

Neglected Diseases

The Challenges of Chagas Disease—Grim Outlook or Glimmer of Hope?

Rick L. Tarleton*, Richard Reithinger, Julio A. Urbina, Uriel Kitron, Ricardo E. Gürtler

Through its impact on worker productivity, premature disability, and death, Chagas disease accounts for 670,000 disability-adjusted life years per annum [1]. This makes it the most important parasitic disease of the Americas. It is both a disease of poverty (Figures 1 and 2) and, like other neglected tropical diseases, also “poverty promoting” [2]. Traditionally confined to Latin America, Chagas disease is becoming an important health issue in the United States and Europe. First, due to the continuous influx of immigrants from disease-endemic countries in Latin America, a proportion of whom are infected with *Trypanosoma cruzi*, an increasing number of infected subjects are seen in clinical practice, whether, for example, through routine screening of US blood and organ banks [3] or physicians’ offices in Europe [4]. The appearance of *T. cruzi* in US blood banks led to the implementation of the first Food and Drug Administration–approved diagnostic blood screening test for Chagas disease earlier this year [5]. Second, an increasing number of autochthonous Chagas disease cases have been reported in the US [6,7], which may mirror the increased reporting of *T. cruzi* infection in domestic animals and wildlife. Recognizing that Chagas disease can no longer be considered an “exotic” disease in the US, the American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene held a clinical course in Chagas disease prior to its 2007 annual meeting (<http://www.astmh.org/meetings/premeeting.cfm#clinical>).

Despite estimates of up to 15 million existing cases and 50,000–200,000 new infections per year, funding for research, prevention, and control has been limited, and therapeutic options remain unsatisfactory. Consequently, several editorials and perspectives have recently drawn attention to Chagas disease and *T. cruzi* [8–10]. While these papers highlighted the impact of this disease on public health in the Americas, and rightly pointed out that major achievements have been made in its control, they failed to emphasize several key challenges that are currently undermining these achievements and that must be urgently addressed in order to move to the next stage: ensuring the long-term and sustainable control of this devastating disease.

Etiology, Distribution, and Clinical Manifestations

Chagas disease (also known as American trypanosomiasis) is named for the Brazilian physician Carlos Chagas, who discovered the disease exactly a century ago and published its first description in 1909. It is caused by the protozoan parasite *T. cruzi* and is found in wildlife, domestic animals, and humans throughout much of rural as well as peri-urban areas of Mexico, Central America, and South America. In the US *T. cruzi* has been reported in dogs and a range of

wild animals (e.g., raccoons and opossums), with human cases being relatively rare [6,7]. *T. cruzi* is mainly transmitted through blood-feeding triatomine bugs, but can also occur congenitally [7,11], through blood transfusion [12] or organ transplantation [13], and through the ingestion of contaminated food or fluids [14]. The complex life cycle involves different parasite life stages in both vector and host, all highly adapted to their respective environments, which maximizes transmission potential and/or host immune evasion and, hence, long-term parasite survival (<http://www.dpd.cdc.gov/dpdx/HTML/TrypanosomiasisAmerican.htm#Life%20Cycle>).

Most infected people do not know that they have become infected, as—in the disease’s acute stage—the symptoms are benign (e.g., fever, swollen lymph glands, and, occasionally, an inflammatory reaction at the biting site or a swollen eye) or very rare (severe myocarditis or meningoencephalitis) [15]. Symptoms of acute infection may last up to a few weeks or months, and parasites may be found in the blood during this stage. Infections then remain largely asymptomatic (with few or no parasites found in the circulation), often for years or even decades, until up to 30% of patients develop chronic Chagas disease, i.e., cardiac or gastrointestinal complications, which if left untreated are severely debilitating

Funding: The ideas discussed in this article have benefited from the support to the authors over the years by a number of research-funding bodies, including the US National Institutes of Health (awards R01 AI-022070, R01 AI-033106, and P01 AI-044979 to RL); The Burroughs Wellcome Fund (RLT); the Sir Halley Stewart Trust (RR and REG); the UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, the European Commission’s INCO-DEV Program, and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (JAU); the Fogarty International Center and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (US National Institutes of Health / National Science Foundation Ecology of Infectious Disease Program award R01 TW05836 to UK and REG); and the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Técnica and the University of Buenos Aires (REG). The authors received no specific funding for this article.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Citation: Tarleton RL, Reithinger R, Urbina JA, Kitron U, Gürtler RE (2007) The challenges of chagas disease—Grim outlook or glimmer of hope? PLoS Med 4(12): e332. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040332

Copyright: © 2007 Tarleton et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Rick L. Tarleton is at the Center for Tropical and Emerging Global Diseases and Department of Cellular Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, United States of America. Richard Reithinger is with the Clinical Trials Area, Westat, Rockville, Maryland, United States of America, the School of Medicine and Health Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, D. C., United States of America, and the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom. Julio A. Urbina is at the Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas, Venezuela, and the Drugs for Neglected Diseases Initiative, Geneva, Switzerland. Uriel Kitron is at the Center for Zoonoses Research, University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois, United States of America. Ricardo E. Gürtler is with the Department of Ecology, Genetics and Evolution, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tarleton@cb.uga.edu

The Neglected Diseases section focuses attention either on a specific disease or describes a novel strategy for approaching neglected health issues in general.



doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040332.g001

Figure 1. Chagas Disease Is Closely Linked to Poverty and Socioeconomic Development, and Poor Housing In Particular

Example of a typical household environment in Chagas disease–endemic areas: mud-and-thatch house with a front veranda (in the background) and storage area (in the front), located in the dry Chaco forest around Amamá village, northern Argentina.

and in many cases, fatal [15]. Chagas cardiomyopathy, which may result in cardiac arrhythmias, apical aneurysm, congestive heart failure, thromboembolism, and sudden cardiac death (Figures 3 and 4), is the most common form of cardiomyopathy in South and Central America and the leading cause of cardiovascular death in disease-endemic areas [16]. In people with suppressed immune systems (e.g., due to HIV/AIDS or chemotherapy), Chagas disease can reactivate with abundant parasites found in the blood and tissues. Because of its potentially long asymptomatic phase, however, Chagas disease is often considered a “silent killer” [9], which is one of several reasons why it fails to attract media attention.

Grim Outlook 1: The Challenge of Vector Control

Tools to manage Chagas disease are numerous but are severely limited with regard to crucial aspects of prevention, detection, and treatment. Current vector control methods and strategies have significant limitations, diagnostics are variable and of unknown reliability, drugs for treatment are inadequate, and vaccines are nonexistent. Yet some bright spots exist, such as the decrease in transmission that has been achieved through control of insect vectors and screening of blood and blood products.

The “Southern Cone” initiative [17] has knocked down transmission rates dramatically in the southern tier of South America and is credited with interrupting vector-borne transmission via *Triatoma infestans* in Uruguay, Chile, and Brazil, primarily through insecticidal spraying of houses. However, elimination of *T. infestans* (one of the program’s stated objectives) has not been achieved in the core of its distribution throughout the hyperendemic Gran Chaco region spanning northern Argentina, Paraguay, and Bolivia. Success may even be more difficult elsewhere in Latin America where there are many different vector species, each with distinct feeding and infestation behaviors, while in some endemic

countries, notably Mexico, no control programs for this disease have ever been established. Among the many problems with exclusive reliance on insecticides is that prevention of re-infestation of houses by the insect vectors requires repeated spraying, which also promotes the development of insecticidal resistance—an outcome that is already documented in Argentina [18] and is increasingly detected in Bolivia. There are alternative insecticides, but their use is accompanied by added problems of higher costs or increased toxicity.

The decentralization of health services in many endemic countries combined with declining funding for control efforts has transferred the burden of control to provinces or local communities that are ill-equipped to supply these services. Even if vector control were better coordinated and fully funded, eradication of either the many domestic vector species or the parasites is not feasible for this zoonosis. Potentially, improvements in housing could permanently reduce vectorial transmission. However, at a minimum cost of US\$200 to more than US\$2,000 per house [19], such improvements will have to await significant economic development in endemic areas or specific investments for housing improvements on the order of US\$10 billion.

Grim Outlook 2: The Challenge of Chemotherapies and Vaccines

The current chemotherapies for *T. cruzi* infection and Chagas disease have many shortcomings, as do those in drug discovery and development. The available compounds for treatment, benznidazole (Rochagan, Roche Pharmaceuticals) and nifurtimox (Lampit, Bayer HealthCare), both have severe side effects, require long courses of treatment, and exhibit variable efficacy [20]. At present, the World Health Organization and other sources indicate that these drugs are active only in the acute and short-term (up to a few years) chronic phase (<http://www.who.int/tdr/diseases/chagas/direction.htm>). A number of studies now provide reason to

question this recommendation [21–27]. Although some of these studies were non-controlled and used cure parameters that may be debatable because of the absence of a diagnostic gold standard (see below), they have been remarkably consistent in showing moderate to significant efficacy in long-term chronic infections. Thus, whilst waiting for the results of, for example, the BENEFIT trial (<http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct/show/NCT00123916?order=1>) evaluating the efficacy of benznidazole in chronic Chagas disease patients (expected to be completed in 2011), and unless or until better drugs are available, benznidazole and nifurtimox should be more widely used, based on the published evidence that such compounds may reduce the parasite burden and moderate disease progression in all stages of the disease [21–28]. It would therefore be ethically questionable to restrict the drugs' use to only patients with a defined duration of (acute and short-term chronic) infection, as currently recommended. However, the decision to treat chronic patients with these drugs should be made on a case-by-case basis, only after thorough clinical assessment and with continuous monitoring of potential side effects.

Academic and other noncommercial drug discovery efforts have yielded an increasing number of targets and new drug candidates, but surprisingly few of these promising leads have moved beyond the discovery/candidate stage. This stalemate is due in part to limited funding for further research and development, but even with drugs that have been available for more than 25 years, such as benznidazole, definitive preclinical evidence of cure in animal models is strikingly absent. A recent report from the Wellcome Trust–supported Pharmaceutical Research and Development Policy Project concluded that there is increasing industrial interest and progress in drug development for neglected diseases [29]—but this is certainly not the case for Chagas disease. Indeed, promising compounds such as Schering-Plough's posaconazole have yet to be further pursued as anti-*T. cruzi* drugs, despite extensive and promising preclinical data [20].

The success of drug treatment in arresting disease progression in subjects who have been infected for more than 20 years [21,22], coupled with a wealth of other data, has recently prompted a welcome shift toward studying Chagas disease as a problem of parasite persistence, rather than primarily as a problem of an inappropriate or imbalanced immune response [30]. This shift has also generated more interest in vaccines as a vehicle for control or treatment. Even so, vaccine development for *T. cruzi* infection has had a very slow start and remains nonexistent as a strategy for control or prevention. There are substantial potential problems with vaccines for Chagas disease, not the least of which include how one would test a conventional prophylactic vaccine for an infectious illness that is rarely detected until years or decades after the initial infection. However, given the cost-effective nature of vaccines and potential innovative applications (e.g., in transmission blocking or as therapeutics), more research is warranted, and vaccines should be included in the overall long-term strategy for control, prevention, and treatment of *T. cruzi* infection.

Key Needs and Opportunities: Diagnostics and Integrated Vector Control


One of the key issues concerning Chagas disease is that of diagnosis. Without effective diagnostics, infected individuals



doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040332.g002

Figure 2. Interior of House Showing the Type of Wall Construction Often Found in Households in Many Endemic Areas
Cracks in walls are commonly found, inhabited by insects, as evidenced by the insect feces on wall and wall hangings.

cannot be identified and thus treated, and the effectiveness of treatment cannot be efficiently assessed. Moreover, the effectiveness of any control campaign, whether targeted at insect vectors, blocking of transmission, or vaccination of individuals, cannot be measured without competent diagnostics. Transmission via blood transfusion or tissue transplantation has been a point of concern for many years in Latin America but has only come to the fore in the US and Europe as the number of immigrants unknowingly carrying *T. cruzi* has increased. Most current serological tests, whether developed in-house or purchased commercially, employ crude antigen preparations from inappropriate parasite life-cycle stages (i.e., epimastigotes—which are present in the insect vector but not in mammalian hosts). Development of tests using one or more recombinant proteins/peptides may be an improvement, but even these tests often provide inconsistent and/or unreliable results [31–33]. The absence of a true gold standard (i.e., a method to consistently detect the presence of parasites in those individuals with *T. cruzi* infection) makes evaluation of the sensitivity of serological tests difficult. It is standard practice to use test sera that are positive on multiple other serological tests to assess the sensitivity of new tests, proving that any new test is no worse, but not necessarily any better, than existing ones. However, it is well documented that individuals with confirmed infection are typed as inconclusive or negative on multiple existing serological tests [34–36]. The design of tests to detect these inconclusive or “conventional seronegative” subjects has not been a priority. Likewise, the development of technologies that can more rapidly assess treatment efficacy, diagnose congenital infections, or determine the impact of transmission control methods has been slow. Fortunately, the development of sensitive, accurate, and practical diagnostic methods is a highly tractable problem, given an appropriate level of investment and interest. The development of highly sensitive and specific diagnostic field and laboratory tools to determine active infection is a crucial requirement for moving the entire field forward in the research, clinical, and public health arenas.



doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040332.g003

Figure 3. Cineventriculogram of a 49-Year-Old Male with Chagas Heart Disease
The picture shows the apical aneurysm (sacular) with normal coronary arteries.


Although vector management has been the foundation of the overall strategy for prevention of *T. cruzi* infection, this area is also ripe for improvements. Despite the long-held promises of vector suppression through residual spraying with pyrethroid insecticides, current procedures often fail to eliminate triatomine bugs, especially in semiarid rural areas and in peridomestic habitats [37]. The lack of simple, sensitive tools for early detection of low-density populations of triatomine bugs that reappear after insecticide spraying undermines the effectiveness of control and elimination programs. Traditional high-risk settings require integrated control programs that are tailored to local environmental and sociocultural characteristics and employ a long-term perspective. The bust-and-boom cycles of the recent past, largely dependent on available funding, demonstrate that the effectiveness of Chagas disease vector control as currently practiced is limited.

On the other hand, progress in related research can help counter these shortcomings in vector control strategies. Our understanding of the eco-epidemiology of infection has significantly improved in recent years, especially with the application of geospatial analytical tools. These advances have allowed the development of infection and disease transmission models [38] as well as the more targeted planning of prevention and control strategies coupled with continued surveillance (e.g., identification of so-called transmission “hotspots” or loci of bug re-infestation) [39]. Several tools to prevent infection and to control (peri-) domestic bug populations have been evaluated, including insecticide-treated bed-nets [40] and dog collars [41], and optimum insecticide doses in peridomestic habitats. These

tools have been shown to effectively reduce the contact of humans or reservoir dogs with the triatomine vector of *T. cruzi*. Bed-nets and collars would become particularly attractive options if they were used in an integrated approach aimed at controlling other vector-borne diseases such as malaria and leishmaniasis, respectively. The key issue is whether or not these new tools can be applied successfully at geographic scales ranging from individual villages to entire regions where current methods are not sufficient for the desired objective (control versus elimination).

Glimmer of Hope

The problems of Chagas disease are many, but they are not insurmountable. There are numerous *partial* solutions already at hand that, if used in a coordinated manner, and with consideration of the unique characteristics of endemic areas (e.g., rural underdevelopment, poverty, lack of adequate housing, and increasingly decentralized health services), could have a significant impact. The entities that will fund and coordinate such an integrated effort remain to be identified, but clearly the involvement of the public sector is essential. Better diagnostics, drugs, and improved approaches to vector control programs will require more and better research, sharper focus, and greater rigor on the part of the research community. In addition, contributions from governments, the private sector, and nongovernmental organizations will be needed to establish the infrastructure for testing drugs and control methods as well as the platforms to develop and implement effective and affordable diagnostic tests. Fortunately, the research community has provided the majority of potential drug, vaccine, and diagnostic candidates



doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040332.g004

Figure 4. Chest X-Ray of 4 Different Patients with Chagas Heart Disease

A: normal; B: mild cardiomegaly; C: moderate cardiomegaly; D: severe cardiomegaly with pulmonary congestion.

and, via the sequencing of the *T. cruzi* genome and proteomes [42,43], has presented multiple leads for drug targets and diagnostic/vaccine candidates. However, neither for-profit nor nonprofit companies have taken on the challenges of developing these leads further. Effective scientific, philanthropic, and political leadership and forward-thinking coordination of a community effort in this realm, at both local and regional levels, is badly needed if we want to make significant inroads into this devastating disease. Ultimately, the success of such efforts will be heavily dependent on the long-term stability and prospects for economical, societal, and political development in the Americas. ■

Supporting Information

Alternative Language Text S1. Translation of the article into Spanish by JAU

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040332.sd001 (71 KB DOC).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Drs. Anis Rassi and Julio Lazzari for critical comments on the manuscript; we also thank Dr. Carla Cecere for providing us with the picture for Figure 2 and Dr. Rassi for providing us with the pictures for Figures 3 and 4; REG provided Figure 1. The opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and may not reflect the positions of their employing organizations.

References

1. World Health Organization (2004) The World Health Organization report 2004. Changing history. Available: <http://www.who.int/whr/2004/en/>. Accessed 19 November 2007.
2. Hotez PJ, Molyneux DH, Fenwick A, Ottesen E, Ehrlich Sachs S, et al. (2006) Incorporating a rapid-impact package for neglected tropical diseases with programs for HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, and malaria. *PLoS Med* 3: e102. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030102

3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2007) Blood donor screening for chagas disease—United States, 2006–2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 56: 141–143.
4. Riera C, Guarro A, Kassab HE, Jorba JM, Castro M, et al. (2006) Congenital transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Europe (Spain): A case report. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 75: 1078–1081.
5. Food and Drug Administration (2006) Product approval information licensing action. ORTHO *T. cruzi* ELISA Test System. Available: <http://www.fda.gov/cber/products/tyorth121306.htm>. Accessed 19 November 2007.
6. Beard CB, Pye G, Steurer FJ, Rodriguez R, Campman R, et al. (2003) Chagas disease in a domestic transmission cycle, southern Texas, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis* 9:103–105.
7. Dorn P, Pernicario L, Yabsley MJ, Roellig DM, Balsamo G, et al. (2007) Autochthonous transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, Louisiana. *Emerg Infect Dis* 13: 605–607.
8. [No authors listed] (2006) Chagas' disease—An epidemic that can no longer be ignored. *Lancet* 368: 619.
9. Maguire JH (2006) Chagas' disease—Can we stop the deaths? *N Engl J Med* 355: 760–761.
10. Schofield CJ, Jannin J, Salvatella R (2006) The future of Chagas disease control. *Trends Parasitol* 22: 583–588.
11. Gürtler RE, Segura EL, Cohen JE (2003) Congenital transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in Argentina. *Emerg Infect Dis* 9: 29–32.
12. Young C, Losikoff P, Chawla A, Glasser L, Forman E (2007) Transfusion-acquired *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection. *Transfusion* 47: 540–547.
13. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2006) Chagas disease after organ transplantation—Los Angeles, California, 2006. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 55: 798–800.
14. Benchimol Barbosa PR (2006) The oral transmission of Chagas' disease: An acute form of infection responsible for regional outbreaks. *Int J Cardiol* 112:132–133.
15. Prata A (2001) Clinical and epidemiological aspects of Chagas disease. *Lancet Infect Dis* 1: 92–100.
16. Rassi A Jr, Rassi A, Little WC, Xavier SS, Rassi SG, et al. (2006) Development and validation of a risk score for predicting death in Chagas' heart disease. *N Engl J Med* 355: 799–808.
17. Dias JC, Silveira AC, Schofield CJ (2002) The impact of Chagas disease control in Latin America: A review. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 97: 603–612.
18. Picollo M, Vassena C, Santo Orihuela P, Barrios S, Zaidemberg M, et al. (2005) High resistance to pyrethroid insecticides associated with ineffective field treatments in *Triatoma infestans* (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) from Northern Argentina. *J Med Entomol* 42: 637–642.
19. Briceno-Leon R (1987) Rural housing for control of Chagas disease in Venezuela. *Parasitol Today* 3: 384–387.
20. Urbina JA, Docampo R (2003) Specific chemotherapy of Chagas disease: Controversies and advances. *Trends Parasitol* 19: 495–501.
21. Vioti R, Vigliano C, Lococo B, Armenti H, LaPuenta A, et al. (1998) Chronic chagasic cardiomyopathy: Clinical and serologic evolution with and without benznidazole in long-term follow-up. XIII World Congress of Cardiology; 26–30 April 1998; Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. pp. 697–701.
22. Vioti R, Vigliano C, Lococo B, Bertocchi G, Petti M, et al. (2006) Long-term cardiac outcomes of treating chronic Chagas disease with benznidazole versus no treatment: A nonrandomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 144: 724–734.
23. Andrade AL, Martelli CM, Oliveira RM, Silva SA, Aires AI, et al. (2004) Short report: Benznidazole efficacy among *Trypanosoma cruzi*-infected adolescents after a six-year follow-up. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 71: 594–597.
24. Pereira-Chiocola VL, Fragata-Filho AA, Levy AM, Rodrigues MM, Schenkman S (2003) Enzyme-linked immunoassay using recombinant transilidase of *Trypanosoma cruzi* can be employed for monitoring of patients with Chagas' disease after drug treatment. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 10: 826–830.
25. de Andrade AL, Zicker F, de Oliveira RM, Almeida Silva S, Luquetti A, et al. (1996) Randomised trial of efficacy of benznidazole in treatment of early *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection. *Lancet* 348: 1407–1413.
26. Sosa Estani S, Segura EL, Ruiz AM, Velazquez E, Porcel BM, et al. (1998) Efficacy of chemotherapy with benznidazole in children in the indeterminate phase of Chagas' disease. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 59: 526–529.
27. Sosa-Estani S, Segura EL (2006) Etiological treatment in patients infected by *Trypanosoma cruzi*: Experiences in Argentina. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 19: 583–587.
28. de Castro AM, Luquetti AO, Rassi A, Chiari E, Galvao LM (2006) Detection of parasitemia profiles by blood culture after treatment of chronic *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection. *Parasitol. Res* 99: 379–383.
29. Moran M, Ropars AL, Guzman J, Diaz J, Garrison C (2005) The new landscape of neglected disease drug development. The Wellcome Trust. Available: http://www.thegeorgeinstitute.org/shadomx/apps/fms/fmsdownload.cfm?file_uid=F2B06396-EEA0-851E-3049-C9A030AEDEF&siteName=iuh. Accessed 19 November 2007.
30. Tarleton RL (2001) Parasite persistence in the aetiology of Chagas disease. *Int J Parasitol* 31: 549–553.
31. Pirard M, Ihoshi N, Boelaert M, Basanta P, Lopez F, et al. (2005) The validity of serologic tests for *Trypanosoma cruzi* and the effectiveness of transfusional screening strategies in a hyperendemic region. *Transfusion* 45: 554–561.

32. Caballero ZC, Sousa OE, Marques WP, Saez-Alquezar A, Umezawa ES (2007) Evaluation of serological tests to identify human *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection and cross-reactivity with *Trypanosoma rangeli* and *Leishmania* spp cases. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 14: 1045-1049.
33. Silveira-Lacerda EP, Silva AG, Junior SF, Souza MA, Kesper N, et al. (2004) Chagas' disease: Application of TESA-blot in inconclusive sera from a Brazilian blood bank. *Vox Sang* 87: 204-207.
34. Wincker P, Bosseno MF, Britto C, Yaksic N, Cardoso MA, et al. (1994) High correlation between Chagas' disease serology and PCR-based detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* kinetoplast DNA in Bolivian children living in an endemic area. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 124: 419-423.
35. Salomone OA, Basquiera AL, Sembaj A, Aguerri AM, Reyes ME, et al. (2003) *Trypanosoma cruzi* in persons without serologic evidence of disease, Argentina. *Emerg Infect Dis* 9: 1558-1562.
36. Gutierrez R, Angulo VM, Tarazona Z, Britto C, Fernandes O (2004) Comparison of four serological tests for the diagnosis of Chagas disease in a Colombian endemic area. *Parasitology* 129: 439-444.
37. Gürtler RE, Canale DM, Spillmann C, Stariolo R, Salomon OD, et al. (2004) Effectiveness of residual spraying with deltamethrin and permethrin on peridomestic populations of *Triatoma infestans* in rural western Argentina: A district-wide randomized trial. *Bull World Health Organ* 82: 196-205.
38. Cohen JE, Gürtler RE (2001) Modeling household transmission of American trypanosomiasis. *Science* 293: 694-698.
39. Cecere MC, Vazquez-Prokopec GM, Gürtler RE, Kitron U (2006) Reinfestation sources for Chagas disease vector, *Triatoma infestans*, Argentina. *Emerg Infect Dis* 12: 1096-1102.
40. Kroeger A, Villegas E, Ordonez-Gonzalez J, Pabon E, Scorza JV (2003) Prevention of the transmission of Chagas' disease with pyrethroid-impregnated materials. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 68: 307-311.
41. Reithinger R, Ceballos L, Stariolo R, Davies CR, Gürtler RE (2006) Extinction of experimental *Triatoma infestans* populations following continuous exposure to dogs wearing deltamethrin-treated collars. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 74: 766-771.
42. Atwood JA 3rd, Weatherly DB, Minning TA, Bundy B, Cavola C, et al. (2005) The *Trypanosoma cruzi* proteome. *Science* 309: 473-476.
43. El-Sayed NM, Myler PJ, Bartholomeu DC, Nilsson D, Aggarwal G, et al. (2005) The genome sequence of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, etiologic agent of Chagas disease. *Science* 309: 409-415.

