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Some countries have done well and others have not. Why? Answers are not all that clear.

Is research stuck in describing and elaborating exclusion and poor performance – ignoring why exclusion and disparity persist?

Shall we not have more mileage from focusing on political economy of exclusion?

Let’s look at the case of Bangladesh.
Basic Education Access – the big picture is well-known

- Not dis-similar to the big picture in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa
- Good progress in nominal access (initial enrollment)
- Large gap between gross and net enrollment - high over-age for grade
- Gender gap eliminated in nominal access – an exceptional achievement
Big Picture…

- High dropout resulting in high non-completion of basic education
- High proportion of time-servers - not engaged in learning
- Extremely poor achievement of students and performance of schools from time and effort of students and teachers spent and public and family expenditure in basic education – poor value for money
Some key features of basic education in Bangladesh – not necessarily unique

*Revealed or re-affirmed by CREATE country analytic review and collected field data -*

- Multiple providers – state, quasi-state, and non-state – a persistent pattern;
- State dominance without full responsibility and accountability
- Diversity in provisions - a historic evolution, rather than a deliberate strategy and policy choice
Key features…

Critical weaknesses in education governance and management:

- Diverse/multiple providers + highly centralised public sector management prevent area-based planning/management of UPE and transparency
- Very weak school level authority, decision-making, control of resources and accountability
- Result—unreliable basic management information and weak planning and management (and poor outcome!)
- E.g., difficulty in reconciling household and school data on participation, dropout and completion; lack of systemic performance and outcome indicators.
Key features...

- Education financing – low total & per student expenditure - key obstacle to quality assurance.
- Financing pattern reinforces inequity – lack of rationale and criteria in supporting multiple provisions, or compensating household and private spending;
- Diversity in educational provisions without equity-enhancing regulatory and governance framework reinforces inequity and pre-existing socio-economic divisions in society.
Research agenda and process

- Much of research including CREATE focused on refining and sharpening known big picture.
- Strong points in CREATE Bangladesh studies:
  - Zones of exclusion framework allows a broader vision of access;
  - Child cohorts tracked and conditions for participation in sentinel sites explored;
  - Participatory research-partnership w/local NGOs
- Hindsight suggests – neglect of political economy of decision-making - how technically valid solutions are undermined by contextual conditions and dynamics of power and interests at national and local levels.
Limitations encountered

- A “technicist” approach - focus on technically valid solution in school and community– did not address higher order governance issues, political economy dynamics at national and regional level, macro-level financing issues.

- Multiple provisions and ambivalent relationship between state and other actors led to local education authorities’ reluctance to cooperate in CREATE field work.

- Focus on probing access at local level in itself insufficient basis for national policy discourse.
Opportunities for policy discourse that may make a difference

A pragmatic approach?

- Greater attention to analysis and advocacy strategy for policy discourse, focusing on
- Right balance of policy discourse upstream informed by understanding of determinants of policy implementation downstream, and
- Probing into motivation and interests of key constituencies/stakeholders at national and local levels; engaging them in dialogue.
Opportunities …

Opportunities for discourse & influencing policy arising from necessity and government interest in:

- Formulation of workable SWAPs in primary and secondary education in 2010
- Formulation of a five-year national development plan in 2010, moving away from PRSP
- New national education policy initiative based on political manifesto of the newly elected Government, 2009-10
- Rationalization of educational finance for basic education
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