# Towards managing virus infection of field vegetables in Kenya

Sara L Hughes<sup>1</sup>, Noah A Phiri<sup>2</sup>, Duncan Chacha<sup>2</sup>, Alex Kuria<sup>3</sup>, Alice Mwaniki<sup>3</sup>, Beryn Achieng<sup>2</sup>, Stephen Ndirangu<sup>3</sup>, Sarah Simons<sup>2</sup>, Gilbert Kibata<sup>3</sup> and Nicola J Spence<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Horticulture Research International, Wellesbourne, Warwick CV35 9EF, UK <sup>2</sup>CAB International, ICRAF Complex, Nairobi, Kenya <sup>3</sup>National Agricultural Research Laboratories, Nairobi, Kenya

Virus diseases are considered the most important constraint facing smallholder farmers supplying Cabbage and Kale to the peri-urban markets of Nairobi, Kenya.

*Turnip mosaic virus* (TuMV) and *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV) have been identified as the two major virus disease problems. TuMV and CaMV cause chlorosis, distortion and stunting, severely reducing yield and marketability of crops.

Current expensive chemical control methods are ineffective at controlling virus diseases. New sustainable, low-input methods must be developed. To do this effectively the variability of the viruses, their economic impact on crops and the occurrence of natural resistance in crops have to be assessed.

# Virus variability

Pathotypic studies have revealed that the TuMV isolates can be grouped into one pathotype (pathotype 1) using the system described by Jenner & Walsh (1996). Serotypic analysis revealed two serotypic groups, using the system described by Jenner *et al.* (2000).



Pathotype 1 interaction on differentials



# **Economic Impact**

Trials to determine the effects of virus infection on yield of cabbage were carried out in purpose-built screenhouses. CaMV had little effect on cabbage yield. TuMV singly and TuMV plus CaMV caused a 40% reduction in yield.

# **Disease Management**



Plant resistance is an effective control method, especially for TuMV. Farmers collect their own kale seed for use the following year. Seed has been collected and is being screened for resistance to pests and diseases at KARI. The lack of variation in TuMV isolates suggests that the use of resistant cultivars with one or two resistance genes may be feasible.



Sustainable, low-input control methods are also effective control methods for virus diseases. Trials were carried out where three treatments were applied to seedbeds: fleece, straw mulch and no treatment. The fleece and untreated control seedlings were transplanted into untreated field whereas straw mulch seedlings were transplanted into untreated fields and fields treated with straw mulch.



The seedlings treated with straw mulch transplanted into fields treated with straw mulch had much lower virus incidence than the other treatments. Aphid populations were also lower. This experiment has been taken on-farm at Athi River and Karigu-ini and has been met with enthusiasm from farmers.



### **Farmer Perceptions**

Identifying farmer perceptions of virus diseases and their control practices will lead to more effective control. Regular PRA are held to disseminate information from farmers to researchers and vice versa.



(D) CABI Bioscience

### Acknowledgements

This publication is an output from Research projects funded by the United Kingdom Department for international Development (DFID) for the benefit of developing countries. The views expressed are not necessarily those of DFID. (DFID Project code R7571).

### References

Jenner & Walsh (1996) *Plant Pathology* **45**, 848-856 Jenner *et al* (1999) *Plant Pathology* **48**, 101-108

DFID

