Hydropolitical Situational Analysis: Social World Mapping

Second Order Water Scarcity In Southern Africa – R8158: Zambia case study

July 2006

Prepared by Paxina Chileshe Researcher for Zambia

Research Director: Dr Julie Trottier

Disclaimer: "This document is an output from the Department for International Development (DfID) funded Engineering Knowledge and Research Programme (project no R8158, Second Order Water Scarcity). The views expressed are not necessarily those of DfID."

Acknowledgements: Thanks go to thank the organisations that made this research possible. The Department for International Development (DFID) that funded the Second Order Water Scarcity Project and the Jack Wright Trust that provided a travel award. A big thank you also goes to the participants in the research, the people of Zambia and the represented organisations, for their generosity in sharing their knowledge, time and experiences.

Table of Contents

Social World Mapping	3
Site Level Analysis	3
Composite Concepts for Site Level Social Worlds	12
Composite Concepts Deconstruction	14
Social World Mapping Steps	23
Raw material	23
Composite concepts	25
Deconstruction of composite concepts	25
Visualisation	
Multi scalar approach	27
Social World Terms	
Composite concepts	45

Social World Mapping

This document details the results, steps and terms of the various stages of the social world mapping process. The first three sections detail the results from the mapping process. The first section gives the results of the second step of analysis, the sayables and thinakbles from the interviews conducted with the actors in the situation. The second section details the results of the third step of analysis, the composite concepts within the modes of resource appropriation. The third section shows the results of the fourth step of analysis, the components of each mode of appropriation.

The results section is followed by the sections detailing the steps of the mapping process. The document concludes with definitions of the terms used in the mapping.

Section 1

Site Level Analysis

Representation of self Unable to provide service Manager Well expert Global discourse **Propose projects** Seek funding Link between LA and residents Build protected wells Resource poor Address community complaints Community leader Community representative Community sensitizer Health worker Retiree No income source Fortunate recipient Verify community claims Raw water supplier End user Self reliant Recycle ground water Bring policy to grass roots Commercial entity Water supplier Routine monitor of supply Community officer Communicator Community policy advisor Frustrated by end users Provide service

Provide poor with safe water source Drill water points Rehabilitate and re-equip old points Focused on cost returns Transformer of employee attitudes Improve services Develop and manage water resources Install infrastructure Allocate water rights Technical support for Water Board Project implementer Help communities prioritise needs Ensure project sustainability Develop skills in community Project facilitator Unsatisfied with service Water collector Non bill payer Prioritise projects and applications Submit plans for financing Partner Beneficiary Observe and experience changes in supply Disgruntled community member Consulted by committee Headman Collect revenues Repair infrastructure Monitor use of infrastructure Sample water quality Provide information on flows

Stakeholder

Share resources among districts Ensure project implementation Encourage community projects Protect traditional water sources Advise communities on uncontaminated water resources Distribute chlorine Government officer Facilitator of planning process Set standards for access Provide technical advice for communities Expansionist Burdened with dilapidated infrastructure Cash strapped Educate communities Ensure WASHE concept in projects Set up committees in communities Action oriented Value people Provide for neighbourhood in dry months Christian based organisation Supplement government limited efforts Ensure domestic quantities are collected Coordinate programmes Provide software for projects Capacity building Paying client Helping neighbours No choice of safe source Ensure revenue collection Surface and ground water monitor Capacity to handle large projects **Bureaucrat** Implement strategic plan Encourage farmers to use water resources Ensure stakeholder involvement Collect revenue Aid poverty alleviation Provide African village experience for tourists Village guide Influenced by tourist behaviour Introducing non tolerance Spare parts supplier

Representation of user Deprived communities Lacking resources and facilities Female Child People without access to enough boreholes Privileged clients Kiosk client Enlightened Subordinate partners Backyard gardeners Illegal livestock owners Aware of health risks Willing to pay for satisfactory service Vulnerable Aged Orphans Short term tenants Retirees Domestic Neighbours Urban residents Peri-urban residents High cost residents Medium cost residents Low cost residents Low density residents High density residents **Commercial farmers** Peasant farmers Animals Employees Non payers Exploiting political influence Dependant on government subsidy Participants Passive users Resist paying for services Consumer Need educating Accustomed to subsidised services Use more than billed amount Manual labourers Unemployed Disadvantaged poor Fishermen Livestock owners

Government institutions **Rural residents** Punishable if allowing neighbours free access on fixed supply Contributors to projects Involved in various project stages Not caring for infrastructure Need educating on technology use Provide own solutions Beneficiaries Downstream Cooperative members Institutions Commercial Partners No trust in council Queue for resources Receive bad service Destructive and ignorant Selfish Select crops Settle disputes Encroach on source Bridge constructors Responsive Believe in free supply Expensive to cater for Sense of responsibility Rarely reap benefits of projects Expectations not met Active Claim not to receive supply Suffer effects of non funding Poor people waiting indefinitely for help Maintain infrastructure Feel water rates are high Contribute towards water bill Immune to impurities Illegal connectors Contribute to local traditional authority Posses varying perceptions of development Foreign investors Hunters Uncontrolled wildlife Women Skin diseased Tourists Young sport loving tourists

Not accepting of true cost of supply

Scalar Project Township Household Neighbourhood District Household shifting Community subgroups Periphery Town expanding **Operational** plant Farm Treatment plant Town Community Irrigation scheme Network distribution Province Village Point users Urban centre Sub district Compound Health catchment Section Coffee estate Rural area Rural health centres Downhill residents Neglected remote rural areas Zone Area Peri-urban areas Scheme Tourist centre Catchment

Representation of water

Safe domestic supply Basic needs Sustainable livelihoods Protected sources Consumer good Resource needing protection Must be affordable Dry taps Low pressure Erratic supply Chlorinated Unsure quality Treated at source **Open** access Reliable source Occasionally in short supply Rarely tested By product from mining Commodity Dirty sources Generates income Increases productivity Needs conservation Low available volumes Meets calculated requirements Intersector demand driven Meets drinking water standards Low rates of return on investment High cost in supply Supply driven Ensure future supply Rationed supply Enough supply Local sacred sites Disrupted supply Commercialisations brings no improvement Limited intake point Large quantities available naturally Requires protected collection points Limited collection points Component of ADP Lack of it hinders development Threatened source Bad smell and taste after rains Integrated with health It is life Capital intensive Linked to sanitation Supplement using well water Not treated at source Not a priority for central government Cost recovery in urban centres Quality not bad Secure source Bad yields Easy cost recovery Component of WASHE

Cleaner from ground sources Cleaner from natural spring Poor quality during rainy season High water table Saline in some locations **Requires** management Common good High iron content Development tool Controlled Productivity tool Drought Heavy and intensive rains Sporting sites Scared areas High evapotranspiration Large storage required

Drivers of Change

Environmental policy Commercialisation Space at household Prioritisation of pit latrine Distance to safe source Duration of tenancy Funds Cost Funding cycles Local election of representatives Life cycle of latrines Funding opportunities Hand over from office bearers Feedback to communities Water pressure in taps Council funding sources Human behaviour House ownership Seasons Supplier behaviour Household size Housing classification Service standards Pilot project status Political term of office Affordability Palatability of water Concessions Topography of area **RDC** involvement

Community involvement MDGs and local targets Local level support from International organisations Unbundling of flats Clients paying for services Reducing leakages Reducing vandalism Organisation of CUs Management of CUs Rehabilitating infrastructure Droughts Metering supply CU financial kick start Crop diversity Routine maintenance External market for produce Improved water management Inter-group negotiations Traditional land ownership Economics Witchcraft beliefs Capacity for treatment of water Increased storage capacity of water Tariffs Accountability of tap attendants Government institutions paying bills Electricity costs Removal of subsidies Partnership with donor agencies Financially viable schemes Changing mindset of employees Tariff increasing after improving services CU access to financial markets Handover between MLGH and **MEWD** Capacity building in LA and CUs IWRM Alternative fuel sources Land management skills Effective traditional leaders Institutions paying bills Ability to draw water from neighbour MOU from council New developments and constructions Costs of projects Proximity of alternative source Council accountability User behaviour

Water rights Chief's verification and authorization Reducing pollution Power supply National standards NWP Community sensitization Water act revision Increased tourism Donor conditionalities Different working cultures and approaches Influence of local politicians WRAP Strong institutions International waters and related agreements Supply of spare parts Ease of maintenance Cholera prone areas Transit town status Accountability of decision makers Limited government resources Communities monitoring projects Local inferiority complex Dependants and transport for water collection Depth of well Communication Timely responses Release of budgeted funds Ownership of infrastructure Soil type Accessibility to remote areas Politicized water points Disaster periods and areas Availability of ground water Opening of new mine Legality of compound Influence of previous tenant or land owner Extension of services Contractors Corruption Skilled manpower Employee behaviour Increased revenues Improved billing system Intermediate technology Settling bad debts

Overgrazing on dam walls Moneterized economy

Water Use Health campaigns Domestic Securing community projects Protest for unsatisfactory service Applying developed skills Reaping benefits of personal investment Neighbourhood harmony Raising community funds Abstraction **Discharge bodies** Cleaning Irrigation Safety in mining Flood prevention Development tool **Election campaigns** Exhibiting political power Financing community activities Sustaining operations Promoting irrigation Service to clients Income generation Ensure sustainability Improving service delivery Justifying payment for services Department projects Funding channel Implementing international drives Interaction between users Dialogue between users Drinking Satisfying community needs Daily life Improving lives **Removing deficiencies** Bettering communities Transferring responsibility to citizens Free service Building local capacity Empowering communities to manage resources Exploiting agricultural potential Increasing yield Improving drainage

Meeting organisational objectives Growing profitable crops Misrepresentation of needs Fishing Livestock Sacrificial cooking Rain making Resting place for traditional leaders Meeting targets

Access to decision making power **Prioritise projects** Recognition by donors Recognition by LA Elected by community Committee responsibility Role at interface Communal well Metered Donor funds Community mobilisation Organisational power **Statistics** Reticulation Neighbour Water fee payment Locking mechanism on tap Township supply Collecting revenues Collecting fees Licence Mining firm Political ruling party Government mandate Ability to disconnect services Tokens Limiting volumes Knowledge Experience Organisational mandate Data collected Direct link with councillors Inventory of water points Company management Verification of data Allocation of funds Payment for connection Door to door sensitization Links with funding organisations

Listing potential beneficiaries Postgraduate qualifications Self reliance Capital Standardization Office held Self belief Links with grass roots Providing acceptable standard of service Monitoring consumption Diplomacy and negotiations Full cost recovery Exposure to other locations with expertise Water user group Tourist village Influential traditional leader Appointed by chief **Cleansing practices** Guidelines in dam building

Technology

Tankers Manhole covers Africare pumps Lakes Hand dug wells Shallow wells Protected wells Communal taps Protected wells Monopumps Individual taps Piping **VIP** latrines Pit latrines Flushing toilets Valves Mains Mine water works Boreholes Booster pumps Pumps Water treatment plants Dam Meter **Kiosks** Pipe network

Customer database Transport Stream Gate valve Canal Engines Low lift pumps Screens Sedimentation tank Filter Contact tank Clear water tank Chlorinator Rising mains Treadle pump Submersive pump Standpipes Soak aways India mark II pump India mark III pump Natural spring River Weir Siphon Scoop holes Rings Windlass Hosepipes Rig Furrow Storage tanks Parallel pipes Rapid sand filters Burnt bricks Cement Apron Bucket Seasonal stream Drip irrigation Centre pivot Collection chamber Hydrological gauges Hand pump Galvanised pipes Steel pipes Water tower Filters Reservoir Elevated tank Asbestos pipes

Hard plastic pipes Sprinklers Hydrant Points Raw water harvesting Conversion system Multi-purpose points **National level**

Representation of self

Coordinator Facilitator Director Advisor Bridge or link Technocrat Install infrastructure Capacity builder Trainer Advocate Advisor for Ministry Coordinate donor activity Filling gaps Sensitize communities Demonstrate technology Monitor water quality Disinfect water sources Provide water infrastructure Encourage community Exploit economic potential of resources Advice and assist farmers Generate electric power Regulate domestic water Monitor operations of CUs Monitor water quality in natural bodies Test drinking water quality Set standards for drinking water Government partner Implement water sector initiatives Bring government, private sector and civil sector together Conduct needs assessment Encourage council to legalise settlements Supplement government efforts in service provision and extension Control water pollution Allocate licences for effluent discharge into water ways

Mud drilling Gravel packs Lagoon Consolain pump Blair pump Jetted wells Dambo

Educate communities Christian organisation Empower people and give them knowledge Control abstraction and impounding of water Issue water rights Government project Establish a comprehensive legal and institutional framework for use, management and development of water resources Champion IWRM campaign

Representation of user

Small scale farmers Dependants Lacking qualified manpower Lacking resources Donor dependant Irrigation farmers Domestic users Consumers Beneficiaries Stakeholders Poor Contributors to project Propose projects Rural residents Peri-urban residents Participants Suffers of pollicised environments Non payers Subjects to be taught and educated Specific responsibility as users Maintain infrastructure Aim to please donor Influential community members Legal settlement residents Discharge effluent into water ways Relocated residents

Willing to do something about their situation Primary users Secondary users Tertiary users Partners Commercial utilities Water suppliers

Scalar

User group Project National Provincial Community Multi scalar Periphery Central government Village Discourse Settlement Water ways Zone Catchment River basin Drinking water supply sub sector

Representation of water

Production tool Need Public good Commodity Demand driven Safe sources Component f community projects Integrated with health or education Livelihoods Daily living necessity Service Quality requires monitoring Need to ensure sustainability Economic development tool Needs conservation Unsure of exact quantity and quality

Drivers of change

User behaviour Available resources Expansion of irrigation Political will Availability of funds Classification of resources Project proposals Potential benefit from projects Education Demonstration Prioritisation of projects Funding structure Project guidelines Counterpart funding Political systems Subsidisation Seasons Disease outbreaks Local water quality Needs assessment Community initiative **MDGs** Human capacity Commercialisation Variation of tariffs Sustainability Community ownership Conversion from service focus to cost recoverv Revival of Water Resources Master plan Financial stability of CUs Institutionalisation of drives Determination from national leadership Engagement of various actors Charges for water Distance to safe water sources Amended water act Legalising settlements Beneficiaries managing resources Community incentives Donor conditionalities

Water use

Providing livelihoods Subject matter Tool for testing approaches Technical expertise Improving standards of living Poverty alleviation Capacity building Spreading best practice Dialogue tool Policy direction Political power and control Community harmony Protest tool Financing party activities Preventing diseases Force initiative Fulfil responsibility Implement policy Advocacy Public relations Meeting community needs Fulfilling organisational role Service provision

Access to decision making Information Directives Planning Qualifications Prior research or study Government partnership Technical cooperation Finance Data Negotiation with line Ministries Expertise in capacity building Donor preference Advocate of state centrality Predetermined criteria HIPC funds Ministry responsibility Policy Needs assessment Sourcing funds Parliamentary act Statutory law Supporting NWP Participatory appraisal Training

Technology

Dams Pipes Drip irrigation Pumps Canals Meters Water points Treatment plants Boreholes Windmills Tanks Solar pumps Submerssive pumps Taps Pit latrines Chlorine Stands

Section 2

Composite Concepts for Site Level Social Worlds

Representation of self

Technocrat Community worker Expert Bureaucrat Resourceful Broker Implementer Facilitator Supplier Manager Employment Recipient Commercial Client Leader

Representation of user

Gender Poverty Social status Legality of location or use Density of residence Commercial activity Dependency levels Payment methods and patterns Institutional classification Capacity possessed Physical location Organisational structure Membership or affiliations Activity or use Expectations Beliefs Tenancy Influence Consumption levels Benefits accrued Cost of service Health

Scalar

Physical boundaries Interface No boundaries Abstract boundaries Discourse boundaries Mandate boundaries

Representation of water

Supply quantity Supply quality Volumes required Use Value Availability Treatment Standards Integrated Seasons Source Control Accessibility Reliability Scientific process

Drivers of Change

Policy Discourse Negotiations Availability of funds Technology Skills Participation Ownership Local politics and elections Topography Organisational structure Attitudes of users Attitudes within organisation Seasons Commercial model Access to markets Maintenance Beliefs and customs Expectations Standards Institutional Accountability Awareness Availability of water resources Economic activity Legal Tenancy (house and land) Supply Household (size and location) Leadership Productivity Costs Donor conditions and behaviour Alternative sources Power supply Harmonising approaches Diseases and health Transport

Water Use

Health Domestic Projects Relationships Skills Safety Income generating Meeting needs Livelihoods Fishing Customs and traditions Empowerment Service Productivity Livestock Protest tool Influence Operations Meeting objectives

Access to decision making power

Recognition Election Office responsibility Relationship Technology Expertise Funds (control) Information held Tradition and custom Legal Appointment Membership Influence Payment Self reliance Standardization

Technology

Natural water bodies Transport Industrial and domestic supply Treatment Irrigation Sanitation Storage Billing Monitoring levels and quality

Section 3

Composite Concepts Deconstruction

Representation of Self

<u>Technocrat</u> Civil servant Project team Repairs Expansion Machinery Skills

Community worker

Leaders Representatoives Sensitizer Health worker Policy implementer Advisor Service provider Helper Encourage Set up committees Educate Coordinate Build capacity

<u>Expert</u>

Well construction experience Well rehabilitation experience Developing community skills Monitor use Experience Qualification Recognition Benchmark data Trend analysis

<u>Bureaucrat</u>

Verify information Monitor Community relations Allocation of rights Planner Share resources Civil servant Promote concepts

<u>Resourceful</u>

Links Potential influence Prioritising Ceremonial figurehead Posses information Past record Planner Action potential Recognised leader

<u>Broker</u>

Discourse Assist government Assist communities Aid poverty alleviation Seek funding

<u>Implementer</u>

Propose projects Hands on involvement Middlemen Strategic planners

<u>Supplier</u>

Adequate service Inadequate service Helper Raw source Treated source Seasonal Asset base limitations

<u>Manager</u>

Employee base Services Resources

<u>Employment</u>

Income Level

Representation of user

<u>Gender</u> Sex Age Traditional role Allocated role Household role

Poverty

Deprivation Assets Resource accessibility Disadvantaged Ignored

Status

<u>Recipient</u>

Satisfaction Fortune Use Role in household Payment Active Passive Choices available

<u>Commercial</u>

Supplier Client Discourse endorsed Asset base (funds)

<u>Leader</u>

Company Community Appointed Hereditary Elected Voluntary

<u>Recognition</u>

Self Discourse Donor (Financier) Community (target group) Government (Facilitator) Implementer

Attention give Assistance required

<u>Social status</u>

Previledges Available infrastructure Employment Vulnerability Housing location

Legality of location or use

Public health Connections (supplier determined)

Housing (Local authority)

<u>Residence</u>

Urban Peri-urban Rural Cost of housing Density

Commercial activity

Water use Classification

<u>Dependency levels</u>

Government subsidy Service subsidy Source Type

Payment methods and patterns

Willingness Affordability

Institutional classification

Existence Type

Capacity possessed

Knowledge Capabilities Partnership Education Technology Responsibility Contribution (potential) Honesty Finances

Physical location

Neighbourhood Rural In relation to natural resources In relation to other users

<u>Organisational structure</u> Employment Skill level

<u>Membership or affiliations</u> Cooperative Community Neighbourhood

<u>Activity or use</u>

Scale of use Type of use Participation Passive Contribution Responsibility Partnership Project Livelihood

Expectations

Service Responsibility Being met Development view Responsibility to meet them

<u>Beliefs</u>

Reputation of supplier Personal behaviour Customs Traditions

<u>Tenancy</u>

Length Nature

<u>Influence</u>

Political Community

Consumption levels

Volumes Supplier estimates Supplier estimates

Benefits accrued

Target groups Uneven capture

Cost of service

<u>Health</u>

Diseases Immunity

Scalar

Physical boundaries

Township Household District Treatment plant Private land Province Zone

No boundaries

Periphery Tourist area

Representation of water

Supply quantity Pressure Disruption Erratic Rationing Sufficient Shortages

Supply quality

Trust Taste Comparative Impurities

Volumes required

Storage

Use

Domestic Basic needs Livelihoods Life Essence Development Productivity Sport Tradition/ Custom

Value

Consumer good Affordability ROI

<u>Abstract boundaries</u>

Village Urban area Compound Scheme

<u>Discourse boundaries</u>

Catchment Vulnerable Urban poor Remote areas

<u>Mandate boundaries</u>

Projects Organisation

Commodity Supply cost Sacred Commercial Development Priority Common good

<u>Availability</u>

Volumes Natural Yields Water table

<u>Treatment</u>

Chlorination Point Testing Scale

Standards

Calculated requirements Drinking water

<u>Integrated</u>

Demand driven Health Area Development Programme Sanitation

<u>Seasons</u>

After effects of rains – taste and quality Droughts Rainfall intensity

<u>Source</u>

Protection Sustainability Intake Potential threats Long term security Quality

Control

Drivers of Change <u>Policy</u> Environmental Housing Project Health Donor Organisation

<u>Discourse</u> MDGs IWRM International agreements

<u>Negotiations</u>

Internalisation Inter group

<u>Funds</u>

Availability Costs Cycles Opportunities Sources Pledges Limitations Budget release Increasing revenues

<u>Technology</u>

Life cycle Spare parts Intermediate

<u>Skills</u>

Conservation Management Allocation

<u>Accessibility</u> Access Collection points

<u>Reliability</u>

Source

<u>Scientific process</u> Evapotransipiration rates

Land management Man power

Participation

Involvement Community

<u>Ownership</u> Monitoring projects Infrastructure

Local politics

Elections Office handover Length of office Local influence Politicized points Campaigns

<u>Topography</u>

Area

Organisational structure

Supplier Commercial utility Ministry roles

<u>Attitudes</u>

Individuals Values Willingness to pay Organisations Behaviour change Users Inferiority Priorities Open access Employees

<u>Seasons</u>

Droughts Rainfall Cycle

Commercial model

Commercialisation of sector Pilot projects Consumer pays Cost returns Financial viability Efficient billing Cancelling debts

<u>Markets</u>

Access Availability Financial Products

<u>Maintenance</u>

Leakages Vandalism Rehabilitation Routine Ease Type Responsibility Timing

<u>Beliefs and customs</u> Witchcraft

Expectations

Feedback Service levels Appropriate tariffs Responses (timing)

<u>Standards</u>

Services Regulator Expectations

<u>Institutional</u>

Organisational management Resource management Capacity building MOU Strength Corruption

Accountability

Revenue collectors Local council Decision makers Targets Office bearers

<u>Awareness</u>

Sensitization

Availability of water resources

Distance Permission Depth of water table Ground water quality

<u>Economic activity</u>

Concessions National scale Local businesses New developments (construction) Tourism Mining Services Monetorization

<u>Legal</u>

Acts Compounds Contractors

Tenancy (house and land)

Duration Ownership Traditional land Influence of tenant

<u>Supply</u>

Pressure Palatability Metering Treatment capacity

Household (size and location)

Size Dependats Internal hierarchy Affordability Income sources Priorities

<u>Leadership</u>

Elected Hereditary Voluntary Appointed

Productivity

Crop diversity Soil type Inputs Land management Power supply

<u>Costs</u>

Electricity Subsidies Projects

Water Use <u>Health</u> Campaigns Neighbourhood Public

<u>Domestic</u>

Chores Drinking

<u>Projects</u>

Sustainability Department responsibility Community implementation Localisation

<u>Relationships</u>

Harmony Dialogue User

<u>Skills</u>

Donor conditions and behaviour

Partnerships Conditions Approaches Models

<u>Alternative sources</u>

Fuel Water Payment

Power supply

Harmonising approaches

Development Implementation

Diseases and health

Natural disasters Endemic Prone

<u>Transport</u>

Remote areas Routes Transit towns

Developed Application Practice Use

<u>Safety</u>

Mining Flood

<u>Income</u>

Community Generation Justification Channels

<u>Meeting needs</u>

Community Individual Daily Deficiencies Representation

Livelihoods Improvement

Fishing

Customs and traditions Sacrifice Rain making Resting place

<u>Empowerment</u>

Responsibility Capacity Community

<u>Service</u>

Clients Delivery Costs Charges

<u>Productivity</u> Irrigation

Access to decision making power <u>Recognition</u> Donors Local authority Organisation Community

<u>Election</u> Community

Office responsibility

Committee Revenue collection Organisation Company Mandate

<u>Relationship</u>

<u>Technology</u>

Reticulation Locks Disconnection of service Development Promotion Potential Yields

<u>Livestock</u>

<u>Protest tool</u> Unsatisfactory service

<u>Influence</u>

Personal investment Campaigns Exhibition

Operations

Abstraction Discharge Sustainability

<u>Meeting objectives</u>

Organisational Mandates Targets

<u>Expertise</u>

Practical Knowledge Experience Inferred Exposure Qualification

Funds (control)

Donors Allocation Capital

Information held

Relationship building Statistics Access Data Inventories Links

Tradition and custom

Leader influence

Cleansing

<u>Legal</u>

Licence Acts Companies

<u>Appointment</u>

Traditional Political Government

<u>Membership</u>

Community Township User group Voluntary Hereditary Boundaries Participation Payment Acceptance

Technology

<u>Natural water bodies</u> Lakes Streams Springs

Rivers Seasonal streams Lagoons Dambos

Transport

Vehicles Pumps

Industrial and domestic supply

Pumps Wells Taps Pipes Boreholes Meters Valves Distribution Collection Control

<u>Influence</u>

Mobilisation Political Local authority Sensitization

<u>Payment</u>

Fees Collection Tokens Connection

<u>Self reliance</u>

Belief Capability Resources

<u>Standardization</u>

Guidelines National

Monitoring Protection

<u>Treatment</u>

Plants Pumps Screens Filters Tanks Mains Chambers Towers

<u>Irrigation</u>

Canals Pumps Weirs Siphons Furrows Buckets Drip Pivot Sprinklers

<u>Sanitation</u>	Tanks
Manhole covers	Reservoir
Latrines	
Soak aways	<u>Billing</u>
Toilets	Database
<u>Storage</u>	Monitoring levels and quality
Dam	Gauge

Social World Mapping Steps

The following sections detail the steps of analysis to create social world maps. They document the sources of raw materials and their methods of collections. They elaborate on the analysis steps and thought processes. They conclude with thoughts on visual versions of the maps and the multi scalar approach followed in this research.

Social worlds/ arena maps lay out the collective actors and their arenas of commitment framing meso-level interpretations of the situation. They offer meso-level interpretations of the situation, engaging collective action and its social organisation and institutional and discursive dimensions¹. The interpretations may be personal to individual actors but influenced by the situation and negotiations they are involved in. The actors situate themselves in the arenas through their interpretations. The resultant maps are based on an identified arena, which may emerge from the raw results or be pre selected for the analysis. Allowing the arenas to emerge from the data presents some challenges in dealing with the separate stages of results. A messy step of the mapping process may result from the initial analysis, requiring the use of composite concepts for manageable further analysis and potential visual representation. This critical point of analysis is influenced by the interpretations of the researcher.

In this research the social world maps are based on the raw results collected during field visits. The social world mapping process can have a bottom up, top down or mixed approach. In the Zambian case study the selected approach started with the analysis of interview material from the sites visited.

Raw material

All the semi-structured interviews were accompanied by notes and recorded in some cases². The notes included key phrases and words used by the interviewee. They provided a guideline for the transcribing processes. All interviews were conducted by the researcher, expediting the transcribing process from the notes³. The interviews were mostly transcribed on the day of the interview while the event and information

¹ Clarke, A. E. 2003. Situational Analyses: Grounded theory Mapping after the Postmodern Turn. Symbolic Interaction, Volume 26, Number 4, pp 553-576

² Recording all the interviews would be ideal and attempts should be made to ensure this is done where possible. Alternatively thorough note taking and clarification would suffice.

³ If the interviewer and the transcribers are separate individuals the interviews would need to be recorded.

was still fresh in the researcher's mind. The semi-structured interviews allowed flexibility to seek clarification on particular issues raised and to follow the thought process of the interviewee. The interviewees were voluntary participants in the research representing themselves as individual water users or organisations in the Zambian water sector⁴.

The interview transcripts were analysed in several stages both individually and in a team⁵. In the initial stage the researcher read through the transcript to recall the interview contents⁶. The second read over registered the sayables and thinkables in the situation⁷. The sayables and thinkables revealed the various individual and collective representations of the actors. They contain some of the key phrases and words recorded in the interview notes⁸. The researcher coded the emerging details according to modes of resource appropriation: representation, access modalities, use and allocation. Representation was further sub divided into representation of: self, water user, and water. This breakdown of analysis was initially used in the South African case study⁹. The modes of resource appropriation were broken down according to the questions below:

Representation of self

How does the actor represent himself in relation to water resources?

Representation of water user

How does the actor represent the water users they interact or relate with? *Scale*

What scalar level do they think at?

Representation of water

How does the actor represent water resources?

Drivers of change

What factors does the actor perceive as important to cause changes at various scalar levels, whether they react or initiate them?

Water use

How does the actor use water resources to play their role or achieve their purpose? *Access to decision making*

What are the key relations that empower the actor in decision making?

Technology

What technology does the actor identify or work with?

⁴ Voluntary means they participated willingly even though in some organisations an individual was requested or assigned by the approached authority in the organisation.

⁵ A team effort in the initial analysis stages keeps it on track and potentially ensures the it is focused from the start. The team decides on the objectives of the analysis and the questions used to interrogate the data

⁶ This research had field work extending over several months. Thus the analysis was conducted several months after some of the interviews were transcribed.

⁷ The situation refers to the space in which the actor is operating. It is dependent on the actor and their commitments, perceptions and interpretations. The research team decided to focus on the sayables and thinkables as perspectives of the actors

⁸ Verbatim is possible in some cases while in others the researcher interpreted the material from the interview using the points of reference of the interviewee and recurring phrases and concepts throughout the interview.

⁹ The research strategy varied slightly for the South African and Zambian case study. In the former the team conducting the interviews used the modes of resource appropriation as guidelines in the interview process. In the Zambian case the interviews were conducted without specific reference to the modes of appropriation.

The questions aid the collection of the same type of information from each interview and the comparison of the Zambian and South African cases using the same base line questions. The analysis at this stage produces a rather messy starting point version for the map. The composite concepts form the 3rd stage of analysis.

Composite concepts

A desired effect of the mapping process is to produce a set of results that can be represented visually. Composite concepts within the modes of appropriation are used to synthesise the emerging interpretations and refine the maps. They emerge from the sayables and thinkables and question the relationship to the modes of appropriation. The analytical step poses a set of questions that are illustrated below.

Representation of self What reference points do the actors use to define their role? **Representation of water user** What terminology is used to identify the end user of the water resources? Scale What boundaries exist on the scale of thought? **Representation** of water What aspect of water resources does the actor identify or deal with? Drivers of change What are the issues that drive the changes based on? Water use What is the product or end use of the water resources? Access to decision making What channels and mechanisms are used to access and establish the decision making power? **Technology** What purpose does the technology used serve?

The composite concepts of the translations of the modes of resource appropriation point to specific arenas¹⁰. The translations existing within them deserve further analysis by deconstruction, the 4th step of analysis.

Deconstruction of composite concepts

The composite concepts can be deconstructed to reveal a layer of actor interactions and influences. Some of these translations may not have initially been expressed by the actors, which emphasises the value added by the analysis. Deconstruction is another analytical step that is dependent on the researcher's interpretations and understanding. It is done with a purpose in mind. In this research the purpose is to identify the emerging actors and how they shape the situation of analysis.

¹⁰ The translations are individual modes of resource appropriation which can be grouped according to the classifications of: representation, access modalities, transfer of access modalities, allocation and use.

The deconstruction returns to the sayables and thinkables. Each composite concept is interrogated using the sayables and thinkables that it emerged from to produce a set of components. The analysis can be phrased as a question for each composite concept referring to the modes of resource appropriation. The questions are detailed below.

Representation of self What is the actor's specific role in the arena? Representation of water user What are the actor's reference points and terms in this arena? Scale What are the actors identified scales in this area? **Representation of water** What aspects are identified or referred to by the actors in this arena? Drivers of change What features of the drivers are identified by the actors in this arena? Water use What are the actors identified locations and manifestations in this arena? Access to decision making What aspects determine the decision making in this arena? **Technology** What types of technology are identified by the actors in this arena?

The actors identified in the situational analysis can be located in the component maps to show their areas of influence and their signification. The level of influence is not necessarily shown in these maps. Further analysis is required to compare the dominant views and perceptions with the grass root maps.

Visualisation

Thoughts on the actual visual maps are still evolving. So far the components of each composite concept can be mapped to show the actor based areas of influence and basis of legitimacy. However, the actors include the targets for the influence, which were not separated during the analysis. This conflict is raised by the researcher's perception of what the map should show. If the objective is obtaining a measure of the influence particular actors have on others in the situation, then the groups should be separated during analysis. If it's to show the dominant perceptions from all grass root actors then separation is not necessary. The site interviewees include representatives of actors at the national level. The representatives consider themselves as part of the grass root actors and distinguish themselves from the national actors mostly based in Lusaka. They also consider themselves to be in a privileged position and possess knowledge from target groups and national actors. With this in mind several ideas emerge.

Option 1a: Using each representation of self that was identified within step 3, we return to the sayables and thinkables and bring out the other modes of resource appropriation. It is important to have the list of which sayables and thinkables constituted the composite concepts within each mode. The results at each stage are based on actors who create an ideal starting point for the map. The identities of the actors are blinded during the analysis i.e. no reference is made to a particular one.

This depiction allows us to extrapolate the potential influence of each composite group of actors. However the foreseeable challenge here is the fact that some actors belong to several composite groups¹¹.

Option 1b: For each access to decision making power composite concept, we position the actors to reveal the type of influence and the actors involved at various scales. Once again we need to return to the sayables to extract the thought of scale for each actor.

Option 1c and 1d: Repeat process of analysis using the representation of the user and representation of water composite concepts. Scale is unlikely to be important in option 1c but is useful in option 1d.

All options are two dimensional maps representing the interpreted influence and its potential scale of impact. The multi dimensional map can probably be created using the two dimensional maps and holding the scale constant. A process of elimination can be used to determine which maps add value.

Option 2: Using each composite concept in the modes of appropriation, place the identified actors in each component. Some components reveal the actors which should make the process easier. This option removes the concerns on actor locations and prevents generalisation to include all possibilities. However, each composite concept produces a separate level of information. Composite concept maps may be created using these layers.

Multi scalar approach

The steps of analysis were repeated for the national level. The actors were identified and interview raw results analysed. The analysis produced a separate set of composite concepts that can be interrogated using the site level analysis and international discourse. The international discourse provides a separate scale of analysis that primarily uses secondary sources. The levels included so far illustrate the multi scalar level of analysis followed throughout the project. The interrogation of the various maps allows us to illustrate some of the impact of official discourse at the grass roots and contrasts that may be occurring between the various levels.

¹¹ An organisation like an NGO is made up of separate teams including projects, finance, communications etc. The project teams can also be subdivided into various sections such as water and sanitation. Some teams can be classified as bureaucrats, others as community workers and others as technocrats.

Social World Terms

The following sections define the terms used in the different stages of the social world mapping processes. The results from each stage contain terms that in some cases require further definitions. These sections are arranged according to the steps followed in the mapping process and thus some terms may reappear in a later set of results. The definitions are kept constant unless the context of use changes. The list of terms is according to the results presented in the first three sections of this document.

During the mapping the details emerging from the interview material were coded according to modes of resource appropriation: representation, access modalities, use and allocation. Representation was further sub divided into representation of: self, water user, and water. This breakdown of analysis was initially used in the South African case study. To interrogate the data, each mode of appropriation was phrased as a question thus abstracting the same information from each interview analysed. The questions were as follows:

Representation of self

How does the actor represent himself in relation to water resources?

Representation of water user

How does the actor represent the water users they interact or relate with?

Scale

What scalar level do they think at?

Representation of water

How does the actor represent water resources?

Drivers of change

What factors does the actor perceive as important to cause changes at various scalar levels, whether they react or initiate them?

Water use

How does the actor use water resources to play their role or achieve their purpose? *Access to decision making*

What are the key relations that empower the actor in decision making?

Technology

What technology does the actor identify or work with?

The answers for these questions are the sayables and thinkables from the interview transcripts. They form stage one of the analysis and social world mapping. The list contains some terms that require some definitions. The following section provides some brief definitions.

Representation of self

Manager An actor making reference to controlling resources, people, data etc

Well expert

An actor claiming expertise, from past experience or knowledge, in building and/or rehabilitating protected wells. Protected wells are usually more than 6 meters deep and lined with cement or other casing materials.

Global discourse

Second Order Water Scarcity Project - Zambia

An actor making reference to global debates and targets such as MDGs, IWRM, Cost recovery etc

Propose projects

An actor involved in proposing community projects that specifically relate to water supply and sanitation

Seek funding

An actor that submits projects to relevant authorities and organisations to secure funding for them

Link between LA and residents

An actor representing the community and is recognised by the Local Authority. The Local Authority manages a district or a city and is thus responsible for any planning, housing and economic development in the administrative boundary. The boundaries are defined by the Ministry of local government and housing. Zambia is divided into more than 90 districts. The districts vary in size and can be sub divided based on administrative capacity and population demands. Several districts make up a province. The provincial boundaries were mostly drawn up in the colonial era.

Resource poor

An actor that has limited financial resources, skills or capacities and their ability to access water resources or provide services to water users in their jurisdiction is hindered.

Fortunate recipient

An actor receiving water by virtue of their residential location or membership to a particular organisation, such as a village water scheme, benefiting from a community water project

Verify community claims

An actor working for a government department located in the community. They are able to collect data from the community and submit it to the government department. This data is used to verify proposals received from the community. The proposals contain details of community needs and potential beneficiaries of proposed projects.

Self reliant

An actor solely dependent on their own capabilities for ensuring access to water resources

Recycle ground water

An actor identifying the cycle of ground water used in agriculture

Routine monitor of supply

An actor working in a water supply organisation responsible for monitoring quantities treated and supplied to the end users

Community officer

An actor working within the community representing a local authority, government agency or other organisation such as an NGO

Communicator

An actor referring to their role of proposing and relaying ideas from the community and from other organisations working in the community

Community policy advisor

An actor working in the community representing local authority, government agency or other organisation such as an NGO making reference to implementing policies from their respective organisation in the community

Focused on cost returns

An actor working for a water supplier within a framework defined by the commercial model of the utilities and national water policy

Technical support for Water Board

An actor working for the government department that provides support such as assessing water consumption volumes and feasibility of abstracting or impounding water from a particular natural source.

Project facilitator An actor that provides funding or allocates resources such as human capital or machinery to a project

Partner

An actor identifying other actors they work with in the community or in their specified role in the water sector

Beneficiary

An actor benefiting from a community project or planned development in a town or city

Headman

A hereditary or appointed traditional leader who manages the affairs of a village

Stakeholder

An actor with a perceived influential role in the water sector such as allocating licences, regulation etc

Protect traditional water sources

An actor concerned with maintaining the area surrounding hand dug wells and natural springs that are classified as traditional water resources

Facilitator of planning process

An actor that assists the community with prioritisation of their needs and relays them to the local authorities with the responsibility of town planning

Set standards for access

An actor concerned with meeting national standards for water access. The standards usually refer to the type of infrastructure in residential areas, ideal daily volumes per capita and number of users per water point in rural areas.

Expansionist

An actor concerned with planning of new developments in an urban area and potential client base from the developments.

Burdened with dilapidated infrastructure An actor operating within a water supply organisation dealing with infrastructure limitations in addressing consumer demands for water

Ensure WASHE concept in projects

An actor working within the local authority or health department concerned with incorporating the Water and sanitation Health hygiene and education (WASHE) concept. WASHE is a campaign adopted in the early 1990s to address the outbreaks of cholera and other water borne diseases in various parts of Zambia. It is also part of an inter sector approach for water.

Set up committees in communities

An actor concerned with community managed of water resources using the model of creating identifiable individuals in a community that are given the responsibility to make decisions regarding the water points and maintenance of infrastructure in their locations.

Supplement government limited efforts

An actor identifying the gaps in meeting needs of citizens and relating them to the limited financial, human and organisational government resources. They feel able to fill some of these gaps

Coordinate programmes

An actor coordinating classified development programmes which include water projects at various scales e.g. community, district, provincial, national

Provide software for projects

An actor concerned with the use and management of infrastructure by the users once it has been installed and handed over to the appropriate authority managing it.

Capacity building

An actor referring to enabling the community to source funds for projects, propose them and meet the criterion for the project funding

Capacity to handle large projects

An actor with the machinery and human resources to install infrastructure for water supply in a community or town

Bureaucrat

An actor identifying himself as part of an organisation and defining their role as part of that specific organisation

Implement strategic plan

An actor with access to the national strategic plans for the water sector and with the responsibility to implement it at them local level. The plans are drawn up by the Ministry of Energy and Water Development, the Ministry of Local Government and Housing and other relevant Ministries and stakeholders.

Ensure stakeholder involvement

An actor identifying themselves as a coordinator of programmes and projects with influence to include other actors and promote dialogue

Collect revenue

An actor collecting revenue from a water point such as a tap attendant, household owner with a communal tap, a water supplier accounts clerk

Aid poverty alleviation

An actor referring to the role of water in poverty alleviation and their role of assisting communities to access clean ad safe water resources for domestic use

Village guide

An actor providing tourists with an insight into African village life by taking them round their village and explaining various aspects of their daily life and culture

Introducing non tolerance

An actor referring to the client paying for water resources and penalty of non payment of fees which is usually disconnection of the service

Spare parts supplier

An actor supplying spare parts for the water supply infrastructure in rural areas

Representation of user

Deprived communities

Communities whose needs are not met by any of the local authorities responsible for them

Lacking resources and facilities

The users that lack financial resources and safe water sources. They also lack proper sanitation facilities

Privileged clients

Users that benefit from treated water supply especially at a subsidised rate or pay less than the full cost of supplying their water for domestic use

Kiosk client

Users that draw water from Kiosks found in some peri-urban areas. The kiosks are a method of supplying limited volumes of water and customers pay on demand.

Enlightened

Users who are aware of the health and other related benefits of treated water or a safe source of domestic water and are willing to contribute to the cost of supply

Vulnerable

Members of a community that require assistance in various forms as they are disproportionately exposed to risk and changes in quality, sources and volumes of water supply

Neighbours

Physical neighbours that usually share a tap with a household they are located within close proximity to.

Peri-urban residents

Residents of areas surrounding urban areas. Peri-urban areas are usually densely populated with semi-permanent infrastructure. The usually depend on services provided in the urban areas that may be extended to them

High cost residents

Residents of highly affluent neighbourhoods, characterised by multiple taps within the household and large yards. The neighbourhoods are low density areas.

Medium cost residents

Residents of medium affluent neighbourhoods, characterised by a few taps within the household and backyards suitable for gardening. The neighbourhoods are average density areas.

Low cost residents

Residents of lowly affluent neighbourhoods, characterised by one tap within the household and a small yards. The neighbourhoods are high density areas.

Low density residents See high cost residents

High density residents See low cost residents

Exploiting political influence Users that influence decisions based on their political office

Consumer

Users that pay for the water supplied to them whether in full or partially

Need educating

Education refers to the need to pay for water, use of technology and infrastructure, managing water resources, maintaining infrastructure

Government institutions

Government departments located in towns and districts. The departments usually have a budget to pay for services but allegedly resist payment until they are threatened with disconnection.

Contributors to projects

Communities that contribute to projects in their locations. The contribution is towards the projects costs and can be in material or labour form. It is a condition for project implementation and viewed as a commitment from the community

Provide own solutions

Project proposals are ideally demand driven with communities proposing their own projects to ensure sustainability and support for them. However the proposals are based on what the mandates of the project implementers and financiers. These groups determine which projects are funded, implemented and methods used.

Beneficiaries

Users that benefit from a community project or planned development in a town or city

Cooperative members

Members of a cooperative or an irrigation scheme. Irrigation schemes are community managed schemes set up to install irrigation infrastructure in a community. The schemes are ideally meant for improving food security at a local level and as channels of income generation

Responsive

Users that participate in community projects to ensure access to water resources

Expensive to cater for

Urban and peri-urban residents that receive piped water supply but pay less than the full cost of supplying their water

Sense of responsibility

Users that are passive recipients and believe water should be supplied to their household and it is their right as citizens. They are only prepared to pay a subsidised cost for the service. Their expectations cannot be met and they complain but take no action.

Active See responsive

Suffer effects of none funding

Local authorities are unable to meet the demand for water from all their residents due to the lack of funding from central government for budgeted activities.

Maintain infrastructure

Residents that participate in the maintenance of the infrastructure installed during community water projects

Immune to impurities

Residents living in areas with no treated water sources. The residents are accustomed to the water qualities in their locations and suffer no adverse health effects from the water quality

Illegal connectors

Users with illegal connections to the water supply mains. They receive a piped water supply but are not included on the client register of the water supply and thus are not charged for the water they use

Contribute to local traditional authority

Users living in areas with strong traditional leadership. The traditional leaders are the custodians of the natural resources on behalf of their subjects. The subjects pay a fee to use the resources and this fee is partly used as a contribution to the coffers of the traditional authority.

Posses varying perceptions of development

Project implementers and facilitators have varying views of development that they impose on communities who also have their own perceptions. These sometimes non compatible views result in unsustainable projects.

Foreign investors Investors from outside the particular location including international investors in the Zambian tourism industry

Uncontrolled wildlife Wildlife found outside the demarcated game parks and nature reserves

Skin diseased

Users suffering from various skin diseases that are traditionally treated using cleansing rituals involving water resources.

Scalar

Project A community water project in rural or peri-urban areas

Township

A residential section within a district usually referring to an urban or peri-urban area

Household

A confined residential location determined by the boundaries of the yard

Neighbourhood

A section of a township defined according to the actors in the situation

District

A level of national planning determined by the Ministry of Local Government and Housing and Ministry of Lands

Household shifting

A scale of thought going beyond the household but not necessarily affecting the neighbourhood or community

Community subgroups Groups formed within a community of users for a variety of purposes Periphery An area including rural and peri-urban areas, anything outside the central urban location

Town expanding

The town represents a core urban section of the district. The boundaries are not clearly defined and are continuously shifting with the new developments I a town

Operational plant A water treatment or industrial plant

Town See town expanding

Community

A group of users using a specific water point or water body. Membership to the community is fluid and based on several factors such as individual choices and rules regulating access to a water point

Irrigation scheme

Community managed schemes set up by the Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives to install irrigation infrastructure in a community. The schemes are ideally meant for improving food security at a local level and as channels of income generation

Network distribution Domestic water supply distribution network

Province Administrative boundary, Zambia is made up of nine provinces

Village

A rural residential area headed by a headman or other traditional leader

Point users Users of a specific water point

Compound

A residential settlement usually found in the peri-urban areas

Health catchment

An administrative boundary demarcated by the Ministry of Health

Section

A part of a township or settlement. It was used for administrative boundaries in political terms especially during the one party state era.

Downhill residents

Residents located at the bottom of a hill or at a lower level relative to a water treatment and distribution plant or other users

Zone

A part of a township or settlement usually used by NGOs to divide peri-urban areas for administrative purposes

Peri-urban areas

An area surrounding an urban area. They are usually densely populated with semipermanent infrastructure. The residents usually depend on services provided in the urban areas that may be extended to them

Scheme

A community water project or irrigation project

Tourist centre

A place frequented by tourists or advertised as an area of potential interest for tourists

Catchment

An administrative boundary based on hydro geological information of a water body

Representation of water

Safe domestic supply

An actor referring to the quality of water supply, which is usually based on appearance, smell and taste. The safety aspect relates to any effects the water has on the health of the user.

Basic needs

An actor representing water according to the daily domestic needs and requirements such as washing, drinking and cleaning that they consider to be basic.

Sustainable livelihoods

An actor representing water according to the livelihoods concept that goes beyond basic needs to cover using water in income generating or food sufficiency practices like backyard gardening or small scale home based businesses.

Consumer good

An actor referring to the need to pay for water resources especially if the water supplied is treated.

Dry taps

An actor making reference to the fact that water taps are available in their neighbourhood but no water comes out of the points when opened.

Low pressure An actor referring to the low water pressure at the taps

Unsure quality

An actor using a water source where they are unsure of the quality of water collected at the point. The point can be a tap, well or natural water body

Open access

An actor referring to a natural water body that is openly accessible to users in the vicinity

Rarely tested

A user referring to the quality of water received at a source which they believe is not tested by any authority

Commodity

An actor referring to the prices paid for water which they perceive to be rather low

Meets calculated requirements

An actor representing water using national standards set for drinking quality and daily required volumes

Inter-sector demand driven

An actor representing water as a resource that covers sectors such as education, health, tourism etc and recognises the demand driven approaches

Supply driven

An actor referring to the limitations of supply capacity usually linked to raw water volumes and treatment capacities

Rationed supply

A user referring to the set times during the day when they receive water from the point sources in their location. The time slots are determined by the water supplier

Limited intake point

An actor referring to competing water uses that affect the raw water source in their location

Component of ADP An actor referring to the Area Development Programme approach adopted by some NGOs to ensure sustainability of their community projects

Threatened source

An actor referring to the perceived threats on water sources in their location attributed to competing water users

Capital intensive

An actor referring to water treatment and distribution systems for reticulation that are required in urban areas and their associated costs

Bad yields

An actor describing water according to the potential yields from ground water points such as wells and boreholes in their location

Component of WASHE

An actor referring to the Water and Sanitation Health hygiene Education concept used in community projects in Zambia. The concept promotes an inter-sector approach and was significantly promoted during the early 1990s after several outbreaks of cholera in Zambia. The outbreaks resulted in numerous fatalities in of over 500 some locations

Development tool

An actor referring g to the need for water resources to run and maintain schools, hospitals and the professionals to work in them. Having schools and hospitals is perceived as development in rural areas without these facilities

Controlled

An actor referring to their ability to control water resources through techniques such as artificial drainage and redirecting flows

Productivity tool

A user referring to the use of water in productive activities such as farming and fishing

Scared areas

An actor referring to the sites used in traditional ceremonies and practices including sites where past traditional leaders are believed to find their final resting place

Drivers of Change

Commercialisation

Creation of commercial utilities to supply water in urban and peri-urban areas and introduction of the commercial model in water supply in Zambian

Funding opportunities

Funding for community projects and extension of services to new developments and locations with no piped water supply in urban and some peri-urban areas

Hand over from office bearers Community leadership office such as the RDC

Feedback to communities

Feedback after research activities are completed in a community or area

Council funding sources

Local councils in Zambia owned houses in urban areas until the late 1990s when houses were sold to sitting tenants. The sale meant a loss of revenue for the councils. Some resorted to using revenues from water, the only sure source of monthly income.

Supplier behaviour

Water suppliers meeting the expectations of their clients by providing an acceptable standard of service which most clients would be willing to pay for

Housing classification

Abandoning the classification of housing as high cost, low cost and medium cost especially that most housing developments are now privately built. The housing classification was based on the design of the house, size of the yard and the number of water taps include in the design.

Service standards Improving the standards of water supply

Pilot project status

Pilot project such as Chipata Water and Sewerage receive extra funding and technical assistance that is not rolled out when other commercial utilities are created

Affordability

Of water supply especially in urban and peri-urban areas

RDC involvement

Resident Development Committees (RDCs) are community leaders elected by the community members. Their involvement in projects and decision making usually legitimises a community project

Community involvement

Communities' being involved in projects in their area has the potential of leading to sustainability

Unbundling of flats

Blocks of flats were supplied as one client and each flat charged a fixed monthly sum. The pattern of payments is similar to communal taps and free riders emerged. The supply could not be disconnected because some flats paid their bills. Unbundling involves ensuring separate connections for each flat

CU financial kick start

The financial kick start was pledged by the government to help CUs with their start ups especially reducing the work force and some rehabilitation of infrastructure

Economics

The economic situation of the country, if there are more resources and more affluent residents the commercial utilities would be able to provide a better service and smaller councils can be subsidised using public funds

Financially viable schemes

Community water schemes that are financially viable would attract investment from other sector improving water supply to more communities

CU access to financial markets

Access to financial markets is hindered by the ownership structure of the CUs. They are solely owned by Local Authorities. The finances would be invested in service improvements which is likely to be followed by tariff increases

Handover between MLGH and MEWD

The Ministry of Local Government and Housing (MLGH) is responsible for domestic water supply while the Ministry of Energy and Water Development (MEWD) is responsible for water resources management and development. Prior to the water sector reforms, MEWD was responsible for domestic water supply particularly in rural areas. Other overlaps existed. The handover of all domestic water supply responsibility has not been smooth

Capacity building in LA and CUs

Capacity refers to skills development for employees particularly in management as well as financial resources to perform their roles

Land management skills

Local residents with land management skills such as preventing soil erosion, preventing deforestation etc would appreciate the integrated water resource management approach

Ability to draw water from neighbour

Households with no tap or whose water supply has been disconnected are able to draw water from their neighbour, sometimes free of charge if the neighbour pays a fixed amount or at a reduced charge

MOU from council

The Memorandum of understanding provided by the council regards creation of a commercial utility from a council managed water supply department

Chief's verification and authorization

A chief authorizes plans submitted by headmen in villages within his jurisdiction. Usually the process takes several months, delaying action by the community

National standards National standards for drinking water quality and daily volumes for various locations and residential settings

Different working cultures and approaches Approaches and cultures of project teams coming from donor agencies and NGOs

NWP

The National Water Policy, the strategic planning document for the Zambia water sector

WRAP

The Water Resources Action Programme based on the NWP focusing on creating and institutional and legal framework for the Zambian water sector

Local inferiority complex

Some residents are dependent on external intervention because they undermine their own ability to influence decision making in their locations

Dependants and transport for water collection

Members of the extended family living at a household are usually part of the domestic labour force that collects water for domestic use, the more they are the more water that can be collected at any particular time

Soil type Type of soil affects the agricultural productivity

Opening of new mine

A new mine brings migrant workers and a potential increase in incomes in a location. It also implies more clients for a water company

Legality of compound

Illegal compounds and settlements do not receive services from local authority as a way of discouraging the practice and adhering to town planning procedures

Contractors

Contractors are sometimes not monitored by any authority in the locations where they work. If their work is below standard no retribution is expected

Corruption

Misuse of public funds intended for community projects by a various authorities

Intermediate technology

Technology used in small scale farming such as diesel and treadle pumps that are used in irrigation

Settling bad debts Debts are accrued by previous tenants of a household

Water Use

Reaping benefits of personal investment

Participating in a community water project entitles the participant to use the water. The participation is a personal investment. Paying for a water connection is also a personal investment

Neighbourhood harmony

Allowing neighbours to access water from a household tap aids harmony just like household representatives participating in a community water project

Discharge bodies

Industries use water bodies to discharge the effluents from their operations. Licences for discharge are issued by the Environmental Council of Zambia

Election campaigns

Politicians use water as a basis of political campaigns in some locations especially peri-urban and rural areas

Exhibiting political power

Political party chairmen in some locations control access to water points and allocate plots of land

Financing community activities Revenues from water points are used in community funds supporting activities proposed by members

Sustaining operations Industrial firms require water for their operations

Service to clients Water suppliers provide water as a service to their clients who then pay for it

Department projects Most organisations have a water department that coordinates and water related activities

Funding channel Community projects in some cases extend beyond community water supply to cover health facilities, educational facilities or waste management

Implementing international drives Meeting MDGs is localised in term s of increasing water points or safe domestic water sources

Dialogue between users Members of irrigation or community schemes interact and groups such as vegetable grower associations can be created within water user associations

Satisfying community needs

Communities prioritise their needs and submit proposals of community projects to NGOs or Local Authorities. These groups seek funds to satisfy the community needs through project implementation

Removing deficiencies

Deficiencies in water supply particularly in rural areas where more than the NGO prescribed 250 people are serviced by one well

Transferring responsibility to citizens Convincing residents to pay for water is viewed as a step in behavioural change and may lead to them paying for other services

Building local capacity Developing skills such a s maintenance of infrastructure and project proposal writing in communities

Misrepresentation of needs

Communities tend to propose projects that fit in with the mandate of the potential project financier as opposed to their ideal prioritised needs

Meeting targets

Internal targets for organisations and project teams are met through water supply projects

Access to decision making power

Role at interface Interface between target groups and project teams

Metered

A client with a metered supply pays for water according to their consumption

Organisational power Managers in recognised organisations

Statistics

Statistics of water access, social groupings etc are used as indicators and bases for decision making

Neighbour Friendly neighbourly relations ensure access to a neighbours tap if the need arise

Locking mechanism on tap

Tap attendants hold the key for locks on communal taps to prevent unauthorized use of the tap

Township supply

A township is a particular residential; area in a district. Most townships have a uniform water supply system particularly if all the housing units were built at the same time

Tokens

Tokens are used as a monetary term at water Kiosk in peri-urban areas. Each token entitles the bearer to a specific volume of water determined by the water supplier

Verification of data

Field officers verify data supplied by community based organisations in their project proposals. Project funding agencies base their decisions of the verification

Allocation of funds Project funds and funds for particular programmes at national and district level

Standardization

A uniform standard of service for residents of a particular residential setting

Links with grass roots

Recognition by communities legitimises the activities of a group targeting the community

Diplomacy and negotiations

Actors referring to their recognised roles as coordinators of dialogue between various interest groups

Water user group

A group of user using a particular water body or water point which usually operates according to regulations determined by the users

Tourist village A village frequented by tourists and recognised as a tour site for them

Cleansing practices

Traditional rituals performed by authorized members of a tribe or cultural group

Guidelines in dam building

National guidelines on dam building specify the groups authorized to build dams of various sizes. The specification is based on the equipment and the skill base of the groups

Composite concepts

Composite concepts emerge from the list of sayables and thinkables. The list is analysed using a set of questions that relate the sayables and thinkables to the modes of resource appropriation. The composite concepts are a product of the analytical process and thus hinge on the researcher's interpretations and understanding or those of actors participating in the process. The questions asked and the terms used as composite concepts in this research are defined below.

Representation of self

What reference points do the actors use to define their role? **Representation of water user** What terminology is used to identify the end user of the water resources? Scale What boundaries exist on the scale of thought? **Representation of water** What aspect of water resources does the actor identify or deal with? Drivers of change What are the issues that drive the changes based on? Water use What is the product or end use of the water resources? Access to decision making What channels and mechanisms are used to access and establish the decision making power? **Technology** What purpose or who does the technology used serve?

Representation of self

Technocrat

Actors defining their role according to the technical skill and expertise they have developed and are able to impart or contribute. Specific reference to technology and need for training local populations to use it distinguished the expertise of this group.

Quote from Technocrat: "When I mention the technical co-operation, I mean technical in the broad sense. At the moment we are sinking boreholes in drought prone areas. We are working in the Southern Western and Central Province. We will also most likely be working in Northern and Luapula Province. In the latter two provinces the plans have reached an advanced stage. We do not play a role in the community management of projects that is usually done by another group. We contract or hire the services."

Community worker

Actors defining their role with reference to community projects, fieldwork and community relations. They differentiated themselves from other actors who do not visit the field frequently or for extended periods of time.

Quote from Community Worker: "In most areas where we work, we find it is crucial to give the community incentives to work even if the projects are for their own benefit. Voluntary participation is getting more difficult to find. As an organisation our policy is to empower people and give them knowledge plus the capacity to sustain themselves. We do not hand out food. Sometimes we do use the gifts that are given by our supporters and well wishers to help us along. Community sensitization is also essential and is more difficult in some places compared to others. The sensitization itself is an educational process and it needs time."

Expert

Actors whose roles are based on their claim of expertise. The expertise is based on recognition by other actors, past experience or skill and knowledge base. The expertise does not always relate to the use of technology it could be rehabilitating hand dug wells and lining them with locally sourced materials.

Quote from Expert: "We mainly work with communities with shallow wells. In some cases the wells are not actually shallow as the depth ranges from 7 to 18 meters. In some cases the wells are 20 meters deep. The wells can be newly constructed by the community with our expertise or some times we rehabilitate old wells. The rehabilitation involves lining with concrete rings or re-deepening. In all cases the community does the work and then they request our help in offering advice or the materials such as cement, pumps etc."

Bureaucrat

Actors identifying themselves as part of an organisation and defining their role as a part of that specific organisation. Some actors were interviewed as apart of an organisation but their points of reference was themselves and not their organisation.

Quote from Bureaucrat: "As a Senior Community Development Officer (SCDO) I am based here at the LCC offices. There are field officers whom I supervise who are based in the field even though at the moment there are only skeleton staffs. All projects from the communities are co-ordinated by the SCDO and so I have regular meetings with the field and projects officers working in the field. The city council does not have the resources for the different competing community projects and needs. There is a central Zambia Small Investment Fund (ZAMSIF), which provides the main government funds for projects in community development. An appraisal has to be done before the funding can be released."

Resourceful

Actors defining their roles according to the resources available to them such as funding opportunities and channels, information they possess, mechanical tools etc. These actors identified with different groups, such as donors or project financiers, grass root populations etc. Some had a civilising mission which placed them in a position of inferred superiority.

Quote from a Resourceful actor: "Working with communities is difficult as people do not always agree with ideas that we as a council may have. Most of the times there is no agreement upfront. We ask the communities to make a contribution in the form of crushed stones or river sand. In some cases the communities feel this is too much other feel t is too little. As a council we deal with the councillors and the information on any intended activity is disseminated through the council meeting. We involve the community in the site selection for the water point. We give them 3 sites to choose from especially as the water may not be available at some of the sites. Before the contractor goes in a sensitization team I s sent out to clarify information and verify the figures given by the data collectors and the community. This is to ensure the community meets the criteria set by the Rural Water Supply Programme."

Broker

Actors whose roles are based on bridging gaps between target populations for projects and the project teams and financiers. The target population is not always for a project since brokers can also be found in any situation involving the channelling of resources from one group to another.

Quote from a broker: "We encourage a demand driven approach where the community passes on their request to the DWASHE team and we then forward it on to the donors. As a process the community identifies their needs and then approaches us for assistance to fulfil those needs. The goodness of the demand driven approach is it helps in the sustainability of projects. If the community request something then there is a higher chance of them taking ownership and also caring for that thing."

Implementer

Actors who implement community projects or local, regional and national development projects. They differ from the grass root actors in the sense that they make contract with the grass roots but only for specific projects or for specified tasks and objectives within a given time frame.

Quote from an implementer: "The role of the DWA is the provision of water infrastructure. The infrastructure can be wells or boreholes. The maintenance of the infrastructure is the responsibility of the community. The DWA also builds dams but these are also maintained by the community. The water reforms brought in this realignment of the DWA and its responsibilities. The part of more responsibility being placed into the communities hands is also part of the reforms. The government does not have the resources to keep putting into water projects over and over again. It all just slows down progress."

Facilitator

Actors who fund or source funds for community projects or local, regional and national development projects including international NGOs and donor agencies that process the application and proposals

Quote from a facilitator: "The council has an advisory and facilitator role in water schemes i.e. the council is the custodian of the infrastructure. The community only has symbolic ownership of the scheme. Lusaka water and sewerage provide the technical advisory role."

Supplier

Actors that supply water to clients, community members or neighbours

Manager

Actors that directs the affairs of water resources, people, information etc

Employment

Actors who define their roles according to their employment status and ability to pay for resources

Recipient

Actors that define their roles according to their end use of water

Commercial

Actors whose role definition is based on the commercial model of water resource management and supply

Leader

Actors who define their roles with reference to their subordinates

Quote from a leader: "The roles of the RDC are mainly dealing with development issues in the community. We are also involved in dispute settlement and ensure the payment of water fees by the scheme members. We are also responsible for community sensitization regarding the payment for water and ensuring the sustainability of the water scheme. We are also responsible for the security of the water infrastructure and preventing vandalism."

Representation of user

Gender The user is identified by their age and sex

Poverty

The user is described according to their level of poverty. Poverty is not absolutely defined by any of the actors.

Social and economic status

The end user is identified according to the affluence of their area of residence, employment status and income levels, privileges enjoyed

Legality of location or use

The end user is described according to legally prescribed residential areas accompanied by water supply and public heath codes within the residences

Density of residence

The users are identified according to areas of residence, standards of water supply in them and associated patterns of payment for services

Commercial activity

The end user is described according to the economic benefit of the water use and associated rates of payment

Dependency levels

The user is defined according to their level of dependence on actors outside their immediate community

Payment methods and patterns

The user is described with reference to their willingness and ability to pay for services Institutional classification

Capacity possessed

The user is defined according to their capacity as perceived by the actor. The capacity includes: knowledge, education, acquaintance with technology, honesty, financial base etc

Physical location

The end user is defined according to the national planning classification of their location or relative to other users and physical attributes such as hills that offer free gravitational flows.

Organisational structure

The user is described according to their position in an organisation or the level of skill they possess

Membership or affiliations

The end user is defined with reference to the groups they belong to which results in their access to resources

Activity or responsiveness

The user is defined according to their water use activity and level of participation in managing and maintaining water resources

Expectations

The user is described according to their levels of expectation and who is responsible for meeting them

Beliefs

The user is defined according to their personal perceptions, interpretations and traditional beliefs and practices

Tenancy

The user is described according to their tenancy at a household, piece land or ownership of them

Influence

The user is described with reference to the influence they have on a particular group or location

Consumption levels The user is described with reference to their consumption volumes and related payments

Benefits accrued The end user is defined according to the benefits perceived by the user and intervention groups

Cost of service The user is defined in terms of the cost of supplying their water for domestic use

Health

The user is defined in relation to their health status and related water requirements

Scalar

Physical boundaries Distinct boundaries determined by national planning regulation and land ownership classifications

No boundaries A scale of thought with non distinguishable boundaries

Abstract boundaries A scale of thought that is subjective and determined by the actor

Discourse boundaries A scale confined by local, regional, national and international discourse

Mandate boundaries A scale of thought confined by the operations of an organisation or entity such as donor agency or government agency

Representation of water

Supply quantity Actors referring to the quantities of water they receive, supply or deal with

Supply quality

Actors referring to the quality of water they receive, supply or deal with

Use

Actors describing water according to their end use

Value

Actors referring to the value they attach to water and its symbolic nature to them

Availability

Actors describing water according to its availability

Treatment

Actors describing water according to the treatment processes

Standards

Actors describing water according to standards set by regulators, planners, policy makers and project teams

Integrated

Actors describing water as an integral part of a development programme or sector approaches

Seasons

Actors describing water with reference to seasonal effects on quality and quantity

Source

Actors concerned with the sources of water available and related issues of their management and protection

Control

Actors referring to the responsibility for the control of water resources

Accessibility

Actors concerned with the access to water resources and how it is ensured

Reliability

Actors describing water supply in terms of its reliability and long term sources

Scientific process

Actors referring to the scientific processes of the water cycle that impact on their situation

Drivers of Change

Skills

The skills of the practitioners and communities that manage water resources or use them in a productive way such as farmers and fishermen

Participation Community participation in projects

Ownership

Community members owning projects and monitoring their implementation

Topography

The topography of an area determines the ease of access to ground water and other features such as ease of flow of water

Organisational structure

The commercial model of commercial utilities and management of the organisations

Attitudes of users

Attitudes include water conservation, paying for water supply, maintaining infrastructure, preventing vandalism etc

Attitudes within organisation

Employee attitudes in commercial utilities. The employees are seconded from Local Authorities and are not accustomed to working in purely commercial environments. Their work practices and skills usually fall short of expectations

Seasons

Seasons affect the volumes of water available at a particular time and water requirements for various uses

Commercial model

The commercial model used in urban water supply requires some adjustment to ensure it does not exclude more of the people it is targeted at serving and improving service delivery for

Access to markets

The markets cover farming produce markets and financial markets. Small scale farmers usually point out the lack of markets for their produce. Commercial Utilities usually point to the lack of access to financial markets as one of the reasons hindering their investment capabilities and hence the improvement of services

Maintenance

Maintenance of infrastructure for water treatment and supply particularly in urban locations.

Beliefs and customs

Beliefs in witchcraft and other practices that instil fear in community members and potentially hinder their ability to work together effectively

Expectations

Expectations of the water user particularly those in urban and peri-urban areas that desire a piped water supply but are not willing to pay for it. Expectations of users in rural areas relate to the dependency on outside intervention

Standards

Ensuring standards for domestic water supply and livelihoods are met in most parts of the country and not just focusing on the urban areas where consumers may have the means to pay

I

nstitutional

Drivers relating to the institutions in the Zambian water sector including health institutions, educational institutions, government institutions etc

Accountability

Accountability of the decision makers and actors in positions of power or influence. It also includes actors collecting revenues for water fees and service payments

Awareness

Drivers related to individual awareness of rights and entitlements and awareness by service providers and decision makers of expectations from their target groups

Economic activity

Drivers related to markets and strength of the Zambian economy

Supply Drivers related to water supply in various locations

Leadership

Drivers related to community and traditional leadership

Productivity

Drivers related to the productive use of water such as farming

Costs

Drivers relating to cost of water supply and water related projects

Donor conditions and behaviour

Drivers relating to donor funding conditionalities and approaches to development

Alternative sources Alternative sources for water and fuel supply affecting particularly residents of periurban and rural areas

Power supply Electrical power supply required to run water pumps for distribution of water to consumers

Harmonising approaches Approaches used in project implementation and development programmes

Water Use

Projects Community water projects and development projects Relationships

Building relationships between target groups and project teams, water users etc

Skills Exhibition of skills developed or acquired through training such as water engineering, water management, food production, community development etc Safety Ensuring safety in mining operations

Meeting needs Meeting the needs of a community that they are assisted in prioritising

Livelihoods Subsistence farming and small scale businesses at the household level

Customs and traditions Performing traditional ceremonies such as sacrificial cleansing and cooking, rain making

Empowerment

Developing skills in communities through implementation of community water projects

Service Water supply is considered a service by some suppliers

Productivity

Economically productive activities such as commercial farming, fishing etc

Protest tool

The non payment of water fees is used by some residents to show non satisfaction

Influence

Some actors regulate access to water points and use this to exhibit their powers and influence

Operations Industrials users use water in their operations

Meeting objectives Some actors use water resources to meet objectives laid out in their plans such as Area Development Plans that include a water supply component

Access to decision making power

Recognition Recognition by an appropriate body such as a community, donor agency or government agency places an actor in an advantageous position in decision making Election

Elected representatives are endorsed by their subordinates giving them authority to make decisions

Office responsibility Government officers and other officials are authorized to make decisions by virtue of the office they hold

Relationship Established relationships with strategic actors provides access to decision making

Technology The ability and knowledge to use technology places the holder of in a decision making position

Expertise The claim of expertise through education, experience or skills

Funds (control) The control of finances and or access to finances

Information held

Information such as social statistics and indicators are used in decision making, thus any actor possessing them is influential

Tradition and custom Traditional leaders are particularly influential in rural areas

Legal Legal acts and entities authorize specific activities and rights

Appointment

Appointments in office can be traditional rulers, political or organisational

Membership

Membership to a society, scheme, organisation or cooperative is accompanied by particular rights based on the group

Influence

Some actors are influential in their areas of residence based on the perceptions of the other members of their communities e.g. those suspected of witchcraft practicing

Payment Payment for services entitles an actor to them

Self reliance

Independent actors such as a commercial farmer with an individual borehole is usually able to make decisions without any external considerations

Standardization

The standardisation of services implies all users receive the same standard of service thus those users where deficiencies exist are entitled to improvements