Piper PA-32RT-300T, G-BMEV

AAIB Bulletin No: 11/97 Ref: EW/G97/08/16Category: 1.3

Aircraft Type and Registration:	Piper PA-32RT-300T, G-BMEV
No & Type of Engines:	1 Lycoming TIO-540-S1AD piston engine
Year of Manufacture:	1978
Date & Time (UTC):	17 August 1997 at 1504 hrs
Location:	Jersey Airport, Channel Islands
Type of Flight:	Private
Persons on Board:	Crew - 1 - Passengers - None
Injuries:	Crew - None - Passengers - N/A
Nature of Damage:	Damage to the propeller, spinner, engine cowling, nose landing gear doors and light
Commander's Licence:	Airline Transport Pilot's Licence
Commander's Age:	44 years
Commander's Flying Experience:	11,000 hours (of which 11 were on type)
	Last 90 days - 140 hours
	Last 28 days - 33 hours
Information Source:	Aircraft Accident Report Form submitted by the pilot

The flight was planned from Jersey Airport to Alderney. Whenabout 5 nm out from Runway 26 at Alderney, the pilot selected anding gear down. The two main landing gear legs appeared to lock down as normal but the green light for the nose leg did notilluminate and the 'landing gear unsafe'warning light remained on. The pilot quickly checked the circuitbreakers and tried the emergency 'free fall' system to extend the landing gear. However, the green light for the nose leg remained unlit and a flypast of the Alderney control tower confirmed that he nose leg had remained retracted.

The pilot flew a holding pattern to the south of Alderney, attemptingto recycle the landing gear, using the normal and emergency systemsbut with no success, confirmed by a second flypast of the controltower at Alderney. The aircraft returned to Jersey and, afterestablishing radio contact with ATC, requested advice and assistancefrom the aircraft maintenance organisation, other owners of

theaircraft and a flying instructor. The procedures were reviewedand a number of attempts made to lower the nose leg, including the application of pitch inputs to the aircraft at around approachspeed. Another flypast of the control tower at Jersey confirmed that the nose leg was still in the retracted position and thepilot made the decision to land on the two mainwheels on the hard-surfacedRunway 27. ATC offered a landing on the grass runway at Guernseybut the pilot considered that this would pose a greater risk ofdamage to the aircraft.

After reviewing the 'power-off' procedures, which would minimiseengine and propeller damage and reduce the risk of fire, the pilotmade his approach at 85 kt, with full flap. Crossing the thresholdhe closed the throttle, pulled the mixture control to Idle Cut-Off(ICO) and turned off the ignition and battery/master switches. After touchdown on the mainwheels the pilot held the nose offthe ground as long as possible. As the aircraft slowed the nosedropped onto the runway surface, causing damage to the propeller, spinner, cowling and nose landing geardoors. After the aircraft stopped the pilot briefly ran through the checklist to ensure that all switches were off and then leftthe aircraft. The airport Fire Service attended but there wasno fire.

Following the accident, the aircraft was examined by the maintenanceorganisation and it was found that the nose landinggear doors had been forced back during the landing. Whenthe doors had been removed it was apparent that a small eye-endportion on the left-hand side of the doors' scissors linkage hadfractured: this 'over-centre' linkage moves the nose landinggear doors with movement of the landing gear leg, against springswhich hold the doors in their open (gear down) and closed (gearup) positions.

The geometry of the linkage indicated that the fracture had occurredwhen the nose leg was pushed upwards, as the nose contacted therunway. It was also found that the aircraft's hydraulic pumpwas delivering only 200 psi, rather than the normal 2,500 psihydraulic pressure; further investigation revealed that thisreduction was due to a split 'O' ring within the actuator back-upassembly, allowing hydraulic flow back into the pump and reducingthe pressure to the landing gear actuators. It is likely, therefore, that the reduced hydraulic pressure was insufficient to extend the nose landing gear against the action of the springs, and other interference, within the door linkage. The fact that the noseleg would not extend when the pilot operated the 'emergency' free-fallsystem suggests that there was additional mechanical interference from previous damage to the scissors linkage.