



Education Outcomes Framework Report 2013/14: Annexes A to F

July 2014

Title: Education Outcomes Framework Report 2013/14: Annexes A to F

Author: SER-WS-WDS 13500

Document Purpose:

Publication date: June 2014

Target audience: NHS Trust & Foundation Trust CEs, Medical Directors, Directors of Education and Training, Directors of Health and Social Care Workforce Planning, Directors of Public Health, Directors of Nursing, Local Authority CEs, NHS Trust Board Chairs, Directors of HR, Higher Education Institutions, Other Government Departments, HEE and LETBs, PHE, NHS England and CCGs, Monitor, NTDA, CQC, NICE, NIHR, HSCIC, Directors of Adult Social Services, Academy of Medical Royal Colleges, Academic Health Professionals, Healthcare Professional Bodies and Professional Regulators, Universities UK, Council of Deans, Sector Skills Councils, PSA, MSC, DSC, NHS Employers, Social Care employers, Voluntary and community sector, Patient groups and Healthwatch, Health Link, National Quality Board, Comms leads.

Contact details:

Education and Training Policy 2W06 Quarry House Quarry Hill Leeds LS2 7UE

You may re-use the text of this document (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence

To view this licence, visit www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/opengovernment-licence/

© Crown copyright 2014

Published to gov.uk, in PDF format only.

www.gov.uk/dh

Education Outcomes Framework

Report 2013/14: Annexes A to F

Prepared by Workforce Development Strategy and External Relations Analysis Branches, Strategy & External Relations Directorate

Contents

Appendix A: NHS Outcomes Framework at a glance	5
Appendix B: Public Health Outcomes Framework at a glance	6
Appendix C: Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework at a glance	7
Annex D: High level data underpinning charts	8
EE1a: Quality of clinical training	8
EE2a: Student experience of supervision on clinical placements	9
EE2b: Trainees experience of clinical supervision during training	10
EE3: Student satisfaction with training courses	11
CC1a-c: Training and education for staff	12
FW1a-d: Staff contribution to service improvement activities	13
FW2: Participants recruited to studies included on the NIHR CRN Portfolio	14
VB1: Staff opinion on the standard of care provided by their employing organisation	15
VB2a-f: Patient experience of care and treatment	16
Annex E: Staff groups and coverage in the EOF	17
Annex F: Glossary	18

Appendix A: NHS Outcomes Framework at a glance

1: Preventing people from dying prematurely

Overarching indicators:

1a Potential Years of Life Lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to healthcare

i Adults ii Children and young people

1b Life expectancy at 75 i Males ii Females

Improvement areas:

Reducing premature mortality from the major causes of death

- 1.1 Under 75 mortality rate from cardiovascular disease
- 1.2 Under 75 mortality rate from respiratory disease
- 1.3 Under 75 mortality rate from liver disease
- 1.4 Under 75 mortality rate from cancer
 - i One- and ii Five-year survival from all cancers

iii One- and iv Five-year survival from breast, lung and colorectal cancer

Reducing premature death in people with serious mental illness

1.5 Excess under 75 mortality rate in adults with serious mental illness

Reducing deaths in babies and young children

1.6 i Infant mortality

ii Neonatal mortality and stillbirths

iii Five year survival from all cancers in children

Reducing premature death in people with a learning disability

Placeholder 1.7 Excess under 60 mortality rate in adults with a learning disability

2: Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions

Overarching indicator:

2 Health-related quality of life for people with long-term conditions Improvement areas:

Ensuring people feel supported to manage their condition

- 2.1 Proportion of people feeling supported to manage their condition Improving functional ability in people with long-term conditions
- 2.2 Employment of people with long-term conditions

Reducing time spent in hospital by people with long-term conditions

2.3 i Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions

ii Unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in under 19s

Enhancing quality of life for carers

2.4 Health-related quality of life for carers

Enhancing quality of life for people with mental illness

2.5 Employment of people with mental illness

Enhancing quality of life for people with dementia

- 2.6 i Estimated diagnosis rate for people with dementia
 - ii A measure of the effectiveness of post-diagnosis care in sustaining independence and improving quality of life

3: Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury

Overarching indicators:

- 3a Emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission
- 3b Emergency readmissions within 30 days of discharge from hospital

Improvement areas:

Improving outcomes from planned treatments

- 3.1 Total health gain as assessed by patients for elective procedures i Hip replacement ii Knee replacement iii Groin hernia iv Varicose veins
 - v Psychological therapies

Preventing lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) in children from becoming serious

- 3.2 Emergency admissions for children with LRTI
- Improving recovery from injuries and trauma
- 3.3 Survival from major trauma

Improving recovery from stroke

3.4 Proportion of stroke patients reporting an improvement in activity/lifestyle on the Modified Rankin Scale at 6 months

Improving recovery from fragility fractures

3.5 Proportion of patients recovering to their previous levels of mobility/walking ability at i 30 and ii 120 days

Helping older people to recover their independence after illness or injury

3.6 i Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/ rehabilitation service

ii Proportion offered rehabilitation following discharge from acute or community hospital

4: Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care

Overarching indicators:

- 4a Patient experience of primary care i GP services
 - ii GP Out-of-hours services iii NHS dental services

4b Patient experience of hospital care Placeholder 4c Friends and family test

Improvement areas:

Improving people's experience of outpatient care 4.1 Patient experience of outpatient services Improving hospitals' responsiveness to personal needs 4.2 Responsiveness to in-patients' personal needs Improving people's experience of accident and emergency services 4.3 Patient experience of A&E services Improving access to primary care services 4.4 Access to i GP services and ii NHS dental services Improving women and their families' experience of maternity services 4.5 Women's experience of maternity services Improving the experience of care for people at the end of their lives 4.6 Bereaved carers' views on the quality of care in the last 3 months of life Improving experience of healthcare for people with mental illness 4.7 Patient experience of community mental health services Improving children and young people's experience of healthcare Placeholder 4.8 Children and young people's experience of outpatient services Improving people's experience of integrated care

5: Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm

Overarching indicators:

- 5a Patient safety incidents reported
- 5b Safety incidents involving severe harm or death
- 5c Hospital deaths attributable to problems in care

Improvement areas:

Reducing the incidence of avoidable harm

- 5.2 Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI) i MRSA ii C. difficile

5.3 Proportion of patients with category 2, 3 and 4 pressure ulcers 5.4 Incidence of medication errors causing serious harm Improving the safety of maternity services 5.5 Admission of full-term babies to neonatal care Delivering safe care to children in acute settings 5.6 Incidence of harm to children due to 'failure to monitor'

Placeholder 4.9 People's experience of integrated care

5.1 Deaths from venous thromboembolism (VTE) related events

Appendix B: Public Health Outcomes Framework at a glance

Vision: To improve and protect the nation's health and wellbeing and improve the health of the poorest fastest

Outcome measures:

- Outcome 1) Increased healthy life expectancy, i.e. taking account of the health guality as well as the length of life
- Reduced differences in life expectancy and healthy life expectancy between communities (through greater improvements in more disadvantaged communities) Outcome 2)

1: Improving the wider determinants of health

Objective:

Improvements against wider factors which affect health and wellbeing and health inequalities

Indicators:

- 1.1 Children in poverty
- 1.2 School readiness
- 1.3 Pupil absence
- 1.4 First time entrants to the youth justice system
- 1.5 16–18 year olds not in education, employment or training
- 1.6 Adults with a learning disability/in contact with secondary mental health services who live in stable and appropriate accommodation
- 1.7 People in prison who have a mental illness or a significant mental illness
- 1.8 Employment for those with long-term health conditions including adults with a learning disability or who are in contact with secondary mental health services
- 1.9 Sickness absence rate
- 1.10 Killed and seriously injured casualties on England's roads
- 1.11 Domestic abuse
- 1.12 Violent crime (including sexual violence)
- 1.13 Re-offending levels
- 1.14 The percentage of the population affected by noise
- 1.15 Statutory homelessness
- 1.16 Utilisation of outdoor space for exercise/health reasons
- 1.17 Fuel poverty
- 1.18 Social isolation
- 1.19 Older people's perception of community safety

2: Health improvement

Objective:

People are helped to live healthy lifestyles, make healthy choices and reduce health inequalities

Indicators:

- 2.1 Low birth weight of term babies
- 2.2 Breastfeeding
- 2.3 Smoking status at time of delivery
- 2.4 Under 18 conceptions
- 2.5 Child development at 2-21/2 years
- 2.6 Excess weight in 4–5 and 10–11 year olds
- 2.7 Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and deliberate injuries in under 18s
- 2.8 Emotional well-being of looked after children
- Placeholder 2.9 Smoking prevalence 15 year olds
- 2.10 Self-harm
- 2.11 Diet
- 2.12 Excess weight in adults
- 2.13 Proportion of physically active and inactive adults
- 2.14 Smoking prevalence adults (over 18s)
- 2.15 Successful completion of drug treatment
- 2.16 People entering prison with substance dependence issues who are previously not known to community treatment
- 2.17 Recorded diabetes
- 2.18 Alcohol-related admissions to hospital
- 2.19 Cancer diagnosed at stage 1 and 2
- 2.20 Cancer screening coverage
- 2.21 Access to non-cancer screening programmes
- 2.22 Take up of the NHS Health Check programme by those eligible
- 2.23 Self-reported well-being
- 2.24 Injuries due to falls in people aged 65 and over

3: Health protection

Objective:

majo	population's health is protected from or incidents and other threats, whilst ucing health inequalities	
Indi	icators:	
3.1	Fraction of mortality attributable to particulate air pollution	
3.2	Chlamydia diagnoses (15-24 year olds)	
3.3	Population vaccination coverage	
3.4	People presenting with HIV at a late stage of infection	
3.5	Treatment completion for TB	
3.6	Public sector organisations with board approved sustainable development management plan	
3.7	Comprehensive, agreed inter-agency plans for responding to public health incidents and emergencies	

4: Healthcare public health and preventing premature mortality

Objective:

Reduced numbers of people living with preventable ill health and people dying prematurely, whilst reducing the gap between communities

Indicators:

- 4.1 Infant mortality
- 4.2 Tooth decay in children aged 5
- 4.3 Mortality rate from causes considered preventable 4.4 Under 75 mortality rate from all cardiovascular
 - diseases (including heart disease and stroke)
- 4.5 Under 75 mortality rate from cancer
- 4.6 Under 75 mortality rate from liver disease
- 4.7 Under 75 mortality rate from respiratory diseases
- 4.8 Mortality rate from infectious and parasitic diseases
- 4.9 Excess under 75 mortality rate in adults with serious mental illness
- 4.10 Suicide rate
- 4.11 Emergency readmissions within 30 days of discharge from hospital
- 4.12 Preventable sight loss
- 4.13 Health-related quality of life for older people
- 4.14 Hip fractures in people aged 65 and over
- 4.15 Excess winter deaths
- 4.16 Estimated diagnosis rate for people with dementia

Appendix C: Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework at a glance

1: Enhancing quality of life for people with care and support needs

Overarching measure

1A. Social care-related quality of life (complementary to NHSOF measure 2)

Outcome measures

People manage their own support as much as they wish, so they are in control of what, how and when support is delivered to match their needs

- 1B. Proportion of people who use services who have control over their daily life
- 1C. (New measure for 2014/15): Proportion of people using social care who receive self-directed support, and those receiving direct payments

Carers can balance their caring roles and maintain their desired quality of life

1D. Carer-reported quality of life (complementary to NHSOF Measure 2.4)

People are able to find employment when they want, maintain a family and social life and contribute to community life, and avoid loneliness or isolation

- 1E. Proportion of adults with a learning disability in paid employment (complementary to PHOF measure 1.8 and NHSOF measure 2.2)
- 1F. Proportion of adults in contact with secondary mental health services in paid employment (complementary to PHOF measure 1.8 and NHSOF measure 2.5)
- 1G. Proportion of adults with a learning disability who live in their own home or with their family (shared with PHOF measure 1.6)
- 1H. Proportion of adults in contact with secondary mental health services living independently, with or without support (shared with PHOF measure 1.6)
- Proportion of people who use services and their carers, who reported that they had as much social contact as 11. they would like (shared with PHOF measure 1.18)

2: Delaying and reducing the need for care and support

Overarching measure

2A. Permanent admissions to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population

Outcome measures

Everybody has the opportunity to have the best health and wellbeing throughout their life, and can access support and information to help them manage their care needs

Earlier diagnosis, intervention and reablement means that people and their carers are less dependent on intensive services

- 2B. Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services (shared with NHSOF measure 3.6i-ii)
- 2D. (New measure for 2014/15) The outcomes of short-term services: sequel to service.

Placeholder 2E: The effectiveness of reablement services

When people develop care needs, the support they receive takes place in the most appropriate setting and enables them to regain their independence

2C. Delayed transfers of care form hospital, and those which are attributable to adult social care

Placeholder 2F: Dementia - a measure of the effectiveness of post-diagnosis care in sustaining independence and improving quality of life (complementary to NHSOF measure 2.6ii)

3: Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care and support

Overarching measure

People who use social care and their carers are satisfied with their experience of care and support services 3A. Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support

- 3B. Overall satisfaction of carers with social services
- 3E. (New measure for 2014/15) Improving people's experience of integrated care (complementary to NHSOF measure 4.9)

Outcome Measures

Carers feel that they are respected as equal partners throughout the care process

3C. The proportion of carers who report that they have been included or consulted in discussions about the person they care for

People know what choices are available to them locally, what they are entitled to, and who to contact when they need help

3D. The proportion of people who use services and carers who find it easy to find information about support People, including those involved in making decisions on social care, respect the dignity of the individual and ensure support is sensitive to the circumstances of each individual

This information can be taken from the Adult Social Care Survey and used for analysis at the local level

4: Safeguarding adults whose circumstances make them vulnerable and protecting from avoidable harm

Overarching measure

4A. The proportion of people who use services who feel safe (complementary to PHOF measure 1.19) **Outcome measures**

Everyone enjoys physical activity and feels secure

People are free from physical and emotional abuse, harassment, neglect and self-harm People are protected as far as possible from avoidable harm, disease and injuries People are supported to plan ahead and have the freedom to manage risks the way that they wish 4B. The proportion of people who use services who say that those services have made them feel safe and secure Placeholder 4C. Proportion of completed safeguarding referrals where people report they feel safe

Annex D: High level data underpinning charts

EE1a: Quality of clinical training

Table D1: Dean's Report RAG ratings, grouped by LETB area

		Percentage of	reported items wit	th 'red' ratings
LETB area	Number of reported items (October 2013)*	October 2012	April 2013	October 2013
North East	45	0%	17%	0%
North West	177	4%	6%	2%
Yorkshire & Humber	321	25%	15%	27%
East Midlands	21	20%	18%	10%
West Midlands	164	6%	1%	1%
East of England	49	16%	7%	2%
Thames Valley	29	10%	28%	28%
Pan-London	145	44%	35%	37%
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	49	2%	21%	4%
Wessex	41	0%	0%	7%
South West	744	42%	6%	3%
NATIONAL	1,785	28%	10%	10%

* this is the number of reported items, including LETB-wide and does not necessarily reflect the number of trainees which are affected.

EE2a: Student experience of supervision on clinical placements

'I received appropriate supervision on my placement(s)'

Table D2: Changes in percentage of students who agreed with the statement between 2011 and 2013, by LETB area

LETB area	2011 survey results	2012 survey results	2013 survey results	Change	Sample Size (2013)
North East	90.3%	90.5%	90.9%	0.6%	894
North West	83.3%	85.5%	85.3%	2.1%	2,819
Yorkshire & Humber	85.0%	86.8%	86.4%	1.4%	2,367
East Midlands	82.9%	82.0%	84.1%	1.2%	1,184
West Midlands	84.2%	86.6%	86.6%	2.4%	2,102
East of England	79.6%	80.8%	81.0%	1.4%	1,775
Thames Valley	81.1%	83.2%	80.0%	-1.2%	529
NC & East London	80.7%	78.6%	81.5%	0.8%	745
North West London	84.8%	87.0%	87.4%	2.6%	428
South London	76.8%	79.1%	82.4%	5.6%	1,507
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	83.1%	81.8%	82.3%	-0.9%	998
Wessex	80.5%	85.4%	82.9%	2.4%	771
South West	84.2%	85.1%	85.0%	0.9%	1,123
ENGLAND AVERAGE	82.9%	84.2%	84.5%	1.7%	17,242

EE2b: Trainees experience of clinical supervision during training

How would you rate the quality of clinical supervision in this post?

- a. How would you rate the quality of clinical supervision in this post?
- b. In this post did you always know who was providing your clinical supervision when you were working?
- c. In this post how often, if ever, were you supervised by someone who you felt wasn't competent to do so?
- d. In this post how often did you feel forced to cope with clinical problems beyond your competence or experience?
- e. In this post how often have you been expected to obtain consent for procedures where you feel you do not understand the proposed interventions and its risks?

Table D3: Summary results of 'Clinical Supervision' element of GMC National Training Survey (2011 and 2013)

LETB area	2011 survey	2012 survey	2013 survey	Change (2011 to 2013)	Sample Size (2013)
North East	90.4%	90.1%	90.3%	-0.0%	2,518
North West	87.5%	87.7%	88.0%	0.5%	5,792
Yorkshire & Humber	87.9%	87.8%	88.6%	0.7%	4,678
East Midlands	87.0%	87.4%	87.8%	0.8%	2,991
West Midlands	88.0%	88.3%	89.0%	1.0%	4,452
East of England	86.9%	87.9%	87.9%	1.1%	3,137
Thames Valley	87.1%	87.6%	88.0%	0.9%	1,688
Pan-London	87.5%	87.9%	88.6%	1.2%	9,508
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	86.1%	86.7%	87.3%	1.2%	3,267
Wessex	87.8%	88.1%	88.1%	0.3%	1,996
South West	88.5%	88.7%	88.6%	0.1%	3,327
ENGLAND TOTAL	87.6%	88.0%	88.4%	0.8%	43,354

EE3: Student satisfaction with training courses

'Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course'

Table D4: Changes in percentage of students who agreed with the statement between 2011 and 2013, by LETB area

LETB area	2011 survey	2012 survey	2013 survey	Change (2011 to 2013)	Sample Size (2013)
North East	88.3%	90.6%	89.6%	1.3%	2,502
North West	81.3%	83.5%	85.2%	3.9%	6,287
Yorkshire & Humber	87.1%	87.8%	88.1%	1.0%	5,565
East Midlands	85.5%	87.5%	89.0%	3.5%	3,574
West Midlands	86.7%	87.5%	88.5%	1.8%	5,029
East of England	86.0%	85.0%	84.2%	-1.8%	3,681
Thames Valley	91.2%	90.5%	90.9%	-0.3%	3,610
NC & East London	84.9%	85.6%	85.2%	0.4%	2,944
North West London	83.8%	82.6%	83.8%	0.1%	1,231
South London	82.5%	80.9%	82.8%	0.3%	3,724
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	86.8%	86.4%	87.7%	0.9%	2,235
Wessex	79.0%	85.4%	84.7%	5.8%	1,716
South West	83.6%	84.0%	86.7%	3.1%	2,911
ENGLAND TOTAL	85.1%	86.0%	86.8%	1.7%	45,009

CC1a-c: Training and education for staff

'Thinking about any training, learning or development that you have done in the last 12 months (paid for or provided by your trust), to what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?'

- a. Do my job more effectively
- b. Stay up-to-date with professional requirements
- c. Deliver a better patient/service user experience

Table D5: Changes in percentage of staff who 'agree' or 'strongly agree' with each statement between 2011 and 2012, by LETB area

	Percentage 'agreed' or 'sti			Change in percentage of respondents who 'agreed' or 'strongly agreed' to questions			
LETB area	a: Effectiveness	b: Stay up-to- date	c: Patient experience	a: Effectiveness	b: Stay up-to- date	c: Patient experience	
North East	69%	76%	66%	5.9%	7.5%	2.0%	
North West	68%	74%	65%	5.2%	7.6%	0.1%	
Yorkshire & Humber	67%	74%	62%	3.7%	7.7%	-0.9%	
East Midlands	67%	74%	63%	3.4%	6.1%	0.5%	
West Midlands	68%	75%	64%	4.2%	6.1%	-0.2%	
East of England	67%	75%	64%	3.3%	7.0%	1.3%	
Thames Valley	66%	71%	63%	3.2%	5.5%	-2.3%	
North Central & East London	69%	75%	67%	1.3%	6.2%	0.0%	
North West London	71%	76%	69%	1.5%	3.5%	1.3%	
South London	70%	76%	67%	2.6%	5.9%	1.2%	
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	66%	72%	62%	3.3%	7.4%	-0.8%	
Wessex	68%	75%	64%	3.7%	7.6%	-0.2%	
South West	65%	72%	61%	2.7%	5.6%	0.2%	
ENGLAND TOTAL	68%	74%	64%	3.8%	6.7%	0.4%	

FW1a-d: Staff contribution to service improvement activities

To what extent do you agree with the following:

- a. There are frequent opportunities for me to show initiative in my role
- b. I am able to make suggestions to improve the work of my team/department
- c. I am involved in deciding on changes introduced that affect my work area/team/ department
- d. I am able to make improvements happen in my area of work.

Table D6: Changes in percentage of staff who 'agree' or 'strongly agree' with each statement between 2011 and 2013

		d' or 'stro	esponden ongly agre tions		Change in percentage of respondents who 'agreed' or 'strongly agreed' to questions				
LETB area	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	All
North East	70.4%	73.8%	53.4%	56.6%	9.6%	4.5%	4.7%	-1.6%	4.3%
North West	70.0%	74.8%	53.2%	56.3%	7.4%	4.0%	3.7%	1.3%	4.1%
Yorkshire & Humber	68.1%	72.5%	50.5%	52.1%	4.6%	1.6%	1.0%	3.7%	2.7%
East Midlands	68.2%	71.7%	50.0%	51.6%	6.1%	1.0%	1.7%	3.6%	3.1%
West Midlands	69.7%	72.9%	51.6%	53.4%	8.4%	3.9%	4.4%	1.5%	4.5%
East of England	69.8%	73.4%	52.0%	54.0%	8.5%	2.7%	3.9%	0.7%	4.0%
Thames Valley	68.5%	70.8%	49.2%	52.5%	6.2%	0.2%	1.2%	6.2%	3.5%
North Central & East London	72.4%	75.3%	55.5%	61.1%	7.8%	4.5%	6.5%	3.6%	5.6%
North West London	70.6%	73.8%	52.8%	59.6%	6.3%	2.5%	2.0%	6.6%	4.4%
South London	70.8%	73.3%	53.4%	58.0%	7.6%	4.0%	6.0%	2.3%	5.0%
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	68.7%	71.1%	49.1%	52.2%	7.0%	1.3%	2.0%	4.4%	3.7%
Wessex	69.3%	74.2%	52.3%	54.4%	7.5%	2.9%	3.0%	1.3%	3.7%
South West	68.7%	72.2%	49.8%	51.2%	5.8%	0.4%	0.9%	3.4%	2.6%
ENGLAND TOTAL	69.7%	73.3%	51.9%	54.8%	7.3%	2.7%	3.3%	2.3%	3.9%

FW2: Participants recruited to studies included on the NIHR CRN Portfolio

	Pat	tients recruit	ed		entage chan uitment num	
LETB area	2010	2011	2012	2010 to 2011	2011 to 2012	Total (net) 2010 to 2012
North East	29,421	30,000	35,476	2.0%	18.3%	20.6%
North West	74,859	92,113	90,609	23.0%	-1.6%	21.0%
Yorkshire & Humber	52,235	56,845	64,003	8.8%	12.6%	22.5%
East Midlands	34,126	42,821	37,705	25.5%	-11.9%	10.5%
West Midlands	46,020	60,081	66,055	30.6%	9.9%	43.5%
East of England	42,972	57,947	60,157	34.8%	3.8%	40.0%
Thames Valley	21,878	25,679	28,404	17.4%	10.6%	29.8%
North Central & East London	40,898	50,595	47,609	23.7%	-5.9%	16.4%
North West London	87,286	29,002	64,185	-66.8%	121.3%	-26.5%
South London	23,841	33,458	31,802	40.3%	-4.9%	33.4%
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	21,618	18,482	22,234	-14.5%	20.3%	2.8%
Wessex	29,601	33,289	32,227	12.5%	-3.2%	8.9%
South West	50,736	64,122	60,193	26.4%	-6.1%	18.6%
England-wide	100	2,777	684	2677.0%	-75.4%	584.0%
ENGLAND TOTAL	555,591	597,211	641,343	7.5%	7.4%	15.4%

Table D7: Published NIHR data (2010/11 to 2012/13) aggregated to LETB area level

VB1: Staff opinion on the standard of care provided by their employing organisation

'If a friend or relative needed treatment I would be happy with the standard of care provided by this organisation'

Table D8: Percentage (a) of staff in 2013 survey who 'agree' or 'strongly agree' and (b) change from 2011 survey

LETB area	2013	Change from 2011	Sample (2013)
North East	67.7%	1.6%	5,801
North West	65.5%	3.2%	33,171
Yorkshire & Humber	59.1%	-2.8%	18,100
East Midlands	56.0%	-5.1%	11,857
West Midlands	62.8%	1.0%	20,169
East of England	63.4%	1.5%	17,138
Thames Valley	60.8%	0.3%	6,732
North Central & East London	64.9%	1.1%	21,930
North West London	65.1%	-0.4%	6,354
South London	64.1%	2.4%	8,010
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	63.6%	3.1%	17,525
Wessex	62.8%	0.1%	14,457
South West	60.6%	-2.3%	17,571
ENGLAND TOTAL	62.8%	0.6%	198,815

VB2a-f: Patient experience of care and treatment

- a. When you had important questions to ask a doctor, did you get answers that you could understand?
- b. When you had important questions to ask a nurse, did you get answers that you could understand?
- c. Were you involved as much as you wanted to be in decisions about your care and treatment?
- *d.* Do you feel you got enough emotional support from hospital staff during your stay?
- e. Did hospital staff discuss with you whether you may need any further health or social care services after leaving hospital? (e.g. services from a GP, physiotherapist or community nurse, or assistance from social services or the voluntary sector)
- f. Overall, did you feel you were treated with respect and dignity while you were in the hospital?

Table D9: Change in percentage of 'No' responses to each of the questions by LETB area

	Percentage of negative responses between 2011 and 2012						C	hange in		age of n 1 2011 ai		esponse	S
LETB area	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	All*
North East	19.3%	19.8%	31.0%	16.6%	6.2%	12.9%	-3.3%	-4.8%	-2.7%	-2.1%	-0.8%	-2.9%	-3.3%
North West	21.4%	22.0%	32.3%	18.3%	7.1%	15.1%	-1.0%	-1.6%	-3.0%	-1.0%	-0.3%	-0.9%	-1.6%
Yorkshire & Humber	23.8%	24.3%	35.1%	17.7%	8.4%	17.4%	-0.1%	-0.4%	-1.4%	-1.9%	0.7%	0.5%	-0.5%
East Midlands	25.7%	24.7%	35.5%	18.8%	8.0%	17.1%	-0.7%	-1.3%	-1.7%	-1.7%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-1.3%
West Midlands	22.3%	21.2%	32.8%	17.9%	8.4%	15.2%	-1.7%	-3.7%	-4.7%	-2.2%	0.5%	-1.8%	-2.7%
East of England	24.0%	23.9%	33.8%	18.2%	7.1%	16.1%	-1.1%	-3.1%	-3.6%	-1.0%	-1.2%	-1.4%	-2.3%
Thames Valley	25.7%	27.4%	38.5%	19.0%	9.8%	19.0%	2.0%	1.3%	3.0%	-0.1%	1.0%	2.0%	1.8%
North Central & East London	24.9%	28.5%	34.7%	21.7%	9.7%	19.9%	-0.3%	-4.0%	-3.4%	-2.2%	-1.0%	-0.7%	-2.3%
North West London	22.4%	25.3%	33.0%	19.7%	9.0%	15.8%	-1.6%	-2.9%	-3.1%	-1.5%	-0.4%	-2.1%	-2.3%
South London	25.7%	28.2%	36.0%	20.4%	10.4%	19.5%	0.2%	-5.1%	-4.4%	-0.7%	0.4%	-0.9%	-2.1%
Kent, Surrey & Sussex	23.1%	22.4%	33.0%	17.1%	8.1%	15.8%	-2.3%	-4.2%	-5.7%	-2.5%	0.4%	-3.3%	-3.5%
Wessex	23.1%	21.4%	34.2%	18.4%	7.2%	14.9%	-0.8%	-4.1%	-3.9%	0.6%	-0.9%	-1.2%	-2.1%
South West	21.6%	22.3%	32.3%	18.0%	7.4%	15.4%	-1.2%	-1.7%	-3.9%	-0.3%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-1.7%
ENGLAND TOTAL	22.9%	23.3%	33.5%	18.3%	7.9%	16.1%	-1.1%	-2.7%	-3.3%	-1.4%	-0.3%	-1.2%	-2.0%

*unweighted average change - i.e. sum of changes divided by 6

Annex E: Staff groups and coverage in the EOF

The staff groups referenced in this report are consistent with those from the NHS Staff Survey and are listed below:

- Allied Health Professionals, Healthcare Scientists and Scientific & Technical staff
- Ambulance staff (operational)
- Medical/Dental staff
- Registered Nurses and Midwives
- Nursing or Healthcare Assistants
- Social care staff
- Public Health/Health Improvement
- Commissioning managers/support staff
- Wider Healthcare Team
- General Management

The table below illustrates the potential coverage of staff groups within each data source. It should be noted that, as discussed in the main report, data sources involving the current workforce are focused on the acute hospital setting:

Table E1

Staff group	Dean's Reports	GMC Training Survey	National Student Survey¹	NHS Staff Survey	NIHR	Acute Inpatient Survey	HEE IPR ²
Allied Health Professionals, Healthcare Scientists and Scientific & Technical staff			1	1			1
Ambulance staff (operational)			1	1			
Medical/Dental staff	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Registered Nurses and Midwives			1	1		1	
Nursing or Healthcare Assistants			1	1		1	
Social care staff			1	1			
Public Health/Health Improvement			1	1			
Commissioning managers/support staff			1	1			
Wider Healthcare Team				1			
General managers				1			

¹ For the purposes of presentation, it has been assumed that non-clinical staff have non-clinical degrees are not included in the Student Survey results presented.

2 HEE commitments include reducing the number of occupations on the Shortage Occupation List. This cuts across a number of groups and is not necessarily reflected in this table.

Annex F: Glossary

ASCOF	Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework
CCG	Clinical Commissioning Group
CQC	Care Quality Commission
DH	Department of Health
EOF	Education Outcomes Framework
GMC	General Medical Council
GP	General Practitioner
HEE	Health Education England
HEFCE	Higher Education Funding Council for England
LETBs	Local Education and Training Boards
NHS	National Health Service
NHSOF	NHS Outcomes Framework
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
NIHR	National Institute for Health Research
PHE	Public Health England
PHOF	Public Health Outcomes Framework
PRP	Policy Research Programme
RAND Europe	is a not-for-profit research institute whose mission is to help improve policy and decision-making through research and analysis.
SfC	Skills for Care