Sky 220-24, G-SPEL, 19 July 1997

AAIB Bulletin No: 4/98 Ref: EW/C97/7/8 Category: 3

Aircraft Type and Registration:	Sky 220-24, G-SPEL
No & Type of Engines:	Sky Triple
Year of Manufacture:	1996
Date & Time (UTC):	19 July 1997 at
Location:	Near Longridge, Lancashire
Type of Flight:	Public Transport (Balloon)
Persons on Board:	Crew - 1 - Passengers - 12
Injuries:	Crew - None - Passengers - 1 serious
	5 minor
Nature of Damage:	None
Commander's Licence:	CPL Balloons, Groups A & B
Commander's Age:	42 years
Commander's Flying Experience:	474 hours (approximately)
Information Source:	AAIB Field Investigation assisted by officials from the British Balloon and Airship Club

Flight preparation

A one hour balloon flight, departing from a private launch siteclose to a public house in the village of Ribchester and flyingover the Ribble Valley, was planned. The 12 passengers were accompaniedby a single pilot. Forecast weather information, received by the pilot from the 'MET FAX' service, indicated that the wind would be light and variable with good visibility and the temperature at 1,000 amsl would be +18°C.

When the balloon envelope was erect, following pre flight preparations, the passengers, who had been standing at the base of the balloon, entered the basket and were briefed by the pilot on the landingprocedures. They were instructed to adopt a position with theirbacks to the direction of landing, to bend their knees and tohold onto the ropes on the inside of the basket and remain within the basket after landing. The pilot reported that the processof boarding and briefing took

approximately 15 minutes. With the pre-flight procedures complete the tether was released and, at 1945 hrs (BST), the balloon lifted into the air.

History of the flight

As the balloon climbed it followed a south-westerly track overthe Ribble Valley. One passenger reported that he noted the digital display on the balloon's altimeter during the climb, and that the highest point reached was just over 4,500 feet (the pilotstated that the maximum altitude reached was 3,000 feet). Visibility this height was restricted due to haze so the pilot decided to descend to a lower altitude for a better view of the surface.

As the balloon descended to 1,800 feet it was heading for thetown of Longridge. The pilot continued to fire the burners fromtime to time to control the balloon's descent. At this stagethe recovery vehicle, which had been following the balloon's progress, came into sight. The balloon flew over houses in Longridge ata height, estimated by one of the passengers to be 400 feet. As it passed near a school, on the southern side of the town, the pilot was heard to say that he would have to land soon. Theballoon had been airborne for some 50 minutes and was slightlyto the west of the town. It then started to drift back towardsthe town on an easterly track. The pilot spotted the school playingfield with two reservoirs close by. He stated that he was goingto try and land on the bank of one of the reservoirs but soonchanged his mind as the balloon had become becalmed over the town. He then climbed the balloon from 300 feet to 600 feet and indoing so picked up a south-westerly drift and a more positiveground movement. The balloon then passed over more houses andindustrial premises before the pilot stated "we'll have toget it down fast - we're running out of gas".

The approach and landing

In the descent the balloon's flight path was aligned with a smallarea of open land. One passenger, standing in the basket on thepilot's right, noticed power lines running across the plannedflight path beyond the open area and brought these to the pilot'sattention. The pilot fired the burners and the balloon climbedbut this time the flames, as described by one passenger, were'minute compared to earlier burns'. This description is indicative of a burner that is no longer being supplied with liquid gas (asnormal) but instead is being supplied with vapour. Balloon pilotsare trained to recognise at an early stage the progressive reductionin intensity and noise of the burner flame. Beyond the powerlines, which ran along the edge of a housing estate was a playingfield. A passenger estimated that the balloon cleared the powerlines by approximately 100 feet although the pilot reported thathe was at 600 feet as he cleared the wires. He was concerned about drifting back into the wires and went well into the fieldbefore initiating the final controlled descent. As he did sothe fuel ran out. The balloon developed a high descent rate andso the pilot warned his passengers that, "its going to bea hard landing - everybody keep your knees bent and hold on."

A few seconds later the basket hit the ground. One passengerestimated the speed of impact as being '20 mph'. After the firsttouchdown the basket bounced to a height of between 15 to 20 feetbefore hitting the ground a second time and coming to rest inan upright position. Such a bounce is quite normal in balloonlandings. The landing time was estimated by one of the passengers to be 2115 hrs (BST). The pilot shouted to the passengers 'stay where you are - nobody get out or it may take off again'. Severalpassengers were complaining of injuries and, once the envelopehad deflated, the pilot, who was uninjured, got out of the basketto assess the situation. The balloon ground crew arrived momentslater to assist and the pilot used his mobile telephone to summonthe emergency services. All but two of the passengers disembarkedfrom the basket. A man with a broken leg and a

woman with backinjuries remained 'in situ' until they had been attended to byparamedics who arrived on the scene some 10 to 15 minutes later. Ambulances took the injured passengers, including a further four with sprained ankles, to hospital.

Fuel and loading requirements

The operator had been issued with an Air Operators Certificate(AOC) and the Operations Manual (OM) detailed the fuel requirements. These specified that the minimum fuel to be carried was to besufficient for the intended flight plus 30 minutes. The minimumfuel required at take off (including the 30 minute reserve), inInternational Standard Atmosphere (ISA) conditions, for a 220-24balloon with an intended flight time of 1 hour, was 120 kg (240 litres). Changes in temperature, pressure or lapse rate from ISA conditionshave to be taken into account as they can affect fuel consumption.

The OM also specified that the maximum take-off weight for the ambient conditions was to be calculated before each flight using the approved method. The maximum take-off weight is the TotalPermitted Lift calculated for the ambient conditions. For allflights the load sheet section of the technical log Sector RecordPage had to be completed using the actual passenger and crew weights.

The completed load sheet and passenger manifest for the accidentflight showed that the total passenger weight (843 kg) plus theweight of the pilot (83 kg), the fuel cylinders (64 kg), the emptyballoon weight (500 kg) and the fuel (120 kg), came to 1,610 kg. The permitted lift calculation showed that the pilot had used a datum temperature of +15°C and a pressure altitude of 3,000feet. This gave a Total Permitted Lift weight of 1,705 kg showingthat the loaded balloon was 95 kg below the maximum allowed.

An experienced balloon operator and an executive member of theBritish Balloon and Airship Club (BBAC) estimated that the pilotwould have used approximately 20 kg of fuel to inflate an envelopeof this size and a further 10 kg during the 15 minutes it tookto load and brief the passengers. Thus it is estimated that theballoon took off with a fuel load of 90 kg; ie some 75% of theOM fuel required.

In planning the flight, the pilot had incorrectly used a surfacetemperature of $+15^{\circ}$ C in the calculation of his fuel requirement. The correct procedure is to enter the calculation with the actual surface temperature and then reduce it at the Dry Adiabatic LapseRate (DALR) of 3°C per 1000 feet to determine the temperatureat the planned maximum flight altitude (in this case 3000 feet). The available lift per unit volume is then calculated from aloading chart. The proper surface temperature should have been t+20°C (another operator launching a balloon for the samearea at the same time reported that the surface temperature wasprobably nearer to +21°C). The difference in temperatureand the fact that the balloon climbed to 4,500 feet instead of the planned 3,000 feet would also have resulted in an increase in the fuel requirements or would have required a reduction inpassenger payload.

Follow up actions

Because of this accident and a previous accident to the same balloonin March 1997 (see report published in this Bulletin), the CAAdecided to conduct an 'accompanied inspection flight' with thepilot. This flight, which was carried out on 28 July 1997, showedthat the pilot was operating to a satisfactory standard. Someanomalies within the administration and pre-flight preparationprompted the CAA to impose operating restrictions and conditionson the day-to day management of the

operating company. The operatorhas also decided to increase the number of fuel cylinders carriedin the basket.