

Annex 6: Quality Assurance Form – Technology selection (QC1) – Version 1

Used for CPHP only by Pete Golob. See Section 4 of main report.

ID CODE	R No	Proven Technologies	Synopsis	The technology is already recorded in the TECA technology database				The technology is not suitable for inclusion in the TECA technology database because			Validation Scale Validation scale definitions: (assumes all lab experiments and research station trials completed successfully)								
				Add RNRRS technology to the TECA technology database		Do not add RNRRS technology to the TECA technology database (a)		It has not been validated (d)	It is a decision-support tool (b)	It is a methodology (c)	Stage 1 = Validated with one group of local farmers (or several sub-groups) for at least one season; for industrial processes validated in one location	Stage 2 = Validated in at least two villages or localities in the same general area; over two or more seasons; for industrial processes, validated in at least two locations or over two time periods.	Stage 3 = Validated in different parts of the country (different agro-ecological/ethnic areas) in at least three villages/towns; over two or more seasons. Industrial processes validated in different countries.	Stage 4 = Validated methodology in more than one country (at least meeting stage 2 in each); if equipment hardware, then commercialisation (at least three units sold) achieved in at least one country. For industrial processes, commercial introduction by factory.					
				Tick if appropriate	Your comment (if any):	Tick if appropriate	Your comment (if any):	Tick if appropriate	Tick if appropriate	Tick if appropriate	Tick if appropriate	Tick if appropriate	Tick if appropriate	Tick if appropriate					
Completed by NR International	Completed by NR International	Completed by NR International	Completed by NR International																

Your feedback on TECA	
Please record any comments you may have on TECA as a tool for global information exchange; these will be included (and personally attributed if you wish) in the 'Lessons Learned' section of the Project Final Report	I wish these comments to be personally attributed
	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>