



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Between

Mr P Hedlund

Claimant

and

London Borough of Lambeth

Respondent

JUDGMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL ON AN APPLICATION FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER RULE 70 OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS RULES OF PROCEDURE 2013

JUDGMENT

It is the judgment of the Tribunal in accordance with rule 72(1) that the application by the Claimant dated 17 March 2017 be refused on the ground that there is no reasonable prospect of the original decision being varied or revoked.

REASONS

- 1 Following a public preliminary hearing held on 6 March 2017 I issued a judgment in the following terms:

The [. . .] Respondent is not to be prevented from maintaining in these proceedings that the Claimant did not make a protected disclosure within section 43A of the Employment Rights Act 1996 as alleged by the Claimant.

- 2 The Claimant seeks to challenge that decision on the basis that it was not in line with current law, was biased against the Claimant, and it was not in the best interests of justice.
- 3 The Tribunal has the power under rules 70 to 73 of the Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013 to reconsider its judgments. Under rule 72(1) an Employment Judge shall refuse the application where it is considered that there is no reasonable prospect of the decision being varied or revoked.

- 4 That is the conclusion I have reached. The Claimant is simply seeking to challenge the validity of the decision which I made.
- 5 It appears also that the Claimant may in the alternative be seeking to amend his claim. If that is the case then he must set out exactly what amendment(s) is/are proposed.

Employment Judge Baron

07 April 2017