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Policy Motivation and Research: According to national accounts data for developing countries, value added per worker is on average four times higher in the non-agriculture sector than in agriculture. Taken at face value this “agricultural productivity gap” suggests that labor is greatly misallocated across sectors in the developing world, and that policy makers should take steps to encourage workers to shift out of agricultural production and into the non-agricultural activities. In this paper we draw on new micro evidence from a large set of developing countries to ask to what extent the gap is still present when better measures of inputs and outputs are taken into consideration. We find that even after considering sector differences in hours worked and human capital per worker, it still appears that workers in the non-agricultural sector earn far higher wages than agricultural workers.

Policy Impact: Our findings suggest that there may be large reductions in poverty rates associated with workers moving out of the agriculture sector. While policy makers often discourage movement of workers out of rural agricultural employment and into urban areas, our results suggest that they may be passing up an important channel for poverty reduction. Put differently, the benefits of movement from agricultural to non-agricultural employment seem to be large in the developing world; policy makers should take these benefits into consideration when they decide how to manage internal migration.

Audience: Our target audience is policy makers in developing countries responsible for policies pertaining to (i) internal migration, and (ii) employment, broadly defined.

Implications: The average income of non-agriculture workers is roughly twice as high as that of agriculture workers, even after adjusting for average hours worked and education level of the household. This suggests that workers who migrate out of rural agriculture areas and into nonagricultural employment would have a high probability of moving out of poverty.

Summary of Research: In this project, we assess the role of measurement in accounting for the large “agricultural productivity gaps” observed in developing countries. To do so, we develop a new database of internationally comparable sectoral measures of human capital per worker, hours worked per worker, and value added constructed from household survey data (as opposed to national income accounts). We then use these data to ask whether the agricultural productivity gap in developing countries is still present once existing productivity numbers are adjusted using these improved measures of sectoral inputs and outputs. Our analysis addresses a basic, yet unanswered question: how much of the agricultural productivity gaps are due to problems of omitted factors and mismeasurement, as opposed to real differences in productivity?

To answer this question, we consider a sequence of adjustments to the data on agriculture’s shares of value added and employment. In the first set of adjustments, we construct measures of hours worked by sector for 51 developing countries and measures of human capital by sector for 98 countries, and use them to create improved measures of the labor input in agriculture. We also make adjustments for potential quality differences in schooling in urban and rural areas.
we ask whether the measures of sector value added found in national accounts data are consistent with
evidence from household surveys of income and consumption. We construct value added by sector from
household data using our own new methodology, and apply it to the World Bank’s Living Standards
Measurement Studies (LSMS) for ten developing countries. We find that sectoral income measures from
the LSMS surveys are quite similar to those found in the national accounts.

We conclude that the agricultural productivity gaps in developing countries are most likely due to real
differences in income by sector, rather than being artifacts of measurement error in national accounts
data or simple differences in the composition of workers by sector. We find that even after all of our
adjustments, the agricultural productivity gap is around two in the average developing country.

Implementation:

When implementing rural-urban migration policy, the costs of having more migration must be weighed
against the benefits. This paper can be thought of as providing an estimate of the benefit to the average
potential migrant, which is a doubling of income on average after migrating. This will lead to potentially
large reductions in poverty rates given high enough rates of migration. The costs of migration include
more demand on urban infrastructure, such as roads, electricity grids, and water and sewer lines, and the
possibility of higher crime rates. Our paper does not provide an assessment of these costs, and indeed
they may be hard to estimate in general. Still, it would be a mistake for policy makers to only consider
those costs when deciding rural-urban migration policy.

Further Reading:

The work of Beegle, De Weerdt, and Dercon (2011) provides a very concrete look at the effects on rural-
urban migrants in Tanzania. Looking at individuals who moved out of rural Kagera province from 1994
to 2004, poverty rates decline by 23 percentage points, compared to just 4 percent for persons remaining
in Kagera. The reductions were even higher for the subset of workers who transitioned from agriculture
to non-agricultural work.

Bryan, Chowdhury, and Mobarak (2011) conduct an interesting controlled experiment pertaining to
internal migration in the developing world. The authors find that by providing rural farmers with a
very small amount of cash, plus a list of potential employers in a nearby city, they are far more likely
to migrate during the slow agricultural season. Furthermore, these workers and their families are much
more likely to exit poverty than other workers who stayed behind.
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