

Pregnancy as a risk factor for HIV infection in a rural Tanzanian cohort



Mark Urassa¹, Raphael Isingo¹, Milalu Ndege¹, Yusufu Kumuloga¹, Boniface Makalemo³, Milly Marston³, John Changalucha¹, Basia Zaba^{1,3}

1 National Institute for Medical Research, Tanzania, Mwanza Branch, Mwanza, Tanzania

2 District Medical Office, Magu District, Mwanza Region



Introduction

HIV prevalence is usually lower in pregnant women, affecting interpretation of ANC surveillance data. In the ART era new laboratory tests are proposed to measure incidence directly. We investigate whether pregnant women experience lower HIV incidence.

Methods

- The Kisesa cohort study conducted 4 rounds of village-based HIV testing (at ~three year intervals) and 19 rounds of demographic surveillance (at ~half year intervals) between 1994 and 2005
- Person-years of HIV negative exposure were calculated for women in each survey interval, classified by pregnancy status, allowing half an inter-test interval of exposure for sero-convertors
- Poisson regression was used to study sero-conversion rates in women experiencing pregnancy in the inter-survey intervals, adjusting for known demographic and behavioural risk factors.
- Mantel-Haenszel tests were used to detect effect modification.

Figure 1: Survey schedule allows precise dating of births, but only approximate dating of sero-conversion



Results

- Women in child-bearing ages (15-44) contributed 17,928 personyears of observation experiencing 5,755 pregnancies
- The crude HIV incidence rate was 1.15% per year for all women [95% CI 1.0-1.3], increasing over time, from 0.8% [0.6-1.1] before 1997, to 1.2% [1.0-1.4] after

Table 1: Regression analysis

Variable	Comparison groups	ΡΥ	Crude IRR	[95% CI]	Adjusted IRR	[95% CI]
Age group	under 30 30 & over	10,118 7,810	1.00 0.66	[0.50 - 0.88]	1.00 0.48	[0.34 - 0.68]
Time period	1994-1997 1997-2000 2000-2003	5,068 6,493 6,367	1.00 1.58 1.52	[1.09 - 2.29] [1.04 - 2.20]	1.00 1.76 1.58	[1.18 - 2.62] [1.05 - 2.37]
Residence	remote roadside	12,726 5,202	1.00 1.38	[1.04 - 1.83]	1.00 1.24	[0.93 - 1.65]
Mobility	stayed moved	14,250 3,678	1.00 0.63	[0.43 - 0.93]	1.00 0.35	[0.23 - 0.54]
Marital status	never married first marriage ex-married re-married	2,316 11,883 1,491 806	1.00 0.59 1.42 1.20	[0.40 - 0.86] [0.89 - 2.28] [0.66 - 2.19]	1.00 1.75 2.03 1.61	[1.11 - 2.76] [1.16 - 3.55] [0.76 - 3.40]
Partner change	no change new spouse casual partner	12,539 3,308 2,081	1.00 1.97 2.40	[1.42 - 2.71] [1.68 - 3.42]	1.00 2.03 2.01	[1.33 - 3.11] [1.31 - 3.06]
Partner HIV status	negative positive not known	5,364 346 12,218	1.00 11.8 3.55	[6.2 - 22.6] [2.26 - 5.59]	1.00 12.9 2.58	[6.6 - 25.5] [1.54 - 4.33]
Pregnancy outcome	not pregnant live birth fetal loss	9,213 8,020 695	1.00 0.31 0.86	[0.22 - 0.44] [0.45 - 1.62]	1.00 0.25 1.12	[0.17 - 0.37] [0.58 - 2.17]

- Incidence was lower in inter-survey intervals which included a viable pregnancy: 0.5% [0.4-0.7] compared to intervals which included pregnancies ending in fetal loss: 1.4% [0.8-2.7] or intervals in which no pregnancy occurred: 1.7% [1.4-2.0]
- The pregnancy effect was strengthened after adjusting for possible confounders: crude IRR=0.31 [0.22-0.44], adjusted IRR=0.25 [0.17-0.37] (table 1)
- The only factor significantly modifying this relationship was partner HIV status: pregnancy IRR=0.6 [0.4-0.8] if partner HIV status not known (fig 2)





Discussion and conclusion

The strength and consistency of the relationship of incidence with intervals in which pregnancy occurred, leads us to postulate that pregnancy is associated with lower HIV incidence, even though it was not possible to determine whether sero-conversion co-incided with pregnancy.

CONTACT: Mark Urassa, National Institute for Medical Research, PO box 1462, Mwanza, Tanzania; tel: +255 (0)28 2500 399; Email: MallooMark@yahoo.com