

What is your organisation? - Organisation	Chelsea & Westminster Hospital Foundation Trust
Do you agree that providers of services in the tariff in operation at the time at which Monitor consults on the next tariff should count towards the thresholds? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that providers of services in the tariff in operation at the time at which Monitor consults on the next tariff should count towards the thresholds? - If not, can you suggest an alternative method to base this on?	We agree this as a minimum, but should also include providers that are not current funded via PbR such as Mental Health providers. Although they are not directly affected by the methodology, they should be included as the tariff develops, perhaps without being included in the threshold for objection.
If yes, do you agree that this should include any such providers who are exempt from the requirement to hold a licence? - Q2	We agree that providers who are exempt from the requirement to hold a licence should be included.
Do you agree that the data used to calculate an objection threshold should be based on total tariff income, as reported in financial accounts? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that the data used to calculate an objection threshold should be based on total tariff income, as reported in financial accounts? - Q3b	
Are there any other providers who should count towards the threshold? - Y/N	No
Are there any other providers who should count towards the threshold? - If yes, please give details and reasons.	We agree that all organisations providing NHS funded services should count towards the threshold.
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for commissioners? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for commissioners? - If not, what figure would you propose, and why?	We agree with the approach of a majority consensus
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for providers? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for providers? - If not, what figure would you propose, and why?	We agree with the approach of a majority consensus
Do you agree that a provider's share of supply should be calculated across all tariff services covered by the tariff in force at the time at which the consultation takes place? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that a provider's share of supply should be calculated across all tariff services covered by the tariff in force at the time at which the consultation takes place? - If not, how should their share of supply be calculated?	
Do you agree that providers should be weighted based on income received from tariff services, as stated in the previous years financial years accounts, minus local area adjustments? - Y/N	Yes

Do you agree that providers should be weighted based on income received from tariff services, as stated in the previous years financial years accounts, minus local area adjustments? - If not, on what basis should they be weighted?	We agree with this approach and that local variations would be excluded
Do you agree that the share of supply percentage threshold should be set at the same figure as for the objection percentage thresholds, ie 51% of the total supply? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that the share of supply percentage threshold should be set at the same figure as for the objection percentage thresholds, ie 51% of the total supply? - If not, what percentage should be set, and why?	We agree with the approach of a majority consensus
Do you have any evidence that the proposals in this document will impact adversely or unfairly on any protected groups? - Y/N	No
Do you have any evidence that the proposals in this document will impact adversely or unfairly on any protected groups? - Q10	