Annex 1 Example of Decision (application unopposed)

BLO/000/00 Date

PATENTS ACT 1977

PARTIES Insert name of parties

ISSUE Whether (insert name)should be mentioned

as sole inventor in patent number GB(******) as a result of an application Under section 13 (rule 10(2)) and 13(3)

HEARING OFFICER Insert name

DECISION

- 1 Patents Form 7 names one inventor; (insert name of inventor).
- 2 (Insert name), the patent proprietors, have applied to have (insert names) named as sole inventor in accordance with rule 10(2) of the Patent Rules 2007 and have made an application under section 13(3) of the Act to the effect that (insert name) should not have been mentioned as an inventor.
- 3 (Insert name) and (insert name) have both provided written consent to the application filed under rule 10(2) and section 13(3). I therefore conclude that all the relevant parties agree that (insert name) should be named as sole inventor in the published patent application and granted patent for the invention

- Accordingly I find that (insert name) should be mentioned as the sole inventor in the published patent application and granted patent for the invention. I also direct, in accordance with rule 10(1), that an addendum slip be prepared for the published patent application and for the granted patent for the invention. The slip will mention (insert name) as sole inventor and state that (insert name) should not have been named as an inventor.
- This decision serves as a certificate, issued in accordance with section 13(3), to the effect that (insert name) should not have been mentioned as an inventor in the published patent application and granted patent for the invention.

(Insert Hearing Officers name)
(Insert Job Title), acting for the comptroller