

# Yak 50, G-BWJT

## AAIB Bulletin No: 1/97 Ref: EW/G96/09/17 Category: 1.3

<b>Aircraft Type and Registration:</b>	Yak 50, G-BWJT
<b>No &amp; Type of Engines:</b>	1 V530TA-D35 piston engine
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>	1981
<b>Date &amp; Time (UTC):</b>	24 September 1996 at 1050 hrs
<b>Location:</b>	2nm southwest of Deenthorpe
<b>Type of Flight:</b>	Test Flight
<b>Persons on Board:</b>	Crew - 1 - Passengers - None
<b>Injuries:</b>	Crew - None - Passengers - N/A
<b>Nature of Damage:</b>	Elevator severely damaged
<b>Commander's Licence:</b>	Airline Transport Pilot's Licence
<b>Commander's Age:</b>	55 years
<b>Commander's Flying Experience:</b>	10,120 hours (of which 4 were on type) Last 90 days - 96 hours Last 28 days - 28 hours
<b>Information Source:</b>	Aircraft Accident Report Form submitted by the pilot and additional AAIB inquiries

The aircraft was on a flight test from Spanhoe for the initial issue of a UK Permit to Fly. A dive to Vne (the 'never exceed airspeed') was initiated from an altitude of 7,000 feet, 270 kph, with full throttle and 80% RPM. When approaching 420 kph (Vne being 430 kph), the control column gave a sudden jerk, which was followed by a 'squeaking sound'. The pilot pulled the throttle back to idle and eased the aircraft out of the dive, reducing speed to 140 kph. He noted that the elevator trim wheel moved without resistance, and thus concluded that the trim tab (located on the left elevator) had become detached.

The aircraft returned to the airfield but the pilot converted an initial approach into a low go-around, as he assessed that the aircraft's handling was abnormal. However, the second approach and subsequent landings were uneventful. An inspection of the aircraft revealed that the trailing edge of the inboard half of the left elevator, including the trim tab, had detached along with most of the fabric and some of the ribs.

The Yak 50, together with the structurally similar Yak 52, have metal airframes with the control surfaces covered in a lightweight cotton fabric. A leading importer of this type of aircraft was consulted following the incident and indicated that the failure may have been due to deteriorated fabric strength. In their experience, the strength of the material is approximately 80 lb/inch when new, reducing to about 45-70 lb/inch after 4 years. The fabric has no protection against ultraviolet light, and is prone to rotting due to water entrapment, which usually occurs at the joint between the trailing edge and the unsupported areas. Condensation can also cause rot, which usually produces visible evidence in the form of 'mould spotting'. In addition, the lacing used to stitch the fabric to the ribs is also prone to rotting, with the result that after 4 years, or so, the fabric is no longer held to the panel. The company was aware of in-flight fabric failures having occurred on ailerons and elevators in the former Soviet Union. In these cases, fabric strength, as assessed by a portable tester, was in the range 45-60 lb/inch. Following the subject accident, the fabric strength of the control surfaces of a 'sister aircraft', which was imported with G-BWJT, which were thought to have been re-covered at the same time, was measured and found to be 50 lb/inch. Despite this low value, the appearance of the fabric was good, with no mould spotting.

The importers had in their possession the remains of an elevator, from a UK registered aircraft, that had failed in an apparently similar manner to that from G-BWJT. In this case, the failure was thought to have originated from a fabric tear caused by a sharp point on a piece of sheet alloy that had been used to repair an elevator rib. The tear had progressed to the point where airflow had 'inflated' the fabric envelope, with the consequent destruction of the elevator. There was also an unsubstantiated report of another aircraft which had suffered in-flight 'ballooning' of elevator fabric, which had caused control column oscillation. This was found to have been due to the detachment of a 'stick-on' patch that had been applied to a small puncture in the fabric, which had probably been caused by stone impact.

Unfortunately, the elevator from G-BWJT was not available in its immediately post-accident state, as the owner had stripped it with a view to a repair. However, the maintenance organisation was able to verify that there were no previously repaired areas that could have given rise to a fabric tear. In addition, they tested the fabric from the intact right hand elevator using a tensile test machine. A one inch wide sample failed at 52 lb.

Following the accident, the owner of G-BWJT has had the flying control surfaces covered with a heavier grade, polyester, fabric of a type commonly used on western aircraft. The aircraft importer also now routinely uses the same material on all aircraft delivered to the UK.

### **Inspection aspects**

This accident has highlighted the difficulty of assessing the condition of fabric covered components, and has illustrated how fabric strength can be degraded even though its visual condition might appear satisfactory. The subject is covered in some detail in CAP 562; Civil Aircraft Airworthiness Information and Procedures (CAAIP), Leaflet 2-8. Two methods of measuring fabric strength are discussed; the laboratory-style tensile tests and the portable tester which was mentioned earlier. The latter consists of a cone and spring-loaded plunger assembly within a housing. When the cone is pressed against the fabric surface, the force of application is read off on a scale similar to that of a tyre pressure gauge. The scale is calibrated in lb/inch, and thus the readings obtained are compatible with those obtained from a tensile test machine. Any hole resulting from using a portable tester would thus require a patch to be applied. Leaflet 2-8 does not list strength requirements for various fabrics, but notes that such information is supplied with portable testers.

## **Safety Recommendation**

The circumstances of this accident, together with the evidence obtained from fabric tests, have raised questions over the strength and durability of the fabric material, as applied in the former Soviet Union, to the flying control surfaces of these high performance aircraft. The following Safety Recommendation is therefore made to the CAA:

96-77: In view of the questionable durability of the lightweight cotton fabric applied to the flying control surfaces of Yak 50 and 52 aircraft in the country of manufacture, it is recommended that prior to the issue of a UK Permit to Fly, or Certificate of Airworthiness, the CAA require such aircraft to have their control surfaces re-covered with a heavier grade material of a type that is in common use in the UK.