# Piper PA31-350, G-GRAM, 20 May 1996

## AAIB Bulletin No: 8/96 Ref: EW/C96/5/7 Category: 1.2

Aircraft Type and Registration: Piper PA31-350, G-GRAM

No & Type of Engines: 2 Lycoming LT10-540-J2BD pistonengines

Year of Manufacture: 1973

Date & Time (UTC): 20 May 1996 at 1322 hrs

Location: Plymouth Airport

**Type of Flight:** Public Transport

Persons on Board: Crew - 1 Passengers - 6

Injuries: Crew - None Passengers - None

Nature of Damage: Left wing-tip, engine and some minordamage to the undercarriage

Commander's Licence: Airline Transport Pilot's Licence

Commander's Age: 35 years

Commander's Flying Experience: 2642 hours (of which 550hours were on type)

Last 90 days - 228 hours

Last 28 days - 84 hours

#### Information Source: AAIB Field Investigation

The accident occurred on landing at Plymouth at the conclusion of a flight from Dublin. The same pilot had previously operated the aircraft from Plymouth to Dublin, from Dublin to Blackpooland then back to Dublin. The weather for the landing was a windof 230°/15 kt with gusts to 20 kt, broken cloud at 2000 feetand visibility 30 km. Runway 13 was in use and was dry. Thepilot made a visual approach to the runway and the aircraft toucheddown before the intersection with runway 06/24. A flap settingof 15° was used for the landing due to the strong crosswind.

The initial braking action was normal and as the aircraft decelerated, the pilot released the brakes to allow the aircraft to roll to the end of the runway. His intention was to turn the aircraft in the designated turning circle at the end of the runway andthen to back-track and park in the terminal area. At approximately65 kt, the pilot reapplied the brakes, but found that the brakepedals went fully forward with no resistance and no braking effect. As there is no emergency braking system on this aircraft, the pilot was unable to prevent the aircraft over-running the runway.

The aircraft ran down a grass bank at the end of the runway andwas brought to rest by a barbed wire fence marking the airfieldperimeter. The pilot shut down the engines and ordered the passengersto evacuate the aircraft. The Airport Fire Service had been practisingemergency procedures close to the accident site at the time andthey attended the aircraft within a minute or so of it comingto a standstill. No one was injured in the accident and therewas no fire.

#### Previous brake failure incidents

This aircraft had previously had two similar brake failure incidents. The first had occurred on the 8 March 1996 while taxiingprior to the commencement of the final sector of the day. Thesecond incident happened on the 22 March 1996 while taxiing tothe parking area at the conclusion of the final sector of theday. On this second occasion, the aircraft had run off the taxiwayclose to the runway and caused the airport to be closed until the aircraft could be recovered. Both of these previous incidentsoccurred at Exeter Airport.

#### Examination of the aircraft and runway

The maintenance organisation recovered the aircraft to a hangarfor examination. During the recovery the brakes were used withcaution, but were found to operate normally. AAIB examination of the departure end of the runway, for evidence of braking asthe aircraft overran, found no tyre marks. There were also noindications of distress on the wheels, brakes or tyres. Wherethe aircraft had run down the grass bank the wheel tracks wereapparent, but there was no evidence of skidding or sliding. Atimpact with the fence the aircraft had been moving slowly. Thedamage to the aircraft was limited to the left wing tip and aileron, slight damage to the left propeller and cowling caused by thefencing wire, with some minor damage to the nose landing gearand an accumulation of mud and debris around the wheels. It wasobserved that the flaps were at the 15° setting.

The brakes were examined and it was observed that the brake padsand disks were in good condition and had apparently been changedrecently. The records confirmed that this work had been carriedout on 15 May, five days earlier, and that 14 sectors had beenflown since that time. The brake linings appeared to be well'bedded-in', and there was no evidence of excessive heating. The brakes fitted were the standard type for the PA31; highercapacity brakes are fitted to many PA31 aircraft. Brake pedalswere fitted for the left and right seat positions on this particularaircraft. The brake hydraulic system contents were correct andnumerous tests indicated correct operation and an absence of anyair in the system.

### Brake fluid analysis

The contents of the brake system were drained and sent for analysis, along with a sample from the dispenser last used to replenish the system. The maintenance records showed that, following theincident on 8 March, the brakes had been 'blued' due to the hightemperatures attained and the pads had been changed. In addition, the fluid seals had been damaged by high temperatures and hadalso been renewed. The brake system had been refilled at that time, and no subsequent uplift of brake system fluid was recorded. The brake fluid analysis showed that the sample from the supplywas fit for use, however the sample from the aircraft contained6,900 parts per million (0.69%) of water, over 40 times the typical rejection level for the associated fluid specification. The samplewas 'cloudy' in appearance, with free water settling out afterstanding.

It was not possible to determine the source of the contamination. Following the incident on 8 March, the maintenance organisationfiled a Mandatory Occurrence Report (MOR) which stated "probablecause was brake fluid 'boiling' due to hard braking". Noreport was raised after the second incident and no associatedwork had been carried out, since the event had not been recorded in the Technical Log.