Boeing 747-136, G-AWNO, 8 February 1996

AAIB Bulletin No: 6/96 Ref: EW/A96/02/02Category: 1.1

Aircraft Type and Registration: Boeing 747-136, G-AWNO

No & Type of Engines: 4 Pratt & Whitney JT9D-7A turbofan engines

Year of Manufacture: 1973

Date & Time (UTC):8 February 1996

Location: O'Hare Airport, Chicago

Type of Flight: Public Transport

Persons on Board: Crew - 18 Passengers - Not known

Injuries: Crew - Nil Passengers - N/A

Nature of Damage: Damage confined to Flight Attendant's control panel at door 4R

Commander's Licence: Airline Transport Pilot's Licence

Commander's Age:54 years

Commander's Flying Experience:15,000 hours (of which 8,000 were on type)

Last 90 days - 194 hours

Last 28 days - 37 hours

Information Source: Aircraft Accident Report Form submittedby the pilot and additional investigations by AAIB

On arrival at Chicago, the cabin crew reported that, during thelanding, sparks and audible arcing had been coming from the cabinattendant's control panel at door 4R and that a localised firehad been extinguished. The control panel was removed and theconnector and electrical wiring to the panel were temporarilyinsulated for the return flight to London. The damage had beenconfined to this panel, on which the wiring and Passenger ServicesSystem/Passenger Entertainment System (PSS/PES) switches wereburned and melted.

Investigation of the damaged panel, by the operator, showed thatthe PSS ON/OFF switch, which carries the 115 Vac and 28V DC supplieson the panel, had experienced severe electrical and heat damage. This had been attributed initially to moisture ingress allowing the 115 Vac supply to arc across the contacts, however, the panelhad been repaired and the switch disposed of before the AAIB becameinvolved, so it was not possible to examine any of these damagedparts further. The

operator was aware of several similar previousoccurrences, and the UK CAA's database identified eight occurrenceswhich were possibly related.

During the course of this investigation, a similar panel, fromanother of the operator's 747 fleet, was returned for overhaulwith a similar defect. This had not been the subject of an airsafety report and had not created any significant problems inthe cabin. The AAIB's examination of this second damaged panelshowed that a similar switch, with the same type of internal mechanismand performing the same function, had burned, causing considerablesooting of adjacent switches, the wiring loom and the panel itself. The stainless steel switch casing had been burned through andthe internal plastic parts were destroyed. Resistance checksshowed that several of the switch pin terminals had low resistancepaths to earth. Further investigation of the door 4R area onseveral aircraft suggested that this panel was reasonably wellprotected from moisture ingress and that this was unlikely tohave contributed to the cause of the switch failure.

The Boeing Commercial Airplane Group advised AAIB that severalsimilar switch failures had been investigated by the manufacturerand moisture was not considered to be a factor. The problem hadbeen identified as a mechanical failure of the switch internalmechanism creating a short circuit between 115 Vac and ground. Boeing advised that there was sufficient energy in such a shortcircuit to cause a limited fire and burn through the switch. Boeing also advised that, as a result of their investigations, an Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) 747-33-2252 was being preparedwhich would provide modification instructions to operators. This Service Bulletin will recommend that a new type of switch be installed, with an improved mechanism to prevent a short circuit from powerto ground in the event of a mechanical failure. Following anevaluation of the first switches, in July, it was anticipated that the ASB and parts will be available to operators in October.