Recommendation Status Report: Collision between a train and a piece of equipment at Barrow-upon-Soar, Leicestershire

This report is based on information provided to the RAIB by the relevant safety authority or public body.

The status of the recommendation(s), as reported to us, are described by the following categories:

Key to Recommendation Status

Open (replaces Progressing and Implementation On-going)	Actions to address the recommendation are ongoing.
Closed (replaces Implemented, Implemented by alternative means, and Non- implementation)	ORR consider the recommendation to have been taken into consideration by an end implementer and evidence provided to show action taken or justification for no action taken.
Insufficient response:	The end implementer has not provided sufficient evidence that the recommendation has been taken into consideration, or if it has, the action proposed does not address the recommendation, or there is insufficient evidence to support no action being taken.
Superseded:	The recommendation has been superseded either by a newer recommendation or actions have subsequently been taken by the end implementer that have superseded the recommendation.
Awaiting response:	Awaiting initial report from the relevant safety authority or public body on the status of the recommendation.

RAIB concern over the way that an organisation has responded to a recommendation are indicated by one of the following:

Red – RAIB has concerns that no actions have been taken in response to a recommendation.

Blue – RAIB has concerns that the actions taken, or proposed, are inappropriate or insufficient to address the risk identified during the investigation.

White – RAIB notes substantive actions have been reported, but the RAIB still has concerns.

Recommendation Status Report



Report Title	Collision between a train and a piece of equipment at Barrow-upon-Soar, Leicestershire,		
Report Number	21/2016		
Date of Incident	14/02/2016		

Rec No.	Status	RAIB Concern	Recommendation	RAIB Summary of current status
21/2016/01	Closed - I	None	The intent of this recommendation is that Tarmac and Wabtec take steps	ORR has reported that Wabtec
			to address the risk that the use and maintenance of rail vehicles poses to	has reported that it has
			passing trains, not just directly to staff.	completed actions taken in
				response to this
			Tarmac and Wabtec should review and improve their processes for hazard	recommendation. ORR proposes
			identification and risk assessment to ensure that they encompass	to take no further action unless
			consideration of the risk that their rail operations, including maintenance	they become aware that the
			activities, might pose to other railway operations (paragraphs 131b, 132b	information provided becomes
			and 133a).	inaccurate.
21/2016/02	Closed - I	None	The intent of this recommendation is to ensure that Wabtec's	ORR has reported that Wabtec
			management of maintenance and inspection is effective.	has reported that it has
				completed actions taken in
			Wabtec should review its management arrangements at Barrow Railhead	response to this
			to ensure that the maintenance and inspection procedures are clearly	recommendation. ORR proposes
			defined, understood and correctly executed. This should include definition	to take no further action unless
			of the areas of the site where the type of work is prohibited or permitted	they become aware that the
			(paragraphs 131b and 132a).	information provided becomes
				inaccurate.
			This may also apply to other Wabtec maintenance sites.	
21/2016/03	Closed - NI	None		ORR has reported that
				Tarmac has carried out a review
			The intent of this recommendation is for Tarmac to prevent continued	in response to this
			operation of rail wagons it owns with known defects, without introducing	recommendation and proposes
			measures to mitigate the associated risks.	no further action.
				ORR proposes to take no further
			Tarmac should review its management processes, and their	action unless they become aware

Recommendation Status Report



			implementation, to identify why no action was taken to manage the risk from continued operation of the unloading wagons after it had been informed of the unacceptable condition of the electrical system. It should introduce any necessary changes to prevent a similar occurrence (paragraph 132b).	that the information provided becomes inaccurate.
21/2016/04	Closed - NI	None	The intent of this recommendation is to ensure that the electrical system deficiencies are addressed before any of the SDT fleet re-enters service.	ORR has reported that Tarmac has carried out a review in response to this recommendation and proposes no further action. ORR proposes to take no further
			Before any re-entry to service, the registered keeper of the SDT vehicles should ensure that the condition of the electrical system is restored to be safe to operate in the environment in which it is to be used (paragraphs 131a, 132a and 133b).	action unless they become aware that the information provided becomes inaccurate.