

Recommendation(s) Status: Derailment at Hampton Loade, Severn Valley Railway




This report is based on information provided to the RAIB by the relevant safety authority or public body.

The status of implementation of the recommendations, as reported to us, has been divided into six categories:

Key to Recommendation Status

| | |
|--|--|
| Implemented: | All actions to deliver the recommendation have been completed. |
| Implemented by alternative means: | The intent of the recommendation has been satisfied in a way that was not identified by the RAIB during the investigation. |
| Implementation ongoing: | Work to deliver the intent of the recommendation has been agreed and is in the process of being delivered. |
| In-progress: | The relevant safety authority has yet to be satisfied that an appropriate plan, with timescales, is in place to implement the recommendation; and work is in progress to provide this. |
| Non-implementation: | Regulation 12(2)(b)(iii) = recommendation considered and no implementation action to be taken. |
| Awaiting response: | Awaiting initial report from the relevant safety authority or public body on the status of the recommendation. |

RAIB concerns on actions taken by organisations in response to recommendations are reflected in this report and are indicated by one of the following:

-  The red triangle shows recommendations where the RAIB has concerns that no actions have been taken in response to a recommendation.
-  The blue triangle shows recommendations where the RAIB has concerns that the actions taken, or proposed, are inappropriate or insufficient to address the risk identified during the investigation.
-  The white triangle shows recommendations where the RAIB notes substantive actions have been reported, but the RAIB still has concerns.

Note: The tables which follow, report the status of recommendations on 31 December 2015. In some other cases the end implementer has already sent information to the relevant safety authority about the actions it has taken, or proposes to take and the safety authority is considering whether it is satisfied that those actions and the associated timescales are accepted.

| Number/ Date/ Report No/ Inv Title / Current Status | Safety Recommendation | Summary of current status (based on latest report from the relevant safety authority or public body) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>1 28/09/2009 07/2010</p> <p>Derailment at Hampton Loade, Severn Valley Railway</p> <p>Status: Implemented</p> | <p>SVR should review and revise as appropriate the adequacy of its procedures for managing the risk arising from track conditions. This should include, but not be limited to, reference to periodicity of checks, measurement techniques, maintenance and safety limits on track geometry and actions to be taken on reaching those limits. Where external documents are referenced, SVR should make these available to their staff. Associated management arrangements should be recorded in the SMS.</p> | <p>Severn Valley Railway has reported that it has taken actions in response to this recommendation. ORR proposes to take no further action unless they become aware that the information provided becomes inaccurate.</p> |
| <p>2 28/09/2009 07/2010</p> <p>Derailment at Hampton Loade, Severn Valley Railway</p> <p>Status: Implemented</p> | <p>SVR should revise its SMS to reference the engineering department company standards.</p> | <p>Severn Valley Railway has reported that it has taken actions in response to this recommendation. ORR proposes to take no further action unless they become aware that the information provided becomes inaccurate.</p> |
| <p>3 28/09/2009 07/2010</p> <p>Derailment at Hampton Loade, Severn Valley Railway</p> <p>Status: Implemented</p> | <p>SVR should re-brief all staff and volunteers on the SMS and their responsibilities within it.</p> | <p>Severn Valley Railway has reported that it has taken actions in response to this recommendation. ORR proposes to take no further action unless they become aware that the information provided becomes inaccurate.</p> |
| <p>4 28/09/2009 07/2010</p> <p>Derailment at Hampton Loade, Severn Valley Railway</p> <p>Status: Implemented</p> | <p>SVR should review their management structure with the aim of making changes to improve the communication of safety related information within the railway.</p> | <p>Severn Valley Railway has reported that it has taken actions in response to this recommendation. ORR proposes to take no further action unless they become aware that the information provided becomes inaccurate.</p> |
| <p>5 28/09/2009 07/2010</p> <p>Derailment at Hampton Loade, Severn Valley Railway</p> <p>Status: Implemented</p> | <p>SVR should put in place procedures to ensure that audits of compliance with the SMS are carried out in a timely and effective manner.</p> | <p>Severn Valley Railway has reported that it has taken actions in response to this recommendation. ORR proposes to take no further action unless they become aware that the information provided becomes inaccurate.</p> |