BRIEF REPORT ON THE QUALITATIVE RESEARCH SKILLS WORKSHOP NAIROBI, KENYA



RECOUP partners from Cambridge, Edinburgh and Kenya came together over nine days (from the 17th till the 25th of April) in a workshop on undertaking qualitative educational research in poor communities in the Kenyan context. This was the second of such workshops, the first having been held in Delhi in November 2006, and the next to be held in Accra in May 2007.

The main aim of this workshop was to orient Kenyan researchers with the intellectual and practical implications of the qualitative research to be undertaken in the qualitative subprojects in Theme 1 (human and social outcomes of education for the poor) and Theme 3 (partnerships and the delivery of schooling for the poor). The workshop consisted of 20 different sessions, ranging from discussions around what is good pro-poor qualitative research to a critical engagement with the strengths and weaknesses of selected research methods. Sessions were devoted to collecting and analysing life histories, photography, semi-structured interviews, and ethnographic fieldwork, all of which will be used for data collection purposes in the different sub-projects. The workshop also provided opportunities for members of the RECOUP team to discuss the intellectual foundations of the various sub-projects and to chart the future direction of the qualitative research work to be undertaken in Kenya.

Another noteworthy aspect of this workshop was a day long visit to two of the four Kenyan research sites (see photo of part of Nyeri town where the research will be carried out). All participants visited a rural and an urban setting. This visit was extremely useful in helping us all to develop a shared appreciation of the implications of the particular features of these sites (such as issues of logistics and distance from the capital, layout of the village, patterns of in- and out-migration) and also provided opportunities for practising various research skills, such as observations, and more importantly, how to record these observations.

The workshop developed a climate of trust and openness, which allowed researchers with varying backgrounds and research experiences to articulate concerns, practice research skills and clarify doubts in a relaxed and productive fashion. All the sessions were interactive and activity based, drawing on the skills and experiences of all the participants.

The workshop received positive evaluations, being rated as "highly relevant", fostering "participatory and interactive learning", and "friendly and easy". Indeed, there were suggestions for taking this learning forward through various other opportunities and these are being discussed.

Nidhi Singal, Roger Jeffery

29 January 2008

KENYA QUALITATIVE RESEARCH SKILLS WORKSHOP: An overview

	MORNING (Session I) (starting at 9:30am)	LUNCH	AFTERNOON (Session II) (wrapping up by 4:30-5:00pm)	POST SESSION TASK
Tuesday 17/4 Day 1	SESSION 1 RECOUP- overview of the project		SESSION 3 Discussions on the workshop design and expectations	ı
	SESSION 2 Presentations by the Kenyan team		SESSION 4 Qualitative Poverty Research	
Wed. 18/4 Day 2	SESSION 5 Community scoping Household Census Participatory Rural Approaches		SESSION 6 Ethnographic fieldwork: informal conversations, observation and field notes	Task 1 Fieldwork task
Thurs 19/4 Day 3	SESSION 7 Debriefing for Task 1 SESSION 8 Continuation of ethnographic fieldwork: issues around Recording and Translation		Semi-Structured: Individual interviews	Task 2
Fri 20/4 Day 4	PAR	TICIPANTS UNDERTAKE '	PARTICIPANTS UNDERTAKE TASK 2 & VISIT TO FIELD SITE	

Sun SESSION 13 Mon SESSION 13 Day 7 SESSION 14 Using Photography in Educational Research Using Potography in Educational Research Day 8 Wed SESSION 18 Wed SESSION 18 Wed SESSION 18 Wed SESSION 18 Day 9 Health and Fertility Day 9 Evaluation Evaluation Evaluation	Sat 21/4 Day 5	SESSION 10 Debriefing for Task 2 Developing a Coding frame		SESSION 11 Narratives of success SESSION 12 Life history and Oral history	Task 3 Related to life history
SESSION 13 Debricfing for Task 3 SESSION 14 Using Photography in Educational Research Youth, Gender and Citizenship SESSION 18 Health and Fertility	Sun 22/4 Day 6		SUNDAY		
SESSION 16 Youth, Gender and Citizenship SESSION 18 Health and Fertility	Mon 23/4 Day 7	SESSION 13 Debriefing for Task 3 SESSION 14 Using Photography in Educational Research		SESSION 15 Data Analysis II (Atlas-ti)	
SESSION 18 Health and Fertility	Tues 24/4 Day 8	SESSION 16 Youth, Gender and Citizenship		SESSION 17 Disability, Poverty and Education	
	Wed 25/4 Day 9	SESSION 18 Health and Fertility		SESSION 19 Public Private Partnerships SESSION 20 Issues regarding Publishing Evaluation	

EVALUATION OF THE KENYA WORKSHOP (Based on the Q that participants were invited to complete)

Total number of respondents: 11

IN YOUR VIEW, WHAT WAS THE BEST THING ABOUT THE WORKSHOP?

- An in-depth interrogation of the various concepts that are central to the project
- It was participatory and interactive learning
- The interaction that care and humbleness of every participant, especially the facilitators. The debates which had a good flow
- Learning in-depth about qualitative research.
- The participatory and simplicity mode o delivery mode utilised.
- Understanding the sub-projects, as interrelation within the overall project
- Learning qualitative techniques on analysis despite being a quantitative researcher
- Refining the huge concepts in terms of understanding the project
- The content and the mode of presentation. It was quite clear that a great deal of work/effort had gone into the preparation of the presentations
- Dissemination of the information very interesting. I always kept a track
- Mood friendly and easy

II) WHAT ARE YOUR SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT?

- Have more practical activities
- It was excellent
- Should last longer
- More time allocation
- Practicals- practical sessions required
- Reduction in the number of days
- More practical activities
- We definitely needed more time in terms of duration i.e., more days

• Less lecturing on some topics. Could have been good- e.g. Challenge participants to speak out their minds

III) PLEASE RATE THE STRUCTURE OF THE WORKSHOP

	Poor 1	Fair 2	Average 3	Good 4	Excellent 5
Timings			3	6	2
Lay out of the day		1		4	5

IV) PLEASE RATE THE **CONTENT** OF THE WORKSHOP

	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5
How relevant was the			1	2	8
workshop to your					
needs?					
Were the presentations			1	3	7
accessible?					
Were the discussions in			1	2	7
the sessions useful?					
Did we cover enough			3	3	5
topics					
Did we cover research			1	7	3
issues in enough depth?					

Additional comments:

- In general, the workshop was successful learning experience, as well as a capacity development opportunity
- Its good that the presentation were a challenge. That is what learning is meant to be
- More topics needed more discussions
- Facilitators well done. You did your best
- Practical aspect of the methods was limited
- Need more practical sessions on each method e.g., interview and photography
- Thank you for thinking about our country and especially the area of disability which is always overlooked

• Very informative I have gained a lot of valuable information I did not have before

PLEASE RATE THE STYLE OF THE WORKSHOP

	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent
	1	2	3	4	5
Did you feel that you had enough opportunities to participate?			1	5	5
Were the handouts useful?			1	3	7
Who would you rate the quality of the questions?				6	5

Additional comments:

- Considering most senior researchers are doctors and professors I felt a little limited especially where facts where needed
- Wonderful teachers
- Some questions looked complex for a beginner
- It was beyond my expectations and useful
- The processes of learning adopted were not only excellent but also democratic

PLEASE NOTE THE THREE MOST IMPORTANT THINGS THAT YOU HAVE LEARNT IN THIS WORKSHOP

High spirited team work Relationship between literature, projects and research process Detailed field notes teaching and writing Photo analysis by researcher-visa-photographer

Methods of data collection Observations- head and field notes making A bit of data analysis e.g., coding, listening to voices- because I had not done data analysis before

The whole conceptualisation of the project The mode of presentation – detailed, humorous, probing The importance of team work in achievement of different and sometimes related objectives/goals

More information about the project More research methods within qualitative research

In-depth understanding of RECOUP Introduction to qualitative analysis A bit of Indian culture

Project/sub-project detail and interrelation Depth in some methods (observations, photography) and new methods (life history) Researching with the disabled

Additional research methods Relationship between the project sub-themes Direction of the sub-theme on fertility

The difference between qualitative and quantitative Interviewing skills
Recording and use of tape recorder

Relation between poverty and disability Interviewing techniques Qualitative and quantitative research approaches

A researcher is an instrument Importance in taking time to design the research The new in depth knowledge in purposive research that was new to me

Qualitative data analysis approaches
The interrelationship between the various sub-projects
Models of understanding the concept of disability

IS THERE ANY FURTHER TRAINING THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AFTER THIS WORKSHOP? IF SO, PLEASE SPECIFY WHICH ASPECTS OU WOULD LIKE IT TO ADDRESS:

- Qualitative data analysis
- Endnote use- literature review
- Yes- data analysis, report writing and dissemination
- Qualitative data analysis using computer packages
- Yes. Need to learn more on qualitative data analysis using a computer

- What is Alats-ti?
- Participatory techniques for community study
- Computer data analysis
- Photography and more practical assignments
- Practicals = photography
- Practical skills
- Qualitative data analysis using the computer

PLEASE PROVIDE ANY FURTHER COMMENTS THAT YOU MIGHT WISH TO ADD:

- Kudos guys you are excellent. Just admire how resourceful you are. Thanks for giving out to us what you know wholeheartedly- will miss you all
- Enjoyed the workshop it was very participatory
- Lets have more workshops of similar nature to enable us to acquire all the necessary skills ad knowledge in qualitative research
- Impressed by the facilitators participatory approach during their individual sessions I felt a part of the workshop
- It would have been better if we did practise in real after every session to enhance what is expected of us
- Bravo!!!
- Thank you for initiating the workshop. I hope that you will come again and hold another
- The workshop was a success

Kenya workshop – participants list

Participants from Kenya

Violet Gatwiri Ribu Purity Mumbi Njagi Violet Khalayi Wawire Hadija Warimi Juma Zachariah Kweyu Samson Dr. Rachdel W. Kamau- Kawgethe Ndiritu John K Francis Likoye Malenya Sara Jerop Ruto Paul Kuria Wainaina Fatuma N Chege

UK Participants

Chris Roger Madeleine Shaila Nidhi