Gendered Impacts of Globalization: Employment and Social Protection

Globalization has led to increases in female labour force participation, reducing the gap between women’s and men’s labour force participation rates. However this increase has not necessarily translated into gender equality in pay and status, as women’s entrance in the labour force has often been on unfavourable terms. This brief draws on a review of existing literature conducted by UNRISD in 2012.

This brief focuses on four sets of issues.
1. How globalization has affected the female labour force.
2. Constraints to improving labour market outcomes for women.
3. How social protection affects women’s living standards and economic security under conditions of globalization.
4. The policy and programme implications.

How has globalization affected the female labour force?

Globalization often refers to the deepening of international economic relations. It is a historic phenomenon but recent interpretations have focused on policies of greater financial liberalization, trade liberalization, deflationary macroeconomic policies, fiscal restraint, privatization and the introduction of market principles into the public sector. An increase in employment, such as in export-oriented manufacturing firms and capitalist farms producing horticultural export crops, has benefited some women, giving them their first discretionary income or a greater say in the allocation of household resources. But there are downsides as well.

- The narrowing of the gender gap in economic participation has not produced commensurate gender equality in pay and status; predominantly due to occupational sex segregation, women largely remain in lower paid occupations.
- An increase in the female labour force has coincided with an increase in informal and unprotected forms of work in general, and among women in particular, limiting their relative access to employment-related social protection (for example, maternity leave, health insurance, old age pension). In most regions of the world, informal employment constitutes a larger proportion of women’s total employment than of men’s.
- The division of reproductive work in the private sphere, such as rearing of children, looking after other dependents and looking after the home, has not altered in favour of women despite their increased participation in the labour force. This work is indispensable to the functioning of a “productive” economy; but it is often invisible in economic statistics and unrecognized in public policy making. A recent estimate based on six countries, most of them developing, showed that if unpaid domestic and care work were assigned a monetary value it would constitute between 10 and 39 percent of GDP (Budlender 2008).

What are the constraints to improving labour market outcomes for women?

Labour markets are shaped by social norms and power inequalities. Therefore, the impact of globalization on employment is experienced differently by men and women.

- Women tend to have less access to working capital, labour (of others), social contacts, and different types of skills and experiences than men. These differences are themselves often the outcomes of gender-based barriers and inequalities.

Between 1980 and 2008 the gender gap in labour force participation narrowed from 32 to 26 percent. This has been attributed to a combination of factors:
- increasing demand for female labour which is cheaper and perceived to be more suited to the type of work that has increased through international trade and export production;
- pressure on women to seek paid work in order to replace or complement the (falling) earnings of other household members, due to the impact of economic crises; and
- a growing tendency on the part of women to seek paid work because of their rising levels of education, falling fertility rates and changing aspirations.
The formal and informal practices of labour markets often reflect the gender norms of society. For example, men and women have access (or are deemed appropriate for) different kinds of jobs, with women’s occupations tending to be lower paid.

Women and men have different roles in and relationships to reproductive work. Women are often constrained by social obligations (for example, the need to care for their dependents) and social norms (such as restrictions on their physical movement) in accessing labour market opportunities. The cultural acceptability of paid work, especially outside the home, the varying effects of women’s life course, and the status of their households all affect women’s experiences of paid work.

The macroeconomic policies that have dominated the past three decades have not generated sufficient employment for women that is of decent quality.

Financial liberalization—The opening-up of capital markets to external flows has increased financial volatilities and crises, with negative consequences for investment and growth. In some contexts women have been propelled into the labour force, and often into the more precarious forms of work, to cover for volatile or declining household incomes in times of crisis. Among developing and emerging economies, women are more likely than men to work in cyclically volatile industries, especially where export-oriented sectors that primarily employ women are large. The consequence is that women face higher rates of unemployment or stronger wage pressures when economic crises take hold.

Inflation targeting—Maintaining a policy focus on price stability has, in practice, also meant keeping inflation extremely low, typically around 2 percent for advanced economies and less than 5 percent for developing economies. Maintaining such extremely low inflation rates tends to restrict growth and employment, with differing impacts on men and women. Although periods of inflation decline are associated with employment losses for both men and women, women lose more employment in percentage terms. However, in the few cases where employment has expanded during periods of declining inflation, men have experienced larger employment gains than women.

Trade liberalization—The increase in the number of countries expanding their exports of labour-intensive manufacturing commodities has contributed to driving down the relative prices ("terms of trade") of those goods on the global market. This can be especially damaging for women, who tend to be concentrated in the types of industries that are most exposed to international competition. In countries where manufacturing industries have upgraded their products to escape adverse terms of trade, it has been difficult for women to gain access the new higher skill, higher wage export-sector jobs.

Fiscal constraints and public sector reforms—Governments have come under pressure to reduce the size of the state, including through the privatization of state-owned enterprises (SOEs). As SOEs are typically capital intensive and employ a higher share of men, privatization has had a more negative impact on male employment. However, since a significant proportion of public sector workers are women, and public sector employment constitutes an important share of women’s total formal employment, the downsizing of the public sector is proportionately harder on women.

Transnational labour flows—Despite the liberalization of trade and finance, transnational labour flows have been constrained during the present era of globalization as countries adopt measures to restrict the movement of people, selecting the types of migrants they need/want (such as IT workers, but also some feminized occupations like nursing). Demand for domestic/care workers has grown as economic pressures increase the number of women seeking such employment, and as income inequalities within countries create the demand for personal services. This has resulted in a “care deficit” within some poorer countries, as women leave their families and children behind to seek paid work across the globe, often in unprotected forms of work.

How does social protection affect gender inequalities in the context of globalization?

In the context of increasing insecurities, many governments, development agencies and advocacy groups are turning to social protection (social assistance programmes) as key mechanisms for reducing the adverse impact on people.
Some of these programmes—for example, child-centred cash transfer schemes—explicitly target women. In some countries, especially in Latin America, social assistance programmes have significantly expanded their reach in recent years. Social insurance programmes have tended to exclude women as direct beneficiaries, given that many women are employed in informal or casual forms of labour and are often not eligible for benefits associated with formal employment.

**Pensions**

Historically, women have not been well covered in pension programmes. The gendered nature of paid and unpaid work has tended to exclude women as direct recipients of contributory pension programmes. Pension privatization in the 1990s linked benefit levels more closely to individual work histories and contributions, and therefore penalized women for their shorter labour market history, lower earnings and higher life expectancy.

However, more recent reforms attempt to address the bias against low-income, informal workers and women. Latin America has seen experiments in the development of basic pensions detached from contributory history for the lowest income groups (Arza 2012). This has involved the establishment of a non-contributory universal pension, including child credits to recognize unpaid care work, and the adoption of gender-neutral mortality tables for calculating benefits.

**Child and family-centred cash transfers**

Social assistance programmes, especially child/family cash transfer schemes, have increased in recent years. When well designed and implemented, they provide recipients, including many women, with a regular and reliable (although sometimes small) source of income. Cash transfers can also enhance access to public services: but they work well in countries where strong public provision of essential social services exists, or where extensive efforts are simultaneously made to expand and improve the public delivery of such services. However, policy makers also need to consider how child-centred cash transfers may negatively impact women, for example, through conditionalities that require additional unpaid work from time-pressured mothers.

**Public works programmes**

Public works programmes respond to situations where households are not able to find paid work and/or not able to meet their basic needs with the earnings derived from such work.

India’s National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA) guarantees 100 days of work per registered household. NREGA offers minimum wages, gender parity of wages and provides basic worksite facilities. Women comprise 49.5 per cent of total employment. Although the impacts vary, one study finds that the programme has been effective in raising the minimum wage in the local area and in minimizing the gap between women’s actual wages and minimum wages (Dasgupta and Sudarshan 2011). However, the scheme guarantees work per rural household not per individual. Given rural power inequalities it risks putting women at the end of the queue. It also provides work that is arduous and depleting, especially (but not only) for women.

**Care leave and services**

Access to quality child care services and paid leave such as maternity/parental leave can enhance women’s employment prospects. For example, several middle-income countries have expanded the responsibilities of the state to provide formal and non-formal, or community-based, forms of care and pre-school education that help working mothers. However, the quality of services varies greatly for different income/social groups, and the employment conditions characterizing care work can be exploitative in the non-formal and “community” crèches. While care services can be an important source of jobs (for women and men) the policy challenge is to shift from reliance on informal market and voluntary provision, which often leads to exploitative work conditions and poor services, to professional and decently paid forms of care. Both workers and care recipients would benefit from such a shift.
Policy and programme implications for gender equality

Tight monetary and fiscal policies, and free trade and capital flows, have proved not to be conducive to improvements in well-being and gender equality.

- The liberalization of trade and capital flows should not be pursued as ends in themselves. Rather, trade and foreign direct investment (FDI) policies must serve as tools of development.

If economic growth is to be broadly shared, it is necessary to introduce a set of labour market policies and related interventions that can affect wages and working conditions in diverse employment situations, and rectify gender imbalances and discriminatory practices.

- Wage workers and the self-employed need better social protection, through registration of enterprises and registration in social insurance programmes (health, maternity, old age).

- Legislation on minimum wage (indexed to inflation) and anti-discrimination, as well as the regulation of working conditions, can be other useful tools for wage workers. However, these policies require greater regulatory capacity on the part of the state and workers’ organizations/trade unions.

The focus of macroeconomic policy should be on the generation of decent work and on improving social conditions, and not on income growth per se.

- Governments need to invest in areas such as well-functioning and accessible public health, public education and public care services that can also become a source of “decent” employment; broad-based and redistributive social insurance programmes; and public provision of a range of complementary goods and services such as clean water, subsidized food items, sanitation, electricity, transport and housing.
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