

Hawker Hunter F6A, G-KAXF

AAIB Bulletin No: 6/2000

Ref: EW/G99/07/33

Category: 1.1

Aircraft Type and Registration:	Hawker Hunter F6A, G-KAXF
No & Type of Engines:	1 Rolls-Royce Avon 20701 turbojet engine
Year of Manufacture:	1956
Date & Time (UTC):	25 July 1999 at 1800 hrs
Location:	London-Luton Airport
Type of Flight:	Private
Persons on Board:	Crew 1 - Passengers - None
Injuries:	Crew None - Passengers N/A
Nature of Damage:	Left flap, left aileron, left underwing tank, minor skin damage to left wing
Commander's Licence:	Airline Transport Pilots Licence
Commander's Age:	55 years
Commander's Flying Experience:	5,996 hours (of which 2,760 were on type)
	Last 90 days - 31 hours
	Last 28 days - 10 hours

Information Source: Aircraft Accident Report Form submitted by the pilot and detailed examination by the aircraft maintenance company

History of flight

The aircraft was returning to Cranfield from RAF Brize Norton following appearance at the Fairford Air Display. On arrival at Cranfield, at about 1745 hrs and after holding to allow a light aircraft to land, G-KAXF was positioned downwind. On selecting the landing gear down on the normal system, the DOWN button depressed but there was no change in the status of the landing gear; all indicator lights, red and green, remained unlit and there were none of the sounds normally associated with the landing gear travelling.

The hydraulic system pressure was checked and was indicating in the correct range, 2,800 to 3,000 psi. After consulting the Flip Cards, further selections were made without effect. It was apparent to the pilot that there was some form of selector fault, probably electrical although all electrical system indications were normal throughout. Having cycled the landing gear selector a number of times without success, he elected to blow the landing gear down using the pneumatic emergency system. Having checked the emergency system pressure gauge, which was indicating slightly over the required 2,000 psi, the emergency selector handle was pulled. The landing gear extended quickly as usual, except that the left main indication stayed RED as the nose and right main gear GREEN indicators illuminated. The pilot checked and he was unable to see the left main gear, confirming that it had not fully extended.

Fuel was, by now, becoming a critical factor and this, plus the fact that there was no fire cover at Cranfield as it was outside the normal airfield operating hours, led the pilot to decide to divert to Luton. During the

transit to Luton attempts were made to extend the left main leg by application of positive and negative g and by side slipping the aircraft, all to no avail.

Having used the emergency extension system the pilot was left with two options; land with the gear in its current configuration or reject. Given his knowledge of the Hunter aircraft having a good record of landing with various combinations of landing gear position, particularly with external fuel tanks fitted, the pilot was confident that whilst the aircraft may leave the runway, the landing would be completed with minimum danger to himself or others.

Despite some communication difficulties due to an intermittent aircraft radio fault, following his PAN the pilot was of the opinion that he received a first class service from Luton ATC.

The landing went much as the pilot had anticipated. After touching down at 140 kt on the right side of the runway, the brake chute was deployed and the engine stop-cocked. The left wing dropped but was supported by the underwing tank and use of the right brake kept the aircraft on the runway until the speed decayed. At about 40 to 50 kt, with the aircraft close to the left side of the runway, directional control was finally lost and the aircraft swung gently to the left and onto the grass. It continued to slow and came to a halt at right angles to and some 50 to 60 yards from the runway left edge. As the aircraft departed the runway the left underwing tank broke-up and a short flash fire ensued. After coming to a halt the pilot went through the shut down drill, made the ejector seat safe and then vacated the aircraft. The Luton Airport Fire Service were quickly on the scene and dealt with the limited external fuel tank fire.

The aircraft was raised to a wings level position with airbags and it was apparent that the left main gear remained jammed about half way extended. By releasing hydraulic fluid via a bleed point it was possible to fully lower the leg.

Landing gear system

The landing gear consists of two inwardly retracting main legs and a forward retracting nose leg positioned in normal operation by hydraulic actuators. The landing gear is controlled from the cockpit by a selector switch, which consists of two inter-locked push-switches, the operation of either one ejecting the other. The upper push switch is used to retract and the lower to extend the gear. Selection supplies 24 volts to either the extend or retract connector pin of an electro-hydraulic valve, which has a common earth return. Within this common earth line there is a micro-switch, operated on selection of the emergency landing gear extension system, to isolate the normal operating system. Under normal operations, the electro-hydraulic valve ports hydraulic fluid from the pressure and return lines to the landing gear actuators to position the gear in response to a cockpit selection.

In the event of hydraulic or electrical failure the landing gear can be lowered using a pneumatic system. When the emergency extension control is operated, the electro-hydraulic valve is isolated as above and high

pressure air is directed to two shuttle valves which operate to cut off the hydraulic supply circuit and permit high pressure air to flow to the extend side of the landing gear actuators. Additionally the high pressure air opens an oil jettison valve which permits oil in the landing gear retract lines to be vented to atmosphere.

Engineering examination

Engineering examination to identify why the landing gear failed to operate on the normal system was hampered by the transient nature of the fault. Following replenishment of the hydraulic fluid and bleeding of the system, the landing gear functioned normally when first tested subsequent to the accident. It was only after a period of testing and detailed diagnostic work that an intermittent fault with the microswitch in the common earth line to the electro-hydraulic valve was identified. This fault, when active, effectively isolated the valve inhibiting it from responding to cockpit selections.

Further examination of the pneumatic lines of the emergency lowering system revealed a significant leak at a pipe joint in the left wing. The wire locking at the joint was intact but the wire had pulled through the corner of one of the joint nuts and the joint had subsequently loosened. The leak had depleted the supply of high pressure air before the emergency extend cycle was complete and also allowed the oil jettison valve to close, trapping hydraulic fluid in the left main leg retract line. The presence of trapped fluid on the retract side of the jack jammed the leg in an intermediate position.