N.A. Navion, N2548T

AAIB Bulletin No: 3/98 Ref: EW/G97/10/28Category: 1.3

Aircraft Type and Registration:	N.A. Navion, N2548T
No & Type of Engines:	1 Continental piston engine
Year of Manufacture:	Not known
Date & Time (UTC):	25 October 1997 at 1500 hours
Location:	Shoreham Airport
Type of Flight:	Private
Persons on Board:	Crew -1 - Passengers - 3
Injuries:	Crew - Nil - Passengers - Nil
Nature of Damage:	Damage to structure from heavy landing
Commander's Licence:	Not known
Commander's Age:	Not known
Commander's Flying Experience:	
	Last 90 days - Not known
	Last 28 days - Not known
Information Source:	AAIB enquiries - Aircraft Accident Report Form returned blank by the pilot

The pilot was engaged on a flight from Guernsey to Shoreham with three passengers. During the final stages of the approach toland at Shoreham, the pilot's seat moved rearwards and the aircraft pitched up due to his inadvertent movement of the control column. The aircraft landed heavily and although there were no injuries, the aircraft suffered damage from the impact and associated inertialloads.

Examination of the aircraft shortly after the accident showedthat the pilot's seat locking mechanism was disengaged. The mechanismconsists of a single pin sliding vertically in a tube. The pinis moved up or down by a lever with a handle at the front of theseat. A spring assists the engagement of the pin into the seatrail. It was found that the pin could jam in the tube, preventingit from being moved down by the spring. In addition, one of thelocating holes in the seat rail appeared slightly undersize such that the pin could not be properly engaged in the hole. Even with the seat not

properly located, it was at times quite difficultto move the seat backwards unless a large force was applied sufficientlylow down on the seat structure. Pushing back normally applies load part way up the seat back and this, together with someof the pilot's weight still acting on the seat squab, was sufficientto prevent movement and possibly gave a false impression of theseat being secure. No damage or obvious defects were found associated with the seat mechanism.

The maintenance organisation took the view that the pilot hadprobably engaged the seat in the position with the defective hole, causing it to break free later. The pilot stated that the seatwas secure throughout the flight, but noted that he had appliedpressure to the brakes shortly before landing. He considered that this action could have either dislodged the pin, if it wasnot properly engaged, or if the seat had been jammed on the rails an intermediate position, could have freed the seat, allowing to move later.

Information concerning this accident was obtained through themaintenance organisation and the associated insurance companysurveyor. The pilot provided some details to AAIB over the telephone. The normal AAIB Aircraft Accident Report Form was sent to thepilot, but was returned blank except for a comment indicatingthat as the aircraft was registered in the USA, the UK regulationsdid not apply. This interpretation was incorrect. The FederalAviation Administration office at Heathrow Airport has been keptadvised of the status of this investigation however, under theprovisions of ICAO Annex 13, aircraft accident investigations are the responsibility of the State in which associated accidentsoccur. UK legislation gives the AAIB legal power to conduct suchinvestigations. The furnishing of the required details to theAAIB is mandatory. This comment is made here to avoid furthermisunderstanding amongst pilots, and the pilot involved has beenadvised accordingly.