 50 \\ & 4 \cdot 59 \\ & 4 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 34 \\ & 3 \cdot 85 \\ & 3 \cdot 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 00 \\ & 3 \cdot 58 \\ & 3 \cdot 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.51 \\ & 3.04 \\ & 2.64 \end{aligned}$ |
| All meat , (oz.) | A B $C \& D_{I}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \cdot 14 \\ & 47 \cdot 86 \\ & 46 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \cdot 81 \\ & 34 \cdot 94 \\ & 34 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \cdot 04 \\ & 28 \cdot 33 \\ & 27 \cdot 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \cdot 25 \\ & 25 \cdot 02 \\ & 23.63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \cdot 44 \\ & 2 \mathrm{I} \cdot 2 \mathrm{I} \\ & 19 \cdot 96 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fish . . (oz.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ B \\ C \& D_{I} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 44 \\ & 7 \cdot 38 \\ & 7 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 77 \\ & 5 \cdot 61 \\ & 5 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 29 \\ & 4 \cdot 40 \\ & 4 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 44 \\ & 4 \cdot 54 \\ & 3 \cdot 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 64 \\ & 4 \cdot 00 \\ & 2 \cdot 79 \end{aligned}$ |
| Flour . . (oz.) | $\begin{gathered} A \\ \mathbf{B} \\ C \& D_{I} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \cdot 56 \\ & 10 \cdot 12 \\ & 10 \cdot 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 16 \\ & 8 \cdot 20 \\ & 8 \cdot 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.57 \\ & 6.75 \\ & 7.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 10 \\ & 6 \cdot 35 \\ & 7 \cdot 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 70 \\ & 6 \cdot 32 \\ & 5 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| All bread . (oz.) | A B $C \& B r$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \cdot 15 \\ & 62 \cdot 71 \\ & 68 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.05 \\ & 50.83 \\ & 54.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \cdot 36 \\ & 46 \cdot 08 \\ & 5 I \cdot 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \cdot 00 \\ & 45 \cdot 70 \\ & 50 \cdot 80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 31 \\ & 52 \cdot 01 \\ & 50 \cdot 63 \end{aligned}$ |

particularly vitamins A and C. In the comparison of nutrient intake with the recommendations of the British Medical Association (Table 40) the influences of both class and family size are apparent. For energy and nutrients there were almost without exception decreasing trends from Class A to Classes C \& Dr in each household type, and from adult families to those with four or more children in each class. Households containing adolescents with or without children followed the general pattern.
118. In families with three children, the protein and calcium proportions were 95 per cent or less in Classes B and C \& Dr. In the small sample of households in Class Di (not shown separately), some of which were probably affected by temporary unemployment or sickness, the incidence of values below 95 per cent began at the second child; this was caused by the low consumption of main dish animal protein foods. In households with four or more children the protein and calcium percentages were 95 or less even in Class A. Thus to some extent the position for households with three children could be improved by a rise in real income, which would increase their consumption of milk, cheese, eggs, meat and fish, but that for the largest families could only be rectified by a change (not a radical one) in the pattern of the diet: for example, by relatively greater consumption of milk products.

TABLE 38
Summary of the Consumption of the Chief Sources of Vitamin C (oz. per head per woek)

| Food | Class | Classified households with one male and one female adult and |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | no other (both under 55) | children only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | I | 2 | 3 | 4 or more |
| Potatoes | $\begin{gathered} A \\ B \\ C \& D_{I} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \cdot 62 \\ & 66 \cdot 44 \\ & 71 \cdot 99 \end{aligned}$ | 54.40 $64 \cdot 27$ $64 \cdot 92$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \cdot 76 \\ & 58 \cdot 27 \end{aligned}$ $58 \cdot 48$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \cdot 32 \\ & 58 \cdot 74 \\ & 62 \cdot 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 30 \\ & 64 \cdot 86 \\ & 50 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fresh green vegetables | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} \& \mathrm{DI}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \cdot 22 \\ & 22 \cdot 39 \\ & 20 \cdot 96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.64 \\ & 15.46 \\ & 13.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.20 \\ & 12.50 \\ & 12.83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \cdot 94 \\ 10 \cdot 56 \\ 7 \cdot 76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 01 \\ & 9 \cdot 17 \\ & 7 \cdot 79 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fresh fruit . | $\begin{gathered} A \\ B \\ C \& D I \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 42 \\ & 30 \cdot 96 \\ & 25 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \cdot 01 \\ & 24 \cdot 26 \\ & 19 \cdot 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \cdot 52 \\ & 19 \cdot 25 \\ & 16.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \cdot 1 \mathrm{II} \\ & 15 \cdot 84 \\ & 11 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \cdot 6 I \\ 1 \mathrm{I} \cdot 4 \mathrm{I} \\ 9 \cdot 4 \mathrm{I} \end{array}$ |

119. For households containing both adolescents and children, the protein intake in Class A was 96 per cent of the recommended allowance and calcium 108 per cent, mainly because of an average liquid milk consumption of 5.6 pt . per head per week; but in the other classes milk consumption was no higher than in the corresponding families with four or more children, and the diet thus provided only 86 per cent of the estimated requirements of protein in Class B and 83 per cent in Classes C \& Dr. The calcium position was improved by their high consumption of national bread, and the corresponding percentages were 91 and 87 .
120. Of the other nutrients, iron was below 95 per cent only in families with four or more children in Classes C \& Di (93 per cent), and riboflavin in the same subgroup (91 per cent) and in families with children and adolescents in Class B (94 per cent) and Classes C \& Di ( 86 per cent). The percentages for the other B vitamins and for vitamin A were uniformly higher than for riboflavin. The range for vitamin C was from 415 per cent in the sub-group most favoured economically to 165 in the least.
121. The protein, calcium and ribofiavin content of school meals recommended by the Ministry of Education* is greater than the amount allowed in Table 40 for the

TABLE 39
Energy Value and Nutrient Content of the Diet
Households of Different Composition woithin Social Classes, 1955


* Ministry of Education Circular 290, 5th August, 1955.
nutritive value of lunches eaten outside the home by schoolchildren. Hence the percentages in that table may be underestimated for households with children; but even if it is assumed that all meals recorded as being eaten by schoolchildren outside the home were school meals of the recommended composition, the percentages for protein and calcium would not be raised by more than 2 per cent, or for riboflavin by more than 5 per cent, even in the largest families; for smaller families the in-

TABLE 40
Households of Different Composition voithin Social Classes, 1955 Comparison of Energy Value and Nutrient Content of the Diet woith allowances based on the British Medical Association's Recommendations.
(per cent)

creases would have been less. There would also be increases for all other nutrients, but as these were already above 100 per cent the adjustments are not of such interest.
122. In Table 4 I are shown the percentages of the energy value of the diet derived from protein, fat and carbohydrate, and the proportion of protein from animal sources. The differences in the consumption of animal protein foods were discussed in paragraph 114, and the differences in the intake of protein and in the adequacy of intake in paragraphs 118 and 119 . These differences are illustrated in another way in the proportions of total protein derived from animal sources, which are shown in Table 39; these decreased with increasing family size in Classes B and C \& Dr, and within each family type from Class A to Classes C \& Dr. Nevertheless, the proportions of calories derived from total protein were remarkably little affected by class or, except in Class A, by family size. With only one exception (the small group of families with four or more children in Class A) the proportion of energy derived from fat decreased with increasing family size and with decreasing income, while the reverse occurred for carbohydrate. Similar trends appeared for the families containing adolescents, with or without children.
123. The diet preferred by the younger adults who were least restrained either by family responsibilities or by economic limitations is clearly exhibited by the younger couples in Class AI (income of head over $£ 24$ per week) who spent 53 s. Iod. per head per week on food (18s. rold d. or 35 per cent on meat) and obtained 21 oz . per head of fats (of which io oz. was butter), 31 oz . of fresh meat, 17 oz . of bacon, exactly 7 eggs (enough to support the traditional breakfast of bacon and egg), 54 oz. of fresh fruit and nearly 30 oz . of fresh green vegetables. They spent nearly as

TABLE 41
Percentage of Energy Value derived from Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate, and of Protein from Animal Sources

|  | Class | Households with one male and one female adult and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | no other (both under 55) | children only |  |  |  | adolescents only | adoles- <br> cents and children |
|  |  |  | $I$ | 2 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Protein | A | II.9 | $12 \cdot 1$ | 11.8 | 11.5 | II•2 | 11.6 | II•7 |
|  | B | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 11.7 | II. 3 |
|  | C\&DI | II•7 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 11.7 | II 2 |
| Fat | A | $40 \cdot 1$ | 39'5 | $38 \cdot 2$ | 35.5 | $36 \cdot 8$ | $39 \cdot 2$ | $37 \cdot 5$ |
|  | B | 38-7 | 37-7 | $36 \cdot 5$ | $35 \cdot 2$ | $33 \cdot 3$ | 37. 5 | $34 \cdot 9$ |
|  |  | $37 \cdot 6$ | 36.7 | $35 \cdot 5$ | 33.9 | $32 \cdot 7$ | $36 \cdot 3$ | $33 \cdot 5$ |
| Carbohydrate | A | 48.0 | $48 \cdot 4$ | 50.0 | 53.0 | 51.9 | $49 \cdot 2$ | 50.8 |
|  | B | $49 \cdot 7$ | $50 \cdot 7$ | 51.9 | 53.3 | 55.4 | 50.8 | 53.8 |
|  | C\& DI | $50 \cdot 7$ | 51-8 | $53 \cdot 0$ | $54 \cdot 8$ | 55.9 | $52 \cdot 1$ | 55-3 |
| Animal prorein as percentage of rotal protein | A | 58.8 | $60 \cdot 6$ | 58.9 | $57 \cdot 2$ | 55.4 | $58 \cdot 6$ | $55 \cdot 7$ |
|  | $\mathbf{B}$ | $56 \cdot 5$ | $56 \cdot 3$ | 55.6 | 53.4 | $49 \cdot 6$ | 55.3 | $50 \cdot 2$ |
|  | C\&DI | $54 \cdot 9$ | 54.3 | 52.9 | $49 \cdot 7$ | $49 \cdot 6$ | $52 \cdot 6$ |  |

much on coffee as on tea. The energy value of their diet was 143 per cent and its protein content 150 per cent of the recommended allowances, though it is not suggested that such large quantities were in fact eaten. 64 per cent of the protein came from animal sources, and of the calories 12 per cent were obtained from protein, 46 per cent from fat and only 42 per cent from carbohydrate. These households obtained 100 mg . of vitamin $C$ and over 7,000 i.u. of vitamin A per head per day. Such a diet, suggestive of the gourmand rather than the gourmet, is confined to a negligibly small proportion of households, but the results are of some interest as showing what younger adults with large incomes and no dependants regard as desirable, since the habits of this group may extend to others as living standards rise.

## VI

# Geographical Differences in the Household Diet 

## Composition of the Sample: Regions

124. In Studies in Urban Household Diets, 1944-49* a chapter was devoted t regional variations in the diets of urban working-class households in the year 1949 Since then no regional analysis has been made except that in the Annual Repor for 1953 a special analysis of the Scottish sample by household composition wa included at the request of the Department of Health for Scotland. It appeare opportune, therefore, to analyse the data for 1955 on a regional basis, since thi was the first year of complete freedom from rationing, and any significant difference found would be likely to represent inherent dietary characteristics. The distribution of the regions is indicated in Table I of Appendix A. Although the general sampls is representative of Great Britain as a whole, the areas sampled in any one regior are not necessarily completely representative of that region, but the consistency of quarterly analyses, taken in conjunction with the 1949 analysis, do enable certain distinctive characteristics of the regions to be brought out.

TABLE 42
Composition of the Sample by Region

| Region | No. of households | Percentage of all households | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { persons } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage of all persons | Population of Regions as percentage of total population of Great Britain (R.G's figures) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wales | 618 | $5 \cdot 9$ | 1,938 | $5 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 3$ |
| Scotland | 1,009 | $9 \cdot 7$ | 3,499 | 10.5 | $10 \cdot 4$ |
| Northern and East and West Ridings . | 1,534 | 14.7 | 4,943 | 14.8 | 14.8 |
| North Western | 1,296 | 12.4 | 4,051 | 12.1 | 13.2 |
| North Midland and Eastern | 1,501 | 14.4 | 4,703 | 14•1 | $13 \cdot 3$ |
| Midland | 914 | $8 \cdot 7$ | 2,976 | 8.9 | $9 \cdot 0$ |
| South Western | 694 | 6:6 | 2,255 | $6 \cdot 8$ | $6 \cdot 2$ |
| South Eastern and Southern . | 1,306 | 12.5 | 4,112 | $12 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 7$ |
| London | 1,581 | 15.1 | 4,904 | 14.7 | 17'1 |
| All households | 10,453 | $100 \cdot 0$ | 33,38I | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ |

125. The regional distribution of persons and households is compared in Table 42 with the Registrars-General's Estimates of Civilian Population, 1955. The distribution of the sample is in good agreement with that of the population, except that there were rather too few informants from Greater London and too many from the adjoining counties.

[^15]126. Although a broad measure of social standardization was achieved by the regional grouping, there were differences, shown in Table 43, in household size and composition. The average number of children per household was highest in Scotland, as in 1949, and lowest in Wales, which was not distinguished in the earlier analysis. Scotland also had most adults per household and London fewest, but differences were small. Scotland again had the largest proportion of adolescents.

TABLE 43
Average Number of Persons per Household by Region

| Region | Children under 15 years | Adolescents aged 15 years and under $3 I$ | Adults |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { adults }}{\text { All }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Men |  | Women |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2I-64 | 65 and over | 21-59 | 60 and over |  |  |
| Wales | 0.75 | 0.22 | 0.87 | 0.16 | 0.86 | $0 \cdot 28$ | 2.17 | $3 \cdot 14$ |
| Scotland | 0.99 | $0 \cdot 28$ | 0.89 | 0.13 | 0.92 | 0.26 | $2 \cdot 20$ | $3 \cdot 47$ |
| Northern and East and West Ridings | 0.83 | 0.24 | 0.88 | 0.14 | 0.88 | 0.25 | 2•16 | $3 \cdot 22$ |
| North Western . | 0.82 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.85 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 0.27 | $2 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 13$ |
| North Midland and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern | 0.77 | 0.21 | 0.86 | $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.84 | 0.29 | $2 \cdot 15$ | 3.13 |
| Midland | 0.92 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.89 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.87 | 0.24 | $2 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 26$ |
| Scuth Western | 0.87 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.87 | $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.85 | $0 \cdot 31$ | $2 \cdot 19$ | $3 \cdot 25$ |
| South Eastern and Southern | 0.80 | 0.20 | 0.85 | 0.16 | 0.87 | 0.28 | $2 \cdot 15$ | $3 \cdot 15$ |
| London. | 0.79 | 0.23 | 0.86 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.89 | 0.23 | $2 \cdot 08$ | $3 \cdot 10$ |
| All households . | 0.83 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.87 | 0.14 | 0.87 | 0.27 | $2 \cdot 14$ | $3 \cdot 19$ |

## Composition of the Sample: Urban and Rural Areas

127. Since 1952 the sample has also been classified according to degree of urbanization. These analyses have shown that, although the average value per head of food obtained for household consumption was much the same in rural as in urban areas, there were substantial differences in the patterns of expenditure and consumption. In the Annual Report for 1954 households in the seven major conurbations* were distinguished from those in other urban administrative areas, and in the present report the London conurbation has been treated separately from the others. Thus, Greater London is treated as a standard region as well as a conurbation.
128. Table 3 of Appendix A gives the numbers of households and of persons in the sample representing each of the four types of area in each quarter of the year. Households in conurbations included 32.9 per cent of the persons in the sample (London 14.7 per cent, provincial 18.2 per cent); 44.2 per cent lived in other urban areas, and 22.9 per cent in rural districts. The average size of household was

[^16]3. 10 in London, 3.19 in provincial conurbations, $3 \cdot 16$ in other urban areas and 3.33 in rural areas. Except in London, the average household size was slightly but consistently smaller than in 1954 .
129. As in previous years, the proportion of households and of persons in Class B was greatest in the conurbations and least in the rural sample, while for Class $\mathbf{C}$

TABLE 44
Social Class Distribution of Urban and Rural Samples, 1955
(per cent)

| Social Class | Proportion of households |  |  |  |  | Proportion of persons |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Conurbations |  | Other urban | Rurral | All | Conurbations |  | Other urban | Rumal | All |
|  | London | Provincial |  |  |  | London | Provincial |  |  |  |
| Ar . | 2.7 | 2.9 | $2 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | 2.9 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | 2.8 |
| A2. | 9.6 | 9•1 | $6 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 4$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | 9.9 | $10 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
| B | $44 \cdot 7$ | $39 \cdot 5$ | $35 \cdot 8$ | $32 \cdot 9$ | $37 \cdot 1$ | 50.3 | $44 \cdot 1$ | $39 \cdot 7$ | 35-8 | $41 \cdot 2$ |
| C. | 22.4 | 21-3 | 28.9 | $32 \cdot 9$ | 27.4 | 22.4 | $22 \cdot 0$ | 31'1 | $35 \cdot 3$ | 29.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DI (with } \\ & \text { earners) } \end{aligned}$ | II•S | 16.2 | $13 \cdot 8$ | 12.7 | 13.6 | $10 \cdot 2$ | 15.7 | 13.0 | 11.8 | 12.8 |
| Dz (without earners) | 3.3 | $3 \cdot 7$ | 4.5 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 17 | 1.9 | 2.5 | I•6 | $2 \cdot 1$ |
| O.A.P. - | 5.8 | $7 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 5$ | $8 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 9$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | 4.1 | $4 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 7$ |
| All . | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |
| No. of households | 1,581 | 1,899 | 4,676 | 2,297 | 10,453 | - | - | - | - | - |
| No. of pertoms . | , | - | - | - | - | 4,904 | 6,064 | 14,771 | 7,642 | 33,381 |

TABLE 45
Age and Sex Distribution of Persons in Urban and Rural Households, 1955
(per cent)

| Category | Conurbations |  | Other urban | Rural | All households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | London | Provincial |  |  |  |
| Children under 15 | 25.6 | $27 \cdot 0$ | $25 \cdot 4$ | $26 \cdot 9$ | $26 \cdot 0$ |
| Adolescents $15-20^{1}$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | 6.5 | $6 \cdot 8$ |
| Men, 21-64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sedentary | 12.8 | 10.6 | 10.8 | $7 \cdot 0$ | 10.2 |
| Moderately active | 12.5 | 12.8 | 10.7 | $8 \cdot 7$ | 10.9 |
| Active or very active. | 2.5 | $3 \cdot 3$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | 11.6 | $6 \cdot 1$ |
| Men, 65 and over | $3 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | 4.5 | $5 \cdot 1$ | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| Women, 21-59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sedentary : | $17 \cdot 2$ | $18 \cdot 0$ | 19.4 | $19 \cdot 7$ | 18.9 |
| Moderately active | $10 \cdot 3$ | $8 \cdot 4$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | 7.1 |
| Active or pregnant | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | $1 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 4$ |
| Women, 60 and over | $7 \cdot 4$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $8 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
| Total . | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ | 100.0 |

${ }^{1}$ The proportions of adolescents were affected by National Service.
the difference was reversed (Table 44). There were relatively more members of Class Ai and Class C in rural districts than in any type of urban area. Class A families were more strongly represented in the great cities and the rural areas than in the smaller towns, which contained the highest proportions of Class D2 and old age pensioner households, probably because of the movement of population out of the cities upon retirement as well as the greater ease with which elderly persons remaining there can obtain employment. The proportion of households and persons in Class DI was greatest in the provincial conurbations.
130. London had the highest proportion of sedentary and the lowest of active or very active male workers; in rural areas the position was reversed (Table 45). The proportion of women of working age classified as non-sedentary increased with the degree of urbanization, no doubt because a higher proportion of married women undertake outside employment in the larger towns than in the country. A housewife with no other employment is classified as sedentary. The proportion of men aged 65 or more was lowest in London and highest in the rural sample, but for elderly women the percentage was greatest in the smaller towns.

## Quarterly Changes in Expenditure and Value of Consumption

131. Quarterly estimates of food expenditure and value of consumption in regions and urban and rural areas in 1955 are given in Table 46. Domestic food expenditure was 5 per cent above the average for Great Britain in the Midlands and London and 8 per cent below in the South West. Differences were less pronounced in the 1949 analysis, the South and East being 3 per cent below and the South West (then including South Wales and confined to urban areas) highest at 3 per cent above. In most cases regional differences tended to occur within the broad food groups rather than between them, a conspicuous exception being the preference for cereal foods in Scotland. In 1955, as in 1949, London and Scotland were at opposite ends of the expenditure scale for cereals. The tendency to spend relatively more on meat and fats and less on cereals and vegetables was common to all regions.
132. Domestic food expenditure per head in London and the other great cities was about 11 per cent higher than in rural households; in other urban areas the yearly average was 6 per cent higher. Corresponding differences in 1954 were 13 and 8 per cent respectively. Free supplies valued at current retail prices amounted to 25.5 d . per head per week in rural areas ( 9 per cent of the total value of consumption, as in 1954), 8 d . ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent) in urban areas outside the conurbations, 2 d . in provincial conurbations and 4 d . in London (about I per cent of the value of consumption).
133. When the value of free supplies is added to total food expenditure to arrive at the total value of food obtained for consumption, as in Table 46, the differences between regions and between urban and rural areas are reduced, the South West approaches the average, and Scotland drops from 2 per cent above the average for expenditure to 3 per cent below for value of consumption. There was no obvious geographical interpretation of the seasonal variations in value of consumption.

## Free Supplies

134. Table 47 gives details of the quantities of the more important kinds of free supplies, and the total value of free food. The largest contributions were made by fresh vegetables and fruit, eggs and milk. The value of free food ranged from $2 s .8 i$.
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table 47 continued
(oz. per head per week except where otherwise stated)

per person per week in the South West to 4 d . in London, and from 2s. 5 d . in rural areas to 2d. in provincial conurbations. In the South West, free supplies contributed 62 per cent of the total of fresh green vegetables, 32 per cent of that of potatoes, 24 per cent of fresh fruit and 28 per cent of eggs, and 12 per cent of liquid milk other than welfare and school milk. Corresponding percentages in London were $8,2,7,2$ and 0 ; in Scotland 28, 13, 6, 8 and 8; and in the rural areas 61, 36, 21, 34 and 17. In all regions except London, potatoes accounted for over half the free vegetables and in Scotland almost three-quarters. In general, the absolute and percentage contributions of free supplies were lower than in 1954 for fresh green vegetables (except sprouts) and fresh fruit (except stone fruit) but higher for root vegetables and onions.

## Consumption, Expenditure and Prices: Individual Foods

135. Details of expenditure and consumption for the main food groups are given in Tables 48 and 49 and for all foods by regions in Appendix E, Tables 1 and 2. A Laspeyres-type price index, in which the weights assigned to different foods are taken from the sample of all households, indicates that the average level of food prices paid by housewives was highest in Scotland and Wales, especially for fruit, fresh green vegetables and fish, and (in Scotland) fresh meat and bacon. Food prices were lowest in London and the south of England, though only about 2 per cent below the average for Great Britain. In the London area incomes were highest and domestic requirements of energy and all nutrients lowest, because of the high proportion of meals taken outside the home by London workers, as well as the low physical requirements of their type of work (see Table 45). The cost per calorie was greatest in the London diet and least in rural areas.
136. A comparison with 1954 indicates that the increase in consumption of butter, fish, sugar, fresh and other fruit, cakes and biscuits and the decrease in margarine were common to all types of area. The average consumption per head of carcase meat rose except in the smaller towns, that of bacon was almost the same as in 1954, and that of other meat and meat products rose in the rural households but declined elsewhere. The consumption of eggs also fell, except in rural areas where it was unchanged. Liquid milk consumption, on the other hand, declined in rural districts almost to the urban level. The consumption of preserves increased in the country but declined a little in the towns. Potato consumption decreased everywhere, although in rural areas only slightly. The rural households obtained smaller quantities of fresh green vegetables but more of other vegetables; in the towns there was little change in either. Flour purchases were maintained at a high level in rural areas, but showed a reduction elsewhere. There was little change in the demand for tea and other beverages.
137. In spite of these tendencies for town and country to move in different directions, most of the findings of the 1954 analysis were confirmed in 1955. The rural households had the greatest consumption of natural cheese, butter, bread, sugar and preserves, and used much more flour and rather more cooking fats than others. Their consumption of liquid milk and fresh green vegetables was exceeded only in London, and of bacon only in the provincial conurbations. On the other hand, the rural households had the lowest averages for fish, "other" vegetables, fresh fruit, biscuits and tea (but the highest for cocoa and branded drinks). They had the highest consumption of and expenditure on oatmeal, but the lowest on other breakfast cereals. Rural
consumption of dried, canned and bottled fruit was above and of fresh fruit below the national average.

MILR AND CHEESE
138. Consumption of liquid milk was greatest in London ( 5.2 pt . per head per week) with the Midland and South Eastern and Southern regions next. As in 1949, the smallest consumption was found in the North East ( $4 \cdot \mathrm{I} \mathrm{pt}$.). Consumption in towns other than London was below the national average, while that in rural areas equalled the national average, which was nearly $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{pt}$. less per head per week than in London. Variations in expenditure followed those in consumption, except in the rural areas where a high proportion ( 17 per cent) of milk, other than welfare and school milk, was obtained free of charge. Welfare and school milk consumption was highest in the Midlands, London, Scotland and the provincial conurbations, although in London the number of children under 15 was below the national average. Processed milks showed little variation in consumption or price between regions, but consumption was much higher than in 1949 under points rationing.
139. The consumption of cheese ranged from 32 per cent above the average for Great Britain in the South West to 30 per cent below in the North East. The corresponding range in expenditure was from 20 per cent above to 18 per cent below the average. Even under rationing, consumption had been relatively low in the North East of England. Consumption in rural areas was is per cent above the national average and in provincial conurbations 16 per cent below.
meat
140. The consumption of carcase meat was highest in London, with the Midland region next, and lowest in Scotland, with a range of 20 per cent on either side of the average for Great Britain. Beef consumption exceeded that of mutton and lamb except in London. Of the fresh meat obtained by the Scottish households, 79 per cent was beef, compared with 63 per cent in 1949 and 67 per cent in 1953, under rationing. Pork consumption was highest in the North Midland and Eastern area ( 17 per cent of all carcase meat) and lowest ( 4 per cent) in Scotland. The average price paid for carcase meat was highest in Scotland (19 per cent above the average for Great Britain) and lowest in London ( 5 per cent below). Thus the range in expenditure was smaller than that for consumption. Carcase meat accounted for 14 per cent of all expenditure on food in Great Britain as a whole and in most regions, but for 16 per cent in the South West; in 1949, under rationing, the figure was only 9 per cent for all regions.
141. Consumption of uncooked bacon and ham was greatest in the Midlands with 128 per cent of the average for Great Britain, Scotland having the smallest consumption at 61 per cent of the average. The 1949 analysis showed little regional variation because of rationing. The corresponding range in expenditure was smaller than that for consumption ( 25 per cent above to 22 per cent below the average). Without Scotland, the ranges would be: consumption +28 to -10 ; expenditure +25 to -12. The average price in Scotland was 27 per cent above the average for Great Britain, but the London price was 5 per cent below the average. London households bought about $\frac{3}{4}$ oz. less per head than those in provincial conurbations and over $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{oz}$. less than those in rural areas.
142. The consumption of sausages was fairly uniform except for Scotland, 39 per cent above the average for Great Britain, and the North West, 29 per cent below. The Scottish preference for beef also found expression here, since Scotland was the
onty region where more beef sausages than pork were purchased ( 76 per cent beef, 24 per cent pork, compared with the general average of 35 per cent beef and 65 per cent pork). In 1949 and 1953, the consumption of sausages in Scotland had been 40 per cent and 28 per cent respectively above the average for Great Britain. Expenditure on this commodity ranged between 35 per cent above the average in Scotland to 30 per cent below in the North West. Except in Scotland, prices averaged about 2 s . 9 d . per lb . for pork sausages and 2 s . I $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. for beef, but in Scotland they were $25.7 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. and 2 s . $5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. respectively.
43. Regional variations in other types of meat and poultry are given in Tables i and 2 of Appendix E. The large demand for bones north of the Border was noticed in the earlier analysis and is associated with the Scottish liking for soup.

FISH
14. Fish consumption was highest in London and the North East, with the provincial cities not far behind, and lowest in the South West, the range being from + II to -14 per cent. The regional range was narrower than in 1949, when it was from +19 in London to -13 in Scotland. The large consumption of cooked fish in the Northern and East and West Ridings area and the N. Midland and Eastern rea, 83 and 39 per cent respectively above the general average, was associated with a correspondingly high consumption of chips in these areas, exceeding the average by 72 and 4I per cent respectively. Households in Wales had the highest woral expenditure on 6ish, closely followed by the North East. The expenditure range was +13 to -21 , with the South West lowest; in 1949 the range was from +14 in the North East to -10 in the South West. Fish prices were generally highest in Scotland and Wales and lowest in London and the South and South East.

EGGS
45. Scotland recorded the highest consumption of eggs and the Midlands the lowest, the range being from +18 to - II per cent. In 1949, with controlled distribution, Scotland had shown the lowest and the Midlands the highest figure, but by 1953 Scortish consumption was already 9 per cent above the average for Great Britain. Expenditure was also highest in Scotland, the range being from +22 to - 22 per cent, with the South West and the South and South East lowest and next lowest because of the availability of "free" supplies, which also helped to keep prices in these areas below the national average. Egg prices were highest in Wales and lowest in London.

## fats

146. Consumption of fats was highest in Wales chiefly because of a high butter consumption: 52 per cent of the total consumption of fats compared with 38 per cent in Great Britain as a whole. With this usage of butter rather than margarine in Wales went the highest bread consumption in Great Britain (see paragraph 154). The lowest consumption of fats was found in Scottish households, mainly because of their comparatively small use of cooking fats: as their low flour usage indicates, they do not practice much home baking, though they buy large quantities of flour confectionery. The range in consumption of fats in 1949 under rationing was smaller, but even then Scotland was at the bottom of the scale for fats in general and cooking fats in particular. The high consumption of cooking fats and suet and dripping in the North Midland and Eastern regions and in the North East, together with their high flour purchases, no doubt reflects the prevalence of home-baking in this area as a whole, which was noticed in the 1949 analysis and has persisted since before the war. The range in expenditure on fats was from +25 per cent in Welsh
TABLE 48
 (pence per head per week)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { house- } \\ \text { holds } \end{gathered}$ | Ragion or Type of Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wales | Scorland | Northern and East and West Ridings | North Western | North Midland and <br> Eastern | Midland | Sourh Western | South Eastorn and Southern | Conurbations |  | Other urban | Toral urban | Rural |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | London | Provincial |  |  |  |
| liquid milx <br> Retail <br> Welfare. | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \cdot 39 \\ 1.04 \end{array}$ | 25.34 0.85 | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \cdot 55 \\ 1.04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \cdot 15 \\ 0.92 \end{gathered}$ | 27.69 1.08 | $\begin{gathered} 27.39 \\ 0.97 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \cdot 00 \\ 1 \cdot 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.68 \\ 0.86 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \cdot 63 \\ 1.04 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \cdot 60 \\ 1.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \cdot 38 \\ 1 \cdot 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.82 \\ 0.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \cdot 38 \\ 1 \cdot 06 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.06 \\ 0.98 \end{array}$ |
| Toral Liquid Milk | 28.43 | 26.19 | 26.59 | 24.07 | 28.77 | 28.36 | 31.22 | 27.54 | 29.67 | 32.83 | 29.50 | 28.30 | 29.44 | 25.04 |
| Condensed Dried and other | 1.22 0.39 | 1.26 0.26 | 0.48 0.32 | 1.45 0.45 | 1.48 0.49 | 1.34 0.25 | 1.09 0.60 | 0.78 0.09 | 1.34 0.38 0. | 1.20 0.52 | 1.18 0.53 | 1.28 0.32 | 1.24 0.41 | 1.17 0.33 |
| Cream . . | 0.75 | 1.44 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.79 | $1 \cdot 34$ | 0.77 | 0.89 | 0.56 | 0.79 | 0.75 | 0.74 |
| Toral Milk and Cream | 30.79 | 29.15 | 27.80 | 26.48 | $31 \cdot 34$ | 30.62 | $33 \cdot 70$ | 29.75 | $32 \cdot 36$ | 35.44 | $35 \cdot 77$ | 30.69 | 3r-84 | 27.28 |
| CHEsse <br> Excluding processed or packeted Processed or packeted | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 68 \\ t \cdot 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 68 \\ & x \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.62 \\ & 1 \cdot 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 57 \\ & x \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.84 \\ & 0.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.54 \\ & 1.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.92 \\ & 0.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.20 \\ & 0.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 70 \\ & 1 \cdot 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 24 \\ & 1 \cdot 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 15 \\ & 1 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 56 \\ & 1 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 40 \\ & 1 \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.63 \\ 1.09 \end{array}$ |
| Total Chease | $5 \cdot 87$ | 5.85 | 5.04 | 4.78 | 5.76 | 5.78 | 6.88 | 7.06 | 6.93 | 5.66 | $5 \cdot 29$ | 5.74 | 5.62 | 6.72 |
| meat <br> Curcase. <br> Becon and hurn, uncooked Other ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.97 \\ & 14.30 \\ & 25.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 40 \\ & 16 \cdot 36 \\ & 26 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \cdot 28 \\ & 11 \cdot 19 \\ & 29 \cdot 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.20 \\ & 15.69 \\ & 27.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 29 \\ & 15 \cdot 30 \\ & 28 \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.84 \\ & 14.56 \\ & 24.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \cdot 68 \\ & 18 \cdot 01 \\ & 24.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.66 \\ & 12.64 \\ & 21.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \cdot 71 \\ & 12.56 \\ & 23.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.66 \\ & 13.42 \\ & 25.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.64 \\ & 15.62 \\ & 28.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 12 \\ & 13.90 \\ & 25.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \cdot 68 \\ & 14 \cdot 21 \\ & 26 \cdot 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.09 \\ & 14.64 \\ & 24.70 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Meas | 84.71 | 86.88 | 82.44 | $86 \cdot 50$ | 86.81 | 84.37 | 89.67 | 78.50 | 78.37 | 88.27 | 88.70 | 82.64 | 85.10 | 83.43 |
| FISH <br> Freah and processed ${ }^{2}$ <br> Prepared | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 38 \\ & 3 \cdot 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 37 \\ & 3 \cdot 4 x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.76 \\ & 1.98 \end{aligned}$ | 6.94 5.68 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \cdot 10 \\ & 4 \cdot 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 15 \\ & 4 \cdot 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.97 \\ & 4.39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.44 \\ & 2.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.91 \\ & 2.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 86 \\ & 3 \cdot 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.98 \\ & 4.64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 53 \\ & 4 \cdot 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 68 \\ & 4 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.28 \\ 3.26 \end{array}$ |
| Tocal Fick . | 11938 | 12.78 | 11.67 | 12.62 | 12.58 | 10.75 | 10.36 | 8.89 | 9.89 | 11.74 | 12.62 | 11.57 | 15.83 | $9 \cdot 54$ |
| nogs . . . . | 17.35 | 16.76 | 21.11 | 28.25 | 18.00 | 15.80 | 16.83 | 13.48 | 15.22 | $18 \cdot 94$ | 19.50 | 18.16 | 18.60 | $13 \cdot 20$ |

Gegraphical Differences in the Household Diet
TABLE 48 continuced
(pence per head per roeek)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { hous- } \\ \text { holds } \end{gathered}$ | Resion or Type of Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wales | Scotland | Northern and East Ridings and Wast | NorthWastern | North Midland and Eastern | Midland | South Wostern | South Battern and Soulhern | Conurbations |  | Other urban | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Toral } \\ & \text { urban } \end{aligned}$ | Rural |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | London | Provincial |  |  |  |
| gats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butter | 12.90 | 19.80 | 12.64 | 12.60 | 11.69 | 12.51 | 13.52 | 13.82 | 12.44 | 11.72 | 12.19 | 13.13 | 12.64 | 13.78 |
| Margarine | 6.03 | 4.89 | $6 \cdot 18$ | 6.61 | 7.42 | 5.99 | 5.78 | $5 \cdot 30$ | 5.80 | 5.43 | 6.34 | 6.06 | 6.01 | 6.18 |
| Lard and compound cooking fat | $3 \cdot 02$ | 3.43 | 1.75 | 3.59 | 2.93 | 3.85 | 3.47 | 3.07 | 2.73 | $2 \cdot 41$ | $2 \cdot 83$ | $3 \cdot 13$ | 2.92 | 3.34 |
| Other . . . . . | 0.73 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.94 | 0.47 | 0.86 | 0.45 | $0 \cdot 71$ | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.62 |
| Total Fats | 22.70 | 28.44 | 21.09 | 23.74 | 22.51 | 23.31 | 23.32 | 22.90 | 21.87 | 20.49 | 21.98 | 33.09 | $22 \cdot 33$ | 23.85 |
| sugar and preserves <br> Sugar | 8.80 |  |  | $8 \cdot 28$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8.60 | 8.66 |  |  |  |
| Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle | 4.05 | $3 \cdot 54$ | 4.85 | $4 \cdot 39$ | 4.48 | 3.89 | $3 \cdot 22$ | $4 \cdot 26$ | $3 \cdot 81$ | $3 \cdot 71$ | 4.22 | 4.08 | 4.04 | 9.21 |
| Total Sugar and Preseroes | 12.85 | 12.75 | 12.85 | $12 \cdot 67$ | 13.60 | 12.76 | 12.88 | 13.03 | 12.95 | 12.38 | 12.88 | 12.78 | 12.72 | 13.32 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { VEGBTABLBS } \\ & \text { Potatoes }^{4} . \end{aligned}$ | 11.38 | 13.61 | 10.87 | 11.64 | 12.72 | $9 \cdot 59$ | 13.90 | $8 \cdot 34$ | $9 \cdot 14$ | 12.79 | 13.45 | 11.95 | 12.47 | 7.87 |
| Fresh green Other ${ }^{5}$. | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 00 \\ & 9.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.83 \\ 10.64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.47 \\ & 9.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.97 \\ 10.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.91 \\ 10.46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.77 \\ & 8.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \cdot 00 \\ & 9.8 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.62 \\ 6.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.90 \\ 8.78 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.61 \\ 10.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.45 \\ 10.84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.02 \\ & 9.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \mathrm{I} \\ 10.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.46 \\ & 7.90 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Vagetables other than Potatoes | 15.56 | 18.47 | 12.03 | 15.57 | $15 \cdot 37$ | 14.45 | 17.81 | 11.61 | 14.68 | 19.79 | 17. 29 | 15.76 | 16.90 | 11.36 |
| Total Vagetables | 26.94 | 33.08 | 22.90 | $27 \cdot 21$ | 28.09 | 24.04 | 31.71 | 19.95 | 23.82 | 32.58 | 30.74 | 37.71 | 29.37 | 19.23 |
| pruxt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freah ${ }^{\text {O }}$ Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | $\begin{array}{r} 16.69 \\ 8.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.94 \\ 8.33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.28 \\ 5.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.71 \\ 9.06 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.33 \\ 7.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.51 \\ 9.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.75 \\ 9.37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \cdot 38 \\ 7.43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.32 \\ 7.94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \cdot 09 \\ 8.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 30 \\ 7.59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.13 \\ 8.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{8 7 . 4 6} \\ 8.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.21 \\ 8.31 \end{array}$ |
| Total Frait ${ }^{7}$ | 24.95 | 27.27 | 20.88 | 25.77 | 24.91 | 24.85 | $27 \cdot 12$ | 19.8I | 23.36 | $28 \cdot 41$ | 25.89 | 24.47 | 25.66 | 22.52 |

TABLE 48 continued

TABLE 48 continued
(pence per head per woek)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { honser } \\ \text { holder } \end{gathered}$ | Resion or Type of Araa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tates | Scorlad | Norrhern |  | Norrh | Mida |  | Sourh | Come | rbarions |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Wastern | $\begin{gathered} \text { sinasmas } \\ \text { cosd } \\ \text { Bastern } \end{gathered}$ |  | Watrern | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Saskern } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Southern } \end{array}\right\|$ | London | Provincial | Other | Total urban | Rural |
| mischllanbous ${ }^{10}$. | 6.46 | 5.25 | 6.23 | 6.31 | 4.92 | 6.43 | 6.39 | 6.46 | 7.30 | 7.91 | 5.81 | 6.39 | 6.96 | 6.21 |
| Total All Food | 308.07 | 319.60 | 302.58 | 3ro 72 | 313.45 | 301.12 | $323 \cdot 12$ | 282.49 | 294.72 | 322.42 | 330.95 | $307 \cdot 0$ | 313.50 | 290.31 |
| Eurimated Value of Frac Food | 12.06 | 9.50 | 8.81 | 7.61 | 6.37 | 16.39 | $7 \cdot 10$ | $32 \cdot 17$ | 18.30 | 3.58 | - 37 | 7.57 | 5.56 | 29.30 |
| Total Value of Consumprion | 319.13 | 329.80 | 311-39 | $377 \cdot 73$ | 319.76 | $377 \cdot 58$ | $330 \cdot 22$ | 314.66 | 372.92 | 326.00 | 323•32 | 314.97 | 359.06 | 319.41 |
| Price index (all foods) <br> 'Price of energy' index (all food) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \cdot 0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $104 \cdot 3$ <br> $101 \cdot 7$ | 105.4 $99 \cdot 2$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 100 \cdot 9 \\ 97.5 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 100 \cdot 7 \\ 00.2 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \cdot 8 \\ & 99 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99 \cdot 7 \\ 100 \cdot 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98.1 \\ & 96.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \cdot 9 \cdot 9 \\ & 99 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \cdot 7 \\ 105.8 \end{array}$ | $100 \cdot 6$ $100 \cdot 8$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.4 \\ 99.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.8 \\ 100.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline 000.9 \\ 95 \end{array}$ |
| 1 Includes cooked and <br> Includes smaked, dr <br> ${ }^{2}$ Includes cooked, can <br> ${ }_{5}$ Includes chips and <br> - Includes tomatoes. | ed meat nd salted and bott vegetal | and meat d fish and lea, and | products. <br> fish produ <br> egetable pro | tu. <br> ducts. |  | 7 Includes <br> ${ }^{3}$ Includes <br> ${ }^{2}$ Includes <br> soups, <br> recorded | canned, rolls, frui buns, sco aent and v |  |  |  | ducts. <br> mpets. which campe which expen |  | wdered nly was |  |

TABLE 49
Domestic Food Consumption by Region and Type of Area, 1955
(oz. per head per week except where otherwise stated)

Geographical Differences in the Household Diet
TABLE 49 continued
(oz. per head per week except where otherwise stated)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { house- } \\ \text { holds } \end{gathered}$ | Ragion or Type of Arca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Scoland | Northern |  | Norkh | Midend |  | South | Conur | batioms |  |  |  |
|  |  | Wales | Scorland | and Wast Ridings | Wostern | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mialand } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Eastarn } \end{aligned}$ | Midiand | Wostern | Easth <br> Southern | London | Provincial | Ozher urban | Total игban | Rural |
| eogs . . . . . (No.) | $4 \cdot 19$ | 3.84 | 4.93 | 4.29 | $4 \cdot 04$ | $4 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 72$ | 4.09 | 4.02 | 4.35 | $4 \cdot 20$ | $4 \cdot 11$ | $4 \cdot 17$ | $4 \cdot 24$ |
| pats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butter | 4.47 | 7.06 | $4 \cdot 18$ | $4 \cdot 24$ | 3.97 | $4 \cdot 34$ | $4 \cdot 60$ | 5.01 | 4.46 | $4 \cdot 18$ | $4 \cdot 14$ | 4.52 | 4.36 | 4.83 |
| Margarine | 4.68 | 3.76 | 4.86 | $5 \cdot 11$ | 5.63 | $4 \cdot 52$ | 4.32 | 4.41 | 4.62 | $4 \cdot 16$ | 4.84 | $4 \cdot 69$ | 4.63 | 4.82 |
| Lard and compound cooking fat | 2.18 | $2 \cdot 47$ | 1. 18 | $2 \cdot 70$ | $2 \cdot 13$ | $2 \cdot 73$ | $2 \cdot 41$ | $2 \cdot 25$ | 1.99 | 1.78 | 2.02 | $2 \cdot 28$ | 2.12 | $2 \cdot 40$ |
| Other . . . . . | 0.55 | 0.23 | 0.46 | 0.71 | $0 \cdot 39$ | 0.61 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 0.47 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.44 |
| Total Fats | 11.88 | 13.52 | 10.68 | 12.76 | 12.12 | 12.30 | II 65 | 12.32 | 11.72 | 10.84 | 11.47 | 12.09 | II•70 | 12.49 |
| sugar and prbserves <br> Sugar <br> Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle | $\begin{gathered} 17.64 \\ 4.09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 18 \\ 3 \cdot 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.98 \\ 5.03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.47 \\ 4.35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 25 \\ 4.27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.89 \\ 3.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \cdot 40 \\ 3.16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.31 \\ 4.87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.23 \\ 4.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.67 \\ 3.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.36 \\ 4.03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.36 \\ 4.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.42 \\ 3.94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \cdot 38 \\ 4.53 \end{array}$ |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 21.73 | 21.38 | 21.01 | 20.82 | 22.52 | 21.88 | 22.56 | 22.18 | 22.24 | 21.33 | 21.39 | $21 \cdot 37$ | 21-36 | 22.93 |
| vegatables <br> Potatoes ${ }^{4}$ | $61 \cdot 17$ | 62.44 | 64.09 | 58.90 | 62.39 | 59•39 | 68.36 | 66.94 | 55.15 | 59.72 | 63.02 | $63 \cdot 45$ | 61.46 | 60.54 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Freah green } \\ & \text { Other } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.79 \\ & 15.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.51 \\ & 16.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.32 \\ 17.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \cdot 77 \\ & 16.8 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.35 \\ & 17.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \cdot 17 \\ & 13.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.83 \\ & 15.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.35 \\ & 14.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.24 \\ & 15.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \cdot 6 \mathrm{I} \\ & 15 \cdot 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.05 \\ & 17.65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.03 \\ & 15.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.67 \\ & 16.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.18 \\ & 14.72 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Vagetables other than Potatoes | 30.66 | 30.91 | 22.94 | 27.58 | 28.34 | 31-1I | $3 \mathrm{P} \cdot 77$ | 35.56 | 34.49 | 34.99 | 29.70 | 29.91 | 30.87 | 29.90 |
| Total Vegetablas | 91.83 | 93.35 | 87.03 | $86 \cdot 48$ | 90.73 | 90. 50 | 99.63 | 102.50 | 89.64 | 94.71 | 92.72 | 91-36 | 92.33 | 90.44 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 20.65 \\ 6.49 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \cdot 56 \\ 6 \cdot 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.93 \\ 4.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.45 \\ 7.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.01 \\ 5.57 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.58 \\ 7.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.93 \\ 7.50 \end{array}$ | 19.22 6.66 | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \cdot 15 \\ 6.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.84 \\ 6.55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.34 \\ 5.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.59 \\ 6.52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \cdot 12 \\ 6.36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.88 \\ 6.94 \end{array}$ |
| Total Pruit ${ }^{\text {7 }}$ | $27 \cdot 14$ | 26.73 | 20.04 | 26.45 | 25.58 | 28.37 | 27-43 | 25.88 | 28.65 | 33•39 | 26.03 | 26.11 | 27.48 | 25.82 |

TABLE 49 continued
(os. per head per week except wohere othervise stated)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { house- } \\ \text { holds } \end{gathered}$ | Ragion or Type of Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wales | Scotland | Northern and East $\qquad$ and WastRidings | North Wastern | North Midland Eastern | Midland | South Western | South Bastern and Sourters | Comurbations |  | Other urban | Total urban | Rural |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | London | Provincial |  |  |  |
| critals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White bread. |  | 57.03 0.96 | ${ }_{0} 0.27$ | 49.70 0.56 | 53.31 0.50 | ${ }^{50} 0.35$ | 55 0.44 | 54.47 0.04 | 40.47 | 40 | 51.65 0.67 | 49.80 0.40 | 40.74 | 56.15 0.30 |
| Wholemeal: | 1.69 | 2.68 2.68 | 1.69 | 1.44 | $2 \cdot 16$ | 1.44 | 2.10 | 0.83 | 1.41 | 1.84 | $2 \cdot 19$ | 1.71 | 1.85 | I-18 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 2.60 | 1.26 | $8 \cdot 02$ | 2.30 | 1.79 | 1.91 | 2.33 | 1.14 | 1.87 | 2.30 | 3.07 | 2.66 | 2.69 | 2.28 |
| Total Bread | 55.13 | 61.93 | 61.03 | 54.00 | 57.76 | 54.11 | $60 \cdot 37$ | $56 \cdot 48$ | 53.34 | 46.45 | 57.58 | 54.57 | 53.75 | 59.97 |
| Flour | 8.57 | $7 \cdot 22$ | $5 \cdot 18$ | 13.19 | $7 \cdot 47$ | 10.71 | 6.12 | 9.94 | 8.51 | 6.66 | 6.79 | 8.82 | 7.93 | 10.68 |
| Cakes ${ }^{9}$. | 5.56 | 5.01 | 7.95 | 6.33 | 6.32 | $5 \cdot 01$ | $4 \cdot 78$ | 5.13 | $4 \cdot 80$ | 4.36 | 6.61 | $5 \cdot 74$ | $5 \cdot 70$ | $5 \cdot 12$ |
| Biscuits. . | 5.12 | 4.46 | 6.06 | $5 \cdot 51$ | 4.82 | $4 \cdot 36$ | $4 \cdot 36$ | 5.26 | $5 \cdot 18$ | 5.40 | $5 \cdot 19$ | 5.14 | 5.21 | 4.84 |
| Oatmeal and oat products | 1.19 | 0.86 | $2 \cdot 78$ | 0.88 | 1.21 | 0.85 | $1 \cdot 30$ | 0.89 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 1. 19 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.52 |
| Breakfast cereals | 1.69 | 1.63 | 1.26 | 1-50 | 1.86 | $1 \cdot 70$ | 1.93 | 1.65 | 1.81 | 1.87 | 1.82 | $1 \cdot 70$ | 1.76 | 1.47 |
|  | $2 \cdot 78$ | $2 \cdot 17$ | 3.74 | $2 \cdot 61$ | 2.14 | $2 \cdot 57$ | 2.57 | 2.49 | 2.96 | $3 \cdot 30$ | 2.53 | 2.71 | $2 \cdot 79$ | $2 \cdot 75$ |
| Toral Cereals . | 80.04 |  | 88.00 |  | $81 \cdot 58$ |  |  | 81-84 | 76.49 | 69.07 | 82.73 | 79.75 | 78.23 | 86.29 |
| beprbages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea | $2 \cdot 79$ | 2.86 | 2.45 | 2.74 | 3.11 | 2.68 | 2.96 | 2.70 | 2.71 | 2.88 | 2.98 | 2.73 | 2.82 | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| Coffee | $0 \cdot 36$ | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.35 | - 38 |
| Cocoa . | 0.21 | 0.18 | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.19 | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.24 |
| Branded food drinks | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.14 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.17 | $0 \cdot 26$ | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.23 |
| Total Beostages | 3.54 | $3 \cdot 39$ | $2 \cdot 80$ | $3 \cdot 37$ | 3.66 | 3.56 | $3 \cdot 92$ | 3.65 | 3.74 | 3.76 | 3.61 | 3.45 | 3.54 | 3.55 |
| miscbleanbous ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 1.96 | 1.43 |  | 2.34 | 1.78 | $1 \cdot 78$ | 1.31 | 1.95 | 1.89 | 1.95 | 1.78 | 2.08 | 1.99 | 1.92 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Includes cooked and canned meata and meat products. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Includes amoked, dried and salted. <br> * Includes cooked, canned and bottied fish and fish products. <br> ${ }^{6}$ Includes chips and criaps. <br> ${ }^{6}$ Includes dried and canned vegetables, and vegetable products. |  |  |  |  |  | Includes tomatoca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ? Includes | canned, | ortied and | dried, a | fruit pro | ducta. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes | rolls, frui | bread | d sandw |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{9}$ Includes | buns, scon | nes, rea | kes, muf | and ca | mpes. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Where dressing | quantites canned | re availab nd powde | le. Includ red soupn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { invalld } \\ & \text { nd meat } \end{aligned}$ | and baby fo and regetab | de, sprea |  |  |

households, because of their pronounced liking for butter, to - 10 per cent in London (Scotland -7 per cent). Compared with other towns and with the country, consumption of fats was low in London, partly because of its relatively small demand for margarine.

## SUGAR AND PRESERVES

47. Consumption of sugar and preserves ranged only from 4 per cent above the average in the Midlands to 4 per cent below in Scotland. For sugar alone, the range wis +10 per cent for the Midlands to -10 per cent for Scotland, and for preserves +23 per cent in Scotland and -23 per cent in the Midlands (Wales -22 per cent). Poosibly the Midlands devoted some of their high sugar consumption to the making of preserves. The low consumption of preserves in Wales is of interest in view of the high consumption of bread and butter there. In 1949, under rationing, the consumption range for sugar was narrow, but even then Scotland had the lowest average. Preserves were derationed at the end of 1948 and Scottish consumption in 1949 was 21 per cent above the average for Great Britain. Expenditure on sugar and preserves varied from +6 per cent above the average in the North West to -4 per cent in London but, as for consumption, it was much wider for each food tuken separately; for preserves, +20 per cent in Scotland to -20 per cent in the Midlands, and for sugar +10 per cent in the Midlands to -9 per cent in Scotland. Sugar prices showed little regional variation; those for preserves were highest in the Midlands and Wales and lowest in the South West.

## potatoes

148. Potato consumption was highest in the Midland region at 12 per cent above the average for Great Britain, and lowest in the South Eastern and Southern at ro per cent below. The 1949 pattern was different: from +8 per cent in the South West to - 10 per cent in the North East. The high consumption of chips in the North East and North Midland and Eastern regions and the low averages in London and Scotland found in 1949 were confirmed. The wide expenditure range for potatoes, from 22 per cent above the average in the Midlands to 26 per cent below in the South West, arose less from price variations than from the incidence of supplies from gardens and allotments. In the 1949 analysis the range was from +13 per cent in the North West to - 13 per cent in London. Prices were highest in Wales ( + II per cent) and lowest in the South West ( 8 - per cent). Compared with other towns and with the rural areas, London had the lowest average potato consumption, though not the lowest expenditure.

## FRESH GREEN VEGETABLES

14. The largest differences in consumption were recorded for fresh green vegetables, with the South West 44 per cent and London 33 per cent above the average, and Scotland 64 per cent below, as in 1949. Provincial conurbations were 18 per cent below the average and rural areas only 3 per cent above. The demand for fresh peas and beans in Scotland was of recent development, consumption amounting to only 12 per cent of the average compared with io per cent in 1953 and only 4 per cent in 1949. Cabbage still comprised half the fresh green vegetables consumed in Scotland. Differences in expenditure were even wider than in consumption, ranging from 59 per cent below the average in Scotland (in 1949, -65 per cent; 1953, - 38 per cent) to 60 per cent above in London (1949, +4I per cent), where free supplies were least. Expenditure in the South West was 23 per cent below the average because of its abundant free supplies. Prices were highest in Wales and lowest in London.

## OTHER VEGETABLES

150. Consumption of vegetables other than fresh greens and potatoes was greatest in the North West (i3 per cent above average), with Scotland next at II per cent above, compared with 12 per cent in 1949 and 20 per cent in 1953. The high North Western average arose largely from carrots and onions. In Scotland the consumption of all root vegetables was above average. Consumption of other vegetables was smallest in the North Midland and Eastern area (12 per cent below the average). The range in expenditure was from + II per cent in the North East and in Wales, where prices were highest, to - 27 per cent in the South West, with its free supplies. Expenditure in Scotland was no higher than in England and Wales. In 1949 expenditure was much more uniform and was lowest in the North East. London had a relatively low consumption of other vegetables compared with the provincial conurbations.

## FRUIT

151. Consumption of fresh fruit ranged from nearly 28 oz . per head per week in London, 30 per cent above the average for Great Britain, to 27 per cent below in Scotland (as in 1949). Elsewhere deviations from the average were small, though there were some regional preferences for particular fruits, namely apples and pears in the South West, tomatoes in the Midlands, oranges in Scotiand and bananas in Wales, though in each case the absolute consumption per head was greatest in London. Compared with other urban areas and with the country, consumption and expenditure were much higher in London, especially for imported fruits. Regional preferences were broadly similar to those found in 1949, though the relative importance of different fruits had varied; in particular, apples and pears had taken the lead from tomatoes even in the Midlands and North. Expenditure on fresh fruit was less variable than consumption, ranging from 20 per cent above average in London to 26 per cent below in the South West. Prices were highest in Scotland and Wales and lowest in London.
152. In all regions the consumption of fruit other than fresh fruit was between 20 and 27 per cent of the consumption of all fruit, London recording the smallest proportion and the Midlands the largest. The range in consumption of other fruit was from 19 per cent above the average in the North Midland and Eastern area to 37 per cent below in Scotland, where consumption of canned and bottled fruit and dried vine fruit was particularly low, no doubt partly because of the infrequency of home-baking and the large purchases of cakes. There may be a similar link between the high consumption of dried fruit in the South West ( 57 per cent above the average), and its high flour usage and relatively small purchases of cakes. Consumption of canned and bottled fruit was highest in the Midlands. Expenditure differences corresponded to those for consumption, and the price range was small.

## cereals

153. The consumption range for all cereal foods was from 10 per cent above the average in Scotland to 14 per cent below in London, almost the same as in 1949. The corresponding range for expenditure was +23 to -10 per cent. Price differences were small.
154. Wales had the highest consumption of bread (cf. paragraph 146), 12 per cent above the average, followed by Scotland with + II per cent. The London average was 17 per cent below that for Great Britain, 19 per cent below that in provincial conurbations and nearly 23 per cent less than in rural areas. Regional differences
in 1949 were similar. In the present analysis, white bread averaged less than I per cent of the total bread consumption in all regions. Expenditure on bread was more pariable than consumption, being 13 per cent above the general average in London and 30 per cent above in Scotland (compared with +3 per cent in 1949 and +32 in 1953), mainly because of the Scottish preference for rolls, a relatively expensive form of bread.
155. The North East, North Midland and Eastern and South West regions recorded a consumption of flour 54,25 and 16 per cent above the average respectively, with Scotand, the Midlands and London 40,29 and 22 per cent below the average. The differences are associated with the incidence of home-baking. In 1949 the consumption range was much wider; the 1955 expenditure range, however, was from +50 per cent in the North East to -40 per cent in Scotland, about the same as in 1949.
156. Consumption of cakes and biscuits was much higher in Scotland than elsewhere at 31 per cent above the average, the next highest figure being + II per cent in the North East. Consumption was lowest ( -14 per cent) in the Midlands. Buns, scones and tea cakes contributed largely to the high total consumption in both Scotland and the North East; biscuit consumption was also highest in these areas, and nearly as high in London. The South West which, in 1949, had the highest consumption of cakes and biscuits, was slightly below the average in 1955. Differences in expenditure corresponded to those for consumption.
157. Consumption of other cereals, including oatmeal and oat products and other brealfast cereals, ranged from +37 per cent in Scotland to -18 per cent in Wales. The high Scottish average arose mainly from oatmeal and to a less extent from puddings, flour-based and miscellaneous cereals. Wales was below the average for Great Britain in every cereal food except bread. The rural areas took more oatmeal and oat products than any region except Scotland. Breakfast cereals were high in London in comparison with most other areas.

## bevirages

158. The consumption range for beverages as a group is of less interest than the differences for the constituent items. For tea, Scotland had the smallest consumption at 12 per cent below average and the North West the largest at 12 per cent above. In 1949 rationing prevented regional variations. Coffee consumption showed a much wider range, with Scotland and Wales 42 per cent below average and the South West 47 per cent above. London had a relatively high consumption of coffee. A comparison with 1949, when the sample was restricted to urban working-class households, is less useful than for most foods, but Scotland also recorded the lowest consumption in the earlier analysis and was 54 per cent below the average for Great Britain in 1953. The consumption range for cocoa and drinking chocolate was from -52 per cent in Scotland ( -63 per cent in 1949, -43 per cent in 1953) to +38 per cent in the Midlands. Consumption of branded food drinks ranged from - 77 per cent in Scotland ( -70 per cent in 1953) to +55 per cent in the North Midland and Eastern area. Expenditure on beverages followed the pattern of consumption.

## Summary of Regional Differences in Consumption of, and Expenditure on, the Main Food Groups

159. In Tables 50 and 51 the main food groups are classified in each region according to whether the expenditure or consumption level is more than 5 per cent above

or below the average for Great Britain, and also arranged in order of magnitude outside these limits. Scotland and Wales recorded the highest proportion of foods for which consumption was below the average-in Scotland nearly half, in Wales over a third. The North Midland and Eastern and the South Eastern and Southern regions conformed most closely to the national average. The regions with the highest proportions of foods above the average were the North East, the Midlands and London. For most foods no very distinctive dietary patterns emerge as between the north and south of Great Britain, but there was a tendency for the consumption of fresh green vegetables and fruit to increase towards the south and for cereals (especially cakes and biscuits) to increase towards the north. The consumption of fish also tended to be higher in the north, though London had the highest consumption of all. The pattern for expenditure was broadly similar to that for consumption, although the varying incidence of free supplies was sufficient to transfer some foods from one category to another; thus, in the South West fresh green vegetables and potatoes were well above the average for consumption but well below for expenditure.

## Energy Value and Nutrient Content

160. The geographical variation in the energy value and nutrient content of domestic food consumption is shown in Table 52. In spite of the many differences in the pattern of the diet already discussed, the nutritional value of the diet in each region and type of area was within 9 per cent of the average for all regions except for vitamin C, which was 12 per cent below the general average in Scotland and 14 per cent above in London. In the earlier regional study of the diets of urban workingclass households in 1949 the same general trends were noted, although the differences were then slightly smaller, as was to be expected under rationing. In both 1949 and 1955 the greatest variations from the average values were those for vitamins $A$ and $C$.
161. Most of the estimates of the nutritive value of the diet in London, the provincial conurbations, the Midlands, the South West and the North West exceeded the average for Great Britain; the reverse was true in Wales, Scotland and, most markedly, the other towns, whose diet did not exceed the average for any nutrient.
162. Table 52 indicates that London had higher average values for animal protein, vitamin A, riboflavin, nicotinic acid and vitamins $C$ and $D$, than most other areas, but was lowest for carbohydrate and energy. These differences arose mainly from greater consumption of meat (including liver), fresh and canned fat fish, milk, fruit and fresh green vegetables and smaller consumption of bread and flour. The nutritive value of the London diet exceeded that for all urban households by at least 5 per cent in animal protein, vitamin A, riboflavin and vitamins $C$ and $D$; on the other hand, the carbohydrate content was 6 per cent less than the average. These differences resemble those already noted between Classes Ai and A2. Generally, the average values for provincial conurbations were greater than, and the other urban households less than, those for all urban households, but the differences were all less than 5 per cent.
163. The highest value for vitamin A was found in the North Western households, mainly because of their relatively greater consumption of carrots. The vitamin D content of the diet varied from 8 per cent above the average in the North West, where margarine consumption was high, to 8 per cent below in the South West,
table 52

|  | ${ }_{\text {and }}^{\text {andit }}$ | maks | Soltand |  | Norm |  | Millmad | $\underbrace{\text { Wenm }}_{\text {Saumk }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {and }}^{\text {amam }}$ | Totem | ${ }^{\text {Rusal }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & \substack{100} \\ & \hline 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 .20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | , |

D
table 53

where consumption of both margarine and dried milk was low. Other differences between the national average and those recorded in the North West, the North Midand and Eastern area, the Midlands and the South East were all less than 6 per cent. The carbohydrate content of the London diet was 7 per cent less than the national average, mainly because of low bread and flour consumption, and its riamin C content 14 per cent above, because of relatively high consumption of fresh green vegetables, new potatoes and citrus fruits. Scotiand and the North East obtained much less vitamin $C$ than other areas, mainly because of low consumption of oranges, tomatoes and fresh green vegetables.
164 Changes between 1954 and 1955 were much the same in urban as in rural ares. In both groups there were small increases in the energy value, animal protein, fat and iron; total protein, carbohydrate, calcium and vitamins C and D remained about the same but there were decreases (up to 3 per cent) in vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$, riboflavin, and nicotinic acid. The largest change was the rise in vitamin A ( 6 per cent in urban households and 9 per cent in rural households) caused by increased consumption of carrots (in rural diets) and liver (in urban diets), as well as the increased amount of vitamin A in margarine. Thus rural diets remained, as in earlier years, generally higher than urban diets in energy value, total protein, fat, carbohydrate and minerals, and generally lower for all vitamins except vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$, though there was more uniformity between the two types of diet than in 1954.
165. An assessment in Table 53 of the adequacy of household diets, by comparison with allowances based on the recommendations of the British Medical Association, shows that every percentage equalled or exceeded 99, by the widest margin in London and the Midlands. The smallest margins occurred in Scotland, Wales and in the northern areas of England, and in the smaller towns. The percentages in rural households were either equal to or slightly greater than those in urban households for energy value, protein, calcium, iron and vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$, and slightly less for riboflavin, nicotinic acid and vitamins A and C.
166. Compared with the previous year the only decreases found in both urban and rural household diets were for vitamin $B_{1}$, riboflavin and nicotinic acid. These are related to a slightly smaller consumption of flour and bread as well as to the reduced amounts of these nutrients in these foods because of the reduction in the extraction rate of flour. Except for a clear rise in the percentage for vitamin $\mathbf{A}$ in both types of household (see paragraph 164) the values for other nutrients were about the same in 1955 as in 1954, or only slightly higher.
167. The sources of the energy value in the diets of the various regions and types of area are shown in Table 54. In spite of the many differences in food consumption, and even in nutrient incake, the percentages in the table are strikingly uniform. The only point of note is the relatively high dependence on carbohydrate, rather than fat, in the Scottish diet, especially when compared with London. On the other hand, Scotland and London resembled each other in obtaining 12 per cent, more than any other region or area, of the energy value of their diets from protein; they differed as to the source of protein.
168. In both urban and rural households there was a fall in the proportion of energy from carbohydrate between 1952 and 1953, but subsequently it remained fairly constant. In both groups in 1952 and 1953 the proportions from protein was between 12.4 and 12.7 per cent, but by 1954 and 1955 this had fallen to between II. 5 and II. 8 per cent; the proportion from fat increased markedly between 1952 nd 1954 and remained at the 1954 level in 1955 :

16. Since 1952 the proportion of protein derived from animal sources has increased stadily in both urban and rural households, but the percentages for the rural sample have remained lower than those for urban households. As in previous years, the differences for animal protein and carbohydrate were the result of the relatively higher bread and flour consumption in the rural households; bread and flour are good scources of vegetable protein and carbohydrate but poor sources of fat and do not contain animal protein.

## The London Diet

170. The most outstanding features of Table 54 relate to the London area, where the proportion of total energy value derived from carbohydrate was much lower and that from fat much higher than elsewhere. The proportion of total protein from animal sources was also appreciably higher than for any other group, and about the same as in Class A. Throughout the discussion of geographical differences it has become apparent that the diet in London differs strikingly from that in other parts of the country. The most outstanding differences may be summarized as follows:

## Food Price Index

lower in London than in any other region or type of area
Food Expenditure per head
greater in London than in other towns or rural areas (though second to the Midland region)
Value of Consumption per head
greater in London than in other towns or rural areas (though less than in the Midland region or Wales)
Consumption per head greatest in London for
liquid milk
carcase meat
fish
fresh fruit
fresh green vegetables (except for South West)
Consumption per head smallest in London for bread
Requirements per head smallest in London for
energy
all nutrients
Intake per head greatest in London for
animal protein
animal protein as percentage of total protein
riboflavin
vitamin C
nicotinic acid (equal for Midland region)
vitamins A and D (except for North West)
Intake per head smallest in London for
energy
carbohydrate
percentage of energy from carbohydrate

## Appendix A

## Composition of the Sample

1. The National Food Survey was conducted in 1955 on the same lines as in 1954. During the year, the Survey was carried out in the 60 parliamentary constituencies listed in Table I; these differed from those in the previous year, but the method of selecting the constituencies and the households within them remained as described in the account of the design of the sample given in the Annual Report for 1953, Appendix A.

TABLE I
Constituencies surveyed in 1955

| Region | Consrituency* | Ragion | Constituency* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern and East and West Ridingt | t Leeds, N.W. <br> Kingston-upon-Hull, N. <br> Barnsley <br> $\ddagger$ Don Valley (Yorkshire, W.R.) <br> $\ddagger$ Bishop Auckland (Co. Durham) <br> † Newcastle-upon-Tyne, E. <br> $\dagger$ Brighouse and Spenborough Consett (Durham) <br> $\ddagger$ Barkston Ash (Yorkshire, W.R.) | London (conurbation) | + Edmonton <br> + St. Pancras, N. <br> + Lewisham, S. <br> $\dagger$ Lambeth, Norwood <br> $\dagger$ Harrow, Central <br> + Kensington, N. <br> + Bermondsey <br> $\dagger$ East Surrey (Surrey) <br> $\dagger$ West Ham, S . <br> + Croydon, E. |
|  |  | South Eastern and Southern | Eton and Slough <br> $\ddagger$ Petersfield (Hants.) <br> $\ddagger$ Maidstone (Kent) <br> Brighton, Kemptown |
| North Western | $\dagger$ Birkenhead <br> $\dagger$ Bury and Radeliffe <br> + Manchester, Cheetham <br> $\ddagger$ Northwich (Cheshire) <br> $\dagger$ Altrincham and Sale <br> $\dagger$ Liverpool, Torteth <br> Ince (Lancashire) <br> $\ddagger$ Lancaster (Lancashire) |  | $\ddagger$ Gravesend (Kent) <br> $\ddagger$ Chertsey (Surrey) |
|  |  | South Western | Plymouth, Sutton <br> $\ddagger$ Yeovil (Somerset) <br> $\ddagger$ Cirencester and Tewkesbury <br> (Gloucestershire) <br> $\ddagger$ North Devon (Devon) |
| North Midland and Eastern | Leicester, S.E. <br> Ipswich <br> $\ddagger$ Holland-with-Boston <br> (Lincolnshire, Holland) <br> $\ddagger$ Hitchin (Hertfordshire) <br> Billericay (Essex) <br> $\ddagger$ N.E. Derbyshire <br> (Derbyshire) <br> $\ddagger$ Bassetlaw (Nottingharnshire) <br> $\ddagger$ Wellingborough (Northamptonshire) | Wales | \# Pontypridd (Glamorganshire) Rhondda, W. <br> $\ddagger$ Conway (Caemarvonshire) |
|  |  | Scotland | Edinburgh, S . <br> $\ddagger$ West Dumbartonshire (Dumbartonshire) <br> $\ddagger$ South Angus (Angus) <br> Stirling and Falkirk Burghs <br> $\dagger$ Glasgow. Maryhill <br> $\ddagger$ Midlothian and Peebles (Midlothian and Peebles) |
| Midland | $\dagger$ Birmingham, Sparkbrook <br> $\dagger$ Bilston <br> $\ddagger$ Leek (Staffordshire) <br> † Solihull (Warwickshire) <br> $\ddagger$ Worcester |  |  |

*County constituencies are followed by the name of the county in parenthesis; the rest are borough constituencies. All these constituencies are as defined before the changes proposed in the First Periodical Report of the Boundary Commissioners had taken effect. Constituencies marked $\dagger$ are within the conurbations (i.e. the largest areas of continuous urban development as defined by the Registrars-General). Those marked $\ddagger$ connin rural areas.
2. Fieldwork was suspended during the period of the General Election (Ioth May to 3ist May). In order to minimize the effects of the loss of information during this period on quarterly and annual averages, the first ten-day cycle it May was included in the April analysis of consumption and expenditure, and the first tenday cycle in June was given double weight in the June analysis. The April and June results were then averaged to provide quarterly estimates. By this device, which was adopted after experimentation with data for previous years, it was possible to take account of the seasonal changes in domestic food expenditure, consumption and prices. In all figures relating to the sample size in 1955, the households which provided log-books in the first ten days of June have thus been included wice.
3. In 1955, households in 929 polling districts (involving 18,580 addresses) were risited and 10,453 completed $\log$-books were received, giving an effective response rate of 56 per cent compared with 57 per cent in 1954. The response was highest among households in rural areas ( 61 per cent) the corresponding percentages for London, other conurbations and other urban areas being 51,52 and 58 respectively. The proportion of children in the sample of persons was practically the same as in the previous year.

TABLE 2
Percentage of Households and Mean Household Size in each
Social Class, 1953-55

| Class | A |  | $B$ | C | D |  |  | All <br> households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Excluding O.A.P. |  | O.A.P. |  |
|  | AI | $A 2$ |  |  |  | Dr (with  <br> earners) D2 (withour <br> earners)  |  |  |
|  | Percentage of Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1953 | $2 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 1$ |  | 23.4 | $39 \cdot 5$ | 18.9 | $5 \cdot 3$ | 7•1 | 100 |
| 1954 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $30 \cdot 2$ | $35 \cdot 2$ | 15.0 | $4 \cdot 3$ | $7 \cdot 3$ | 100 |
| 1955 | $2 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 5$ | 37'1 | 27.4 | $13 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | 7•9 | 100 |
|  | Mean Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1953 | 3.55 | 3.31 | 3.56 | 3.55 | 3.11 | 1.82 | I. 53 | 3.23 |
| 1954 | 3.44 | 3.31 | 3.59 | 3. 52 | $3 \cdot 08$ | 1.84 | I• 52 | $3 \cdot 24$ |
| 1955 | $3 \cdot 57$ | $3 \cdot 52$ | $3 \cdot 54$ | $3 \cdot 39$ | $3 \cdot 00$ | $1 \cdot 72$ | I 49 | 3-19 |

4. The numbers of households and of persons surveyed in each quarter of 1955 are shown in Table 3. The sample averaged 2,613 households per quarter, of average size 3 - 19 persons, compared with 2,892 households per quarter (mean size 3.24 persons) in 1954 and 2,849 (mean size 3.23 ) in 1953. As in 1954, the mean household size was consistently greatest in the rural districts and smallest in London, but there was little difference in mean household size between the conurbations and other urban areas ( $3 \cdot 19$ and $3 \cdot 16$ respectively). Of all persons in the sample, $22 \cdot 9$
per cent lived in rural areas, compared with 23.9, $21 \cdot 6$ and $21 \cdot$ I per cent in 1954, 1953 and 1952 respectively. The corresponding Census (1951) figure for Great Britain was 19.3 per cent.
5. Table 4 gives the distribution of the sample by household composition within each social class. The income levels defining the respective social classes were the same as in 1953 and 1954, and the general increase in money incomes again led to a substantial movement of households from Classes C and D into Classes A and B, with consequent changes in mean household size, as Table 2 indicates.
6. The age and sex composition of households in each social class is shown in Table 5. As in previous years, the average number of children per household was highest in Class B, and of adults in AI and DI. The number of children per household was lower in Classes DI and D2 than in 1954, no doubt because of a general increase in money incomes.

## SAMPLING VARIATIONS

7. All the figures derived from the Survey given in this Report are subject to sampling variations. In the Annual Report for 1953, Appendix A, estimates of the coefficients of variation of expenditure on and consumption of individual foods and groups of foods were given.

TABLE 3
Composition of the Sample, 1955

|  |  |  |  |  | Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | 1954 | 1955 |
| HOUSEHOLDS IN CONURBATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| London |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households. | 472 | 380 | 359 | 370 | 1,818 | 1,581 |
| Persons | 1,428 | 1,143 | 1,143 | 1,190 | 5,469 | 4,904 |
| Persons per household | 3.03 | $3 \cdot 01$ | 3.18 | $3 \cdot 22$ | 3-0r | 3.10 |
| Provincial Conurbations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households. | 510 | 468 | 457 | 464 | 2,493 | 1,899 |
| Persons | 1,644 | 1,448 | 1,507 | 1,465 | 8,112 | 6,064 |
| Persons per household | $3 \cdot 22$ | $3 \cdot 09$ | $3 \cdot 30$ | 3.16 | 3.25 | 3.19 |
| OTHER URBAN HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households. | 1,253 | 1,138 | 1,200 | 1,085 | 4,638 | 4,676 |
| Persons | 4,024 | 3,498 | 3,890 | 3,359 | 14,995 | 14,771 |
| Persons per household . | $3 \cdot 21$ | $3 \cdot 07$ | $3 \cdot 24$ | 3.10 | 3.23 | 3.16 |
| RURAL HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households. | 575 | 598 | 567 | 557 | 2,621 | 2,297 |
| Persons | I,88I | 1,963 | 1,928 | 1,870 | 8,956 | 7,642 |
| Persons per household | $3 \cdot 27$ | 3.28 | 3.40 | 3.36 | 3.42 | $3 \cdot 33$ |
| all households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Households. | 2,810 | 2,584 | 2,583 | 2,476 | 11,570 | 10,453 |
| Persons | 8,977 | 8,052 | 8,468 | 7,884 | 37,532 | 33,381 |
| Persons per household | 3.19 | 3.12 | $3 \cdot 28$ | 3.18 | 3.24 | 3.19 |


8. In 1953, however, some foods were still rationed, and the estimates of the coefficients of variation were obtained from a sub-sample of log-books which covered only nine months of the year. To check these estimates, a less elaborate investigation into the sampling variations was undertaken on 1955 data. This investigation was limited to about 40 different foods, and a 10 per cent random sub-sample was drawn for the purpose. All foods for which the proportion of households making a purchase during the survey week exceeded 0.50 were included in the study, and for the other foods included the proportion of households buying ranged from 0.02 to 0.49 . As in 1953, a close empirical relationship between the coefficient of variation and the proportion of households buying was found. This relationship may be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\log _{10} V_{t}=I \cdot 9626+0.4752 \log _{10}\left\{\frac{1 \cdot 75}{P_{t}}-1.40\right\} . \text { ( } 1\right) \\
& \text { where } V_{t}=\text { coefficient of variation of expenditure per person on the } t^{\text {th }} \\
& \text { and } P_{t} \quad=\text { proportion of households buying the } t^{t h} \text { food. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This enabled an assessment of the coefficient of variation of expenditure per person on any food to be made from the proportion of households buying the food during the survey week. As the residual standard deviation of $\log _{10} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{t}}$ about its estimate derived from ( 1 ) was only 0.035 , and the correlation coefficient between $\log _{10} V_{t}$ and this estimate was 0.993 , this formula was considered suitable for the preparation of the coefficients of variation given in Table is of Appendix B.
9. The values of $P_{t}$ occurring in the whole sample of 10,453 households are given in the same table, and these are more accurate estimates of the proportion of households buying each food during one week than could be obtained from the io per

TABLE 5
Age and Sex Composition of Social Classes, 1955

|  | Social Classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AI | $A 2$ | $B$ | C |  |  | O.A.P. | All households |
| Men 21-64 | 27.1 | 28.6 | 29.2 | $30 \cdot 1$ | 23.5 | $9 \cdot 0$ | $0 \cdot 7$ | $27 \cdot 1$ |
| Men 65 and over | $2 \cdot 6$ | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.6 | $8 \cdot 7$ | 21.3 | $29 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| Women 21-59. | 31.5 | $29 \cdot 2$ | $28 \cdot 4$ | $27 \cdot 6$ | $29 \cdot 3$ | $21 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $27 \cdot 4$ |
| Women 60 and over Adolescents and children: | $5 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $16 \cdot 7$ | 41.1 | $64^{1} 1$ | $8 \cdot 3$ |
| 15-20 male | $2 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | 0.4 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 2$ |
| 15-20 female | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 9$ | 4.1 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.2 | $3 \cdot 7$ |
| 5-14 | $20 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 2$ | $18 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 8$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | I. 2 | $17 \cdot 3$ |
| I-4. | 5.4 | 8. I | $8 \cdot 6$ | 7.6 | $3 \cdot 6$ | I. 6 | 0.2 | 7-1 |
| Under I . | $2 \cdot 0$ | 1.7 | $2 \cdot 0$ | I. 8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | - | 1-7 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ | 100-0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100 \cdot 0$ |

cent sub-sample of households. The estimates of the coefficients of variation derived from the sub-sample have accordingly been modified (using the relationship given above) to take account of the better estimates of $P_{t}$ given by the whole sample.
10. Since the 1955 study was limited to certain foods, the coefficients of variation of expenditure per person quoted for the remaining foods have been derived by substituting the values of $P_{t}$ in ( $I$ ) above. These coefficients of variation have been marked with an asterisk in Table is of Appendix B.
11. Notable increases in the coefficients of variation of expenditure per person occurred on some foods between 1953 and 1955. The main increases were butter ( 43 to 81), margarine ( 58 to 80), and uncooked bacon and ham ( 74 to 88). In all these cases the greater variability of expenditure can be attributed to the removal of controls. The coefficient of variation of expenditure per person on all food increased only slightly, from 34 to 35 per cent.

## Appendix B <br> Tables of Consumption, Expenditure and Prices

TABLE I
Domestic Food Expenditure, 1955, All Households
(pence per head per woek)


TABLE I contiruled
(pence per head per veek)

|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Quarter }}$ | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | Quarter | Yearly average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Meat-continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rabbit, game and other meat | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 14$ |
| Sausages, uncooked, pork. | $4 \cdot 86$ | $4 \cdot 57$ | $4 \cdot 24$ | $5 \cdot 02$ | 4.67 |
| Sausages, uncooked, beef | $2 \cdot 11$ | 1.67 | $1 \cdot 97$ | $2 \cdot 34$ | $2 \cdot 02$ |
| Other meat products | 3.15 | $2 \cdot 83$ | $2 \cdot 73$ | $3 \cdot 24$ | $2 \cdot 99$ |
| Total Other Meat | $37 \cdot 67$ | $38 \cdot 67$ | 41.65 | $42 \cdot 60$ | 40.14 |
| PISH |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, fresh | $5 \cdot 21$ | $5 \cdot 46$ | 4.71 | 5-78 | $5 \cdot 29$ |
| Herrings, fresh | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 20$ |
| Fat, fresh, other . | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 21$ | 0.23 |
| White, processed. | 0.86 | $0 \cdot 68$ | 0.62 | $0 \cdot 77$ | 0.73 |
| Fat, processed | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.46 | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 48$ |
| Shell . | 0.26 | $0 \cdot 48$ | $0 \cdot 54$ | 0.51 | 0.45 |
| Cooked | 1.45 | 1.88 | $2 \cdot 26$ | 1.94 | 1.88 |
| Canned and bottled | $2 \cdot 62$ | $1 \cdot 72$ | $1 \cdot 21$ | $1 \cdot 14$ | 1. 67 |
| Fish products | $0 \cdot 37$ | $0 \cdot 34$ | 0.35 | 0.45 | $0 \cdot 38$ |
| Total Fish | II 164 | 11.37 | $10 \cdot 56$ | 11.68 | II'3I |
| eggs . | 16.00 | 15.85 | $18 \cdot 02$ | 19.53 | $17 \cdot 35$ |
| fats |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butter | 12.26 | $12 \cdot 55$ | 13.09 | 13.68 | 12.90 |
| Margarine | $6 \cdot 19$ | $6 \cdot 00$ | $5 \cdot 86$ | $6 \cdot 16$ | 6.05 |
| Land and compound cooking fat | 3.38 | $2 \cdot 94$ | 2.74 | $3 \cdot 01$ | 3.02 |
| Suet and dripping | $0 \cdot 79$ | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.83 | $0 \cdot 63$ |
| Other fats, oils and creams | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 07$ | $0 \cdot 08$ | -10 |
| Total Fass . | 22-73 | 22.09 | 22.19 | $23 \cdot 76$ | 22.70 |
| sugar and preservas |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar. . | $8 \cdot 34$ | $8 \cdot 27$ | 9.43 | $9 \cdot 15$ | $8 \cdot 80$ |
| Marmalade . | 1-16 | $1 \cdot 19$ | I-18 | $1 \cdot 21$ | $1 \cdot 18$ |
| Syrup, treacle and honey | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.52 | $0 \cdot 78$ | 0.64 |
| Tozal Sugar and Preseroes | $12 \cdot 44$ | 12.46 | 13.22 | 13.29 | 12.85 |
| vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohd potatoes | $8 \cdot 69$ | $5 \cdot 62$ | $2 \cdot 78$ | 10. 14 | 6.81 |
| New potatoes | $0 \cdot 17$ | 7-28 | $6 \cdot 35$ | ... | 3.45 |
| Chipe. | $0 \cdot 72$ | $0 \cdot 95$ | 1.25 | $0 \cdot 94$ | 0.96 |
| Crisps . | $0 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| Total Potatoes | 9.71 | 13.97 | 10. 58 | 11.28 | II'38 |
| Cabbages | 1.42 | $2 \cdot 41$ | I 13 | I•29 | I. 56 |
| Brussels sprouts | 1-98 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.09 | 1.95 | 1.05 |
| Cauliflower. | 0.64 | $1 \cdot 42$ | 0.69 | $1 \cdot 04$ | 0.95 |
| Leafy salads . | $0 \cdot 57$ | $2 \cdot 32$ | 1-21 | 0.40 | 1-12 |

TABLE I contirued (pence per head per week)


TABLE I continued
(pence per head per roeek)

|  | Ist <br> Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 4th <br> Quarter | Yearly average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cereals-continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| White bread | 0.23 | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.18 |
| Wholewheat and wholemeal bread | $0 \cdot 79$ | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.81 |
| Malt bread | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| Other bread | 1-68 | $1 \cdot 78$ | I. 84 | $1 \cdot 74$ | 1.76 |
| Total Bread | 17.50 | 17.72 | 18.05 | 17-39 | 17.65 |
| Self-raising flour . | $2 \cdot 97$ | 2.84 | $2 \cdot 54$ | 2.81 | 2.79 |
| Other flour . | $0 \cdot 85$ | 0.84 | 0.64 | 0.90 | $0 \cdot 81$ |
| Buns, scones and tea cakes | 1.40 | 1.81 | $1 \cdot 38$ | $1 \cdot 67$ | 1.56 |
| Cakes and pastries | $6 \cdot 94$ | $7 \cdot 92$ | $8 \cdot 38$ | 8.09 | $7 \cdot 83$ |
| Biscuits . | $8 \cdot 30$ | 8.62 | $8 \cdot 72$ | $8 \cdot 96$ | 8.65 |
| Puddings | $0 \cdot 38$ | 0.86 | 1-17 | $0 \cdot 74$ | 0.79 |
| Oatmeal and oat products | $1 \cdot 29$ | 0.64 | 0.50 | I-18 | 0.90 |
| Breakfast cereals . | 2.02 | 2.56 | 2.85 | $2 \cdot 38$ | 2.45 |
| Rice . | 0.89 | $0 \cdot 77$ | 0.65 | 0.76 | 0.77 |
| Cereals, flour base | 0.85 | $0 \cdot 79$ | 0.74 | 0.81 | 0.80 |
| Other cereals | 0.98 | $0 \cdot 98$ | 1•07 | 0.98 | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| Total Cereals | $44 \cdot 37$ | $46 \cdot 35$ | $46 \cdot 69$ | $46 \cdot 67$ | 46.00 |
| beverages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea . - | 15.41 | 15.08 | 13.98 | 13.86 | 14.58 |
| Coffee, bean and ground | $0 \cdot 61$ | 0.62 | 0.49 | 0.51 | $0 \cdot 56$ |
| Coffee, extracts and essences. | $1 \cdot 90$ | 1.44 | 1.45 | $1 \cdot 74$ | 1.63 |
| Cocoa and drinking chocolate | 0.79 | 0.56 | 0.46 | 0.62 | 0.61 |
| Branded food drinks | $0 \cdot 78$ | $0 \cdot 66$ | $0 \cdot 51$ | 0.87 | 0.70 |
| Total Beverages | 19.49 | 18.36 | 16.89 | 17.60 | 18.08 |
| miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spreads and dressings. | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.35 |
| Soups, canned . | $\begin{array}{r}0.13 \\ 1.78 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0.54 | 0.55 | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 34$ |
| Soups, dehydrated and powdered | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.89 | 1.96 | 1.44 |
| Meat and vegetable extracts | 0.91 | 0.68 | 0.56 | 0.78 | 0.73 |
| Other (expenditure only) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pickles and sauces . | 1.47 | $1 \cdot 50$ | 1-36 | 1.43 | 1.44 |
| Table jellies, squares and crystals | 0.42 | 0.74 | $0 \cdot 77$ | $0 \cdot 60$ | 0.63 |
| Miscellaneous ${ }^{1}$ | 1.40 | 1-32 | 1.42 | $1 \cdot 56$ | 1.42 |
| Total Miscellaneous Foods | $6 \cdot 74$ | $6 \cdot 30$ | $5 \cdot 98$ | 6.87 | $6 \cdot 46$ |
| Total All Foods | $\begin{aligned} & 296 \cdot 72 \\ & (245.9 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 312 \cdot 02 \\ & (265.0 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \cdot 70 \\ & (255.9 \mathrm{d.}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 314 \cdot 85 \\ & (265.3 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308.07 \\ & (255.8 d .) \end{aligned}$ |

[^17]TABLE IA
Percentage of all households purchasing seasonal types of food during each quarter, 1955

|  | Ist Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 4th Quarter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pork | 32 | 28 | 19 | 24 |
| Fish |  |  |  |  |
| Herrings, fresh | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Fat, processed | 9 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Cabbages . | 35 | 48 | 32 | 32 |
| Brussels sprouts | 39 | 3 | 2 | 35 |
| Cauliflower. | 12 | 23 | 15 | 20 |
| Leafy salads | 15 | 51 | 31 | 12 |
| Fresh legumes | $\ldots$ | 2 | 41 | 3 |
| Old potatoes | 83 | 55 | 28 | 75 |
| New potatoes | 3 | 52 | 48 | ... |
| Carrots . . | so | 32 | 28 | 48 |
| Other root vegetables | 33 | 13 | 19 | 30 |
| Onions, shallots, etc. | 53 | 44 | 35 | 48 |
| Canned peas | 47 | 51 | 33 | 42 |
| Fruit |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges . | 38 | 36 | 24 | 26 |
| Stone fruit . . | 2 | 3 | 31 | 3 |
| Soft fruit . . | 2 | 7 | 20 | 6 |
| Tomatoes, fresh and quick frozen | 37 | 70 | 82 | 48 |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |
| Oatmeal and oat products | 21 | 11 | 9 | 19 |
| Breakfast cereals . . | 32 | 36 | 42 | 35 |
| Cocoa . . . | 12 | 9 | 7 | 9 |
| Soups, canned . . . | 28 | 17 | 14 | 27 |
| Meat and vegetable extracts . | 20 | 15 | 14 | 18 |
| Table jellies, squares and crystals | 12 | 20 | 21 | 15 |

## Foomotes to table ib

${ }^{1}$ Estimates marked thus were obtained from the proportion of households purchasing the commodity. The other estimates were obtained from a 10 per cent random sub-sample of households modified to take account of the better estimate of the proportion of households buying given by the whole sample. See Appendix A, paragraphs 7-ro.
${ }^{2}$ Details of the proportions of all households purchasing these seasonal foods are given in Table iA.
*These estimates were derived from the more common household types only. Estimates of their standard errors can be obtained by multiplying the coefficient of variation of expenditure per person by 0.0004 . See Chapter IV, paragraphs 59-63.
table ib
Percentage of Households Purchasing Each Type of Food, Coefficients of Variation, Percentage Standard Errors of Yearly Estimates of Expenditure, and Estimates of Income Elasticity of Expenditure


TABLE IB contirued

|  | Percentage of all households purchasing each type of food | Coefficient of variation of expenditure per person | Percentage standard error of yearly average expenditure per person | Income elasticity of expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Meat-continued |  |  |  |  |
| Poultry . | 3 | 677 | 6.6 | 1.70 |
| Rabbit, game and other meat. | 1 | 1,119 ${ }^{1}$ | $10 \cdot 9$ | 1. 66 |
| Sausages, uncooked, pork | 44 | 141 | 1.4 | 0.40 |
| Sausages, uncooked, beef | 22 | 222 | $2 \cdot 2$ | -0. 53 |
| Other meat products . | 38 | $160^{1}$ | I. 6 | -0.03 |
| Total Other Meat |  |  |  | 0.36 |
| FISH |  |  |  |  |
| White, fresh | 48 | 141 | $1 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 36$ |
| Herrings, fresh | $4^{2}$ | 563 | $5 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 07$ |
| Fat, fresh, other | 3 | $671^{1}$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | 0.99 |
| White, processed | 9 | 350 | $3 \cdot 4$ | $0 \cdot 64$ |
| Fat, processed . | $9^{2}$ | 358 | $3 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 31$ |
| Shell . | 5 | $497{ }^{1}$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | 1.18 |
| Cooked. . | 21 | $230^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | -0.18 |
| Canned and bottled. | 18 | 273 | $2 \cdot 7$ | 0.63 |
| Fish products. | 9 | $374{ }^{1}$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | 0.40 |
| Total Fish |  |  |  | 0.38 |
| bgGs | 85 | 77 | 0.75 | $0 \cdot 39$ |
| fats |  |  |  |  |
| Butter . | 86 | 81 | $0 \cdot 79$ | $0 \cdot 37$ |
| Margarine . . | 77 | 80 | 0.78 | -0.20 |
| Lard and compound cooking fat | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 0.03 |
| Suet and dripping . . | 16 | $266{ }^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | -0.20 |
| Other fats, oils and creams | 1 | $938^{1}$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | I-29 |
| Total Fats |  |  |  | 0.17 |
| SUGAR AND PRESERVES Jams, jellies and curds | 31 | 171 | 1.7 | -0.17 |
| Sugar . . . | 91 | 60 | 0.59 | 0.06 |
| Marmalade . | 20 | $235{ }^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | $0 \cdot 38$ |
| Syrup, treacle and honey . | 11 | $333^{1}$ | $3 \cdot 3$ | 0.05 |
| Total Sugar and Preserves |  |  |  | 0.06 |
| vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Old potatoes . | $60^{2}$ | 110 | I•1 | 0.05 |
| New potatoes . | $25^{2}$ | 227 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 40$ |
| Chips . | 21 | $228{ }^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | -0.20 |
| Crisps . . | 4 | $531^{1}$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | 0.21 |
| Total Potatoes |  |  |  | 0.13 |

TABLE IB-contioued

|  | Percentage of all households purchasing all types of food | Coefficient of variation of expenditure per person | Percentage standard error of yearly average expenditure per person | Income clasticity of expenditure ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yegetables--continued |  |  |  |  |
| Cabbages | $37^{2}$ | $163{ }^{1}$ | 1.6 | $0 \cdot 15$ |
| Brussels sprouts | $20^{2}$ | $237{ }^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 3$ | 0.60 |
| Cauliflower | $17^{2}$ | $257{ }^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | 0.83 |
| Leafy salads . | $27^{2}$ | $198{ }^{1}$ | 1.9 | 0.97 |
| Fresh legumes | $12^{2}$ | 3201 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $0 \cdot 96$ |
| Quick frozen legumes | 4 | $53 \mathrm{I}^{1}$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | 1-72 |
| Other fresh green vegetables | I | 1,120 ${ }^{1}$ | II'O | 0.68 |
| Total Frosh Green Vegetables |  |  |  | $0 \cdot 71$ |
| Carrots . | $40^{2}$ | $155^{1}$ | $1 \cdot 5$ | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Other root vegetables | $24^{2}$ | $214^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 1$ | 0.28 |
| Onions, shallots, etc. . | $45^{2}$ | 141 | 1.4 | 0.04 |
| Miscellaneous fresh vegetables | 23 | $220{ }^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | I 10 |
| Dried pulses . | 15 | $280^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 7$ | -0.41 |
| Canned peas . | $44^{2}$ | 142 | $1 \cdot 4$ | 0.29 |
| Canned beans. . | 36 | 157 | 1.5 | $0 \cdot 00$ |
| Canned vegetables (other than pulses) | 5 | 435 | $4 \cdot 3$ | I• 04 |
| Vegetable products. | 2 | $728{ }^{1}$ | 7-1 | -0.04 |
| Total Other Vegetables |  |  |  | 0.26 |
| Toral Vegetables |  |  |  | $0 \cdot 31$ |
| froit |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges | $31^{2}$ | $18 \mathrm{I}^{1}$ | 1.8 | 0.58 |
| Other citrus fruit | 14 | $291^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 8$ | I-20 |
| Apples and pears | 52 | 138 | 1.3 | 0.72 |
| Stone fruit | $10^{2}$ | $35{ }^{1}$ | $3 \cdot 4$ | I. 20 |
| Soft fruit | $9^{2}$ | $37 \mathrm{I}^{1}$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | \}. 67 |
| Quick frozen soft fruit |  | 3,1881 | 31.0 | \} 1.67 |
| Bananas . . | 40 | $154{ }^{1}$ | 1.5 | 0.78 |
| Other fresh fruit . | 4 | $531^{1}$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | I-19 |
| Tomatoes, fresh and quick frozen | $59^{2}$ | 127 | I•2 | $0 \cdot 55$ |
| Total Fresh Fruit |  |  |  | 0.75 |
| Tomatoes, canned and bottled | 12 | 327 | $3 \cdot 2$ | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| Canned and bottled fruit | 43 | $147{ }^{1}$ | $1 \cdot 4$ | 0.81 |
| Dried vine fruit | 19 | $241^{1}$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | -0.03 |
| Other dried fruit . | 6 | $449{ }^{1}$ | $4 \cdot 4$ | 0.67 |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products | II | $330^{1}$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | 0.71 |
| Fruit juices . . | 4 | $551{ }^{1}$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | I. 55 |
| Welfare orange juice | 3 | $659{ }^{1}$ | $6 \cdot 4$ | n.a. |
| Total Ozher Fruit and Fruit Products |  |  |  | 0.65 |

TABLE IB-continued


TABLE 2
Domestic Food Consumption, 1955, All Households (oz. per head per woek except where otherwise stated)

|  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Ist }}$ | and Quarter | 3rd Quarter | 4 th Quarter | Yearly average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| milk and cream |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquid |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full price . . . (pt.) | $4 \cdot \mathrm{Or}$ | 4.02 | 3.98 | 4.05 | 4.02 |
| Welfare . . . . (pt.) | $0 \cdot 61$ | $0 \cdot 61$ | $0 \cdot 60$ | 0.53 | $0 \cdot 59$ |
| School . . . . (pt.) | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 15$ | 0.24 | $0 \cdot 20$ |
| Total Liquad Milk . . (pt.) | $4 \cdot 84$ | 4•8I | $4 \cdot 73$ | $4 \cdot 82$ | 4•8I |
| Condensed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skimmed, sweetened. (eq. pt.) | 0.02 | $0 \cdot 01$ | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Whole, sweetened . (eq. pt.) | $0 \cdot 02$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Whole, unsweetened . - (eq. pt.) | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 12$ |
| Dried |  |  |  |  |  |
| National . . . (eq. pt.) | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.07 | 0.05 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.08 |
| Branded . . . (eq. pt.) | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Other milk . . . . (pt.) | $0 \cdot 01$ | ... | $0 \cdot 01$ |  |  |
| Cream . . . . (pt.) | 0.01 | 0.02 | O.01 | $0 \cdot 01$ | 0.01 |
| Total Milk and Cream (pt. or eq. pt.) | $5 \cdot 14$ | $5 \cdot 07$ | $5 \cdot 02$ | $5 \cdot 12$ | 5.09 |
| cheese |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other than processed and packeted | $2 \cdot 61$ | 2.38 | 2.45 | $2 \cdot 41$ |  |
| Processed and packeted | 0.30 | $0 \cdot 36$ | 0.45 | $0 \cdot 36$ | $0 \cdot 37$ |
| Toral Cheese | 2•91 | $2 \cdot 74$ | $2 \cdot 90$ | $2 \cdot 77$ | $2 \cdot 83$ |
| heat and meat products Carcase Meat |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beef and veal | 10.02 | $9 \cdot 00$ | $8 \cdot 28$ | 10. 12 | 9.36 |
| Mutton and lamb | $5 \cdot 99$ | $7 \cdot 00$ | $6 \cdot 98$ | $6 \cdot 23$ | $6 \cdot 55$ |
| Pork | $3 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 85$ | I. 43 | 1.88 | $2 \cdot 32$ |
| Total Carcase Meat | 19.13 | 18.85 | 16.69 | 18.23 | 18.23 |
| Other Meat |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corned meat | 0.55 | 0.68 | $1 \cdot 00$ | 0.72 | $0 \cdot 74$ |
| Bones . | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 36$ | 0.25 | 0.65 | 0.46 |
| Bacon and ham, uncooked. Bacon and ham, cooked (including | $5 \cdot 29$ | $6 \cdot 04$ | $5 \cdot 20$ | 4.87 | $5 \cdot 35$ |
| craned) ham, cooked (including | 0.64 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.73 |
| Other cooked meat (not canned). | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| Other canned meat | 0.98 | I-14 | 1-57 | $1 \cdot 28$ | 1.24 |
| Liver | 0.92 | $0 \cdot 78$ | 0.74 | 0.88 | 0.83 |
| Offals (other than liver) | 0.86 | 0.64 | 0.46 | 0.75 | 0.68 |
| Poultry - - - | 0.47 | $0 \cdot 48$ | 0.42 | $0 \cdot 54$ | 0.48 |
| Rabbit, game and other meat | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 03$ | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| Sausages, uncooked, pork | $2 \cdot 45$ | $2 \cdot 35$ | $2 \cdot 03$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | $2 \cdot 25$ |
| Sanages, uncooked, beef | $1 \cdot 29$ | 1.04 | $1 \cdot 20$ | $1 \cdot 40$ | 1.23 |
| Other meat products. | 1.82 | 1.62 | 1.50 | 1.80 | 1.68 |
| Total Ozher Meat | $16 \cdot 40$ | 16.43 | 15:76 | 16.2I | $16 \cdot 19$ |

E

TABLE 2 continuced
(08. per head per week except where otherwise stated)


TABLE 2 continued
(oz. per head per roeek except where otherwise stated)

|  | Ist Quarter | 2nd Quarter | 3rd Quarter | $\begin{gathered} 4 t h \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | Yearly average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pegetables-contioued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onions, shallots, etc. . | $3 \cdot 83$ | $2 \cdot 98$ | $2 \cdot 53$ | $3 \cdot 91$ | $3 \cdot 31$ |
| Miscellaneous fresh vegetables | $0 \cdot 38$ | 1. 26 | $2 \cdot 21$ | 1-17 | I $\cdot 26$ |
| Dried pulses . | 0.86 | 0.80 | $0 \cdot 39$ | 0.69 | 0.68 |
| Canned peas | $3 \cdot 03$ | $3 \cdot 48$ | $1 \cdot 96$ | 2.63 | $2 \cdot 78$ |
| Canned beans | $2 \cdot 07$ | I-86 | 1-87 | 2.07 | I-97 |
| Canned vegetables (other than pulses) | $0 \cdot 30$ | $0 \cdot 38$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.26 |
| Vegetable products | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 06$ | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Total Other Vegetables. | $17 \cdot 86$ | 13.91 | 13.47 | 18.22 | 15.87 |
| Total Vegetables. | 98-10 | 85.63 | 87-08 | $96 \cdot 42$ | 91.83 |
| UIT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges . | $3 \cdot 98$ | $3 \cdot 83$ | $2 \cdot 00$ | $2 \cdot 21$ | $3 \cdot 00$ |
| Other citrus fruit | $1 \cdot 01$ | 1.04 | 0.63 | $0 \cdot 61$ | 0.82 |
| Apples and pears | 6.46 | $5 \cdot 55$ | $5 \cdot 8 \mathrm{I}$ | $8 \cdot 96$ | $6 \cdot 70$ |
| Stone fruit | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 16$ | $2 \cdot 98$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.86 |
| Soft fruit | 0.09 | 0.69 | $3 \cdot 07$ | $0 \cdot 30$ | 1.04 |
| Quick frozen soft fruit | $\cdots$ | ... | $0 \cdot 01$ | $0 \cdot 01$ | ... |
| Bananas | 2.56 | $2 \cdot 94$ | 3.35 | 2.86 | $2 \cdot 93$ |
| Other fresh fruit | $0 \cdot 31$ | $2 \cdot 01$ | 0.65 | 0.05 | 0.76 |
| Tomatoes, fresh and quick frozen | $2 \cdot 02$ | $4 \cdot 78$ | $8 \cdot 18$ | $3 \cdot 16$ | $4 \cdot 54$ |
| Total Fresh Fruit . | 16.50 | 21:00 | 26.68 | 18.38 | 20.65 |
| Tomatoes, canned and bottled | 0.83 | 0.71 | 0.55 | 0.68 | 0.69 |
| Canned and bottled fruit | 3.15 | 4.08 | $4 \cdot 00$ | $3 \cdot 55$ | $3 \cdot 70$ |
| Dried vine fruit | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 1.80 | $1 \cdot 14$ |
| Other dried fruit | 0.27 | 0.27 | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 30$ | $0 \cdot 26$ |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products | $0 \cdot 34$ | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.80 | 0.41 |
| Fruit juices | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Welfare orange juice | $0 \cdot 09$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.12 | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 11$ |
| Total Other Frait and Fruit Products | $5 \cdot 86$ | $6 \cdot 50$ | $6 \cdot 11$ | $7 \cdot 47$ | 6.49 |
| Total Fruat | 22.36 | 27.50 | 32•79 | 25.85 | 27.14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National bread |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown (excluding milk) | $2 \cdot 22$ | 2.43 | 2-18 | $2 \cdot 14$ | $2 \cdot 24$ |
| Milk | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.64 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.50 |
| Other | 48.01 | $47 \cdot 33$ | 48.61 | 46.71 | $47 \cdot 66$ |
| White bread | 0.56 | 0.40 | 0.40 | $0 \cdot 37$ | 0.43 |
| Wholewheat and wholemeal bread | I 66 | $1 \cdot 84$ | 1.65 | 1.62 | I-69 |
| Malt bread. | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.23 | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 21$ | $0 \cdot 21$ |
| Other bread | $2 \cdot 34$ | $2 \cdot 48$ | $2 \cdot 39$ | $2 \cdot 35$ | $2 \cdot 39$ |
| Total Bread | 55.18 | $55 \cdot 36$ | 56.03 | 53.96 | 55.13 |
| Self-raising flour | $7 \cdot 02$ | 6.66 | 6.03 | 6.64 | 6.59 |
| Other flour. | $2 \cdot 09$ | $2 \cdot 04$ | 1. 58 | $2 \cdot 22$ | 1.98 |

TABLE 2 continued
(oz. per head per woek except where otherwise stated)

| - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ist } \\ \text { Quarter } \end{gathered}$ | 2nd Quarter | $3 r d$ Quarter | ${ }_{\text {Quarter }}^{\text {4th }}$ | Yearly average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cbreals-continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buns, scones and tea cakes | 1.39 | $1 \cdot 68$ | 1.24 | $1 \cdot 46$ | 1.44 |
| Cakes and pastries . | $3 \cdot 66$ | 4.24 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4-12 |
| Biscuits | 4.91 | $5 \cdot 04$ | $5 \cdot 23$ | $5 \cdot 28$ | $5 \cdot 12$ |
| Puddings . | 0.26 | 0.59 | $0 \cdot 79$ | $0 \cdot 49$ | $0 \cdot 53$ |
| Oatmeal and oat products | $1 \cdot 74$ | 0.83 | 0.66 | 1.52 | 1-19 |
| Breakfast cereals . | 1.43 | 1.76 | 1.95 | 1.63 | $1 \cdot 69$ |
| Rice . | 0.96 | 0.87 | 0.73 | 0.87 | 0.86 |
| Cereals, flour base | 0.75 | 0.64 | $0 \cdot 60$ | $0 \cdot 71$ | 0.68 |
| Other cereals | $0 \cdot 74$ | $0 \cdot 67$ | 0.73 | $0 \cdot 70$ | $0 \cdot 71$ |
| Total Cereals | $80 \cdot 13$ | 80. 38 | $80 \cdot 02$ | 79.59 | 80.04 |
| beverages |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea. | $2 \cdot 76$ | $2 \cdot 80$ | $2 \cdot 79$ | $2 \cdot 81$ | $2 \cdot 79$ |
| Coffee, bean and ground | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 11$ |
| Coffee, extracts and essences . | 0.30 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.23 | $0 \cdot 25$ | $0 \cdot 25$ |
| Cocoa and drinking chocolate | 0.27 | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 21$ |
| Branded food drinks | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 23$ | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Total Beverages | 3.65 | $3 \cdot 49$ | $3 \cdot 41$ | $3 \cdot 61$ | $3 \cdot 54$ |
| miscbllaneous |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invalid and baby foods. | $0 \cdot 28$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.23 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.22 |
| Spreads and dressings . | 0.06 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.22 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 14$ |
| Soups, canned . | I.8I | $1 \cdot 12$ | 0.85 | 1.98 | I. 44 |
| Soups, dehydrated and powdered | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 02$ | $0 \cdot 01$ | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Meat and vegetable extracts . | 0.17 | $0 \cdot 12$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 14$ |
| Total Miscellaneous Foods | 2•36 | 1.68 | 1*42 | $2 \cdot 40$ | 1-96 |

TABLE 3
Domestic Food Prices, 1955, All Households


TABLE 3 continued

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Average prices paid*} <br>
\hline \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ist } \\
\text { Quarter }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 2nd Quarter \& $3 r d$ Quarter \& $$
\stackrel{4 \text { th }}{\text { Quarter }}
$$ \& Yearly average <br>
\hline EGGS . \& 4.17 \& 4*OI \& $5 \cdot 01$ \& $5 \cdot 57$ \& 4-64 <br>
\hline fats \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Butter \& $46 \cdot 46$ \& $45 \cdot 73$ \& 44.54 \& 49.06 \& 46-39 <br>
\hline Margarine \& $20 \cdot 74$ \& $20 \cdot 72$ \& $20 \cdot 63$ \& $20 \cdot 74$ \& $20 \cdot 71$ <br>
\hline Lard and compound cooking fat \& 24-10 \& 21.89 \& 21.10 \& 21.27 \& $21 \cdot 26$ <br>
\hline Suet and dripping \& $20 \cdot 33$ \& 19.05 \& 19.02 \& 20.08 \& $19 \cdot 77$ <br>
\hline Other fats, oils and creams \& 38-95 \& 38-49 \& 34.93 \& $33 \cdot 52$ \& $36 \cdot 82$ <br>
\hline SUGAR AND PRESERVES \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Jams, jellies and curds . \& 17•73 \& 17.83 \& $18 \cdot 50$ \& 19.07 \& $18 \cdot 23$ <br>
\hline Sugar. . \& $7 \cdot 73$ \& $7 \cdot 74$ \& $8 \cdot 14$ \& $8 \cdot 28$ \& 7.97 <br>
\hline Marmalade . . \& $16 \cdot 36$ \& 15.77 \& $16 \cdot 67$ \& 16.63 \& $16 \cdot 35$ <br>
\hline Syrup, treacle and honey \& 12.40 \& 12.19 \& 13.21 \& 14.08 \& $12 \cdot 97$ <br>
\hline vegetables \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Old potatoes \& $2 \cdot 26$ \& $2 \cdot 41$ \& $2 \cdot 98$ \& 2.94 \& 2. 57 <br>
\hline New potatoes \& $7 \cdot 48$ \& 7-3I \& 4.01 \& $6 \cdot 00$ \& 5.31 <br>
\hline Chips. . \& 12.53 \& 12.62 \& 13.04 \& $12 \cdot 83$ \& 12.78 <br>
\hline Crisps \& 47.07 \& $46 \cdot 86$ \& $46 \cdot 85$ \& 48.15 \& $47 \cdot 27$ <br>
\hline Cabbages \& $5 \cdot 24$ \& $6 \cdot 45$ \& 4.75 \& $5 \cdot 36$ \& 5.54 <br>
\hline Brussels sprouts \& $8 \cdot 55$ \& $10 \cdot 29$ \& 13.40 \& 9.41 \& $9 \cdot 04$ <br>
\hline Cauliflower. \& $9 \cdot 94$ \& $10 \cdot 72$ \& $9 \cdot 06$ \& 9.36 \& 9.86 <br>
\hline Leafy salads \& $33 \cdot 70$ \& 21.90 \& 16.90 \& $27 \cdot 77$ \& 21.57 <br>
\hline Fresh legumes . \& 25.38 \& 13.58 \& $8 \cdot 07$ \& $14 \cdot 62$ \& $8 \cdot 43$ <br>
\hline Quick frozen legumes . \& 34.79
6.98 \& 35.06 \& 34.73 \& 36.17 \& $35 \cdot 17$ <br>
\hline Other fresh green vegetables. \& $6 \cdot 98$ \& 9.00 \& 11.68 \& 7-11 \& 8.25 <br>
\hline Carrots . \& $5 \cdot 96$ \& 10.07 \& $6 \cdot 46$ \& $4 \cdot 81$ \& $6 \cdot 35$ <br>
\hline Other root vegetables \& 4.14 \& 6.41 \& $7 \cdot 12$ \& $4 \cdot 81$ \& 5.05 <br>
\hline Onions, shallots, etc. . - \& 6.81 \& $7 \cdot 66$ \& 6.60 \& $6 \cdot 15$ \& $6 \cdot 78$ <br>
\hline Miscellaneous fresh vegetables \& 25.92 \& 24.76 \& 13.57 \& 15.59 \& 18.08 <br>
\hline Dried pulses . \& 16.75 \& 17.45 \& $16 \cdot 77$ \& 14.61 \& $16 \cdot 45$ <br>
\hline Canned peas \& 14.74 \& 15.04 \& 14.79 \& 14.39 \& 14.76 <br>
\hline Canned beans . \& 14.06 \& 13.98 \& 14.07 \& 14.09 \& 14.05 <br>
\hline Canned vegetables (other than pulses) \& $18 \cdot 28$
$18 \cdot 37$ \& 17.35
20.26 \& $16 \cdot 76$
$20 \cdot 58$ \& 17.92
18.88 \& 17.63

19.30 <br>
\hline Vegetable products \& 18.37 \& 20.26 \& $20 \cdot 58$ \& 18.88 \& 19.30 <br>
\hline frish fruit \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Oranges . \& 10. 23 \& 10.67 \& 11.97 \& 11.43 \& 10.86 <br>
\hline Other citrus fruit. \& 12.09 \& 12.57 \& 14.40 \& 14.12 \& 13.03 <br>
\hline Apples and pears. \& $10 \cdot 40$ \& 13.05 \& $12 \cdot 10$ \& $10 \cdot 62$ \& II.44 <br>
\hline Stone fruit . \& 19.57 \& 20.97 \& 10.87 \& 9.43 \& 11.44 <br>
\hline Soft fruit \& $30 \cdot 66$ \& 25.07 \& 19.70 \& $23 \cdot 71$ \& 21-38 <br>
\hline Quick frozen soft fruit. \& 53.33 \& $37 \cdot 20$ \& 40.50 \& $34 \cdot 46$ \& $38 \cdot 55$ <br>
\hline Bananas . . \& 14.75 \& 16.51 \& $16 \cdot 92$ \& 16.35 \& $16 \cdot 18$. <br>
\hline Other fresh fruit \& 15.07 \& 6.85 \& 13.23 \& 13.70 \& $10 \cdot 11$ <br>
\hline Tomatoes, fresh and quick frozen \& 18.44 \& 25.67 \& $17 \cdot 25$ \& 17-39 \& 19.68 <br>
\hline OTHER PRUIT \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Tomatoes, canned and bottled \& \& 15.51 \& 15.95 \& 15.96 \& 15.86 <br>
\hline Canned and bottled fruit . \& 21-37 \& 21.80 \& $22 \cdot 56$ \& $22 \cdot 47$ \& 22.07 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

table 3 contionued

${ }^{*}$ Pence per pint of liquid and other milk and cream, pence per equivalent pint of condensed and dried milk, and pence per shell eags; otherwise pence per lb.

## Appendix C <br> Occupational Differences in Household Diets

1. A definition of social class based on the occupation of the head of the household would have certain advantages over the present definition based on his income, particularly continuity during a period of inflation and comparability with mortality data collected by the Registrars-General. It is hoped to analyse domestic food consumption according to the Registrars-General's socio-economic classification in a future Annual Report, but the information at present available on occupation relates to the degree of activity rather than the degree of skill and does not lend itself to this treatment. A study of certain broad occupational groups suggests, however, that, except in the segregated industries of mining and agriculture, occupation as such has little effect on household food expenditure, and that the observed differences in food expenditure are largely explicable in terms of income, family composition and the proportion of meals taken outside the home. All these are to some extent associated with occupation, and adjustments for them can be made to isolate any "pure" occupational differences which may exist. The present study is confined to nine groups of households in which the head was the sole earner and for each of which a sample of over 200 households was available for the year 1955. Three of the six manual and one of the three non-manual groups have been studied in more detail.
2. Table I shows, for each occupational group, the number of households, the average number of persons and of children per household, the average declared family income and the proportion of meals taken outside the home, the meals being weighted as in the calculation of nutrient requirements. The households of workers in agriculture and fisheries contained a much higher proportion of older childless couples than any other group ( 21 per cent of households compared with II to 16 per cent) and had a smaller average size than the other manual groups ( 3.22 persons compared with $3 \cdot 38-3 \cdot 53$ ), though the number of adults per household was higher ( $2 \cdot 15$ compared with $2 \cdot 02-2 \cdot 09$ ). In these respects the agricultural households resembled the non-manual groups; the latter, however, recorded higher average incomes and took a much greater proportion of meals outside the home than the manual workers' households. Of the six manual groups, mining and quarrying had the highest average family income, agriculture and fishing the lowest.
3. For each occupational group, the expenditure per person has been standardized for differences in family composition by re-weighting the averages found for separate household types within the group to conform with the distribution of household types found in the metal manufacturing and engineering workers' households, the largest selected group. The effect was to increase the difference between the two extreme groups (agriculture and mining) while making the other averages more uniform. The largest adjustment was that for the clerical workers' households, which contained relatively few children.
4. The estimates of food expenditure, already thus standardized for household composition, were next adjusted to a standard family income of $f_{10} \mathrm{Is}$. od. per week, the average recorded by the metals group. This further adjustment is subject to the errors inherent in the information on income given by housewives* and has only been made approximately, using arithmetic instead of geometric means. An

[^18]income elasticity of 0.30 was assumed (see chapter IV, paragraph 62). This step indicated that the relatively high food expenditure in the professional and technical group was an income effect. The gap between the two exceptional groups was not much reduced.
5. The next adjustment was to standardize all the estimates of food expenditure to an average of 3.35 per cent meals taken outside the home. This brought all but two of the group averages into the range 23 s . iod. -24 s . 6 d . per person per week and thus within less than $\mathrm{I} \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the average in the standard (metals) group. The remaining differences are of the same order as the standard error of each group average, and any "true" occupational differences must be quite small, if indeed they exist at all. In particular, there is no indication of a systematic difference between the non-manual and most of the manual groups.
6. The low average expenditure in agricultural workers' households was more than made good by the free food available to them through perquisites and garden and allotment supplies, which was valued at 5 s . 5 d . per head per week ( 5 s .3 d . after standardizing for household composition). This compares with is. od. per head per week for the professional and technical group and only 5 d . in the miners' and metalworkers' households. The main items in the total of 5 s .5 d . were fresh milk (is. 7d.), eggs (is. od.) and poultry (4d.), potatoes (7d.), fresh green vegetables ( 6 d .) and fresh fruit ( 6 d. ). It is convenient to value this produce at its full retail price to estimate the total value of food obtained for consumption, but if the households concerned had not been able to obtain most of their milk, eggs and vegetables free, they would almost certainly not have purchased such large quantities. About a third of the agricultural households bought no liquid milk at all, and therefore did not benefit from the general and welfare milk subsidies.
7. The one remaining effect which seems to be essentially assocjated with occupation is the high average expenditure on food by the miners' households, which, after all adjustments, remained 9 per cent higher than in the metalworkers' households. Their energy requirements, after adjustment for household composition and the incidence of outside meals, were 5 per cent higher than in the standard group; but the additional calorie requirements of active workers are normally made good by the cheaper foods and have little bearing on expenditure.
8. Table 2 gives the quantities of food obtained for consumption by the four groups of households selected for more detailed analysis, together with adjusted estimates, standardized to conform to the distribution of types of household found in the metals and engineering group. No standardization by regions has been attempted, although to a small extent the occupational differences may be affected by the uneven geographical distribution of occupations.* The principal differences were that consumption of milk was high in the agricultural workers' households, because of their free supplies, and low in miners' households, which, however, had the highest averages for potatoes and bread, total fats, fish and, after adjustment for bousehold composition, for meat, including bacon. The agricultural households obtained more of the natural cheeses than the other groups, and more flour, sugar and preserves, but less vegetables other than potatoes and fresh greens, and less fish, no doubt because of the difficulties of distribution in rural areas, even though the few fishermen's households in the sample were included in the group. The profersional and technical workers' households consumed much more fresh fruit than the manual groups, but much smaller quantities of potatoes, bread and cakes; they obtained less tea, but more of other beverages.
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Appendix C
TABLE 2
Domestic Food Consumption of Four Occupational Groups, standardized for Household Composition, 1955 (os. per head per week except where otherwise stated)

| Food | Original rasules (monstandardised) |  |  | Siandard grous | Scandardized resules, rewoighred by distribution of household cypes in metals group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agriculture and Fithind | Mining and Quarrying | Profasstional and Technical | Metals (Manufacturing and Enginsering) | Agriculture and Pishing | Mining and Quarrying | Professional and Technical |
| MILE AND CREAM Liquid (full price or free supply) . (pt.) Liquid (welfare and school) Condensed, dried and other (pt.orequiv. pt$)$ Cremm . . . . | 5.10 0.76 0.28 0.02 | 2.94 1.40 0.31 0.01 | 4.32 1.23 0.28 0.02 | 3.52 1.42 0.40 0.01 | 4.88 0.96 0.25 0.01 | 2.99 1.36 0.34 0.01 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.09 \\ & 1.37 \\ & 0.29 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ |
| Tosal Milk and Cream (pt. or squio. pt.) . | $6 \cdot 16$ | 4.66 | $5 \cdot 86$ | 5•35 | $6 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 70$ | $5 \cdot 78$ |
| CHEESE <br> Excluding processed and packeted. Proceased and packeted | $\begin{aligned} & 2.98 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ | 2.10 0.41 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.33 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | 2.09 0.39 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.86 \\ & 0.30 \end{aligned}$ | 2.18 0.42 | 2.24 0.37 |
| Total Choses . . . . | $3 \cdot 34$ | $2 \cdot 51$ | $2 \cdot 73$ | $2 \cdot 48$ | $3 \cdot 16$ | $2 \cdot 60$ | $2 \cdot 61$ |
| mbat <br> Carcase <br> Becon and ham, uncooked Other ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.73 \\ 5.82 \\ 10.85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \cdot 52 \\ 5 \cdot 93 \\ 11.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.89 \\ 4.85 \\ 9.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.38 \\ 4.68 \\ 9.91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.47 \\ 5.42 \\ 10.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \cdot 76 \\ 6 \cdot 09 \\ 11 \cdot 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.46 \\ 4.70 \\ 8.73 \end{array}$ |
| Total Meat . . . . | 34.40 | 32-73 | $30 \cdot 83$ | $30 \cdot 97$ | $32 \cdot 60$ | $33 \cdot 8$ | 29.89 |
| FISH <br> Fresh and processed ${ }^{2}$ <br> Prepared ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.68 \\ & 1.07 \end{aligned}$ | 4.37 1.80 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.69 \\ & 0.97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 80 \\ & 1 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 29 \\ & 1 \cdot 09 \end{aligned}$ | 4.39 1.86 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.45 \\ & 0.96 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Fish . . . . . | 4.74 | $6 \cdot 17$ | $5 \cdot 66$ | 4.99 | $4 \cdot 38$ | 6.25 | 5.42 |
| EOG8 . . . . . . (No.) | $4 \cdot 65$ | 4.45 | 4-38 | 3.96 | 4.49 | $4 \cdot 47$ | $4 \cdot 29$ |

TABLE 2 continued

| Food | Original results (unstandardizal) |  |  | Standard group | Standardized results, reweighted by dissriburion <br> of household types in metals group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agriculture and Fishing | Mining and Quarrying | Professtional and Technical | Metals (Mantfacruring and Enginsering) | Agriculture and Fishing | Mining and Quarrying | Professional and Technical |
| pats Butter | 4.92 | 4.88 | 4.68 | 3.95 | 4.52 |  |  |
| Margarine | 5.37 | 5.07 | 4.38 | 3.95 4.50 | 5.27 | 5.00 | ${ }_{4} \cdot 12$ |
| Lerd and compound cooking fat | 2.63 | 3.03 | 1.99 | $2 \cdot 19$ | 2.47 | $3 \cdot 9$ | 1.97 |
| Other fats . . . . | 0.46 | 0.44 | - 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.48 |
| Total Fars . | $13 \cdot 38$ | 13.42 | H. 55 | H/16 | 12.69 | 13.50 | IIfI |
| sugar and presbrves Sugar. | 19.63 | 16.07 | 16.96 | 17.83 | 18.76 | 16.33 | $17 \cdot 10$ |
| Honey, preserves, syrup and treacle | 5.54 | 4.05 | 4.29 | 3.74 | $5 \cdot 32$ | 3.99 | $4 \cdot 23$ |
| Total Sugar and Preserves | 25.17 | 20.12 | 21.25 | 21.57 | 24.08 | $20 \cdot 32$ | $21 \cdot 33$ |
| Vegetablers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potatoes, inchuding chips and crisps | 54.05 14.85 | 75.99 10.99 | $49 \cdot 73$ $15 \cdot 11$ | 62.66 14.21 | 54.30 13.98 | $76 \cdot 86$ 11.35 | $49 \cdot 46$ |
| Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ P | 14.06 | 17.87 | 15.77 | 16.10 | 14.00 | 18.15 | 15.61 |
| Total Vagetables other than Potatoes | 28.91 | 28.86 | 30.88 | $30 \cdot 31$ | 27.98 | 29.46 | 29.95 |
| PRUIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh Other | 19.12 7.58 | 16.94 7.87 | 31.05 7.99 | 18.74 5.68 | 18.31 7.08 | 17.01 8.00 | 30.76 7.85 |
| Total Pruit . | $26 \cdot 70$ | 24.8I | 39.04 | 24.43 | 25.39 | 25.01 | 38.61 |

Appendix C
TABLE 2 continued
(oz. per head per week except where othervise stated)

| (0z. per head per week except where otherwise stated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food |  | Orisinal rasules (rensamdardised) |  |  | Siandard Growp | Standardized rasults, rewoeighted by distriburion of household typas in metals group |  |  |
|  |  | Asriculturt and Fishing | Mining and Quarryins | Professtional and Techrical | Merals (Manufacturine and Engineering) | Agriculture and Fishing | Minuing and Omarryine | Prafassional and Tachnical |
| CEREALS <br> National bread <br> White bread <br> Wholemeal bread. <br> Other bread? | $\cdots \quad$. | 58.63 0.36 1.13 1.77 | $\begin{array}{r} 59.64 \\ 0.12 \\ 1.15 \\ 2.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.29 \\ 0.51 \\ 2.72 \\ 2.59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.93 \\ 0.36 \\ 1.34 \\ 2.41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.02 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.89 \\ 1.73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.24 \\ 0.12 \\ 1.17 \\ 2.62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.98 \\ 0.39 \\ 1.99 \\ 2.47 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total Bread . . | - | 61.90 | $63 \cdot 53$ | $40 \cdot 10$ | $52 \cdot 04$ | 59.85 | 63.15 | 38.84 |
| Flour. <br> Calkes ${ }^{8}$ <br> Biscuits <br> Oatmoal and cat products Breakfast cereals Other. |  | 12.74 5.66 5.46 2.04 1.44 3.22 | 10.76 5.67 5.52 1.12 1.55 2.77 | 9.17 3.97 5.65 1.15 2.14 3.55 | 7.33 5.76 5.12 1.31 1.77 2.77 | 11.49 5.56 5.36 1.84 1.51 3.24 | 10.93 5.86 5.57 1.13 1.56 2.71 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 10 \\ & 3 \cdot 91 \\ & 5 \cdot 60 \\ & 1.14 \\ & 2 \cdot 17 \\ & 3.63 \end{aligned}$ |
| beverages <br> Tea <br> Coffee <br> Cocosa <br> Branded food drinks | $\div \quad . \quad$. | 2.75 0.39 0.23 0.20 | 2.76 0.16 0.16 0.21 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.22 \\ & 0.56 \\ & 0.24 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.70 \\ & 0.21 \\ & 0.17 \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | 2.61 0.38 0.23 0.22 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.81 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.11 \\ & 0.53 \\ & 0.35 \\ & 0.25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Beoerages . . | . $\cdot$ | 3.56 | 3.39 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.44 | $3 \cdot 35$ | 3.14 |
| miscellaneous | $\cdots \cdot$ | $2 \cdot 62$ | 1.76 | $2 \cdot 59$ | $2 \cdot 12$ | $2 \cdot 37$ | 2.83 | 2.56 |

[^20]
## Appendix D

## Contributions of Different Foods to the Nutrient Content of the Diet

1. A series of tables was given (Appendix C, Tables I to 5 ) in the Annual Report for 1954 to show the contributions of different foods to the nutrient content of the diets of all households, Class A, old age pensioner households, younger childless couples and couples with four or more children. A similar table (Appendix C, Table I) was given for all households in the Annual Report for 1953. A comparable table (Table x ) for all households for 1955 has been expanded to include the dietary sources of fat.
2. The continuous rise between 1952 and 1955 in the contents in the average household diet of animal protein, fat, carbohydrate, iron and vitamin A has been noted in Chapter III. The stability of the protein and calcium totals and the decreases since 1953 in vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$, nicotinic acid and vitamin C have also been mentioned.
3. Between 1953 and 1955 increased consumption of all meats made the most important contributions to the larger totals for protein and iron, and more than offset the reduction in iron, but not of nicotinic acid, from cereals. Increased egg consumption made small contributions in the same direction. The increased fortification of margarine with vitamin A after decontrol more than compensated for the gradual decrease in the $\beta$-carotene contribution from vegetables.
4. Reduced consumption of cereals, with the reduced vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and nicotinic acid contents of flour and bread, was the cause of the smaller totals of these two vitamins in 1955 compared with the two preceding years. For each vitamin these reductions outweighed the increases from meats. The contributions of vitamin C from potatoes, green vegetables and fresh fruit (including tomatoes) each decreased slightly between 1953 and 1955.
5. The reductions in protein from cereals were slightly greater than the increases from meats and eggs. The decision to fortify all flour but true wholemeal with calcium carbonate after decontrol prevented the decrease in calcium which would otherwise have followed the reduced cereal consumption.
6. Because of the housewife's difficulty in distinguishing between lard and vegetable cooking fats it has not been possible to separate animal and vegetable fats completely, but some information on fats is given in Table 1 , and summarized for convenience in Table 2. Probably more than half the "other visible" fats and almost all the fats in "other foods" were of vegetable origin. Thus some 70 per cent of the fat in the average household diet was of animal origin.
7. As in previous years, the largest contributions to the total nutritive value of the diet were those from liquid and processed milks to calcium ( 48 per cent) and riboflavin ( 37 per cent); from margarine to vitamin $D$ ( 42 per cent); and from total meats to nicotinic acid ( 37 per cent). Potatoes provided 34 per cent of the vitamin C, and fresh fruit and tomatoes 31 per cent. Bread and flour contributed 28 per cent of the protein, 29 per cent of the vitamin $B_{1}$ and 26 per cent of the nicotinic acid. Milks, cheese and cereals together supplied 57 per cent of the protein, 86 per cent of the calcium and 47 per cent of the vitamin $B_{1}$.
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & Q \\ & \text { Q } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | 11 ci | $\stackrel{\square}{-1}$ | － | ¢ | ¢ | \％ | 1 | 1111 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\pm \times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $11^{m}$ | m | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | 8： | $\sim$ | 1 | 111！ | 1 |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ | $11 \pm$ | $\because$ | 1 | 1 | 111 | 1 | $\stackrel{\sim}{i}$ |  | i |
|  |  | \％ | $\cdots$ | － | $11^{\prime}$ | － | 1 | 1 | 111 | 1 |  | Frm－ | － |
| $\approx$ |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { mim } \\ \text { min }\end{gathered}\right.$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { io }}$ | \％ | $11 \%$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | 品动菏 | $\stackrel{\circ}{6}$ |
| － |  | \％ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ¢ }}$ | ： | 11 | ： |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ |
| zo |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{9}$ | $\stackrel{+}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 11 | ： | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | monno | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |
|  |  | \％ | 8\％ |  |  | \％ | $\stackrel{m}{0}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\square}$ | 11： | ： |  | ¥\％ot | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |
|  |  | 2 ¢ ¢ ¢ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\hat{i}$ |  | in | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | in | 11： | ： |  |  | in |
| E. |  | 家 | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{1}{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ！ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | 11： | ： |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| s. |  |  | $\stackrel{m}{\dot{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{ \pm}$ | ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\because$ | Mong | $\dot{R}$ |  | $1 \min _{\text {in }}^{\sim}$ | ì |
|  |  | $\pm$ | \％ | \％̃̃ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{1}$ | \％ | F | 8 | \％ig ${ }^{\text {com }}$ | $\stackrel{0}{3}$ | － | 10융o | ¢ |
|  | 든 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{m}}$ | in | （1） | $\begin{aligned} & a \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | － | $\check{\square}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | mmpo | ¢ |
|  |  | \％ | $3 \%$ | 0 | － | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { m }}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | ：： | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 羔 } \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | \％${ }_{\text {\％}}^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{n}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ | $\because$ | 「 | \％${ }_{\circ}^{\text {of：}}$ | $\stackrel{*}{0}$ | ！ |  | is |
|  |  | E | \％\％ | 垍 | ${ }^{\infty} \times$ | $n$ | 2 | ¢ | －m | ＊ | － | agan | \％ |
|  | 0 |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{m}}$ | $i$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \circ \\ \dot{i} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  | ¢̂l1： | $!$ |
|  |  | $\dot{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { in }}$ | － | － |  | \％！1！ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{¢}}$ | $\hat{\hat{n}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { in }}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | 1\％ | ： | － |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
|  |  | － | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ia }}{\text { in }}$ | $\stackrel{\hat{\dot{Q}}}{ }$ | 号号号号 | $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ | $\stackrel{+}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ | $1 \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ | $\bigcirc^{\circ} \mathrm{mam}$ | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{i}$ | ninim | i | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{2}$ |
|  |  | נ̇ | \％ís |  | mog | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ | $\cdots$ | ＋ | ぎ¢ | 2 | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{ }$ | \＃0＋o | 2 |
|  |  |  | 晨。 |  |  |  | 告 | $\stackrel{8}{4}$ |  | gid | 星 |  |  |

Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure， 1955
TABLE I continued
（per head per day）

|  | Enerey Value |  | Protein |  | Fat |  | Calcium |  | Iron |  | Vitaman $A$ |  | Vitamin $B r^{\text {a }}$ |  | Ribofavin |  | Nicorinic acid |  | Vitamin $C^{\bullet}$ |  | Vitamin D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cal． | Por cent of total | 8. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { total } \end{aligned}$ | 8. | Par cent of rotal | me． | Par cens of tosal | mes． | Per cens of tosal | i．n． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Porr } \\ & \text { cour } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { toral } \end{aligned}$ | me． | Par cent of total | me． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Port } \\ \text { cont } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | ms． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Porr } \\ \text { oont } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | me． | Par cent of total | i．．． | Porr |
| Fresh fruit ${ }^{3}$ Other Sruit | 24 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \\ & i \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | 0.7 0.4 | $\overline{0.4}$ | $\overline{0.3}$ | 11 7 | 1.1 0.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 0 \\ & 2 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | 205 48 | 4.9 1.1 | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ 0.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02 \\ & 0.0 \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 0.5 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \cdot 3 \\ 0 \cdot 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 x \cdot 0 \\ 4 \cdot 2 \end{array}$ | 二 | － |
| Total Prait | 54 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 18 | 17 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 253 | $6 \cdot 0$ | 0.04 | 3.4 | 0.03 | 1.9 | 0.4 | $3 \cdot 2$ | 18 | 35.2 | － | － |
| Bread and flour Other cereals | $\begin{aligned} & 701 \\ & 229 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \cdot 5 \\ 8.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \cdot 4 \\ 5 \cdot 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.8 \\ 7.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 0 \\ & 7 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \\ & 6.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 257 \\ 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.6 \\ 4.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 2 \\ & 1 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.4 \\ & 10 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | 80 | 1．9 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.36 \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.7 \\ 5.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ 4.5 \end{gathered}$ | 二 | 二 | －8 | 5．5 |
| Total Cereals | 930 | 35.2 | 26.9 | 35.0 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 308 | 29.5 | 4.5 | 33.5 | 80 | 1.9 | 0.43 | 34.5 | 0.16 | 9.5 | 3.9 | $30 \cdot 0$ | － | － | 8 | 5.5 |
| Beverages | 8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2 | $0 \cdot$ | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2 | ．．． | ．．． | 0.2 | 0.11 | 6.8 | ．．． | 0.3 | － | － | － | － |
| Other foods ${ }^{4}$ ． | 10 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 14 | 0.3 | ．．． | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.6 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 2.4 | ．．． | 0.8 | － | － |
| total all foods | 2，641 | 100 | 76.9 | 100 | 107．5 | 100 | 1，044 | 100 | 13.5 | 100 | 4，199 | 100 | 1.24 | 100 | 2.65 | 100 | $13 \cdot 7$ | 100 | 54 | 100 | 144 | 100 |

${ }^{5}$ To allow for losees in cooking， 15 per cent has been deducted from all
intake figures as suggeated in Medical Research Council War Memorandum
A．Wefiare orange juice inchuded in fruit．Allowance made for cooking losess，
as suggested in the Memorandum cited above in Note $s$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Welfare fish liver oil and Vitamin A and D tablets excluded．
Including chips and crispe．
Including rommatoes．
${ }^{4}$ Invalid and baby foods，apreads and dressings，soups and extracts．

## Appendix D

TABLE 2
Sources of Fat in the Average Househol d Diet, 1955

|  | $g$. | per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Animal sources (including butter) | 70 | 65 |
| Margarine - . . | 16 | 15 |
| Other visible fats (including lard) | 11 | 10 |
| Other foods | II | 10 |
|  | 108 | 100 |

Appendix E
table I Expenditure by Regions, 1955 (pence per head per voeek)

|  | Wales | Scotland | Northern and East and West Ridinges | North Western |  | Midland | Soush Westorn | South Eastorn and Southern | London | Great <br> Britain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| milix and crasm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liquid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weilfare | 25.34 0.85 | 25.59 1.04 | 23.15 0.92 | 27.69 1.08 | $\begin{array}{r} 27.39 \\ 0.97 \end{array}$ | 30.00 1.22 | $0.86$ | 28.63 1.04 | 31.60 1.23 | 27.39 I |
| Total Liquid Milk | 26.19 | 26.59 | 24.07 | 28.77 | $28 \cdot 36$ | 31.23 | 27.54 | 29.67 | 32.83 | 28.43 |
| Condensed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skimmed, aweetened | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.05 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 01$ | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.08 |
| Whole, sweetened. | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.21 | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.14 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| Whole, unsweetened | 0.94 | 0.35 | $1 \cdot 11$ | $1 \cdot 17$ | [12 | 0.95 | 0.63 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 0.92 | 0.96 |
| Dried |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.18 | $0 \cdot 12$ |
| Branded | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 0.45 | -- | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.24 |
| Other milk | - | $0 \cdot 01$ | 0.02 |  | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.03 |
| Cream - | 1.44 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.79 | $1 \cdot 34$ | 0.77 | 0.89 | 0.75 |
| Toral Milk and Cramm | 29.15 | 37.80 | 26.48 | 31•34 | 30.62 | 33.70 | 29.75 | 32.36 | 35.44 | 30.79 |
| Cherse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excluding processed and pecketed | 4.68 | $3 \cdot 62$ | $3 \cdot 57$ | 4.84 |  |  | 6.20 | $5 \cdot 70$ | $4 \cdot 24$ | 4.68 |
| Processed and packeted. | 1.17 | 1.42 | 1.21 | 0.92 | 1.24 | 0.96 | 0.86 | 1.23 | 1.42 | 1-19 |
| Toral Chease | 5.85 | 5.04 | $4 \cdot 78$ | 5.76 | 5.78 | 6.88 | 7.06 | 6.93 | 5.66 | 5.87 |
| Pata Butter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Margarine : | 4.89 | 6.18 | 12.60 6.61 | 7.42 | 5.99 | 13.58 5.78 | 13.82 5.30 | 12.44 | 11.72 | 12.90 |
| Lard and compound cooking fat | 3.43 | 1.75 | 3.59 | 2.93 | 3.85 | 3.47 | 3.07 | $2 \cdot 73$ | 5.43 | 6.05 |
| Suet and dripping | $0 \cdot 30$ | $0 \cdot 47$ | 0.86 | 0.42 | 0.76 | 0.37 | 0.63 | $0 \cdot 79$ | 0.73 | 0.63 |
| Other fath, oils and cremms | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.05 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.08 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| Total Pats | 28.44 | 31.09 | 23.74 | 23.51 | 23.21 | 23.32 | $22 \cdot 90$ | 2r.87 | $20 \cdot 49$ | 23'70 |
| bogs | 16.76 | II 1 | 18.25 | 18.00 | 15.80 | 16.83 | 13.48 | 15.32 | 18.94 | 17.35 |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{TABLB I continued (pence per head per woeek)} \\
\hline \& Wales \& Scotland \& Northern and Eass and Whast Ridings \& North \& North
Midland and Eastern \& Midland \& Soush Western \& Souch Eastorn and Southorn \& London \& Great Britain \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SUGAR AND PRBEERVB8 \\
Jam, Jelliea and curds \\
Sugar \\
Marmalade \\
Syrup, treacle and honey
\end{tabular} \& 2.25
9.17
1.01
0.28 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.94 \\
\& 8.00 \\
\& 1.05 \\
\& 0.86
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.50 \\
\& 8 \cdot 28 \\
\& 1.05 \\
\& 0.84
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.56 \\
\& 9.12 \\
\& 1.40 \\
\& 0.32
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.13 \\
\& 8.87 \\
\& 1.09 \\
\& 0.67
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \cdot 80 \\
\& 9.66 \\
\& 0.96 \\
\& 0.46
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.20 \\
\& 8.77 \\
\& 1.40 \\
\& 0.66
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1.70 \\
\& 9.14 \\
\& 1.36 \\
\& 0.75
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1.88 \\
\& 8.60 \\
\& 1.3 \mathrm{I} \\
\& 0.52
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.23 \\
\& 8.80 \\
\& 1.18 \\
\& 0.64
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Total Suyar and Prastroes . \& 12.71 \& 12.85 \& 12.67 \& 13.60 \& 12.76 \& 12.88 \& 13.03 \& 12.95 \& \(12 \cdot 31\) \& 12.85 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MBAT AND MEAT PRODUCTS \\
Carcase Mcat Beef and veal Mutton and lamb Pork
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
20 \cdot 16 \\
17.99 \\
6.25
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
33.24 \\
6.46 \\
1.98
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
27.80 \\
10.02 \\
5.38
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
21.94 \\
17.33 \\
4.02
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 24.04 \\
\& 13.22 \\
\& 7.58
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
20.98 \\
18.18 \\
7.52 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \& 22.36
15.99
6.31 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
21.63 \\
15.70 \\
5.38
\end{array}
\] \& 22.24
21.22
6.20 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 24.12 \\
\& 14.90 \\
\& 5.55
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Total Carcase Meat \& 44.40 \& 41.28 \& 43.20 \& 43.39 \& 44.84 \& 46.68 \& 44.66 \& 42.71 \& 49.66 \& 44.57 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Other Meat \\
Corned meat \\
Bones \\
Becon and ham, uncooked Bacon and ham, cooked (including canned) \\
Other cooked meat (not canned) Other canned meat Liver Oftals (other then liver) Poultry \\
Rabbit, game and other meat Sausages, uncooked, pork Sauages, uncooked, beef Other meat producte
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{r}
3.89 \\
0.24 \\
16.36 \\
\hline 4.05 \\
1.82 \\
3.38 \\
1.86 \\
0.79 \\
0.98 \\
0.33 \\
5.12 \\
1.36 \\
2.30
\end{tabular} \& 2.91
0.38
11.19

3.32
3.00
3.66
1.34
0.81
0.88
0.03
2.24
6.76
4.44 \& 2.76
0.29
15.69
4.40
2.67
3.81
2.00
1.16
0.64
0.14
3.81
2.04
3.89 \& 2.22
0.45
15.30
5.14
3.19
3.24
2.08
1.24
2.06
0.12
3.26
1.44
3.78 \& 2.08
0.12
14.56
3.66
1.53
2.76
2.27
0.98
0.56
0.07
6.30
0.82
3.80 \& 2.54
0.19
18.01
4.24
2.35
2.06
2.46
1.00
1.38
0.08
5.73
0.70
2.25 \& 1.58
0.17
12.64
3.07
0.96
2.34
2.16
0.99
1.30
0.04
50.06
1.71
1.82 \& 1.86
0.12
12.56
2.71
0.58
3.24
2.78
1.06
1.32
0.26
5.50
1.76
1.98 \& 2.21
0.17
13.42
3.82
1.15
2.81
3.19
1.24
1.68
0.20
5.25
1.71

1.76 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
2.40 \\
0.24 \\
14.30 \\
3.83 \\
1.92 \\
3.07 \\
2.30 \\
1.06 \\
1.20 \\
0.14 \\
4.67 \\
2.02 \\
2.99 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline Toral Other Meas \& $43 \cdot 48$ \& 41.16 \& 43.30 \& $43 \cdot 52$ \& 39.53 \& $42 \cdot 99$ \& $33 \cdot 84$ \& 35.66 \& 38.65 \& $40 \cdot 14$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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TABLE I continued (pence per head per week)

|  | Wales | Scotland | Northerm and East and Wast Ridings | North Western |  | Midland | Souch Wastern |  | London | Greas Britain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MTSH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, freah . | 7.54 | 7.68 | $5 \cdot 37$ | 6.44 | 4.28 | 4.49 | 4.80 | $4 \cdot 36$ | $4 \cdot 36$ | 5.29 |
| Herrings, freah. | 0.16 | 0.24 | $0 \cdot 15$ | $0 \cdot 14$ | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.20 |
| Fat, fresh, ocher | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.24 | $0 \cdot 34$ | 0.48 | 0.23 |
| White, proceseed | 0.62 | 1.26 | $0 \cdot 31$ | 0.53 | $0 \cdot 51$ | $0 \cdot 36$ | 0.49 | 0.89 | 1.44 | 0.73 |
| Far, processed. | 0.54 | 0.40 | $0 \cdot 48$ | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.30 | - 30 | 0.58 | 0.64 | 0.48 |
| Shell | $0 \cdot 38$ | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.42 | 0.24 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 0.45 |
| Cooked | 1.24 | 0.73 | 3.42 | 1-71 | $2 \cdot 58$ | $\underline{1.83}$ | 1.24 | $1 \cdot 12$ | $1 \cdot 76$ | 1.88 |
| Canned and botled | 1.80 | 1.05 | 1.76 | $2 \cdot 40$ | 1.66 | $2 \cdot 19$ | 0.88 | 1.44 | 1.65 | 1.76 |
| Manufactured | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.50 | 0.37 | 0.36 | $0 \cdot 37$ | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.38 |
| Total Fish | 12.78 | 13.67 | 12.62 | 12.58 | 20.75 | 10.36 | $8 \cdot 89$ | 9.89 | $12 \cdot 74$ | 11.31 |
| vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cabbages . | $2 \cdot 0$ | 0.63 | I-11 | 1.08 | 1.27 | 1.73 | 1.54 | 1-80 | 2.98 | 1.56 |
| Brussels sprouts | 0.84 | 0.40 | 1.04 | 0.88 | 1.20 | 1.40 | 0.72 | 0.88 | 1.58 | 1.05 |
| Caulifower | 1.41 | 0.54 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 0.96 | $1 \cdot 01$ | 1. 26 | 0.60 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.95 |
| Leafy salads | $1 \cdot 04$ | $0 \cdot 70$ | 0.93 | 1.50 | $1 \cdot \infty$ | 1.40 | 0.60 | 1.94 | 1.64 | $1 \cdot 12$ |
| Fresh legumes . | $1 \cdot 74$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.56 | 0.37 | 0.94 | 1.42 | 0.68 | 0.92 | 1.77 | 0.92 |
| Quick frozen legumes | $0 \cdot 74$ | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 19$ | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 33$ | 0.70 | $0 \cdot 38$ | - 30 | $0 \cdot 70$ | 0.36 |
| Other freah green vegetables | 0.06 | $0 \cdot 01$ | - | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| Toral Fresh Green Vagetables | 7.83 | $2 \cdot 47$ | $4 \cdot 97$ | $4 \cdot 91$ | $5 \cdot 77$ | 8.00 | 4.68 | 5.90 | 9.61 | 6.00 |
| Old pocaroes | 8.00 | 6.55 | 6.24 | 8.22 | 5.72 2.46 | 8.25 | 5.72 | 5.58 2.86 | 7.83 | 6.81 |
| New potatoes | 4.60 | 3.70 | 3.61 | $3 \cdot 10$ |  |  |  | 2.86 0.83 | 4.26 |  |
| Chips | 0.85 | 0.46 | 1.64 | 1.22 | 1.26 | 0.98 | $0 \cdot 70$ | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.96 |
| Crisps | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.17 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.16 |
| Total Poratoes . | 13.61 | 10.87 | 15.64 | 12.72 | 9.59 | 13.90 | 8.34 | 9.14 | 12.79 | 12.38 |
| Carrots . . | 1.24 | 1.65 | $1 \cdot 10$ | 1.76 | 0.66 | 0.92 | 0.63 | 0.87 | 1-10 | $1 \cdot 11$ |
| Other root vegetablea | 0.96 | $0 \cdot 70$ | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.46 | $0 \cdot 50$ | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.96 | 0.60 |
| Onions, shallots, etc. | $1 \cdot 34$ | $1 \cdot 50$ | 1-39 | 1.86 | 0.95 | 1.62 | $0 \cdot 79$ | $0 \cdot 78$ | 1.15 | $1 \cdot 29$ |
| Mincellaneous fresh vegetables | 1.40 | $0 \cdot 29$ | 1-10 | 0.82 | 1.48 | 1.62 | 0.97 | 1.48 | 1.80 | 1.22 |
| Dried pulses . . | $1 \cdot 19$ | 1.22 | 1. 04 | 1.05 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.47 | $0 \cdot 34$ | 0.26 | 0.70 |
| Canned peas . | $2 \cdot 4$ | 1.74 | 2.67 | 2.62 | 2.66 | 2.66 | $2 \cdot 80$ | $2 \cdot 81$ | 2.86 | 2.58 |
| Canned beuns | 1.80 | 1.98 | 2.06 | 1.60 | 1.66 | 1.60 | 1.25 | 1.65 | 1.69 | $1 \cdot 72$ |
| Canned vegetables other than pulsea | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0. 26 | - 30 | - 33 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.30 | - 28 |
| Vegerable producta . | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.04 | ... | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 |
| Total Other Vagotables | 10.64 | 9.56 | 10.60 | 10.46 | 8.68 | 9.81 | 6.99 | 8.78 | 10. 58 | $9 \cdot 56$ |
| Total Vegetables | 32.08 | 23.90 | $27 \cdot 11$ | 28.09 | 24.04 | 3 -7I | 19.95 | $23 \cdot 82$ | 32-58 | $26 \cdot 94$ |

Appendix E
TABIE I continued
(pence per head per week)

|  | Wales | Scolland | Northern and Barr and Wass Ridings: | North Wastern | Norsh Midland and Bastorn | Midlend | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Souch } \\ & \text { Western } \end{aligned}$ | Soush Bestern and Southern | London | Greas Brisain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRUIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orangea . | $2 \cdot 38$ | 2.08 | $2 \cdot 00$ | $2 \cdot 42$ | $1 \cdot 91$ | $2 \cdot 10$ | 3.29 | 1.64 | 2.28 | 2.04 0.67 |
| Other citrus fruits | 0.63 | 0.45 | 0.72 | 0.84 | 0.56 | $0 \cdot 60$ | 0.52 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.67 |
| Apples and pears | $5 \cdot 27$ | 3.94 | $4 \cdot 29$ | $4 \cdot 22$ | 3.08 | $4 \cdot 16$ | 3.11 | 3.50 | 5. 90 | $4 \cdot 12$ |
| Stose fruit | 0.59 | 0.37 | 0.44 | $0 \cdot 58$ | 0.74 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.59 | $0 \cdot 88$ | 0.59 |
| Soft fruit. - . . . | 0.72 | 0.64 | 1.01 | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.44 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 0.82 |
| Quick frozen soft fruit . . | - | - | 0.02 | ... | - | $0 \cdot \mathrm{OI}$ | - | - | 0.03 | ... |
| Bananas - . | 3.51 | 2.62 | 2.94 | $2 \cdot 68$ | 2.98 | 2.8r | $2 \cdot 21$ | $3 \cdot 14$ | 3.50 | 2.95 |
| Other fresh fruit | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.28 | $0 \cdot 19$ |
| Tometoes, fresh and quick frozen | 5.66 | $4 \cdot 96$ | $5 \cdot 09$ | $5 \cdot 40$ | 5.34 | $6 \cdot 56$ | 4.10 | 4.82 | $5 \cdot 84$ | 5.31 |
| Total Fresh Prair | 18.94 | 15.38 | 16.71 | 17-33 | 15.51 | 17.75 | 13.38 | 15932 | 20.09 | 16.69 |
| Tomatoen, canned and bottled | 0.88 5.38 | 0.07 | 1.22 | 0.31 4.86 | 1-39 | 1.13 5.58 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.68 |
| Canned and botted fruit | 5•38 | 3.65 | $5 \cdot 06$ | 4.86 | $4 \cdot 96$ | 5.58 | $3 \cdot 72$ | 4.56 | $4 \cdot 88$ | $4 \cdot 77$ |
| Dried vine fruit | $1 \cdot 08$ | $0 \cdot 76$ | 1.17 | 1.03 | 1.23 | $1 \cdot 08$ | 1.85 | $1 \cdot 38$ | 1-12 | $2 \cdot 17$ |
| Other dried fruit . . | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.32 | $0 \cdot 31$ | $0 \cdot 32$ | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.35 |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products. | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.91 | 0.66 | $1 \cdot 00$ | $0 \cdot 77$ | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.79 |
| Pruit juices . . | 0.25 | $0 \cdot 31$ | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.60 | $0 \cdot 37$ |
| Welfare orange juice. | $0 \cdot 08$ | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.14 | 0.09 |
| Total Other Fruit and Fruit Products | $8 \cdot 33$ | 5.60 | 9.06 | 7-58 | 9•34 | $9 \cdot 37$ | $7 \cdot 43$ | 7.94 | $8 \cdot 32$ | $8 \cdot 32$ |
| Tocal Fruic | 27.27 | $20 \cdot 88$ | 25:77 | 24.91 | 24.85 | $27 \cdot 12$ | 19.81 | $23 \cdot 26$ | $28 \cdot 41$ | 24.91 |
| cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National bread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown (excluding milk). | 0.60 | 0.52 | 1-39 | 0.71 | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.78 | 0.66 | 0.70 |
| Milk . | 0.22 | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 26$ | 0.37 | $0 \cdot 22$ | 0.13 | $0 \cdot 07$ | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Other . | 15.57 | 15.16 | 13.24 | 14.72 | 13.88 | 15.52 | 14.69 | 13.00 | 11.45 | 13.87 |
| White bread | 0.43 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.13 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.01 | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Wholewhent and wholemeal bread | 1-32 | 0.79 | 0.66 | 1.08 | 0.69 | 0.97 | 0.42 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 0.81 |
| Malt bread | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.12 | $0 \cdot 28$ | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| Other bread | 0.92 | $6 \cdot 17$ | $1 \cdot 23$ | $1 \cdot 10$ | 1.28 | $1 \cdot 30$ | 0.82 | 1.24 | I. 54 | $1 \cdot 76$ |
| Total Bread | 19.15 | 22-96 | 17.40 | 18.44 | 16.80 | 18.86 | 16.54 | 16.05 | 14.89 | 17.65 |

TABLE I continued（pence per head per woek）

| 宕皆 | in m mº vio Rep जóo óomio | $\stackrel{i}{i}$ | ¢ | 8 |  | $\stackrel{8}{i}$ |  <br>  | ¢ | \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 唇 |  <br>  | $\stackrel{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{i}}$ | \％© \＆士心 <br>  | $\stackrel{\vdots}{6}$ |  <br> rito | $\stackrel{i}{i}$ |  <br>  |  | \％ |
|  | 足品馬品ずすす7 <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \dot{a} \end{aligned}$ | \＄2 \％ి <br> $\dot{\sim} \dot{0} \dot{0} \dot{\text { in }} \dot{0} \dot{0} \dot{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ i n \end{gathered}$ | ํㅜ움 <br> in $\dot{\text { i }} \dot{0}$ o | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\dot{a}}$ |  <br>  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 8 }}{\substack{\text { \％} \\ \text {－}}}$ |
| 気镸 |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ゅN 8.8980 | $\stackrel{!}{6}$ | 的家： | $\underset{\infty}{ \pm}$ | g minfonnc <br>  | $\stackrel{2}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ |
| 晨 |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \dot{9} \end{aligned}$ |  | $8$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ i \end{gathered}$ |  <br>  | － | $\stackrel{\sim}{2}$ |
|  |  －Oooooo in o | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | ज9\％ <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ | N゚ロッ～ <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{a} \\ & \dot{a} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\stackrel{8}{0}$ | － |
| 5 |  <br>  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{i}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ |  <br>  | $\bar{a}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{i}$ |  <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P} \\ & \ddot{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{0}$ |
|  |  <br>  | $\stackrel{i}{i}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta}$ | ホด̣̣̆ －mío | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  <br>  | $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{i}{i}$ |
|  |  जoomooomo | $\stackrel{\stackrel{i}{0}}{i}$ |  óóóóóo ó | $\dot{i}$ | nipyo omó | $\begin{aligned} & \text { か } \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | $\stackrel{5}{0}$ | \％ ì |
| $\frac{y}{\Delta}$ |  Nooooomー。 | $\stackrel{\infty}{i}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{\text { m }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  <br>  | ¢ | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E0 } \\ & 0.5 \\ & 0.8 \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{4} \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \％ |
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|  |  |  |  | 1 I con <br> r haad | nd weck) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wales | Scotland | Northern and Bast and Wess Ridings | North Western | North Midland and Basterm | Midland | Sowih Wastern | South <br> Bastern and <br> Souchern | London | Great Britain |
| paust |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges . | $2 \cdot 38$ | 2.08 | $2 \cdot 00$ | 2.42 | 1.91 | 2.10 | $1 \cdot 29$ | 1.64 | $2 \cdot 28$ | 2.04 0.67 |
| Other citrus fruits | 0.63 | 0.45 | $0 \cdot 72$ | 0.84 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.67 |
| Apples and pears | 5.27 | 3.94 | 4.29 | $4 \cdot 22$ | $3 \cdot 08$ | $4 \cdot 16$ | $3 \cdot 11$ | $3 \cdot 50$ | 5.50 | $4 \cdot 12$ |
| Stone fruit . | $0 \cdot 59$ | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.74 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.59 | $0 \cdot 88$ | 0.59 |
| Soft fruit. . . . . | 0.72 | 0.64 | 1.01 | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.44 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 0.82 |
| Quick frozen soft fruit . . | - | - | 0.02 | ... | - | $0 \cdot 01$ | - | - | 0.03 | ... |
| Bananas . . | $3 \cdot 51$ | 2.62 | $2 \cdot 94$ | $2 \cdot 68$ | 2.98 | $2 \cdot 81$ | $2 \cdot 21$ | 3.14 | $3 \cdot 50$ | $2 \cdot 95$ |
| Other fresh fruit . | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 30$ | 0.08 | 0.13 | $0 \cdot 08$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.28 | 0.19 |
| Tomatoes, freah and quick frozen | 5.66 | 4.96 | $5 \cdot 09$ | $5 \cdot 40$ | 5.34 | $6 \cdot 56$ | $4 \cdot 10$ | $4 \cdot 82$ | 5-84 | 5.31 |
| Tozal Fresh Fruai | 18.94 | 15.28 | 16.71 | 17-33 | 15.5I | 17975 | 12.38 | 15.32 | 20.09 | 16.69 |
| Tomatoea, canned and bottled | 0.88 | 0.07 | $1 \cdot 22$ | $0 \cdot 31$ | 1-39 | $1 \cdot 13$ | $0 \cdot 33$ | $0 \cdot 32$ | $0 \cdot 33$ | 0.68 |
| Canned and bottled fruit | $5 \cdot 38$ | 3.65 | 5.06 | 4.86 | $4 \cdot 96$ | $5 \cdot 58$ | $3 \cdot 72$ | $4 \cdot 56$ | $4 \cdot 88$ | $4 \cdot 77$ |
| Dried vine fruit - | $1 \cdot 08$ | $0 \cdot 76$ | $1 \cdot 17$ | 1.03 | $1 \cdot 23$ | $1 \cdot 08$ | 1.85 | $1 \cdot 38$ | 1.12 | $1 \cdot 17$ |
| Other dried fruit . . . | 0.19 | 0.44 | $0 \cdot 32$ | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.35 |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products. | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 0.87 | $0 \cdot 81$ | 0.79 |
| Pruit juices . . | 0.25 | $0 \cdot 31$ | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.27 | $0 \cdot 60$ | 0.37 |
| Welfare orange juice . | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 14$ | $0 \cdot 04$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.14 | $0 \cdot 09$ |
| Total Other Fruit and Fruit Products | $8 \cdot 33$ | $5 \cdot 60$ | 9.06 | $7 \cdot 58$ | $9 \cdot 34$ | 9•37 | $7 \cdot 43$ | $7 \cdot 94$ | 8-32 | 8.82 |
| Total Fruit | $27 \cdot 27$ | $20 \cdot 88$ | 25.77 | 24.91 | 24.85 | 27-12 | 19.85 | 23:36 | 28.4I | 24.91 |
| careals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National bread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown (excluding milk) . | 0.60 | 0.52 | 1-39 | 0.71 | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.46 | 0.78 | 0.66 | 0.70 |
| Milk . . . . | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.18 |
| Other . | 15.57 | 15.16 | 13.24 | 14.72 | 13.88 | 15.52 | 14.69 | 13.00 | 11.45 | 13.87 |
| White bread | 0.43 | 0.12 | $0 \cdot 24$ | 0.22 | 0.13 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.01 | 0.20 | - 0.17 | $0 \cdot 18$ |
| Wholewheat and wholemeal bread | $1 \cdot 32$ | $0 \cdot 79$ | 0.66 | 1.08 | 0.69 | 0.97 | 0.42 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 0.81 |
| Mait bread | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 16$ |
| Other bread . . . | 0.92 | $6 \cdot 17$ | 1.23 | 1-10 | $1 \cdot 28$ | I 50 | 0.82 | 1.24 | 1. 54 | $1 \cdot 76$ |
| Toral Bread | 19.15 | $22 \cdot 96$ | 17.40 | 18.44 | 16.80 | 18.86 | 16.54 | 16.05 | 14.89 | 17.65 |


| TABLB I continued (pence per head per week) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wales | Scotand | Northerm and East and West Riding: | North Western | North Midland and Eastern | Midland | South Western | South <br> Eastern and Southern | London | Grat Britain |
| Self-rading flour | 2.78 | 1.68 | $3 \cdot 32$ | $2 \cdot 70$ | 3.02 | $2 \cdot 35$ | 3.72 | 3.14 | 2.41 | $2 \cdot 79$ |
| Other flour . | 0.41 | 0.48 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 0.48 | 1.46 | - 28 | 0.46 | 0.42 | $0 \cdot 39$ | 0.81 |
| Buns, zcones and ten cakes. | 0.96 | 3.47 | 2.87 | 1.72 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 1.24 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 1.56 |
| Cakes and pastries | 7.95 | 8.79 | 7.06 | 8.85 | 8.12 | $8 \cdot 10$ | 7.54 | 7.62 | 6.76 | 7.83 8.85 |
| Bircuita | 7.76 | 10.94 | 9.58 | 8.04 | 7.73 | 7.25 | 8.56 | 8.55 | 8.71 | 8.65 |
| Puddings. | 0.60 | 0.96 | 0.82 | 0.61 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.87 | $0 \cdot 79$ |
| Oatmeal and oat products. | 0.68 | 1.97 | 0.68 | 0.90 | 0.66 | 1.02 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.90 |
| Breakfat cerceah . | $2 \cdot 30$ | 1.99 | 2.23 | $2 \cdot 66$ | 2.47 | $2 \cdot 76$ | $2 \cdot 38$ | $2 \cdot 58$ | $2 \cdot 66$ | 2.45 |
| Rice ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.83 | $0 \cdot 72$ | 0.84 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.86 | 0.63 | $0 \cdot 77$ | 0.78 | - 0.77 |
| Cereals, flour bese Other cercale | 0.59 0.66 | 1.00 1.45 | 0.60 0.82 | 0.49 0.73 | 0.80 0.91 | 0.68 0.99 | 0.71 0.97 | 0.94 1.22 | 1-18 1-16 | 0.80 1.00 |
| Other cercenle. | 0.66 | 1.45 | 0.82 | $0 \cdot 73$ | $0 \cdot 91$ | 0.99 | $0 \cdot 97$ | 1.22 | 1.16 | $1 \cdot 0$ |
| Tasal Careals . | 44.67 | 56.41 | 48.32 | $46 \cdot 34$ | 44.41 | 44.60 | $4 \cdot 33$ | $43 \cdot 71$ | 41.43 | $46 \cdot 00$ |
| emvirages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea . . . . | 15.18 | 12.29 | 14.57 | 15.78 | 14.32 | 15.50 | 14.14 | 14.26 | 15.12 | 14.58 |
| Coffee, bean and mround. | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 0.38 | 0.42 | $0 \cdot 57$ | 0.69 |  | 0.56 |
| Coffee, extracte and essences | 1.08 | 0.92 | 1.45 | 1.02 | 1.71 | 2.12 | 2.30 | 2.30 | ${ }^{1} 88$ | 1.63 0.68 |
| Cocoa and drinking chocolate | 0.49 | 0.26 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.63 | 0.84 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.68 | 0.61 |
| Branded food drinke . . | 0.56 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.76 | 1.05 | 0.82 | 0.64 | $1 \cdot 0$ | 0.68 | 0.70 |
| Total Beovrages | 17.73 | 14.31 | 17.48 | 18.54 | 18.09 | 19.70 | 18.42 | 19.02 | 19.35 | 18.08 |
| miscbllanzove <br> Invelid and beby foon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spreade and dreasingas | 0.30 0.13 | 0.28 0.17 | 0.30 0.32 | 0.32 0.20 | 0.34 0.38 | 0.36 0.27 | 0.32 0.30 | 0.39 0.55 | 0.39 0.54 | 0.35 0.34 |
| Soupa, canned. | 1.08 | 2.45 | 1.79 | 1.44 | 1.23 | 0.85 | 1.28 | 1.24 | 1.29 | 1.44 |
| Soupa, dehydrated and powdered | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.15 | $0 \cdot 11$ |
| Meat and vegetable extracts | 0.42 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.87 | 1.12 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 0.73 |
| Plckles and saucke ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.71 0.62 | 1.12 0.64 | 1.41 | 0.99 | 1.41 | ${ }^{1} \cdot 76$ | 1.19 0.65 | 1.52 0.79 | 1.84 0.46 | 1.44 0.63 |
| Table jellies, squaret and cryutals | 0.62 | $0 \cdot 64$ | 0.57 | 0. 50 | 0.51 | 0.64 | 0.65 | $0 \cdot 79$ -79 | 0.76 | $0 \cdot 63$ |
| Miscellaneous . . . | 0.97 | $1 \cdot 13$ | $1 \cdot 28$ | 1.05 | $1 \cdot 47$ | $1 \cdot 76$ | 1.57 | 1-57 | 1.80 | 1.42 |
| Toral Miscellaneous Poods | 5.25 | 6.23 | $6 \cdot 31$ | $4 \cdot 9$ | $6 \cdot 43$ | $6 \cdot 39$ | 6.46 | $7 \cdot 30$ | 7.91 | 6.46 |
| total all foods . . . | $\begin{aligned} & 319 \cdot 57 \\ & (265.8 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \cdot 63 \\ & (25 s .3 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 310 \cdot 13 \\ & (25 s .10 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 313 \cdot 40 \\ & (26 s, 1 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 301 \cdot 1 t \\ & (\mathrm{ags.} \text { Id.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 323 \cdot 06 \\ & (26 \mathrm{~s} .71 \mathrm{~d} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 282 \cdot 47 \\ & (23 s .6 d .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 294 \cdot 70 \\ & (24 \mathrm{~J} .7 \mathrm{~d} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 322 \cdot 43 \\ (265.10 d .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \cdot 97 \\ & (25 s .8 d .) \end{aligned}$ |


TABLE 2 continued


| (os. per head per week oxcept where orherwise stated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wales | Scotiand | Northern and Bast Randings | $\xrightarrow[\text { Worth }]{\text { Nors }}$ |  | Midland | Sourh Wersern |  | London | Grat Britain |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FISH } \\ & \text { White, freat } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3 \cdot 16$ |
| Herrings, fresh. | 4.00 0.19 | 3.93 0.25 | 3.36 0.20 | 3.85 0.15 | 2.57 0.30 | 2.61 0.12 | 3.11 0.21 | 2.82 0.41 | 2.81 0.37 | 3.16 0.26 |
| Fat, fresh, other | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.04 | - 0.33 | 0.21 | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.20 | - 30 | 0.14 |
| White, processed | 0.36 | 0.74 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.57 | 0.95 | 0.46 |
| Fat, processed. | 0.49 | $0 \cdot 38$ | 0.46 | 0.44 | $0 \cdot 38$ | 0.27 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.46 |
| Shell . | 0.06 | ... | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.03 | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 20$ | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| Cooked | 0.53 | 0.30 | 1.46 | 0.74 | $1 \cdot 11$ | 0.74 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.74 | 0.80 |
| Camned and bottled. | 0.45 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.44 |
| Manufactured . | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 13$ |
| Total Fish | $6 \cdot 34$ | $5 \cdot 99$ | 6.54 | 6.29 | 5.54 | $4 \cdot 87$ | 5.13 | $5 \cdot 70$ | 6.61 | 5.95 |
| vegbtablbs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cabbages . | 5.24 | 2.64 | $4 \cdot 12$ | $4 \cdot 26$ | 6.34 | $5 \cdot 54$ | 9.03 | 8.71 | $8 \cdot 96$ | 6.02 |
| Brussels sprouts | 1.36 | 0.69 | $2 \cdot 11$ | 1.57 | $3 \cdot 58$ | 2.63 | $3 \cdot 33$ | 2.82 | 3.27 | 2.52 |
| Cauliflower | 2.44 | 0.86 | 1.93 | 1.84 | 1.89 | $2 \cdot 06$ | $1 \cdot 61$ | 1.98 | 1.43 | 1.69 |
| Leafy salads | 0.83 | 0.69 | 0.98 | 1.42 | 1.15 | $1 \cdot 15$ | $1 \cdot 14$ | $1 \cdot 34$ | 1.43 | 1. 14 |
| Fresh legumes | $4 \cdot 18$ | 0.37 | 1.98 | $1 \cdot 12$ | $3 \cdot 88$ | 3.75 | $5 \cdot 29$ | $4 \cdot 12$ | 3.96 | 3.02 |
| Quick frozen legumes | 0.33 | 0.04 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.05 | 0.16 | $0 \cdot 31$ | 0.18 | 0.13 | $0 \cdot 31$ | 0.16 |
| Other fresh green vegetables | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.77 | 0.54 | 0.25 | 0.24 |
| Total Fresh Green Vagetables | 14.51 | $5 \cdot 32$ | 10.77 | 10.35 | 17.17 | 15.83 | 21.35 | 19.24 | 19.61 | 14.79 |
| Old potatoes | 48.04 | 49.04 | $45 \cdot 91$ | 50.92 | $46 \cdot 66$ | 52.60 | 54.30 | 42.96 | 45.50 | 47.44 |
| New potatocs | 13.38 0 0.97 | 14.44 | 10.83 2.10 | ${ }^{10} 100$ | 10.96 | 14.40 | 11.85 | 11.42 | 13.43 0.75 0 | 12.45 |
| Chips - | 0.97 | 0.55 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 1.41 | 1.72 | 1.28 | $0 \cdot 74$ | 0.71 | - 0.75 | 1.22 0.05 |
| Crisps | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | $0 \cdot 06$ | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Total Potatoes | 62.44 | 64.09 | 58.90 | $62 \cdot 39$ | 59.39 | 68.36 | 66.94 | 55.15 | 59.72 | 61.17 |
| Carrots | $3 \cdot 21$ | 3.91 | 2.89 | $5 \cdot 20$ | $2 \cdot 20$ | 2.56 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 2.66 | 3.08 |
| Other root vegetables | 3.21 | 3.81 | 2.45 | $1 \cdot 92$ | 1.88 | $1 \cdot 82$ | 3.02 | 2.65 | $2 \cdot 16$ | 2.45 |
| Onions, shallots, etc. | $3 \cdot 16$ | $3 \cdot 82$ | 3.85 | 4.33 | 2.75 | $4 \cdot 19$ | 2.76 | 2.23 | 2.88 | $3 \cdot 31$ |
| Miscellaneous fresh vegetables | 1.03 | - 14 | 0.88 | - 70 | 1.65 | 1.46 | $1 \cdot 26$ | $1 \cdot 96$ | $2 \cdot 04$ | $1 \cdot 26$ |
| Dried pulses | 0.97 | 1.58 | 0.96 | $0 \cdot 91$ | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 0.35 | $0 \cdot 29$ | $0 \cdot 68$ |
| Canned peas . | 2.65 | 1.75 | $2 \cdot 97$ | $2 \cdot 80$ | 2.80 | 2.88 | $2 \cdot 32$ | $3 \cdot 17$ | $3 \cdot 14$ | $2 \cdot 78$ |
| Canned beans . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1 \cdot 94$ | $2 \cdot 24$ | $2 \cdot 34$ | 1.82 | 1.92 | $1 \cdot 77$ | 1.47 | 1.92 | 1.93 | 1.97 |
| Canned vegctables (other than pulses). | $0 \cdot 16$ | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.32 | $0 \cdot 27$ | 0.30 0.02 | 0.24 0.04 | 0.26 |
| Vegetable products . . . | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.04 | ... | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| Total Other Vegetables | 16.40 | 17.62 | 16.81 | 17.99 | 13.94 | 15.44 | 14.31 | 15.25 | 15.38 | 15.87 |
| Total Vegetables | 93.35 | 87.03 | 86.48 | $90 \cdot 73$ | $90 \cdot 50$ | 99.63 | 102.50 | 89.64 | 9471 | 91.83 |



|  | Wales | Scorland | Northern and East and West Ridings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North } \\ & \text { Western } \end{aligned}$ | Norrh Midland and Bastern | Midland | Souch Wastern | South Bastorn and Souzhern | London | Greas Brisain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frist |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges . | 3.14 | 2.75 | 2.85 | $3 \cdot 33$ | 2.84 | $3 \cdot 16$ | 1.84 | $2 \cdot 60$ | 3.80 | 3.00 |
| Other citrus fruir . | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.86 | 0.96 | 0.75 | 0.74 | $0 \cdot 66$ | 0.77 | $1 \cdot 18$ | 0.82 |
| Applea and pears . | 6.48 | 4.78 | 6.54 | 5.85 | $6 \cdot 19$ | 6.31 | 7.25 | $7 \cdot 93$ | 9.13 | 6.70 |
| Stone fruit | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.89 | 1.18 | 0.76 | 0.99 | 0.88 | $1 \cdot 30$ | 0.86 |
| Soft fruit . | I-11 | 0.62 | 1.04 | I-14 | 1.27 | 0.73 | 1.32 | I 20 | 0.98 | $1 \cdot 04$ |
| Quick frozen saft fruit | - | - | $0 \cdot 01$ | .. | - |  | $\underline{-}$ | - | 0.01 | ... |
| Bananas . | $3 \cdot 19$ | 2.47 | $2 \cdot 90$ | $2 \cdot 74$ | 2.94 | 2.63 | $2 \cdot 19$ | 3.11 | $3 \cdot 71$ | 2.93 |
| Other fresh fruit | $0 \cdot 72$ | 0.90 | 0.61 | $0 \cdot 70$ | 0.63 | 0.50 | $1 \cdot 28$ | 0.88 | $0 \cdot 78$ | 0.76 |
| Tomatoen, fresh and quick frozen | 4.32 | 3.40 | 4.02 | 4.40 | 4.78 | 5. 10 | 3.69 | $4 \cdot 78$ | 5.95 | 4.54 |
| Tocal Fresh Fruit | 20.56 | 15.93 | 19.45 | $20 \cdot 01$ | 20.58 | 19.93 | 19.33 | 23.15 | 26.84 | 20.65 |
| Tomatoes, canned and bottled | 0.88 | 0.07 | 1.22 | 0.30 | $1 \cdot 39$ | 1.24 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.69 |
| Canned and bottled fruit | $3 \cdot 78$ | 2.56 | 3.63 | 3.54 | $4 \cdot 02$ | $4 \cdot 40$ | 3.68 | $3 \cdot 81$ | $3 \cdot 80$ | $3 \cdot 70$ |
| Dried vine fruit | 0.96 | 0.76 | 1.14 | 0.97 | $1 \cdot 19$ | 1.02 | 1.79 | $1 \cdot 34$ | \% 13 | 1.14 |
| Other dried fruit | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.32 | 0.26 | $0 \cdot 17$ | 0.25 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.25 | $0 \cdot 31$ | 0.35 | 0.26 |
| Nuts and fruit and nut products | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.41 |
| Fruit juices . . | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.32 | 0.18 |
| Welfare orange juice | $0 \cdot 10$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.09 | $0 \cdot 16$ | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.11 |
| Total Other Pruit and Pruit Products | 6.17 | $4 \cdot 18$ | $7 \cdot 00$ | $5 \cdot 57$ | 7.69 | $7 \cdot 50$ | 6.66 | 6.50 | 6.55 | 6.49 |
| Total Fruit | 26.73 | 20.04 | 26.45 | 25.58 | 28.27 | $27 \cdot 43$ | 25.88 | 28.65 | $33 \cdot 39$ | $27 \cdot 14$ |


| TABLE 2 comrimbed <br> (08. per head per week except where stated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wrales | Scosland | Northern and Bast and Wess Ridings | North Western | North Midland and Bastern | Midland | Souch Wastern | South Bassern and Southern | London | Greal Brizain |
| GMEEAL8 <br> National bread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown (excluding milk) . | 1.94 | 1.60 | 4'34 | 2.23 | $1 \cdot 54$ | 0.88 | 1.56 | $2 \cdot 71$ | $2 \cdot 21$ | 2.24 |
| Milk . . . | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.68 | 1.05 | 0.64 | $0 \cdot 34$ | 0.23 | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 36$ | 0.50 |
| Other . . | 54.52 | 49.30 | $44 \cdot 68$ | 50.03 | $48 \cdot 28$ | $54 \cdot 28$ | $52 \cdot 68$ | 45.55 | 39.34 | 47.66 |
| White bread . | 0.96 | 0.27 | 0.56 | $0 \cdot 50$ | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.43 |
| Wholewheat and wholemeal bread | $2 \cdot 68$ | 1.69 | 1.44 | $2 \cdot 16$ | 1.44 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 0.83 | 1.41 | 1.84 | 1. 69 |
| Malt breed | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.18 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.31 | $0 \cdot 11$ | 0.08 | 0.06 | $0 \cdot 21$ |
| Other bread | 1-16 | 7.84 | 1.80 | 1.52 | $1 \cdot 78$ | 2.02 | 1.03 | 1.79 | 2.24 | $2 \cdot 39$ |
| Total Bread | 61.93 | 61.03 | 54.00 | 57-76 | $54 \cdot 11$ | 60-37 | 56-48 | $52 \cdot 24$ | $46 \cdot 45$ | 55:13 |
| Self-raising flour | $6 \cdot 27$ | $3 \cdot 98$ | 7-94 | 6.23 | 7-18 | $5 \cdot 46$ | 8.89 | $7 \cdot 49$ | 5•74 | $6 \cdot 59$ |
| Other flour . . | 0.95 | $1 \cdot 20$ | $5 \cdot 25$ | 1.24 | $3 \cdot 33$ | 0.66 | $1 \cdot 05$ | $1 \cdot 02$ | 0.92 | 1-98 |
| Buns, scones and rea cakes | 0.72 | $3 \cdot 43$ | $2 \cdot 73$ | 1.60 | 0.80 | 0.65 | $1 \cdot 07$ | $0 \cdot 70$ | $0 \cdot 74$ | 1-44 |
| Cakes and pastries | $4 \cdot 29$ | 4.53 | 3.60 | $4 \cdot 72$ | $4 \cdot 21$ | $4 \cdot 13$ | 4.06 | $4 \cdot 10$ | $3 \cdot 62$ | 4.12 |
| Biscuits . | $4 \cdot 46$ | 6.06 | $5 \cdot 51$ | $4 \cdot 82$ | $4 \cdot 56$ | $4 \cdot 36$ | $5 \cdot 26$ | $5 \cdot 18$ | $5 \cdot 40$ | $5 \cdot 12$ |
| Puddings. - | 0.38 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.53 |
| Oatrneal and oat products. | 0.86 | $2 \cdot 78$ | 0.88 | $1 \cdot 21$ | 0.85 | 1.30 | 0.89 | 0.99 | $\pm .03$ | 1.19 |
| Breakfast cereals | 1.63 | 1.26 | 1-30 | 1.86 | 1.70 | 1.93 | 1.65 | 1.81 | 1.87 | 1.69 |
| Rice . . | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.96 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.66 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.86 |
| Cereals, flour base | 0.48 | 0.91 | $0 \cdot 50$ | $0 \cdot 39$ | 0.63 | 0.54 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1.04 | 0.68 |
| Other cereall | 0.46 | $1 \cdot 36$ | 0.59 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.74 | $0 \cdot 78$ | $0 \cdot 71$ |
| Total Cereals | $83 \cdot 28$ | $88 \cdot 00$ | 84.02 | 81.58 | 79.51 | $81 \cdot 43$ | $81 \cdot 84$ | 76-49 | 69.07 | 80.04 |
| bevbrages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea . | 2.86 | 2.45 | 2.74 | $3 \cdot 11$ | 2.68 | 2.96 | $2 \cdot 70$ | $2 \cdot 71$ | 2.88 | $2 \cdot 79$ |
| Coffee, bean and ground . | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.07 | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.08 | 0.08 | $0 \cdot 11$ | $0 \cdot 13$ | 0.18 | 0.11 |
| Coffee, extracts and essences | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.23 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.30 | $0 \cdot 37$ | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.25 |
| Cocos and drinking chocolate | $0 \cdot 18$ | $0 \cdot 10$ | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 29$ | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.24 | $0 \cdot 21$ |
| Branded food drinks. | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.13 | $0 \cdot 19$ | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.26 | $0 \cdot 18$ | 0.18 |
| Total Reverages | 3-39 | $2 \cdot 80$ | $3 \cdot 37$ | 3.66 | $3 \cdot 56$ | $3 \cdot 92$ | 3.65 | $3 \cdot 74$ | $3 \cdot 76$ | $3 \cdot 54$ |
| miscellansous <br> Invalid and baby foods | 0.22 | $0 \cdot 20$ | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Spreads and dressings | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.23 0.24 | 0.23 0.23 | 0.22 0.14 |
| Soups, canned. . | 1.07 | $2 \cdot 50$ | 1.86 | $1 \cdot 42$ | $1 \cdot 22$ | 0.83 | 1.34 | 1.18 | 1.25 | 1.44 |
| Soupe, dehydrated and powdered | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Meat and vegetable extracts | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.18 | $0 \cdot 12$ | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.14 |
| Total Miscallaneous Foods | $1 \cdot 43$ | $2 \cdot 86$ | $2 \cdot 34$ | $1 \cdot 78$ | 1-78 | 1-31 | 1.95 | 189 | 1-95 | 1-96 |

## Index

(Numbers refer to paragraphs; App.-Appendix)

Allotment produce, see Gardens
Aneurin, see Vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{1}$
Ascorbic acid, see Vitamin C

Bacon
consumption 6, 34
by household composition 85, 86, 1 IO, 123
by regions $136,137,141$
by social class 66, 69, IIO, 123
decontrol, derationing 85, 86
expenditure 17, 20
by household composition 106, 1 IO
by regions 141
by social class 66, 69, 1 Io
prices 20, 30, 69
by regions 135, 141
Baking, home 146, 152, 155
Beverages
all 15, 136
branded food drinks $27,65,95,137,158$
cocos and drinking chocolate $27,65,94,95$,
137, 158
coffee $27,65,95,106,123,158$
tea
consumption 45
by household composition 85, 94
by regions 137,158
by social class 67
expenditure 17,27
by household composition 94, 123
by social class 67
prices 27, 45
Biscuits 26, 136, 137 , 156
Bread
consumption 44
by household composition 91-94, IIO, 114, I 19
by regions $137,146,154,162,163,166$, 169
by social class 66, 70, $110,114,119$
energy value and nutrient content 47,48 ,
91, 93, 98, 119
expenditure 15, 26
by household composition 94, 110
by regions 154
by social class $65,66,70$, 110
national 15, 26, 92, 110, 119
removal of milling controls 26
subsidy I
white $26,44,92,154$
Breakfast cereals 44, 70, 94, 110, 137, 157
British Medical Association-Committee on
Nutrition. Recommended energy and
nutrient allowances 3, 51, 74, 99, 111 ,
112, 117, 119, 165

## Butter

consumption 30, 37
by household composition 85, 87, 1 10, 123
by regions 136, 137, 146
by social class 70, 110,123
derationing 87
expenditure 17, 23
by household composition 106, 1 IO
by regions 146
by social class 65, 66, 1 10
prices 23, 37, 65
supplies 6,37

Cakes 26, 44, 66, 70, 94, 136, 152, 156
Calcium (see also individual foods)
content of the diet $9,49,52$
by household composition 98, 99, 101, 107, 1 II-113, I 18, I 19, 12 I
by regions 164,165
by social class 73, 74, 107, III-113, 118, 119, 121
recommended allowances $5 \mathbf{I}$
Calories, see Energy foods
Carbohydrate
by household composition 98, 102, 123
by regions 162-164, 167-169
by social class 72, 76, 123
content of the diet 49
energy value from 53, 76, 102, 123, 168
Cercals. (see also individual items)
calcium 9
consumption
by regions 153-157
expenditure $15,26,110$
by regions 131
iron 9
nicotinic acid 9, 48, 50, 98
riboflavin 48,98
supplies 6
vitamin $B_{1} 9,48,98$
Cheese
consumption 33
by household composition 85, 88, 93, 112, 114, 118
by regions 137, 139
by social class $66,68,112,114,118$
derationing 87, 139
expenditure 17, 19
by household composition 88
by regions 139
by social class 66, 88
supplies 6
Children, see Household Composition
Chocolate and sugar confectionery, see
Sweets

vitamin $\mathrm{C} 50,71,116$

Gardens and allotments, food from 12, 16
Geographical differences, see Regional variations (see also individual foods)

Honey, see Preserves
Household Composition 78-123
analysis, classification, definition 78-79
consumption by 80, 8I, 83-94
cost per calorie 82
effect of children on consumption 86, 87, 89, 91-94, 109 expenditure 104-106, 108-110 nutrient content of diet 107-123
expenditure by $80,81,88,108-110$
income and family size $60,61,63$ nutrient content of diets 82, 96-103
social class distribution within 1, 107-123
subsidies, value of $\mathbf{8 4}$

Income 29, 54, 55, 78, 95 elasticities 2, 62, 63, App. B of heads of households 78, 79
Index, 'cost of energy' 65, 82, 135 Food expenditure 29 Price 28, 29, 135
Quantity 28-31
Iron
by household composition 98, III, 120
by regions 165
by social class 73, 74, 11 I, 120
content of the diet 49, 52
from flour 9
recommended allowances 51, 74

Jam, see Preserves

Larder stocks 12
Liver 20, 73, 98, 162, 164
London diet 170 .

## Margarine

consumption 37
by household composition 87, 98
by regions $136,146,163,164$
by social class $65,70,73$
derationing 48, 87
expenditure 23
fortification $9,48,73,98$
price 23, 65
supplies 6
vitamin A 9, 48, 98, 164
vitamin D 48, 98

Meals eaten away from home $5,47,51,1$ I 3, 121, 135
Meat, carcase
consumption 6,8,34
by household composition 85, 86, 93,
IIO, II4, II8, 123
by regions 131, 136, 140,162
by social class $110,114,118,123$
decontrol, derationing 85
energy value from 93
expenditure $13,15,17,20$
by household composition 104-106, 110
by regions 140
by social class 1 ro
nicotinic acid 50
prices 20, 30, 65, 140
by regions 135
protein 8
rationing 6, 34
supplies 6
unrationed and products 20
consumption 34
by regions 136, 143
by social class 65, 66
Medical Research Council 47
Milk
calcium 9
consumption 9, 32
by household composition 88, 93, 1 IO, 112, 114, 118, 119
by regions 136-138, 162
by social class $66,74,77,110,112,114$, 115, 118,119
energy value of 93
expenditure 19
by regions 138
by social class 70, 110
free 134
price 15, 65
processed 19, 32, 98, 138, 163
protein 9
ribollavin 9
school 12, 32, 88, 113, 138
subsidy I
supplies 6
vitamin A 9
vitamin D 98
Welfare 12, 88, 138
Nicotinic acid (see also under individual foods)
by household composition 98
by regions 162, 164, 165, 166
by social class 73
content of the diet 49, 50
Nuts, see Dried Fruit

Oatmeal and oat products 44, 66,70,94, 109, 137, 157
Occupational differences 2, App. C
Offal 20
Old age pensioners, see Social Class

Oranges, see Fruit, citrus

```
Potatoes
    consumption }3
        by household composition 83, 91, 93,
            109, II6
        by regions 136, 148, 163
        by social class 66,72, 109, 116
    energy value from 91,93
    expenditure 13, 25
        by household composition 91
        by regions 148
        by social class 66,72
    prices 25, 30, 39, 65, 91
        by regions I48
    supplies 6,30
    free 134
    vitamin C 50
Poultry and game 20, }14
Preserves
    consumption }3
        by household composition 83,90,93,
        109
        by regions 136, 137
        by social class 109
    energy value from }9
Prices (see also under individual foods) 4, 10,
    11, 17, 28-30, 65, 81, 86, 95, 135
Protein (see also under individual foods)
    animal 8, 9, 49, 50, 52, 77, 114
        by household composition 98, 103, 122
        by regions 162, 164, 169
        by social class 72, 77, 122
    total
        by houschold composition 99, 101-103,
                111-113, 118, 119, 121-123
        by regions 164, 167-169
        by social class 73,74,76, 107, 111-113,
            118, 119, 121-123
        energy value from 53,76,77, 102, 103,
            122, 123, 168
        recommended allowances 5r, 107
    vegetable 169
```

Rabbits 20
Rationing (see also under individual foods) I, 10
Recommended allowances, see individual nutrients
Regional variations (see also under individual foods) 2
composition of the sample 124-126 urban and rural areas 127-130 consumption 131, 135-159, 162, App. E summary of regional differences 159
energy value and nutrient content 135, 160-169
expenditure 131-133, 135, 138-142, 144156, 158, 159, App. B summary of regional differences 159
free supplies 132-134
prices 135, 140-142, 144, 145, 147-153
value of consumption 131, 133
Riboflavin (see also under individual foods)
by household composition $98,99,107$, I II, 120
by regions 162, 164-166
by social class 73, 75, 107, 11 1, 120
Rural households, see Regional variations

Sample, sampling
composition App. A
social class 54-77
variations 2, App. A, 7-II
Sausages 20, 34, 142
Social Class
composition of the sample 54-77
consumption 55-70
value of 64
diet
cost per calorie 65
nutrient content of 71-77, 107-123
distribution of households 54-57
expenditure 55-70, 108-1 10
household composition within $\mathbf{I}_{5} \mathbf{6 0 , 6 1}$, 107-123
old age pensioners $55,58,66,74,76,77$
prices 65, 66
Soups 46, 143
Subsidies 84
Suet, see Fats
Sugar and Syrup
consumption 38
by household composition 83, 85, 93
by regions 136, 137, 147
derationing 53, 85, 147
energy value from 53,93
expenditure 17, 24
by regions 147
prices 24
supplies 6, 7
Supplies entering into civilian consumption $5,8,10$
Survey, technique and fieldwork App. A
Sweets 5, 7, 47, 93
Syrup, see Sugar

Tea, see Beverages
Thiamin, see Vitamin $\mathbf{B}_{1}$
Tomatoes, see Fruit

Urban Households, see Regional variations

Vegetables (other than potatoes)
canned and dried 41
. consumption 30, 40, 41
by household composition 91, 98, 116, 123
by regions $131,134,136,137,149,150$, 162, 163
by social class 66, 71-73, 116,123
expenditure 15, 25
by household composition 106
by regions 135
by social class 66,72
prices 25, 30, 42
supplies 6
free 30, 134
vitamin A 50
vitamin C 50, 71
Green, fresh, including peas and beans
consumption $30,40,41$
by household composition II6, 123
by regions $136,137,149,162,165$
by social class 66,71
expenditure 25
by household composition 106
by social class 66
prices, 25, 30, 42
by region 135
supplies, free 30, 134
vitamin C7I
Root vegetables 73, $91,98,134,150,163,164$
Vitamins (see also under individual foods)
vitamin $A$
by household composition 98, 100, 117 , 120, 123
by regions $160,162-166$
by social class 71-75, 117, 120, 123 content of the diet 48-50, 52 recommended allowance 51
vitamin $B_{1}$
by household composition 98, 120
by regions 164-166
by social class 73-75, 120
content of the diet $9,49,52$
cooking losses 47
recommended allowances 51
vitamin C
by household composition 98,100, II6, 117, 120,123
by regions $160-165$
by social class $71-75,116,117,120,123$
content of the diet $49,50,52$
cooking losses 47
recommended allowances 51
vitamin $D$
by household composition 98
by regions 162-164
by social class 71-73
content of the diet 49
vitamin tablets, $A$ and $D 12,47$

Wages II, 18
Waste, allowance for $47,5 \mathrm{I}, 99$, I I
Welfare foods 12,47
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    ${ }^{2}$ National Food Survey data including, in 1950 and the first half of 1951, the value of changes in larder stocks.

    - National Income and Expenditure, 1956, H.M.S.O., 1956.

    National Income Statistics-Sources and Methods. H.M.S.O., 1956.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ January $1954=100$.
    ${ }^{2}$ January-March $1954=100$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Official estimates for April and October; intermediate values interpolated using the monthly index of weekly wage rates.

[^3]:    * Potatocs, beans, bottled fruit and tomatoes, preserves, apples and pears, eggs.
    t See Appendix A, paragraph 2.

[^4]:    * Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, r950; paragraph 37, H.M.S.O., 1952.

[^5]:    * See Appendix A, paragraph 2.

[^6]:    * First Report of the National Food Survey Committee. H.M.S.O., 1951, paragraph 117.

[^7]:    * Nutritive Value of Wartime Foods. Medical Research Council War Memorandum No. 14.
    $\dagger$ See Statutory Instrument No. 613, 1954.

[^8]:    * British Medical Association: Report of Committee on Nutrition, 1950.
    $\dagger$ Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1952: Appendix B, paragraph 2, H.M.S.O., 1954.
    $\ddagger$ Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1950: paragraph 98. H.M.S.O., 1952.

[^9]:    * In 1952 and 1953, "children" became "adolescents" on their fourteenth birthday; subsequently, on their fifteenth. The change in definition has little effect on the analysis.

[^10]:    * Studies in Urban Household Diets, 1944-49; H.M.S.O., 1956 , paragraphs 70 and 71.

[^11]:    1 Includes cooked and cenned meats and meat products
    1 Includes smoked, dried and salted
    Includes smoked, dried and saited
    Includes cooked, canned and botiled finh and fiah products
    S Includes chips and crispe
    Inchudes dried and canned vegetables and vegetmble product
    Includes cooked, canned and botiled finh and fiah products
    includes chips and crispe
    Includes dried and canned vegetablea and vegetable products

[^12]:    Inctudes tomatoes
    7 Includes dried, canned and boctied fruit

    - Inctuder buns, scoges, tee cakes, mufitins and crumpers

[^13]:    * Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1954, paragraph 101, H.M.S.O., 1956.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Including households not stating family income, and those with four or more children.

[^15]:    *Second Report of the Nationa! Food Survey Committee, H.M.S.O., 1956, paragraphs 156-206.

[^16]:    *The conurbations, as defined by the Registrars-General, are the largest areas of contimpous urban development; their centres are London, Birmingham, Liverpool, Manchester, Newcastle-on-Tyne, Leeds and Glasgow.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ An analyzis of one quarter's National Food Survey data suggests that of the expenditure on miscellaneous items, approximately one quarter would be in respect of salt, one quarter on vinegar, one fifth on gravy salt and smaller proportions in respect of herbs, spices, stuffings, mustard, pepper and other miscellaneous items.

[^18]:    *See Domestic Food Consumption and Expenditure, 1952, H.M.S.O., 1954, paragraphs 54-56

[^19]:    -See Studies in Urban Household Diets, 1944-49, H.M.S.O., 1956, paragraphs $113-117$

[^20]:    1 Includea cooked and canned meats and meat products.
    a
    Includea smoked, dried and salted.
    5 Includes tomstoes.
    5 Includes dried, canned and bottled fruit.
    Includes roll, fruit brem and anndwrichen.
    Includes buna, scones, teen cakes, mufina and crumpets.

    Includen cooked, canned and bottled fish and fish products.
    Includen dried and canned vegetables, and vegetable products.

