I dentified Opportunities for Inland Aquaculture Development in Dry Zone, Northwest Sri Lanka David C. Little

Lindsay J. Pollock and Francis J. Murray

Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling,

Agribusiness Centre, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya

CARE International,

University of Newcastle,

Supported by Department for International Development, UK (Aquaculture and Fish Genetics research Programme and Knowledge and Research Programme)

Poor Success of Inland Aquaculture

- repeated attempts to introduce through 'projects'
- typically based on hatchery-led promotion of culture
 - stocking of seasonal tanks with hatcheryproduced carps
 - semi-intensive pond-based
 - full-cycle intensive cage culture or
 - nursing of IMC in perennial tanks or ponds

I nadequate understanding of....

- the nature of demand
- potential culturists needs and expectations
- resource base
- institutional constraints
- multiple-use nature of tanks

Another Look at Aquaculture Potential..

- the status of fisheries and potential linkages
- the livelihoods associated with fish production and distribution
- why is inland aquaculture undeveloped in Sri Lanka and
- what development approaches are relevant?

Status of Inland Water Resources

- perennial (larger) and seasonal (smaller) water bodies or 'tanks'
- large perennial tanks store water within irrigation systems, engineer-managed
- small seasonal tanks, rain-fed supply, located as within 'cascading' watersheds
- primary function of tanks is irrigation of crops

Commercial and Subsistence

- most important fish are exotic tilapias, estimated at 70-90% total catch
- an estimated 90% of tilapias caught in 76 of the largest tanks by artisanal fishers
- seasonal tanks, erratic seasonal production, little sold
- high concentration of both types of tank in the Dry Zone

Livelihoods Dependent on Perennial Tanks

- support high input agriculture in command area
- artisanal, full-time fishers and farmerfishers are important stakeholders
- short, marketing chain-bicycle vendors purchase fish and sell in rural areas
- Low cost, high availability BUT seasonal



Livelihoods Dependent on Seasonal Tanks

- inconsistent water availability
- less dependence on on-farm income
- greater seasonality of water, poverty and more marginal agriculture higher in watershed
- poorest people most dependent on fish from seasonal tanks

Why Is Inland Aquaculture Undeveloped?

- Conventionally explained by
 - lack of knowledge and know-how
 - lack of suitable fish species
 - lack of hatchery development-poor fish seed availability
 - lack of markets

But our research suggests

- low demand for cultured fish is more fundamental
- low price of freshwater fish makes conventional semi-intensive culture unattractive
- linked to high availability of tilapias from perennial tanks
- relatively high opportunity cost of land for pond-based culture

Past Aquaculture Initiatives Have Been Undermined by

- dependence on seed from hatcheries and unsustainable government extension
- high cost and high risk approach (e.g. full-cycle cage culture)
- focus on exotic carps with uncertain demand
- conflicts exacerbated by interventions that disregard multipurpose nature and complex access characteristics of water bodies

Opportunities in Perennial Tanks



- livelihood analysis identified seasonal variation in catches and incomes of fishers
- short-term fattening of smaller wild fish identified as potential intervention
- appropriate cage materials identified and design tested
- wild fish respond to local feeds - rice bran and fresh "trash" fish feeds

Piloting Cage - Based Fattening in Perennial Tanks

- benefits to producers and traders-larger tilapias more valuable
- cage based fattening of tilapias has value as savings method
- producers can exploit marketing opportunities
- adoption and rejection being assessed



Opportunities in Seasonal Tanks

- multipurpose especially irrigation, bathing and livestock
- fish production has low importance but
- numerous, shallow and productive when stocked
- poor productivity based on lack of wild stock early in the season
- erratic re-establishment of stocks through migration up the cascade from more perennial tanks
- tank rehabilitation can reduce productivity and cause conflicts

Piloting Interventions in Seasonal Tanks

- physical/social mapping to identify appropriate watersheds and communities
- upper watershed, poor and dependent communities, highly seasonal tanks
- early season transfer of local tilapias (adults/tilapias) and predator seed
- continuous harvest using non-intrusive methods
- participatory monitoring of asset balance
- low interest by relatively better-off

Conclusions

- hatchery dependent, semi-intensive aquaculture is currently inappropriate
- relatively intensive cage fattening in perennial tanks holds promise for some groups of fishers
- extensive stock manipulation of locally available tilapia and indigenous fish in some seasonal tanks managed by poor groups has potential

Summary

Level of intensity	Extensive	Semi- intensive	Intensive
Yield range	<1MT ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	1-15 MT ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	>15 MT ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹
System	Small seasonal tanks	On-farm ponds	Cages in large perennial tanks
Reliance of external inputs	Low	Medium/High	Low
Access/rights	Common property	Household	Open access
Potential for contributing to rural livelihoods	High	Low	High