Piper PA-28-161 Cherokee Warrior II, G-FLEN

AAIB Bulletin No: 2/98 Ref: EW/C97/11/2Category: 1.3

Aircraft Type and Registration:	Piper PA-28-161 Cherokee Warrior II, G-FLEN
No & Type of Engines:	1 Lycoming O-320-D3G piston engine
Year of Manufacture:	1985
Date & Time (UTC):	6 November 1997 at approximately 1155 hrs
Location:	In the sea 1.35 nm south of Bournemouth
Type of Flight:	Training (First solo)
Persons on Board:	Crew - 1 - Passengers - None
Injuries:	Crew - Fatal - Passengers - N/A
Nature of Damage:	Aircraft destroyed
Commander's Licence:	Student Pilot (with Class III Medical Certificate)
Commander's Age:	45 years
Commander's Flying Experience:	29 hours (of which 26 hours were on type)
	Last 90 days - 25 hours
	Last 28 days - 10 hours
Information Source:	AAIB Field Investigation

The student pilot started his flying in July 1997 with a flyingclub at Bournemouth International Airport. A month later he transferredto another flying club and started his PPL course again. Throughoutthe months of September and October he flew with two of the clubinstructors and progressed well. By 3 November 1997 he was readyfor a final solo check and on the morning of the 6 November heflew with the club Chief Flying Instructor (CFI) and was assessed as fit to fly solo but due to marginal weather conditions hissolo flight was delayed until later in the day. By 1115 hrs theweather had improved. At 1125 hrs the student took off with theCFI to carryout one final circuit check. This was satisfactoryso the CFI authorised the student to complete one circuit. Heleft the aircraft and went to the control tower to observe hisstudent. At 1145 hrs the student took off from Runway 26and carried out a normal right-hand circuit. As the aircraftapproached short finals the trainee Air Traffic Control Officer(ATCO) cleared the student for a 'touch-and-go'. The supervisingcontroller reminded the trainee ATCO that first solo studentsshould land from their approach and the trainee then transmitted'CLEARED TO LAND'.

The aircraft was seen to land normally, roll for a short whileand then take off again. The CFI, who was standing behind the controllers, told them to 'leave him to it' believing that the student had forgotten that he should only do one circuit. Afterseveral moments the controller looked for the aircraft in the expected position to the north-west of the airfield and downwindin the circuit, but the aircraft was not visible. The CFI then sighted the aircraft to the south-west of the field. The supervising controller then took over from the trainee ATCO and called theaircraft, instructing the student to call 'downwind left-hand'. Initially there was no reply but the student eventually transmitted'SEND MY APOLOGIES TO EVERYONE.' Believingthat the student was apologising for making a mistake and disrupting the circuit traffic the tower controller used the student pilot's first name instead of the callsign in order to put him more atease. The tower controller then called the radar controller and advised him of the situation. The radar controller reported that had a primary radar contact approximately 3 nm south-south-westof the airfield heading south. He and the tower controller triedto call the aircraft on their respective frequencies but withoutsuccess. At the same time the radar controller contacted anotherPA-28 aircraft positioned close to the Sandbanks Visual ReferencePoint . He asked that pilot to turn eastbound and look for acontact that was about to cross the coast 4 nm south of theairfield. The other PA-28 pilot complied with the request butcould not establish visual contact.

Radar contact was lost as the student crossed the coast. TheCoastguard was alerted at 1156 hrs and the Distress and Diversioncell at the London Air Traffic Control Centre were alerted at1158 hrs.

The manager of a cliff top hotel in Bournemouth sighted the aircraftas it flew approximately 1 nm out to sea at high speed from westto east at an estimated height of between 100 and 200 feet above the surface. With the aid of binoculars he was able to see the aircraft bank 45° to the left and turn towards the coast. The aircraft then pitched nose down and rolled to present its underside in planform before it hit the sea in a near verticalnose down attitude.

The crew of a small boat also observed the impact and within approximatelyone minute were on the scene. Except for an oil slick on thesurface there was no visible sign of the aircraft or pilot. Anair and sea search later located the pilot within wreckage of the aircraft on the sea bed in approximately 15 metres of water.

Background information

The flying club instructor who flew the majority of the flights with the student assessed him as being of average ability and motivated to achieve his first solo by his birthday on 30 September1997. Due to poor weather and slow progress however this wasnot possible. The student had then set his sights on two further significant dates and had asked his instructor to send him soloearlier than his ability would dictate. The instructor declined these informing him that he would only be sent solo when he had reached the required standard.

A briefcase, belonging to the student, was discovered in the flyingclub several hours after the accident. Documents within the case, signed by him and dated 30 October 1997, revealed that his primaryobjective for training to be a pilot was so that he could preparehimself to commit suicide in an aircraft crash.

Examination of aircraft

The wreckage of the aircraft was located on the sea bed at positionN50° 41.95' W001° 49.58', i.e. some 1.35 nm south-south-eastof Boscombe Pier at Bournemouth (5 nm to the south of

BournemouthAirport). Recovery of the wreckage and the body of the pilot, which was still in the cockpit, was not attempted on the day of the accident due to adverse sea conditions. The following dayhowever almost all of the wreckage was recovered by a team of police divers operating from a powered barge equipped with a hydraulicallyoperated crane. After an initial inspection on deck, the wreckagewas transported to the AAIB HQ.

Examination of the wreckage established that the aircraft hadbeen structurally intact and complete prior to impact with thesea and that this impact had been at high speed, estimated atbetween 100 kt and 150 kt, with the aircraft in a steep nosedown attitude of 70° to 75° below the horizontal. Therewas evidence from the damage patterns that the aircraft may havebeen turning to the right at the time of impact and that significantquantities of fuel had been present in each wing fuel tank. Analysisof damage to the propeller indicated that it had been turning under a low level of power as it struck the water, but no evidencewas found of any pre-existing defects within the engine. Therehad been no fire.

Examination of the aircraft's primary flying control systems revealedno evidence of pre-impact failure or disconnection and it wasapparent that the flaps were up at the time. The nature and extent of the damage to the aircraft was not that to be expected from controlled emergency ditching at relatively low speed.

The aircraft had been operated by the flying club since January1997 and maintained by their parent company. It had been certificated in the Transport Category (Passengers) and held a valid Certificate Airworthiness. Records indicated that all required maintenancehad been carried out in accordance with the CAA approved LightAircraft Maintenance Schedule CAA/LAMS/FW/1978 issue 2. The mostrecent maintenance, a 50 hr check, was carried out on 28 October1997, some 9 flying hours before the accident. Prior to impact the sea, the aircraft appeared generally to have been invery good condition.

Medical history

The pilot had a long medical history which included some evidenceof suicidal tendencies. In July 1997 he had his initialmedical examination for the purpose of obtaining his Private Pilot'sLicence. At this examination he had not declared his previouspsychiatric history and was issued with a Class III MedicalCertificate by an Authorised Medical Examiner (AME).

Safety recommendation

A survey of accidents conducted by the staff of the RAF Departmentof Aviation Pathology who advise the AAIB on Pathology/medicalmatter, has revealed that there is a small number of pilots whoconceal their medical history when applying for an Aviation MedicalExamination. This matter has already been discussed at a CAAAviation Medical Forum held in February 1995. Further discussionssuggested that a pilot's General Medical Practitioner should beasked to endorse a pilot's application for an initial aviationmedical examination so that the AME is fully aware of the applicant'smedical history. This suggestion was not adopted as agreed practicefor two reasons. Firstly there is no specific requirement forit under the ICAO or proposed JAA requirements and secondly manyapplicants do not have a General Medical Practitioner or wouldwish not to divulge their name. Medical information regarding the mental health of this studentpilot had been documented since 1969. It is likely that the decisionby an AME to issue a Class III Aviation Medical Certificate wouldhave been influenced if access to this medical history been available.

It is therefore recommended that:

Recommendation 98-1:

The CAA Medical Branch should explore suitable methods wherebyAMEs have available the best possible medical history of an applicantfor the initial issue of an Aviation Medical Certificate.