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**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Acquired immune deficiency syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERL</td>
<td>Bioenergy Resource Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCOM</td>
<td>Electricity Supply Corporation of Malawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHCO</td>
<td>Ethanol Company of Malawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISP</td>
<td>Farm Input Subsidy Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross Domestic Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRENA</td>
<td>International Renewable Energy Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG</td>
<td>Liquid petroleum gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERA</td>
<td>Malawian Energy Regulating Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDG</td>
<td>Millennium Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOCMA</td>
<td>National Oil Company of Malawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Units of Measurement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWK</td>
<td>Malawian Kwacha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Km</td>
<td>Kilometre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJ</td>
<td>Petajoules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>United States Dollars</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Introduction

This case study examines Malawi as an example of a low income oil importing country from the perspective of its vulnerability to shocks in oil price and supply. In 2010, Malawi was among the world’s 10 poorest countries according to the IMF. The 2009 UN Human Development Report indicated that 73.9% of the population lived below the poverty line of USD1.25 per day, and 90.4% lived on less than USD2 per day (Europa 2012:761). The country currently has no known sources of oil, and is thus entirely reliant on other countries for this form of energy.

The case study is organised according to five subsystems of the socio-economic system, namely: energy; transport; agriculture; macro-economy and society. Each of the first four major sections includes a brief overview of the subsystem, an analysis of its oil dependency, and a discussion of the likely impacts of oil shocks under business-as-usual policy environments. Section 5 analyses Malawi’s social vulnerabilities to oil shocks. The concluding section provides a summary of key strengths, vulnerabilities and likely impacts of oil shocks in each of the five subsystems.

2. Energy system

This section presents an overview of Malawi’s energy system and the role of oil and oil products in the country’s energy mix. It begins by considering the primary sources of energy supply, energy carriers and energy demand, and then looks more specifically at supply and demand for oil and petroleum products. Oil supply is discussed in terms of imports, biofuels and storage capacity, and the likely impacts of oil shocks on the energy system are discussed in the final subsection.

2.1. Overview of the energy system

The role of oil in Malawi’s energy system needs to be placed in the context of the country’s overall mix of energy supply and demand.

Primary energy supply

The figure below compares Malawi’s primary energy supply in 2000 and 2008, indicating a fairly consistent energy profile over the 8 year period. The country’s energy mix consists predominantly of biomass - firewood, crop residues, charcoal and animal dung – contributing to approximately 84% of primary supply. This is followed by oil and oil products at 11%, and hydro energy at 4% and coal and coal products at 1%. The 2% drop in biomass is matched by a 2% increase in the use of oil and oil products, indicating an increasing reliance on oil as a primary source of energy over the 8 year period.

---

1 The report forms part of a broader study commissioned by the United Kingdom Department for International Development entitled “Oil Shock Mitigation Strategies for Developing Countries”.

Figure 1: Total primary energy supply\(^2\) in 2000 and 2008

The breakdown of Malawi’s final energy consumption indicates that the country has not moved significantly toward modern energy carriers, and around 80% of consumption still takes the form of burning biomass. The chart below shows that electricity - sourced predominantly from 283MW of installed hydropower capacity on the Shire River (Chimwala 2012b) - is the second most accessed form of energy at only 8%. This figure is so low because only 8% of the Malawian population is currently connected to the electricity grid, and this is almost exclusively in urban and peri-urban areas. Whereas around 25% of urban households are serviced with electricity, only 1% of rural households share this privilege (REEEP 2012). To meet currently suppressed demand and projected future demand, Malawi would need an estimated additional 140 MW of available capacity in place by 2015 (REEEP 2012).

---

\(^2\) Excluding electricity trade.
In 2003, the Department of Energy Affairs compiled a National Energy Policy to shift energy use away from “traditional biomass” toward “modern” sources of energy - including electricity, liquid fuels and renewables - with the aim of reducing poverty, stimulating economic development and improving labour productivity (Government of Malawi 2009:12). According to Malawi’s Energy Regulating Authority (MERA), the country’s Energy Policy is committed to transforming its energy economy from one that is overly dependent on biomass to one that includes more modern energy. It aims to achieve this by:

1. Reducing the proportion of firewood in the energy mix, and increasing use of renewable energy technologies, coal, electricity and liquid fuels in the short run, as well as introducing nuclear energy in the longer term.

2. Promoting use of the country’s natural resources like water, coal, uranium, solar and wind energy as energy sources.

3. Exploiting by-products from the sugar industry as a sustainable source of biomass for energy production (MERA 2010).
The government’s intention is to reduce biomass reliance to 50% by 2020, replacing this mainly with electricity as shown in the figure above (Government of Malawi 2009:12). The country’s electricity supply is currently dominated by hydroelectric power (representing 94% of electricity), but its installed capacity of 283 MW falls short of the peak demand of 344 MW (Chimwala 2012b, REEEP 2012). Fortunately, Malawi has a number of other energy resources at its disposal, so in addition to constructing more hydropower stations it is investigating and investing in sources of energy such as solar energy, wind, biogas and coal (Chimwala 2012b, REEEP 2012). Wind is a particularly promising resource for Malawi, and researchers have calculated it has the potential to meet all of the country’s projected electricity demands until 2030 (REEEP 2012).

To date, progress on Malawi’s planned energy transition has been slow, and at current rates of change it is likely that biomass will still account for around 82% of total national energy in 2020 (Government of Malawi 2009:12). Part of the reason for the on-going popularity of biomass has been the limited availability, poor management and high costs of so-called “modern” sources of energy. Electricity is one of the more affordable energy options for households, but for the small percentage of Malawians in urban areas that are connected to the grid, frequent power failures, poor quality and unreliable supply have become the norm due to years of inadequate investment in transmission and distribution infrastructure by the Electricity Supply Corporation of Malawi (ESCOM) (REEEP 2012). Overloading and bottlenecks can be observed in many parts of the transmission system, requiring load-shedding during peak periods to avoid dangerous overloads on the lines and transformers. Most of the low-voltage (LV) distribution networks are operating beyond their design limits and outside of regulatory parameters, negatively affecting the quality and reliability of electricity supply (REEEP 2012).

For many, biomass will remain the energy source of choice for the foreseeable future. Although firewood can be considered to be a renewable local energy source if it is sustainably managed, Malawians source the bulk of wood from natural forests which leads to the destruction of 50,000 to 75,000 hectares of forest annually (REEEP 2012). In 2008, the country’s Annual Economic Report
estimated that 7.5 million tons of firewood and charcoal were consumed by households per annum, exceeding sustainable supply by 3.7 million tons (REEEP 2012). The National Forest Policy of 1996 recognises the value and importance of wood fuels and explicitly promotes the idea of sustainable wood fuel production as a commercial enterprise under certain conditions, but the entrenched belief amongst politicians and law enforcement authorities that wood fuels should not be encouraged has made it difficult to promote sustainable practices. Those responsible for granting licences for charcoal production under the 1997 Forestry Act have thus far not been sufficiently satisfied with any charcoal producer’s ability to meet the criteria, and as of 2009 no licences were in place. This effectively renders illegal any production of charcoal from indigenous trees in Malawi, with negative repercussions in terms of energy access for the poor (Government of Malawi 2009:15-17).

In recognition of such issues and the high likelihood that biomass will continue to be the country’s predominant form of affordable energy in the foreseeable future, the Malawi Biomass Energy Strategy of 2009 was developed to promote the biomass energy sector in a more proactive and positive way. Instead of dismissing biomass as an outdated and irrelevant energy source as the National Energy Policy has done, the strategy aims to improve the sustainable supply and efficiency of biomass so that it can continue to meet the needs of the poor for affordable energy, but in a way that contributes positively to the country’s economy and does not allow for over-exploitation of biomass resources (Government of Malawi 2009).

2.2. Oil dependence of the energy system

After biomass, oil and oil products are the second greatest contributors to the country’s primary energy mix, constituting 11%. This figure has grown since 2000, and is likely to increase further in accordance with the Department of Energy’s modernisation plans. The energy system’s dependence on oil will now be considered from the supply and demand side.

Supply of oil

Malawi has no known sources of oil and natural gas, and does not have facilities for refining oil. However, in October 2011 the government awarded exploration licenses to a UK-based company to investigate oil and gas reserves beneath Lake Malawi. While the extent of these reserves is not yet certain and the 50 year dispute with Tanzania over which country owns the lake continues, this may represent a significant opportunity for Malawi to reduce its dependence on imported oil and diversify its energy mix (Reuters 2012).

Malawi imports 97% of all refined petroleum products, including gasoline and jet fuel via Tanzania, Mozambique and South Africa (REEEP 2012, Government of Malawi 2009:23). In 2008, 7,209 barrels of refined petroleum products were imported into Malawi on average per day, and in 2011 the country’s petroleum consumption was estimated at 9,000 barrels per day (EIA 2012). As a landlocked country, its economy is highly dependent on liquid fuels for transportation, and for the importation of fuel itself. Fuel transport costs to the major market in Blantyre range between $90 and $150 per tonne, depending on the type of fuel being transported (Government of Malawi 2009:23). A January 2012 study found that Malawi had the highest retail gasoline price of 73 countries analysed, as indicated in the table below. The same was true for diesel prices (Kojima 2012:16).
Figure 4: Retail gasoline prices in January 2012 in USD per litre

Shortages in oil supply have a significant and immediate impact on Malawi’s economy as fuel reserves currently last only three days (Chimwala 2012a). In an effort to improve security of oil supply during times of crisis, the state-owned National Oil Company of Malawi (NOCMA) plans to spend $25 million on the construction of three new oil storage facilities in Mzuzu, Lilongwe and Blantyre by the end of 2013 (Khanje 2012). These will hold 15 million, 25 million and 20 million litres respectively (Chimwala 2012a), and together with the rehabilitation of old fuel facilities at Mchinji and Chipoka, these reserves should allow the country to sustain oil supply for about 15 days.

Reliance on imported liquid fuels renders Malawi vulnerable to shortages in supply and oil price fluctuations, and this has led to an increased focus on biofuels. Malawi has been using ethanol-blended fuel since the energy crisis in the early 1970s, with petroleum companies adopting a blending ratio of 10% ethanol to 90% petrol (Masina 2008:71). Under the 2009 Liquid Fuels and Gas
(Production and Supply) Regulations, it has become compulsory to blend all fuels with a minimum level of organic oxygenates of not less than 10% (PISCES 2011:5). Increasing the ethanol-petrol blending ratio has been identified as a means of minimising the foreign exchange impact of greater liquid fuel consumption (MERA 2010), although this does little to help fuel consumers as ethanol prices are based on import parity rather than the cost of production. The price of ethanol is pegged at 5% below the landed cost of petrol to ensure that blending remains financially attractive to suppliers, although MERA is reviewing this pricing policy (Government of Malawi 2009:20).

Malawi has two locally owned companies that produce ethanol from sugarcane - Ethanol Company of Malawi (ETHCO) and Presscane Limited. Each of their factories can produce 16 million litres of ethanol a year from, but in 2008 Presscane only produced 10.8 million litres of ethanol per year from its plant in Chikwawa while ETHCO’s Nkhota-kota plant produced closer to 7 million litres (Masina 2008:71). The Malawian government has funded a five year MWK140 million (USD1 million) research project to explore alternative sources of fuel for vehicles. The Department of Science and Technology in collaboration with ETHCO is investigating the conversion of petrol vehicles to dual-fuel vehicles that run on ethanol or a combination of petrol and ethanol in a single tank (Masina 2008:71).

Domestic production of biodiesel was recently boosted with the launch of an $8 million production plant by Bioenergy Resources Limited (BERL) in the Lilongwe region. Currently designed to convert 250t of Jatropha to 5,000 litres of biodiesel each day (approximately 1.8 million litres per year), the plan is to increase capacity fourfold in the coming years. In addition to providing liquid fuel for blending with paraffin and diesel, the nutrient rich seedcake produced as a by-product will be sold to farmers as a biofertiliser. This has an additional economic benefit as it can be used as a substitute for imported fertilisers that are typically derived from fossil fuels. Thousands of farmers are already benefitting from the Jatropha market, and the project is in line with the government’s efforts to diversify the economy by reducing dependence on tobacco as a cash crop, and empower rural communities (Chimwala 2012).

**Demand for oil**

There is no doubt that demand for oil and oil products is increasing in Malawi, and current levels of suppressed demand indicate that this trend is unlikely to change in the near future (assuming that fuel prices do not rise dramatically). As the graph below shows, consumption of petroleum has consistently increased since the country’s first democratic elections in 1993, although the pace of this growth has fluctuated over time.
Data on Malawi’s demand for oil and oil products in different sectors is limited. Of those analysed, it is not surprising that transport is by far the most significant consumer of petroleum, demanding 56.4% of the total energy provided by this liquid fuel. Industry is the second largest user at 31.3%, and household and service industries follow with 6.7% and 5.6%, respectively.

Table 1: National energy demand per sector by fuel type (TJ/year) in 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>Petroleum</th>
<th>Electricity</th>
<th>Coal</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household</td>
<td>127,574</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>130049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>10,004</td>
<td>3,130</td>
<td>2,010</td>
<td>3,481</td>
<td>18625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5,640</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>138300</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>4320</td>
<td>3675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Government of Malawi 2009:18)

Less than 0.2% of household demand for energy comes from oil products as the main sources of cooking fuels are firewood (87.7%), charcoal (8.9%) and electricity (2.5%) (National Statistical Office 2012:121). Paraffin is the predominant form of oil-based energy used in households, reported to be the most used source of lighting in 51.8% of households. Candles are the main source of lighting for only 4.6% of households, and battery-powered torches or lamps (27.3%), firewood (7.6%) and electricity (7.6%) are used more widely (National Statistical Office 2012:122).

2.3. Likely impact of oil shocks on the energy system

Although Malawi’s energy mix may seem relatively archaic, the fact that it relies mainly on locally produced biomass and hydroelectricity from the Shire River means that the way of life is less likely to
be affected by oil shocks than a more developed country which is more heavily dependent on oil. That said, petroleum meets over 94% of the transport industry’s needs, and given the high cost of petrol and low population densities, mobility and trade are likely to be directly threatened by oil shocks. Until adequate oil storage facilities are in place, the impact of any oil shocks will be felt all the more swiftly as local shortages follow swiftly on from interruptions in imports. The expansion of biofuel production is positive in terms of improving the country’s resilience, but output is not currently at a sufficient level to provide much of a buffer against oil shocks.

3. Transport system

Effective and affordable transport systems are essential for local, regional and international trade, food distribution and the movement of people between their homes and areas of economic opportunity. This section begins with an overview of Malawi’s transport system, considering the country’s predominant modes of transport. It then provides an insight into the oil dependence of the transport system, before identifying the country’s exposure to oil price and supply shocks.

3.1. Overview of the transport system

Affordable and effective transportation is a significant challenge for landlocked Malawi. The sector is vital in connecting the country to international markets and distributing goods and services over vast distances in a region that is predominantly rural and low-density. Transport forms an important sector of the national economy, and over the past 5 years its share of GDP at constant prices was on average 4.4% per annum (Roads Authority 2010).

Inefficiencies in Malawi’s transport routes and those of neighbouring Mozambique add significantly to the costs of trade. It is estimated that 55% of the costs of production are due to transport costs, which is significantly higher than the 17% found in other developing countries and makes Malawi’s transport costs amongst the highest in sub-Saharan Africa (Roads Authority 2012). The country’s main transport networks consist of waterways, rail, road and air transport, which are discussed below.

Waterborne Transport

Although Malawi is landlocked, Lake Malawi is used to move goods within Malawi and between Malawi and Tanzania via four major harbours. The lake’s proximity to the East Coast of Africa has raised the possibility of connection to international markets via the sea. The Shire-Zambezi waterway was conceived as a way of connecting Lake Malawi to the port of Beira in neighbouring Mozambique, thus allowing better access to international trade routes. Malawi has already spent millions of Kwacha on the construction of the Nsanje World Inland Port, but the lack of a comprehensive feasibility study and concerns raised by the Mozambican government have delayed the project indefinitely. In June 2011, USD3.5 million was approved by the African Development Bank to conduct the feasibility study and prepare an investment plan (Chiyembekeza 2012). The future of the project will depend on the outcome of the feasibility study and whether the Mozambican government – a critical partner in the endeavour - can be convinced of its viability.
Rail transport

Malawi’s railway network is characterised by old and dilapidated locomotives and poorly maintained tracks which frequently result in the suspension of rail services. The country has 797km of single track, but this only serves the southern half of the country and most of this is in a poor state. The Nacala Corridor is currently Malawi’s only viable rail route for imports and exports, stretching 807km from Nacala to Blantyre, and 1,007km to Lilongwe. However, parts of the track are in a poor condition – particularly the 77km stretch from Entre Lagos to Cuamba on the Mozambican side (Chiyembekeza 2012).

While it remains to be seen whether the Shire-Zambezi water corridor will be realised, the Sena Railway Corridor is being prioritised as a means of improving the country’s transportation network. The corridor is deemed to be an economically viable option, connecting Nsanje in Malawi to the port of Beira and the coal mining town of Moatize in Mozambique. Much of the line is in good enough condition for use by passenger and cargo trains, but the 209km stretch from Blantyre to Nsanje is in a poor state. While the rehabilitation of this stretch could reduce transport costs by around 25% and facilitate international trade, the MWK315 million (USD100 million) estimated to be required will be difficult to source given the Malawian government’s priorities (Chiyembekeza 2012).

Road transportation

Although a well-functioning rail network would undoubtedly help to reduce transportation costs in Malawi, the unreliability of the current system and the cost and time required to implement the necessary upgrades have led to a focus on road transportation as a relatively more affordable and swift option (Chiyembekeza 2012). As indicated in the table below, Malawi has a public road network of approximately 15,451km, of which about 26% is paved and the remainder has an earth or gravel surface. In addition there are around 9,478km of undesignated community roads that serve mainly rural areas (Roads Authority 2012). Roads handle 99% of domestic passenger traffic, and move over 70% of internal freight. With few other options for the movement of people, goods and services across the border, roads meet over 90% of international freight and passenger needs (Roads Authority 2012).

The Malawian Government has recognised the strategic importance of the country’s roads, and has given high priority to maintaining existing roads and constructing new ones (Roads Authority 2012). Upgrading of the country’s road network has been slow, but this has speeded up in recent years with MWK37,000 million (USD 113.8 million) allocated to road rehabilitation in the 2010/2011 budget. Some of the largest projects included the Jenda-Edingeni Road, the Zomba-Blantyre road and the Zomba-Jali-Kamwendo road (Europa 2012:764).
Table 2: Malawi Road Network Classification, April 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Paved</th>
<th>Unpaved</th>
<th>Total Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Km</td>
<td>%Share</td>
<td>Km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main (M)</td>
<td>2,809</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary (S)</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary (T)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District (D)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (U)</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Designated</td>
<td>4,073</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Share</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Road Network</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,478</td>
<td>9,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Road Network</td>
<td>4,073</td>
<td>20,856</td>
<td>24,929</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Roads Authority 2012)

The Northern Transport Corridor provides Malawi with an effective link to the sea via the port of Dar es Salaam in Tanzania. The majority of the roads leading to Dar es Salaam are in a good condition, and this route is used to transport Malawi’s petroleum products (Chiyembekeza 2012). The Mtwara Corridor was conceived in 1992 to link Mbamba Bay in Tanzania to Nkhata Bay in Malawi, and then connects Mzimba in Malawi to Lundazi in Zambia. Due to its location close to the border of Mozambique, Mtwara is considered to be the road-based alternative to the more distant ports of Dar es Salaam and Nacala. The corridor was expected to yield significant economic benefits for Malawi, but thus far it has not been operational due to the poor state of the road infrastructure. The governments of Malawi, Zambia, Tanzania and Mozambique are now collaborating to attract private investment in the region’s resources, and the Mtwara corridor is an important part of their vision (Chiyembekeza 2012).

Air transportation

Malawi has two international airports (Lilongwe International and Chileka International in Blantyre) and domestic airports serving Mzuzu in the north and Club Makokola in the south. Lilongwe International is served by a number of international airlines, as well as the national carrier, Air Malawi (Europa 2012:764).

3.2. Oil dependence of the transport system

With road being the main mode of transport in Malawi, it is not surprising that the country’s transport sector is heavily reliant on petroleum products, consuming 56.4% of the energy provided by these energy sources. Of the energy consumed by the transport sector, 94.6% comes from
petroleum, 4.5% from biomass (in the form of biofuels) and 0.6% and 0.3% from electricity and coal, respectively (consumed by the rail sector) (Government of Malawi 2009:18). While road transport is the most popular form of motorised transport, there were only eight vehicles per thousand Malawians in 2007, four of which were private motor vehicles (World Bank 2012). This indicates that motorised mobility is highly constrained for the majority of the population, who rely mostly on walking and cycling.

The oil dependence of road transportation may decline slightly as biofuels become more prevalent, but this is unlikely in the immediate future. Local production of biofuels is currently unable to meet demand, but ethanol and biodiesel capacity is increasing and groups like the Biofuels Association of Malawi and Biofuel Advisory Council are lobbying for the creation of an appropriate biofuels policy and legal framework to support the growth of a local industry (PISCES 2011:3). Improvements in the country’s rail infrastructure might allow for some of the demand for oil to be met by electricity and coal, but the extent to which the rail system’s challenges can be resolved in the short to medium term remains to be seen.

3.3. Likely impacts of oil shocks on the transport system

Oil shocks are likely to have a swift and serious impact on Malawi’s transport system and economy due to the lack of alternatives to road-based transport in most parts of the country. Even though the transport system is largely inadequate and many people make do with non-motorised forms of transport, those that are able to afford motorised transport are heavily dependent on petroleum.

Fuel supply shocks are not uncommon as logistical problems have been known to leave parts of Malawi without fuel for days – even when there is sufficient supply of oil in the country. Typically, the black market will step in to take advantage of the situation, hiking the fuel price and making it even less affordable (Face of Malawi 2012). While the people of Malawi may take this in their stride, fuel supply shortages can spark violent public reaction, as was seen in 2011 (Peak Oil 2011).

4. Agriculture

Agriculture is Malawi’s most important economic sector, accounting for 31% of gross domestic product in 2010 (World Bank 2012), and most of the population depends entirely on domestic agriculture for their food needs. This section provides an overview of the agricultural sector and its oil dependence, before considering the likely impacts of oil shocks on agriculture.

4.1. Overview of agriculture

2011 statistics indicate that over half of the population over the age of 15 was engaged in household agricultural or fishing activities - about two thirds of the people in rural areas and 10% of those in urban areas (National Statistical Office 2012:92). In rural areas, 81% of the active population aged 15 and above were classified as subsistence farmers or “Mlimi” in 2005 (National Statistical Office 2005:95). Much of the country’s farming activity takes place in the smallholder sector, which contributes to approximately 86% of its agricultural output and occupies approximately 80% of cultivated land (Europa 2012:761).
Maize is Malawi’s staple food, and approximately 60% of the country’s farmland is used to cultivate it. It is estimated that average maize consumption is in the order of 172kg per person per year, contributing more than 70% to dietary calories (Ellis & Manda 2012:1408). Seasonal changes in maize supply as a result of weather and climate fluctuations have a direct impact on its price and affordability. Between 1989 and 2009, the average difference between the lowest and the highest price months in the annual maize calendar was 60%, with nominal increases from seasonal lowest to highest price reaching as much as 395% in the 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 seasons (Ellis & Manda 2012:1407). Diversification away from maize toward other food crops like sorghum, cassava, potatoes, pulses and plantains has been recommended as a means of reducing the risks of maize crop failures and improving food security (Europa 2012:761).

Low levels of productivity by smallholder farmers is a challenge in Malawi, and this is largely attributed to low input use exacerbated by inadequate access to credit and markets for inputs and outputs, small landholding sizes, unfavourable weather conditions and failures in technology development and transfer. Insufficient access to improved inputs and advice makes it difficult for farmers to diversify their outputs (Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security 2011:22). Despite government efforts to promote the adoption of technologies to boost agricultural yields, the low purchasing power of the poor places many productivity-boosting options out of their reach (Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security 2011:25).

In line with its focus on ensuring food security as a means of reducing poverty and avoiding future crises, 50% of the government budget for the agricultural sector in the 2011/2012 financial year is allocated for the Farm Input Subsidy Programme (FISP) (African Economic Outlook 2012:11). In the wake of a severe drought in 2004 which resulted in 5 million Malawians (40% of the population) requiring food aid, FISP was implemented to provide 60% of the population with affordable fertiliser and seeds for high quality maize and legumes. The programme has learned from the successes and failures of those that preceded it, and has been the most successful and sustained to date. It has more than doubled the average maize yield per hectare and allowed farmers to grow other high value crops without impairing their maize production (DFID 2011:4).

Malawi’s cash crops include tobacco, coffee, cotton, tea and sugar. Tobacco contributed to 46% of total export revenues in 2010, which was down from an average of about 60% before the start of uranium exports in 2009. Given efforts to reduce smoking in the developed world, President Mutharika tried to shift tobacco producers to other crops. There has subsequently been a drop in registered tobacco estates from 61,834 in 2000 to 11,314 in 2007, but Malawi’s production of unmanufactured tobacco has increased from 118,000 metric tons in 2007 to 208,155 tons in 2009 (Europa 2012:761-762).

4.2. Oil dependence of agriculture

Smallholder farmers typically employ traditional and non-mechanised methods of cultivation, and thus have a low dependence on oil for agricultural production (Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security 2011:25). As an indication of this, Malawi was estimated to have an average of only 4.7 tractors per 100km² of arable land in 2007 (Trading Economics 2012). Oil is required to transport goods to and from central market areas, but constrained access to these markets by smallholder farmers indicates that there is currently suppressed demand for motorised transport by this group.
Of the 3 million hectares of cultivable land, more than 99% remains under rain-fed cultivation (Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security 2011:23), and only 0.5% of cultivated plots use irrigation (National Statistical Office 2012:135). This indicates that the amount of energy consumed for crop irrigation is negligible on the whole, and shows how vulnerable the agricultural sector is to the increased incidences of drought, dry spells, and intense rainfalls experienced in recent decades. Government and NGOs have been promoting the use of irrigation in recent years, but the technologies are predominantly low-cost options like treadle pumps that use human or animal energy (Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security 2011:23). In cases where mechanical pumps are used, fuel shortages such as those in 2010 and 2011 impede the growth of irrigated crops, forcing farmers to resort to cutting down trees to sell as firewood to make ends meet (Sukali 2011).

While the agricultural sector’s reliance on liquid fuels for machinery may be relatively low by international standards, inorganic fertilizers that use oil in their production were used on 61.3% of cultivated plots in 2011 – 67.9% in urban areas and 61% in rural areas. The derived demand for oil in the production of herbicides and pesticides is much lower, as only 1.1% of cultivated plots use herbicides or pesticides (National Statistical Office 2012:135).

4.3. Likely impact of oil shocks on agriculture

If 86% of Malawi’s agricultural output comes from the smallholder sector and this sector employs methods that are largely traditional and require minimal inputs, then oil shocks are not as likely to negatively impact on Malawi’s agricultural output as would be the case in countries with highly mechanised, input-intensive agricultural systems. Oil shocks are most likely to impact on the already high costs of transport, making it even more difficult and costly to import inputs and distribute agricultural products to local and foreign markets. The cost of inputs would also rise, most notably in the form of inorganic fertilizers that use fossil fuels in their production. The major challenges in the Malawian agricultural sector relate to its vulnerability to weather conditions and low levels of productivity amongst smallholder farmers, and currently low levels of oil dependence present opportunities to address these issues in ways that do not increase reliance on oil.

5. Macro-economy

This section starts with an overview of Malawi’s macro-economy, considering its most important sectors and growth. It then details the economy’s oil intensity, and a list of the country’s main macroeconomic strengths and vulnerabilities to oil shocks is provided. The final subsection considers the likely macroeconomic impacts of oil price shocks in Malawi.

5.1. Overview of the macro-economy

The Malawian economy is dominated by agriculture, which together with forestry, fishing and hunting contributed a constant 31.6% to GDP in 2006 and 2010, as indicated in the table below. “Wholesale and retail trade, hotels and restaurants” is the second largest sector, and increased from 21.3% to 23.5% of the country’s GDP over the same four year period. “Other services” occupied third position at 11.3% in 2006, but this moved down to fourth position in 2010, trading places with manufacturing, which grew from 10.7% to 11.3%. After gains of 2.1% and 7.5% in 2011, the
manufacturing and construction sectors are expected to pick up in 2012, growing a further 5.9% and 9.0%, respectively. Although mining and quarrying contributed only 1.2% to growth in 2010, the sector may well contribute more significantly to growth in the future as uranium production increases and the mining of rare minerals such as niobium commences (African Economic Outlook 2012:5).

Table 3: Percentage of GDP by sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTOR</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, fishing &amp; hunting</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining and quarrying</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity, gas &amp; water</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport, storage and communication</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale &amp; retail trade, hotels &amp; restaurants</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, real estate and business services</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public administration, education, health</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: African Economic Outlook 2012:4)

Although it remains a poor country, Malawi’s economy has performed better than most Sub-Saharan African countries in recent years, with an average growth in real GDP of 7% from 2005-2010. It was relatively protected from the worst of the 2008-2009 recession due to a boost in GDP and the current account balance as a result of favourable weather, a bumper tobacco crop and the entry of uranium resources into production in 2009 (Europa 2012:761). However, a poor agricultural season in 2010 saw growth fall sharply from 8.9% to 6.7%. In 2011, a combination of reduced donor inflows, foreign exchange difficulties, shortages of essential commodities and low earnings from tobacco exports saw growth fall to an estimated 5.8%. A continued slow-down is expected for 2012 and 2013, and growth is projected to average between 5.0% and 5.2% (African Economic Outlook 2012:2).

5.2. Oil dependence of the macro-economy

As shown in Section 1, oil only contributes about 11% of Malawi’s primary energy mix, although this is an average across the country and its contribution to primary energy demand in the formal economy is likely to be more significant. Malawi imports all of the oil it consumes, and trends over the past few decades indicate that its economy is becoming increasingly dependent on this energy resource. The graph below shows the growing petroleum dependence of the economy since the late 1990s, driven both by the rising price of Brent crude oil as well as growing consumption of oil products. In 2008, total imports of refined petroleum products equated to 6% of GDP, but this proportion fell to approximately 4.5% in 2011 as petroleum consumption reportedly declined.
It is not surprising that an increasing portion of Malawi’s expenditure on imports (in USD) is being constituted by imports of refined petroleum, as shown below. A steep upward trend was evident from the late 1990s until 2008, and the percentage of total import expenditure allocated to refined petroleum products exceeded 12% that year. The proportion fell steeply when oil prices and consumption plunged in 2009, but is on the rise once more.

A similar trend can be observed when considering total imports of refined petroleum as a percentage of total exports. In this case, the percentages are even higher as the value of imports

---

3 The spot price of Brent crude oil has been used to convert barrels of oil into USD value of oil consumed.
typically exceeds that of exports (i.e. there is a negative trade balance), and in 2008 the percentage briefly touched 25%.

**Figure 8: Total imports of refined petroleum as a percentage of total exports (USD)**

![Graph showing the percentage of oil imports to total exports from 1980 to 2010.](image)

*Source: Authors’ calculations based on EIA (2012), BP (2012) and World Bank (2013)*

5.3. **Strengths and vulnerabilities of the macro economy**

The major strengths and vulnerabilities of the Malawian macro-economy in the face of international oil price shocks are as follows:

- Malawi’s fiscal performance has improved in recent years due to reforms in tax collection that have yielded higher than anticipated revenue collection. Tax revenue increased to 19.6% of GDP in 2011 from 19.1% in 2010 and 18.7% in 2009. However, total expenditure also increased from 35% of GDP in 2010 to 35.5% in 2011. A 3.6% deficit is anticipated in 2012, falling back to 3.0% in 2013 (African Economic Outlook 2012:6). This deficit leaves little financial capacity to invest in much-needed infrastructure or respond to future shocks.

- In June 2011, Malawi’s external debt was USD 912.4 million (15% of GDP), which is comparatively low by international standards and was found to be sustainable by a debt sustainability analysis conducted in May 2011. Domestic debt was estimated at 16.5% of GDP in June 2011, which falls within the upper limit of what is considered safe. The government has subsequently come under increasing pressure to raise external debt to improve the supply of foreign exchange for fuel and other imports (African Economic Outlook 2012:4), but this would not be sustainable in the absence of a major increase in exports.

- Malawi’s current account deficit widened from 17.5% of GDP in 2010 to 18.1 percent in 2011, and a further increase to 18.5% is anticipated for 2012 (African Economic Outlook 2012:7). This represents a significant risk in terms of potential currency volatility, speculative attacks and exchange rate depreciation. It is hoped that improved tobacco quality and higher world prices will allow revenues from tobacco to recover in 2012, and that investments in mining made in 2011 will improve export revenues from this sector (African Economic Outlook 2012:4).
• In recent years, shortages in foreign exchange have been a frequent problem, making it difficult for Malawians to purchase imported goods and fuel. This was exacerbated by the withdrawal of budgetary support from donor countries as a result of President Mutharika’s economic policies in 2011. Following President Banda’s recent devaluation of the Kwacha and untying it from the US Dollar, this situation is likely to improve, although consumers will bear the brunt of higher prices until foreign aid flows resume (The Guardian 2012).

• While the government has successfully kept inflation to single digits since 2007, in 2012 the inflation rate increased from 10.3% in January to as high as 25.5% in 2012. This is largely attributable to the devaluation of the Kwacha, which has resulted in headline inflation rates of over 20% in the months following June 2012 (National Statistical Office 2012a). Soaring inflation is a serious concern for Malawi’s poor, and is likely to negatively impact on poverty figures in 2012.

• Youth unemployment and underemployment represent a significant vulnerability. Although official statistics show that only 2.7 percent of those aged 15-24 years have no job, this does not capture the real situation as one only has to work one hour a week to be classified as “employed”. Underemployment is prevalent – particularly in the agricultural sector that accounts for 80% of the labour force – and low wages result in high levels of working poverty (African Economic Outlook 2012:2).

• A shortage of skilled labour is largely attributable to low education levels and the effects of HIV/AIDS (Europa 2012:761). While net school enrolment rates have increased to 83% and youth literacy to 84% as a result of Malawi’s free primary education policy, it was implemented without adequate investment in infrastructure or personnel and it looks unlikely that the country will reach its MDG target of universal primary education. The HIV prevalence rate amongst the 15-49 age group stood at 11% in 2010, down slightly from 12% in 2004 due to increased awareness of the disease, improved reproductive health and child health programmes, better diagnosis and universal access to treatment (African Economic Outlook 2012:11).

5.4. Likely impact of oil shocks on the macro-economy

Malawi’s economy is relatively low in its overall dependence on oil, but the road-dominated transport sector is highly dependent on this energy resource and transport is crucial to trade and a functioning economy. The fact that all oil is imported into Malawi means that oil shocks are likely to have negative consequences in terms of the country’s balance of payments and currency as the costs of imports and transport rise. At home, oil shocks are likely to drive further inflation, stifling entrepreneurship and job creation as the costs of doing business increase. Higher costs of living would make it more difficult for Malawians to make ends meet, and would drive more of them into poverty. With a fiscal deficit, the government does not have the reserves to deal with any humanitarian crises that may ensue, so survival will be largely dependent on donors. Considering the extremely low rate of oil consumption per capita (0.2 barrels per person per year according to the World Bank (2012)), the ratios of oil import expenditures to total imports and total exports are very high. Without a significant increase in export revenues, Malawi will be unable to afford to spend increasing amounts on oil for much longer, given the need for other critical imports such as fertilisers, machinery, etc. Should oil prices continue their upward trajectory over the coming
decade, Malawi may at some point have to begin reducing its already low consumption of oil products.

6. Society

This section provides an overview of several important challenges facing Malawian citizens that are relevant to understanding their potential vulnerabilities to oil price and supply shocks. It starts with an introduction to the extent of poverty and inequality in Malawi, before looking at food insecurity more specifically as a symptom of this poverty. Thereafter, an overview of human settlement patterns is provided, and issues of social cohesion are discussed. Much of the data in this section has been sourced from the 2011 Integrated Household Survey, the results of which have recently been released.

6.1. Poverty and inequality

Malawi’s national poverty rate is 50.7%, which means that around half of its population is classified as poor. There has been a slight decrease of 2% in this figure since 2004/2005, although there has also been a slight increase in the percentage classified as “ultra-poor” over the same period. Approximately 25% of the population is classified as ultra-poor, meaning that they cannot afford to meet minimum daily food requirements. Only 6% of the country’s poor live in cities, and 17% of urban populations are classified as poor compared to 57% in rural areas (National Statistical Office 2012:204-207).

The extent of poverty is indicated by the poverty gap, which is the average consumption shortfall of the population group relative to the poverty line. At a national level, the poor live on 19% less than the poverty line, and the ultra-poor live on 25% less than the ultra-poverty line (National Statistical Office 2012:211-213). The poverty gap and ultra-poverty gap are highest in rural areas, and poverty is worse in the Southern regions of Malawi than the Central and Northern regions.
Inequality as measured by the Gini coefficient increased from 0.39 to 0.45 between 2005 and 2011 (National Statistical Office 2012:218). The average income per person in the wealthiest 10% of the population is roughly nine times more than someone in the poorest 10%. As can be seen in the figure above, the income of the top 20% has increased between 2005 (IHS2) and 2011 (IHS3), whereas the income in all other income bands has decreased. The Southern region has the highest levels of inequality, and urban areas have only slightly higher levels of inequality than rural areas (National Statistical Office 2012:216-217).

Malawi’s high level of poverty is evident when one looks at average consumption per capita. As the table below shows, food is the most significant expense, constituting 54.1% of the average person’s annual expenditure in 2011. Electricity, gas and other fuels constitute the third largest expenditure at 5.3% (following estimated rents for housing at 8.8%), and transport costs are estimated at 2.7% of total expenditure (Source: National Statistical Office 2012:104). By spending such a significant portion of income on meeting the basic need for food, the average Malawian does not have much left to meet higher order needs or purchase luxuries. To put this expenditure in perspective, the lowest income group in South Africa spend 32.8% of their income on food, allowing them to spend more on transport (8%) than Malawians, although their expenditure on other forms of energy is equivalent (5.3%) (StatsSA 2008).
Table 4: Mean consumption per person per year by type of consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated rents for housing</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity, gas, other fuels</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone &amp; fax services</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal care</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clothing</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine home maintenance</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual rents for housing</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation of vehicles</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendors/Cafes/Restaurants</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio-visual</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health out-patient</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decorations, carpets</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footwear</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools / equipment for home</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appliances</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health drugs</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita textiles</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishes</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health hospitalisation</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newspapers, books, stationery</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation services</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: National Statistical Office 2012:104)

Low expenditure on energy is a function of suppressed demand and the availability of low cost natural fuels in the form of firewood, charcoal and agricultural residues – not all of which are legal. On average, households meet 98.1% of their energy needs from firewood, charcoal, agricultural residues and dung, and fuels derived from oil – i.e. paraffin and LPG – constitute only 0.5% (Government of Malawi 2009:27). This is not surprising as LPG and paraffin cost 472% and 195% of the cost of charcoal, whereas firewood costs between 60% and 77%. Electricity has the potential to provide clean energy that costs only 42% of the cost of charcoal, but grid access is limited and this is not currently an option for the majority of Malawians (Government of Malawi 2009:74). Oil shocks are thus likely to have a relatively small impact on household energy costs or consumption.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Stove type</th>
<th>Fuel price per kg</th>
<th>Cost relative to charcoal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Firewood</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>K8.0/kg</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firewood</td>
<td>3-stones</td>
<td>K8.0/kg</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>K33.5/kg</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>K33.5/kg</td>
<td>130%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraffin</td>
<td>Wick stove</td>
<td>K158/kg</td>
<td>195%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG</td>
<td>Gas stove</td>
<td>K472/kg</td>
<td>472%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>Electric stove</td>
<td>K4.56/kg</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Government of Malawi 2009:74)

6.2. **Household food security**

Food security is said to exist when individuals have permanent physical and economic access to sufficient quantities of nutritious and safe food to meet their dietary needs and preferences so that they may pursue active and healthy lives. A lack of adequate food is one of the main indicators of poverty, and food insecurity is a major problem in Malawi. As can be seen in the graph below, 33% of Malawi’s population experienced very low food security in 2011, meaning that a lack of resources resulted in multiple incidents of reduced food intake and disrupted eating patterns, or hunger, for a third of Malawi’s population. In addition, 8% experienced low food security, and 2% are marginally food secure (National Statistical Office 2012:188). At the time of the 2011 Integrated Household Survey, different measures were being adopted to cope with food insecurity, including relying on less preferred foods (30.7%), limiting portion sizes (24.3%), reducing the number of meals per day (18.6%) and borrowing food or relying on help from others (12.9%) (National Statistical Office 2012:193).
When asked about the causes of food security, the majority of the food insecure population reported a lack of farm inputs as the cause (41%), followed by natural factors like erratic rainfall, droughts and floods (26%), and the high food prices (14%) (National Statistical Office 2012:198). These findings reflect the predominance of subsistence farming as a means of accessing food in Malawi. With maize being the staple food crop, food insecurity in Malawi is strongly related to localised maize yields. Although the country expects a national maize production surplus of approximately 500,000 MT from the traditional surplus-producing central and northern regions this year, many households in food deficit areas in the south will once again be faced with an inadequate food supply in the coming months. Southern Malawi has experienced weather-related shocks for several years, resulting in yield reductions and crop failures, and leaving poor households with no food reserves. This forces subsistence farmers into cash economies, making them more vulnerable to transport costs and rising food prices as private traders take advantage of the poor supply and sell maize from other areas at higher prices. In July 2012, retail maize prices in southern Malawi were double what they were in July 2011, and up to 40% more than in other parts of Malawi (FEWS NET 2012:2). In addition to regional differences in food prices based on supply and demand, consumer purchasing power in Malawi has been diminished by the depreciation of the local currency which has driven up the costs of imported fuel, agricultural inputs, transport and food.

An August 2012 report on the country’s food security predicted “crisis and emergency-level food security outcomes” in the months leading up to March 2013, requiring humanitarian assistance (FEWS NET 2012:1). Under the most likely scenario, poor households are projected to experience survival food deficits of 13-20% in the Lower Shire, Middle Shire, Lake Chilwa-Phalombe Plain, Thyolo and Mulanje Tea, and Shire Highlands livelihood zones. Middle income groups in some districts are also likely to experience food deficits due to their reliance on the cash economy. Rising maize prices and transportation costs in the southern and central regions will further erode households’ ability to purchase food, and southern Malawi is likely to suffer worst from food insecurity in the absence of humanitarian aid. Even when humanitarian maize is available, government often does not have sufficient resources to pay for the transportation and distribution of the food to the areas that most
need assistance (FEWS NET 2012:2). Future oil price or supply shocks would exacerbate these problems.

6.3. Settlement patterns

Malawi’s population is predominantly rural, with only 15% living in urban areas as indicated in the chart below. Approximately 10% of the population currently living in Malawi has moved from one place to another in the past 5 years, with around 54% of these having moved from rural to urban areas. There has also been a degree of movement out of urban areas to rural areas (25%) and between different urban areas (10%), but the degree of movement between rural areas is very low (0.3%) (National Statistical Office 2012:20). Net migration was estimated at -20,000 in 2010, indicating that more people are leaving Malawi than entering the country each year (World Bank 2012). Many sub-Saharan Africans migrate toward South Africa in pursuit of a better life, and Malawi’s geographic location makes it a popular corridor for emigrants from countries further north.

![Figure 11: Population Distribution](source: National Statistical Office 2012:10)

6.4. Social cohesion

Malawi was ranked as the second most peaceful country in Africa after Botswana by the Global Peace Index (African Economic Outlook 2012:10). Following independence from Britain in 1964, Malawi was ruled by Dr Hastings Banda until it became a multi-party democracy in 1993. Its fourth democratic elections in 2009 were peaceful, and saw the re-election of President Binguwa Mutharika for another 5 years. His Democratic Progressive Party (DPP) won 66% of the vote.

The gains Malawi has achieved in recent years are threatened by a combination of development and economic problems, and civil society and international partners have raised growing concerns over a number of economic governance and political issues. Local tensions became visible in violent protests in July 2011, which resulted in casualties and the destruction of property. Rights of free
speech and civil liberties were violated, and complaints of harassment and victimisation against the government continued in the aftermath of the protests (African Economic Outlook 2012:10).

The protests were a manifestation of public outrage at corruption and economic decisions by President Mutharika’s government which had resulted in the suspension of donor aid and shortages of foreign exchange, impacting people on the ground in the form of a series of fuel shortages. The IMF and local economic experts had repeatedly called on government to devalue the Malawian Kwacha as a means of generating foreign exchange, but the government refused over concerns as to the impact on costs of living. The President blamed the fuel shortages on private companies responsible for the importation and distribution of fuel, who he claimed were more interested in maximising profits than ensuring consistent supply. The establishment of a national oil company to manage the purchasing of fuel and the construction of new oil storage facilities are expected to reduce to the risk of future fuel shortages somewhat (Peak Oil 2011).

President Mutharika passed away in April 2012, and was succeeded by vice-president Joyce Banda. She immediately instituted economic reform by devaluing the Kwacha by nearly 50% and untying it from the dollar. In compliance with the IMF’s demands, the move was aimed at restoring relationships with donor countries, boosting exports, improving the availability of foreign exchange and shifting demand from imported products toward locally produced alternatives. In the wake of the devaluation, prices of some basic items have increased by as much as 50%, and although fuel is more readily available, prices have risen by 30%, pushing up the cost of public transport by around 40% (The Guardian 2012). Donors have responded with renewed pledges of support, but ordinary Malawians will continue to suffer from higher costs of living until aid inflows resume.

7. Summary

Malawi’s strengths and vulnerabilities in relation to oil shocks in each of the five socioeconomic subsystems are summarised in the table below. These subsystems are interconnected in many ways, and the knock-on effects of oil shock in one sector are likely to affect others, magnifying the impact of these shocks.

Table 6: Summary of Malawi’s strengths, vulnerabilities and likely impacts of oil shocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Vulnerabilities</th>
<th>Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Energy    | • Abundant use of renewable energy in the form of biomass and hydro power  
           • relatively low oil dependence  
           • growing domestic biofuels production  
           • electricity minimally dependent on imported oil  
           • abundant solar resources  
           • substantial wind resources | • high oil import dependency  
           • risk of dependence on foreign suppliers for oil imports  
           • unsustainable harvesting of biomass threatens its long term supply  
           • import parity pricing for locally produced biofuels  
           • fuel distribution bottlenecks  
           • insufficient strategic stocks | • possible interruptions to fuel imports  
           • declining affordability of fuel imports if oil prices rise further  
           • swifter degradation of natural resources as demand for biomass increases |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Vulnerabilities</th>
<th>Impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Transport | • mostly-functional road network  
• high fuel prices encourage walking and cycling | • no access to the sea  
• very high reliance on roads for freight and passenger transport  
• reliance on neighbouring countries for access to sea trade  
• poorly maintained road and rail network | • rising costs of passenger & freight transport  
• constrained mobility of passengers, especially in rural areas  
• constrained mobility of freight, negatively affecting exports and imports  
• rising costs of road maintenance |
| Agriculture | • low reliance on oil and oil products for farming  
• high reliance on rain instead of irrigation | • extreme and unpredictable rain patterns  
• farming methods highly vulnerable to weather conditions  
• high levels of subsistence farming  
• local supply of staple foods often inadequate to meet the needs of the poor, requiring food aid  
• reliance on oil-fuelled transport for accessing inputs and selling produce | • rising input costs (diesel, fertilizers, pesticides, packaging materials)  
• disruptions to irrigation (on the small % of plots that irrigate)  
• higher transport costs make exports uncompetitive |
| Macroeconomy | • robust recent GDP growth (around 5%)  
• relatively safe levels of external and domestic debt  
• improved tax collection  
• renewed pledges of support from donors | • high inflation following currency devaluation (over 20%)  
• over-reliance on agricultural sector, which is mostly low-tech and low yield  
• widening current account deficit (over 18% of GDP)  
• medium-sized fiscal deficit (3.6%)  
• high unemployment and underemployment  
• shortage of skilled labour | • rising oil import bill and current account deficit  
• exchange rate depreciation  
• rising poverty  
• rising unemployment |
| Society | • second most peaceful country in Africa  
• 19 years of democracy  
• President Banda taking decisive action to resolve governance and economic challenges | • deep & widespread poverty, particularly in rural areas (50.7% classified as “poor”)  
• moderately high degree of inequality (Gini coefficient of 0.45)  
• high degree of food insecurity (33% “very low” food security)  
• regular need for humanitarian food aid from abroad  
• sporadic fuel shortages affecting livelihoods | • rising costs of living  
• rising rates of poverty and inequality  
• deteriorating household food security  
• impeded ability to distribute food aid  
• further constrained mobility |
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