# **Applying SYMFOR for Forest Management**

Dr Paul van Gardingen, Department for International Development Jakarta.

# Introduction.

SYMFOR is a individual tree growth simulation model that is applied as a tool for the analysis of growth and yield data from permanent sample plot series (PSP/PUP). The simulation model can be used to predict the growth and yield of an area of forest, based upon *representative* PSP data. This presentation will discuss the steps required to apply SYMFOR as a tool for growth and yield prediction supporting improved forest management in Indonesia.

SYMFOR simulates the growth of individual trees through the simulation of the processes of increment growth, mortality and recruitment. These model components include stochastic (random) events. For this reason, replicate runs must be completed and the results of these simulations subjected to statistical analysis.

SYMFOR requires:

- PSP/PUP data for calibration. (Currently completed using STREK data)
- PSP/PUP data for initialisation of individual runs (1 ha plots)
- Statistical analysis to interpret results from each series of simulations.

SYMFOR is a *tool* that is used to assist forest management. The applications that will be demonstrated during the workshop include:

- Prediction of the growth and yield of an area of forest following logging.
- Prediction of the status of the forest at periods after the application of logging or silvicultural treatments to the forest.

The results from the application of SYMFOR to PSP data provides information to support forest management and the decision making process. In order to do this, the results need to be interpreted by forest managers.

# Application of SYMFOR.

The application of SYMFOR requires a number of data processing and analysis activities. These will now be discussed. The provision of high quality information to support forest management, requires the following steps:

- Data collection and preparation.
- Extraction of data for statistical analysis and model initialisation
- Statistical analysis of PSP results
- Statistical analysis of model results
- Interpretation.

#### Data collection and preparation.

The collection and preparation of data requires the establishment of PUP using standardised field procedures linked to procedures for data quality assessment of the resulting datasets. The growth and yield data clearing house established by BPK-Samarinda for PSP data in Kalimantan provides a model for this activity. SYMFOR has been designed to work with the Growth and Yield Data System (GYDS) and this will be used for the training sessions using data from the STREK project in the Labanan concession of PT Inhutani I in East Kalimantan.

#### Data collection.

Data collection now uses standardised procedures designed by the EU- Berau Forest Management Project and jointly implemented by Inhutani, BFMP and BPK-Samarinda. These procedures emphasise the need for standardised data collection in the field. Data are entered into a database for each plot and then subjected to data quality assessment. Any problems in the data that are identified during the quality assessment are then checked in the field before new measurements are merged into the master database which is compatible with the growth and yield data system.

#### Data validation.

The master STREK database is stored in the GYDS format by the Berau Forest Management Project. A copy is maintained by BPK-Samarinda. The application of a standard format enables the application of the data validation procedures developed by the data clearing house. The data from the STREK project have been validated using this system. This validation identifies potential problems in the dataset that are usual associated with measurement errors. A common example is very large diameter increments >5 cm annum<sup>-1</sup> or large negative increments < -0.5 cm annum<sup>-1</sup>. These data are considered unreliable and have been checked again, and in most cases have been excluded from the calibration of growth functions. Individual diameter measurements can however still be used for intialisation of individual model simulations.

💓 Microsoft Visual	FoxPro					_ 🗆 🗵
<u>G</u> YDS <u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>T</u> ools <u>P</u> rogram	m <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp				
	à.♥ X № 6	න ශ 🕴 🔛 bf	mp 🗾 📃	a 💰 💰 🚈	2	
Progress   Doing   All done   Done   All done   Calculating plo   Check trapped to post to p						
Check between Errors	ition versus plot confi n surveys 	Range	Incomplete			
<u>Degree</u>	0 Fatal	6016 Serious 4796	10 Minor 10	Warning		
	_	_	_	[	<u>0</u> K	

Figure 1. Data validation screen from the Growth and Yield Data System. Results of the validation of the STREK database from PT Inhutani I in East Kalimantan. Data were analysed from the first four measurement campaigns of the project.

#### Data extraction.

Data are extracted from the Growth and Yield Data System for statistical analysis of measured data, and preparation of initialisation (input) files for each simulation run. The data are extracted using a set of programmes written for Microsoft Visual Foxpro. The resulting data files are then available for subsequent data analysis or as input for simulation models including SYMFOR. The clearing house has the advantage of providing information in a standard format that is compatible with these analysis functions using data that has already been subjected to detailed quality control.

The STREK logging trial was designed with four experimental treatments, an unlogged control, conventional logging and reduced impact logging with diameter limits of 50 and 60 cm. Each treatment has three replicate 4 hectare plots with each plot being subdivided into 4 1 hectare recording

units. This gives 48 plots for analysis each having 4 measurement campaigns over a period of eight years.

21 01	4.4					E
R1p01						
🖽 H1	p01ru1c2					
	i R1p01ru1c3					
┡┤╴	Treenumber	Dbh	Speciesgrp	Xposn	Yposn	<b>_</b>
	100	93.900	•		2.500	
++⊥	101	17.500	5	6.700	3.000	
++⊥	102	13.100			4.600	
++⊥		13.100	6	9.000		
++⊥	104	40.900	5	8.600	7.400	
++⊥	105	11.300	6	4.900	11.000	
┿	106	10.300	6	7.900	11.400	
┿		15.800	6	÷		
┿	108	11.600		<b>&amp;</b>	12.600	
┿		20.700		7.000		
	111		6	7.500	22.000	
<u> </u>	112	16.200	6	8.500	24.500	
	<u>c</u>					

Figure 2. Production of initialisation files for SYMFOR by the Growth and Yield Data system. Data are stored as Visual Foxpro data tables.

#### Statistical Analysis of STREK data.

The data extracted from the STREK logging trial have been subjected to preliminary statistical analysis. The data have been processed by calculating the total basal area, volume and number of stems for each 1 hectare recording unit. The mean and standard error of these have been calculated for each experimental treatment and campaign date. Data were subdivided by species group and size class. The species groups were derived by growth rate and tree size for use in the SYMFOR simulation model and are presented in Appendix 1. Tables of the average basal area, volume and number of stems have been provided for the conventional and 60 cm reduced impact logging treatment, for the first, second and fourth measurement campaign. These represent the pre-logging status of the plots, and intervals two and four years post-logging. These data can be used for analysis of the logging trials. Similar data (excluding the standard errors) are presented as Excel spreadsheets. The volumes represent total stem volume (over bark) and were calculated using the STREK volume equations.

The following questions can be answered using the tables or spreadsheets.

- Which species groups were harvested during the logging operation?
- What volume was extracted?
- What is the volume increment since harvesting? This should be expressed as a mean annual increment, calculated as (net volume increment)/(measurement interval, years).
- Which species groups are growing fastest?
- What is the net commercial volume increment?

SAS														
ile <u>£</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>G</u> lobals <u>O</u> ptions <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp														
✓ <u>★ D@R @Q X NC 0 8 0 8 4 0</u>														
g OUTPUT - (Untitled)														
Conventional, Pre-logging: Campaign 1 10:05 Monday,									onday,					
Volume						D	iameter	Class						
vorano			-						-					
	10-2	29.9	30-4	19.9	50-5	59.9	60-0	69.9	70-	79.9	8	0+	All 🛛	diam.
	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.
Shorea, Fast	2.6	0.7	4.4	0.8	6.4	1.3	4.7	0.2	11.1	4.1	24.5	2.7	24.3	5.0
Shorea, Med	1.3	0.3	2.7	0.7	4.6	1.6	6.3	0.9	6.8	0.4	22.8	6.5	22.9	6.7
Shorea, Slow	2.3	0.9	3.7	1.1	6.1	1.6	10.3	5.0	17.0	4.3	38.2	15.6	37.6	12.4
Dryobalanops	2.5	0.3	4.6	0.7	5.3	1.0	11.2	5.1	12.1	2.2	63.1	11.0	71.2	15.2
Dipterocarpus		1.9	18.3	4.4	10.3	1.7	21.8	3.2	18.7	2.7	23.0	5.1	92.3	12.2
Macaranga	0.9	0.3	1.7	0.5	. :	· ·	· ·	•	· ·	•	· ·	· ·	1.8	0.5
Anthocephalus		0.2		0.ż	3.1	0.3	•	•	· ·	•	· ·	· ·	3.1	. ÷
Small (Light) Small (Shade)	0.6 16.8	1.7	0.9 12.9	2.7	2.8	2.4	8.6	1.3	· ·	•	7.8	0.1	1.2	0.5 6.5
Small (Other)	42.7	1.3	44.5	3.7	12.7	2.6	14.0	1.7	8.6	1.2	13.4	2.8	126.2	7.2
All Species	81.7	3.1	90.0	6.7	38.4	4.8	40.2	5.8	43.2	4.4	118.1		411.6	14.8

Figure 3. Statistical analysis of PUP data from the STREK plots. Mean values of total stem volume (Over bark) for the conventional logging treatment. Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard error. The standard error is calculated at the standard deviation divided by the square root of the number of plots. ( $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ ).

In order to calculate the commercial volume increment it is necessary to convert from total stem volume to potential log volume. This can be done assuming the following:

- 80 % of the stems with a diameter greater than 60 cm are harvested.
- 50 % of the stems in the 50 60 cm size class will be harvested.
- Commercial log volume is 50 % of whole tree volume.

#### Modelling using SYMFOR.

SYMFOR has been applied to predict the structure of the PSP plots 35 and 70 years following logging. The plots for the conventional and 60 cm reduced impact logging treatments have been used to create initialisation files for SYMFOR. Data from campaign two were selected to represent the condition of the forest immediately following logging. Twelve 1 hectare plots were prepared for each treatment and each plot was used in ten replicate simulations using SYMFOR. SYMFOR was programmed to output information about all living trees at either 35 or 70 years following logging. These data were then analysed using the same procedures as used for the analysis of plot measurements. The replicate simulations were performed using the multi-run facility of SYMFOR to generate database tables for subsequent data analysis (Fig. 4).

🖉 SYMFOR 98 Model Manager	
<u>File</u> <u>Start Run</u> <u>Model settings</u> <u>Outputs</u> <u>Run</u> Results <u>Displays</u> <u>H</u>	elp
st₂ P	
The Multiple Runs Options Editor	_ D ×
<u>Multiple Run Manager</u>	GO Help Exit
User comment for results files: No Comment	
Run Instruction List	
Default, default3, DB: R4P04RU4C2.DBF, 1,Conv_by4.dbl	, 200, 10
Edit Item Save as Delete All	Delete Item
Add Instructions:	
Load Old Instruction List: convent	Add Set to List
OR Create New Instruction	

Figure 4. Multi-run interface for SYMFOR.

Statistical analysis of the results from simulations using SYMFOR provides tabular output in the same form as for the analysis of measured PSP data. The same approaches can thus be used to interpret results from model simulations (Fig. 5).

	En Elie Edit View Globals Options Window Help										- 문 - 문 onday,			
Volume	me Diameter Class													
	10-2	29.9	30-4	19.9	50-5	59.9	60-0	69.9	70-1	79.9	80	)+	A	
	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.	Mean	ts.e.
Shorea, Fast Shorea, Med Shorea, Slow Dryobalanops Dipterocarpus Macaranga Anthocephalus Small (Light) Small (Other) All Species	4.5 1.4 0.9 2.1 11.6 1.0 0.3 0.9 14.8 33.3 70.7	0.7 0.2 0.3 0.2 1.1 0.2 0.1 1.3 2.2	5.1 2.8 2.2 3.3 17.1 1.9 0.7 12.1 40.4 83.2	0.7 0.6 0.5 4.3 0.8 0.2 0.8 2.6 3.7 7.1	6.5 3.2 6.0 11.2 2.5 2.8 6.2 11.5 36.8	0.9 0.2 0.6 0.7 1.9 0.5 1.9 2.4 4.2	10.9	0.2 2.5 2.6 1.8 1.9 4.7	13.3 6.3 9.6 8.6 10.0 7.4 19.0		24.30 222.77 223.77 19.4 19.4 6.8 12.9		27.9 23.9 15.5 24.9 55.8 2.2 0.6 1.5 34.0 108.9 108.9 294.9	5.0 6.9 5.05 8.5 0.3 4.7 5.2 5.2

Figure 5. Statistical analysis of simulation results from SYMFOR for the conventional logging plots after a period of 35 years.

Using the results from the simulation model it is possible to extend the interpretation of results from the PSP plots. The simulation model provides a method to predict the status of the stand at set periods after logging or other silvicultural treatments. The results from the two sample applications can be used to answer questions such as:

- What was the commercial volume increment over the period of the simulation?
- What is the commercial volume that can potentially be harvested 35 years following logging?
- How would these results change if the length of the cutting cycle is extended, for example to 70 years.

### Conclusions

This presentation has demonstrated one basic application of the SYMFOR growth and yield simulation model for forest management. SYMFOR was applied using PSP data to make predictions of the likely future status of the stand at set intervals following logging. The model should be used as a tool supporting the analysis of PSP data for forest management. The key features of such an approach are:

- PSP data are used to describe the status of the stand at the start of the simulation period (e.g. immediately following logging).
- SYMFOR is used to predict the future structure of the stand after a period of regrowth.
- Data from PSP measurements and simulation results are analysed using the same approaches to support management decisions.
- In more complex applications, SYMFOR can be applied to investigate other silvicultural treatments, including logging.

# Appendix I. Species grouping. Growth characteristics.

Species groups were derived by statistical analysis of diameter increment and maximum tree diameter by Dr P.D. Phillips for the development of growth functions for use with the SYMFOR growth and yield simulation model. These same groups have been applied to the statistical analysis of data from the STREK plots in this report. Details of the main groups are reproduced here.

Species Group	Species	Family
1. Shorea,	Shorea hopeifolia	Dipterocarpaceae
Fast growth rate	Shorea johorensis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea leprosula	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea parvifolia	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea parvifolia ssp parvi.	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea parvifolia ssp velu.	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea pinanga	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea smithiana	Dipterocarpaceae
2. Shorea,	Anisoptera costata	Dipterocarpaceae
Medium growth rate	Anisoptera laevis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Anisoptera sp	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea faguetiana	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea laevis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea parvistipulata	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea parvistipulata ssp alb.	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea parvistipulata ssp parv.	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea pauciflora	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea superba	Dipterocarpaceae
3. Shorea,	Shorea almon	Dipterocarpaceae
Slow growth rate	Shorea beccariana	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea confusa	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea fallax	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea lamellata	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea macroptera ssp sandak.	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea mecistopteryx	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea ochracea	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea ovalis ssp ovalis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea sp	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea symingtonii	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea virescens	Dipterocarpaceae
4. Parashorea	Dryobalanops beccarii	Dipterocarpaceae
& Dyrobalanops	Dryobalanops lanceolata	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dryobalanops sp	Dipterocarpaceae
	Parashorea malaanonan	Dipterocarpaceae
	Parashorea smythiesii	Dipterocarpaceae
	Parashorea sp	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea longisperma	Dipterocarpaceae

Species Group	Species	Family
5. Dipterocarpus	Dipterocarpaceae	Dipterocarpaceae
& Hopea	Dipterocarpus acutangulus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus caudiferus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus confertus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus conformis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus costulatus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus elongatus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus fusiformis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus glabrigemmatus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus gracilis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus grandiflorus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus hasseltii	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus humeratus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus mundus	Dipterocarpaceae
	, Dipterocarpus pachyphyllus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus palemb. ssp borneensis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus sp	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus stellatus ssp parvus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus tempehes	Dipterocarpaceae
	Dipterocarpus verrucosus	Dipterocarpaceae
	Hopea dryobalanoides	Dipterocarpaceae
	Hopea mengarawan	Dipterocarpaceae
	Hopea sangal	Dipterocarpaceae
	Hopea semicuneata	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea agamii ssp agamii	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea atrinervosa	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea exelliptica	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea falciferoides ssp glaucescens	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea guiso	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea maxwelliana	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea scrobiculata	Dipterocarpaceae
	Shorea seminis	Dipterocarpaceae
	Sindora sp	Caesalpiniaceae
		-
6 Magaranga	Glochidion sp	Euphorbiaceae
6. <i>Macaranga</i>	Macaranga bancana	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga diepenhorstii	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga gigantea	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga hypoleuca	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga indistincta	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga pearsonii	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga pruinosa	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga semiglobosa	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga sp	Euphorbiaceae
	Macaranga triloba	Euphorbiaceae
7. Anthocephalus	Anthocephalus chinensis	Rubiaceae
8. Small trees (Light Demanding)	14 species	
9. Small trees (Shade tolerant)	175 species	
10. Small trees (Other & unknown)	375 species	