

# Rallye Club 880B, G-AYKF

## AAIB Bulletin No: 2/97 Ref: EW/G96/8/9 Category: 1.3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Aircraft Type and Registration:</b> | Rallye Club 880B, G-AYKF  |
| <b>No &amp; Type of Engines:</b>       | 1 Rolls Royce O-200-A piston engine   |
| <b>Year of Manufacture:</b>            | 1970  |
| <b>Date &amp; Time (UTC):</b>          | 26 August 1996 at 0600 hrs  |
| <b>Location:</b>                       | Near Barton Airfield, Manchester  |
| <b>Type of Flight:</b>                 | Private   |
| <b>Persons on Board:</b>               | Crew - 1 - Passengers - 1   |
| <b>Injuries:</b>                       | Crew - Fatal - Passengers - Fatal   |
| <b>Nature of Damage:</b>               | Aircraft destroyed  |
| <b>Commander's Licence:</b>            | Private Pilot's Licence   |
| <b>Commander's Age:</b>                | 27 years  |
| <b>Commander's Flying Experience:</b>  | 107 hours (of which 53 hours were on type)<br>Last 90 days - 45 hours<br>Last 28 days - 8 hours |
| <b>Information Source:</b>             | AAIB Field Investigation  |

## History of the Flight

The accident occurred shortly after the aircraft took off from Barton for a return flight to its base at Panshanger.

On the day before the accident the pilot, who was a co-owner of the aircraft, arrived with the passenger at Panshanger Airfield at approximately midday to fly to Manchester for a photo-journalism assignment. During their brief visit to the local flying club the CFI, who had been giving advice to the pilot on the aircraft's operation, asked the pilot if a climb performance check had been carried out recently on the aircraft since maintenance action had been completed on one of the cylinder valve guides. The CFI stated that the pilot's response was somewhat vague so he advised him to carry out a climb performance check during that afternoon's flight. The CFI also stated that during their visit to the club the pilot did not make use of the club's facilities to obtain any weather information for his flight to Manchester Barton. The aircraft, departed Panshanger and arrived without incident at Manchester Barton Airfield at 1547 hrs.

The activities of the pilot and passenger after landing at Barton are not known, however, at approximately 1910 hrs the pilot taxied the aircraft to the fuel pumps where it was refuelled with 65.3 litres of Avgas to full tanks. The pilot and passenger were next seen at 2050 hrs when they arrived at the airfield hotel to book a room for the night. They were registered on arrival by the hotel chef. He did not see them again that evening and did not see them leave the hotel the following morning but he believed that they departed the hotel at approximately 0530 hrs to walk the 500 metres to the aircraft. He reported that the weather at the time he arrived for work, some minutes earlier, was of heavy rain with moderately strong winds.

The airfield ATC (published operating hours from 0900 hrs to Sunset) was not manned at the time the pilot and passenger arrived at the aircraft and prepared for departure. Two members of the Police Flying Unit, a helicopter pilot and his observer, who were on duty in their operations room positioned on the south-western airfield boundary, observed the aircraft as it departed from Runway 20 (grass surface 530 x 33 metres). These witnesses confirmed that at the time of take off there was a 10 to 15 kt wind with no low cloud and no rain. The aircraft appeared 'to climb at a very shallow angle with an excessive nose-up attitude'. After a few more seconds into the flight it then entered a steep vertical spiral descent to the left and was lost from sight at a height of approximately 200 feet agl.

The police pilot and observer immediately manned their helicopter and flew the short distance to the area to find that the aircraft had crashed. The observer left the helicopter to attend to the pilot and passenger but they had both received fatal injuries. The Fire Brigade and Ambulance Service, who had been alerted by the police crew, were on the scene at 0612 hrs and 0613 hrs respectively.

### **Airfield environment**

The airfield, which is 73 feet amsl, is situated by Barton Moss 5 nm west of Manchester and lies within the Manchester Control Zone. There are high tension power cables one mile to the west of the field and 35 feet high street lamps on a road one mile south-east of the aerodrome. The Manchester Ship Canal lies approximately 1,000 metres on the extended centreline from Runway 20. Alongside the canal is a further set of high tension power lines with obstructions 3,000 metres beyond, marked on the 1:500,000 aeronautical chart for the area, rising to a height of 351 feet agl and 400 feet agl.

### **Meteorology**

An aftercast obtained from the Meteorological Office Bracknell gave the synoptic weather situation as a depression of some 1006 mb centred near Blackpool with a trough of low pressure extending southwards across the area. The weather was of scattered outbreaks of rain or showers, visibility 10 to 15 km, broken cloud around 2,000 feet with a higher broken cloud base at 8,000 feet and a surface wind of 180°/05 kt veering to 200°/20 kt with a temperature of +13°C. The Manchester Airport weather observation at 0550 hrs on 26 August 1996 was surface wind 160°/07 kt, visibility greater than 10 km, few clouds at 800 feet, broken cloud at 2,300 feet, temperature +12°C and a sea level pressure of 1008 mb.

### **Pathology**

Post mortem examination of the pilot revealed that there was no pre-existing medical condition that could have affected his flying performance.

## **Pilot flying experience**

The pilot started his Private Pilot's Licence (PPL) training in November 1994 flying a Piper PA38 from Turweston Airfield, Northamptonshire. In April 1995 the pilot gained his PPL. From June 1995 the pilot flew from Elstree Airfield in Piper PA38 and Cessna 172 aircraft. After the purchase of 'GAKYF' the pilot conducted all his flights from Panshanger and had his most recent Certificate of Experience (C of E) signed in May 1996.

## **Engineering aspects**

### *The crash site*

The aircraft had crashed on a flat area of reclaimed land, some 30 metres from a tall (10 metre) hedge which was aligned approximately east/west. The area around the aircraft had been trampled and did not show distinctive ground marks associated with the impact.

### *The aircraft*

Both wing leading edges showed some impact damage, with the left wing marginally more damaged than the right. The attitude of the wing and front fuselage indicated that the aircraft had crashed with wings approximately level, but with a pitch angle of approximately 35° nose down. The nose wheel had collapsed and the aircraft fuselage had broken behind the rear cabin bulkhead. When the aircraft was lifted it was noticed that there were no tyre tracks behind the wheels. The impact speed was estimated to be between 40 to 60 kt.

### *Flying controls*

No pre-existing defects were found in the primary flying controls, but the left hand flap had come off and was resting under the tail of the aircraft. Extensive corrosion was evident around the left inboard flap track (see *Corrosion* below), however the flap track was still in place and witness marks showed that the left flap had been attached at impact. The leading edge slats are normally extended by a spring force overcoming the stagnation air pressure at low speed. By the nature of their design they would have been extended by inertial forces (if not already extended due to low speed) when the nose of the aircraft hit the ground: it was therefore not possible to determine their position before impact.

The elevator trim is operated by a rack and pinion which drives the inner portion of a substantial Bowden cable system. The rack was found to be fully out, *ie* in the full nose up position. Although the Bowden cable was heavily deformed, the impact would have been unlikely to produce the compressive loading of the inner cable necessary to position the rack as found.

### *Engine and fuel*

The engine was buried in the ground with one propeller blade exposed, subsequent examination of the fixed pitch propeller blade showed that it had been rotating under power at impact. The fuel cock was selected to the left hand tank, which had been ruptured on impact and had lost its contents; the right tank had approximately 1.5 gallons removed from it after the accident, and still contained about 1 gallon. An engine strip showed that the engine had been mechanically sound before impact. The fuel pump contained some fuel with no indication of water contamination, and the carburettor float and pressure checks gave satisfactory results for an in-service engine.

There had been some degradation of the ignition system:

Two of the plugs were unserviceable when tested under 90 psi pressure- No 1 top and No 4 bottom. Both magnetos had worn cams which would have given timing errors. One magneto could not be run because of damage. The intact magneto was run and gave a satisfactory spark at high speed, the timing error caused a complete loss of spark at low speed but this was masked by a spark produced by the impulse mechanism.

Thus one plug was unserviceable in two cylinders, and the other plug was fed by a magneto with degraded performance.

### *Corrosion*

The corrosion around the wing flap area was extensive and would have been obvious upon inspection. This area is specifically mentioned in Service Bulletin 133/2 which is called up by CAA Airworthiness Directive (AD) 02-02-84 at intervals not exceeding 100 hours or 6 months.

The aircraft logbook showed the last annual inspection, including all mandatory airworthiness directives, had been carried out on 24 November 1995. No further applications of Service Bulletin 133/2 were recorded up to the accident, a period of 79 hours/267 days. Of the 14 applications of the Service Bulletin recorded in the log book, only 4 met the time interval requirement of the AD.

### **Possibility of mis-set elevator trim**

The only witnesses to see the aircraft on the day of the accident saw it during its take off and initial climb. There were no witnesses present to observe the pre-flight activities of the pilot as he was preparing the aircraft for departure. Engineering evidence suggests that at the time of take off the elevator trim was set to the fully nose-up position. Pilots of this type of aircraft, who have experienced flight with the trim inadvertently set to this position, state that control column forces required to overcome this nose up pitch are extremely high. It is therefore possible that at some time between the landing on the previous day and take off the trim was moved and inadvertently set in the full nose up trim position. After take off the pilot would have been aware of the control column forces but possibly not aware as to the actual cause. Furthermore it is possible that the pilot did not apply enough nose down control to allow the aircraft to accelerate beyond this high drag situation. In a possible attempt to climb above the approaching high tension power lines and obstructions the aircraft stalled and, under engine torque reaction, yawed and entered a spin to the left. There was insufficient height available for the pilot to effect a recovery.