
 <b>Regulatory Policy Committee</b>	<b>OPINION</b>	
<b>Impact Assessment (IA)</b>	Amendments of design ownership provisions	
<b>Lead Department/Agency</b>	Department for Business, Innovation & Skills	
<b>Stage</b>	Final	
<b>Origin</b>	Domestic	
<b>IA number</b>	BIS	
<b>Date submitted to RPC</b>	12/02/2013	
<b>RPC Opinion date and reference</b>	28/03/2013	RPC12-BIS-1368(2)
<b>OITO Assessment</b>	<b>GREEN</b>	
<p><b>Overall comments on the robustness of the OITO assessment.</b></p> <p>The IA says that the proposal is a Zero Net Cost measure for OITO purposes because, given that “...the impact on UK industry does not appear to be large.” (Page 8), it would be disproportionate to monetise the potential costs and benefits of the proposal. Given the nature of the proposals this appears reasonable.</p>		
<p><b>Overall quality of the analysis and evidence presented in the IA</b></p> <p><i>Estimated costs and benefits:</i> While the IA fails to provide monetised estimates of the costs and benefits, it explains that “...the impact on UK industry does not appear to be large.” (Page 8), and that it would therefore be disproportionate to carry out the analysis necessary for monetisation. Given the nature of the policy and the qualitative evidence provided, this appears reasonable. The IA does reference how the proposal will be evaluated.</p>		
Signed		<b>Michael Gibbons</b> , Chairman