

From: Keith Alexander Mallen

Date received: 13/07/2017

Consent to being identified in public response to invitation for representations: Yes

Dear Sirs,

I appear to have arrived late to this game and cannot truly state that my evidence or rather my evidence of my concerns may not have already been raised by other parties. You gave a reference number to me in your e-mail communication today, 13/07/17.

TO2017/01852

You may be aware from my original contact that whilst I do not subscribe to the Television Services provided by SKY I am subscribed to their Broadband Service. It's probably not relevant but I pay a Â£2.50 premium as a result of not taking any Television Services from the company.

I did suggest, and I assume that it is the case, should Fox take control of SKY then that will involve taking control of SKY Broadband.

As I suggest I am late so have only made a cursory scan of information provided. However in respect of The Investigatory Powers Bill, now an Act,

<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2015-16/investigatorypowers/committees/houseofcommonspublicbillcommitteeontheinvestigatorypowersbill201516.html>

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201516/cmpublic/investigatorypowers/Memo/IPB12.pdf>

"One flick of the switch, assuming it is not permanently on, and this represents the "œtechnical means and capability" for Mass Surveillance."

Others have already expressed concerns that the data collected would be subject to hacking attempts by the criminal element. Now you wish to gift the opportunity and capability to a Company which will be required by law to collect such data where that Company or one of its subsidiaries is already known to behave in such a manner?

<https://www.ofcom.org.uk/consultations-and-statements/category-3/public-interest-test-sky-fox>

Page 2) Item 9) in part,

"In relation to News Corp we considered phone hacking and its implications for Sky's fitness and properness in 2012. So far only limited new evidence has emerged, with legal proceedings ongoing. No concerns have been raised about the corporate culture of Sky. We cannot conclude, therefore, that Sky would fail to tackle effectively issues of misconduct, if

100% owned by Fox. We find that Sky would remain fit and proper in the event of the merger. Fitness and properness is an ongoing duty and we can re-examine our position if new evidence comes to light."

I have to state that I find the view expressed in the above statement to be laughably and disturbingly naive. Do you seriously believe that when wholly owned by Fox the 'culture' within SKY will not be subject to change and Winnie The Pooh is a Reformed Bear and when presented with a new Honey Pot he is not going to mash his fist into it?

Faithfully

Keith Alexander Mallen
54 Reading Road
Ipswich
Suffolk
IP44NR
(01473)720029