

Summary: Disease Risk and Institutions

Summary: Disease Risk and Institutions

- **Structure of the poultry industry**
 - Some said 60% is too much
 - Data was from 1991 census
 - Main conclusion: need another census/more accurate data.
- **Better definitions of poultry systems classification (backyard etc.)**

Summary: Disease Risk and Institutions

- **Compensation and insurance:**
 - Comment that behaviour change, insurance etc are good but might not work and compensation should continue even though resources are limited.
 - Group: need for alternatives, insurance might be the best way to go. Cooperative insurance for smallholders might be a good way to small/backyard producers. Many in the group do not trust/believe in the insurance strategy. There were suggestions to tie insurance with compensation.

Summary: Disease Risk and Institutions

- **Risk**
 - Missing the role of susceptible mammals (pigs, dogs, etc.). Theoretically possible but realistic ? Suggestion that maybe more important as fomites. Some think it should be there especially in training.
 - Sacrificed for religious purpose might pose a risk to humans – group agreed that might be a risk for humans but not for animals.

Summary: Disease Risk and Institutions

- **Political will for risk communications**
 - **Involve religious leaders might be effective. Some say the communication should start ‘before’ the actual event.**
 - **Local vs state government: some say more will in federal but some states were quite committed. Kano state committed additional funding for compensation**

Summary: Disease Risk and Institutions

- The issue of mixing species and how to avoid it was raised but difficult to address. Education/information suggested as the possible pathway.