

# Cameron A-210, G-OJWE

## AAIB Bulletin No:3/98 Ref: EW/C97/7/4 Category: 3

<b>Aircraft Type and Registration:</b>	Cameron A-210, G-OJWE
<b>No &amp; Type of Engines:</b>	Two Double Shadow and one Single Stealth burner
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>	1997
<b>Date &amp; Time (UTC):</b>	20 July 1997 at 0927 hrs
<b>Location:</b>	North Ferriby, Humberside
<b>Type of Flight:</b>	Public Transport
<b>Persons on Board:</b>	Crew - 1 - Passengers - 12
<b>Injuries:</b>	Crew - 1 serious - Passengers - 1 fatal - 7 serious
<b>Nature of Damage:</b>	Balloon destroyed
<b>Commander's Licence:</b>	Commercial Pilot's Licence (Balloons)
<b>Commander's Age:</b>	42 years
<b>Commander's Flying Experience:</b>	See text
<b>Information Source:</b>	AAIB Field Investigation

### History of flight

The departure point was Hesslewood Country Park which is on the north shore of the River Humber, just to the west of the Humber Bridge; on the previous day from the same location the pilot had conducted a flight which had crossed the River Humber and landed to the south of it. The passengers and pilot met at 0530 hrs, for the flight which was planned to last about one hour. There was one more passenger than originally manifested which made the total twelve. However, the weather was unsuitable due to low cloud and poor visibility and departure was delayed until conditions improved. Meanwhile to assess the wind profile, the pilot released several helium balloons; he also discussed the general weather with ATC at Humberside Airport and went onto the Humber Bridge to assess the wind and visibility.

It was not possible to determine the vertical profile of the flight with any accuracy, however, interrogation of the Global Positioning System (GPS) device carried by the pilot enabled track and time to be determined with a high degree of accuracy (see Figure 1). The pilot was unable to recall any significant detail of the flight.

The balloon eventually took off at about 0831 hrs and tracked north west for a short time. As it climbed the track became south west. It continued to drift slowly south west over the Humber

estuary at an altitude of about 600 feet amsl. By 0853 hrs, it was still only about 270 metres from the north shore. The pilot had made radio contact with the recovery vehicle and told the crewman that he would not be crossing the river. The balloon then descended and began to track north west.

As the balloon approached the land, it appeared to fly parallel to the shoreline for a short time before landing on the foreshore at 0908 hrs. A man who was walking his dogs had seen the balloon flying at low level along the shore and, when it landed on the mud just above the water line, he approached to offer assistance. The pilot asked him from which direction the wind appeared to be coming and he indicated that it was from the southern tower of the bridge. He was then given a line which he was told to tie to the outside of the basket. The pilot made a short burn to lift the balloon off the ground and, with the assistance of three passengers who had disembarked, he pulled the balloon over a rocky area and onto the shore path. The pilot asked him if the area was accessible by vehicle and was told it was. The pilot then got out of the basket and looked around the immediate area before getting back in and asking the man to hold the line until the basket was about 6 feet off the ground and then to release it.

At 0918 hrs, the balloon took off again and flew north west at relatively low level. By 0924 hrs it had covered about 450 metres and was seen stationary, at low level, over the southern end of the rear garden of a house in North Ferriby. It then climbed and turned left to track approximately south.

A video recording made by a local resident showed the balloon descending into an adjacent field. As it passed over a 33 kVA power line it appeared to stop and descend almost vertically, possibly even backwards. A short burn of about 2 seconds was heard followed immediately by a continuous burn of about 13 seconds which lasted until the basket short circuited the cables and burst into flames. The envelope and burners separated from the basket, which tilted, spilling the passengers out onto the ground some 30 feet below. The last relevant recorded GPS position was at 09:26:40 hrs and the power line interrupt was recorded at 09:26:35 hrs.

## **Meteorology**

An aftercast from the Meteorological Office at Bracknell indicated that there was a ridge of high pressure established over eastern England. Low cloud and poor visibility had been extensive along the coastal strip but by 0900 hrs it had begun to clear and the visibility was around 7 Km with scattered or broken cloud base between 700 and 1,000 feet. The surface wind was variable/5 kt or less and the temperature was 16°C. At 2,000 feet, the wind was from 010° to 020°/8 to 10 kt. The following METAR observations were made at Humberside Airport:

0820 hrs Surface wind Calm

Visibility 3,000 metres in mist

Cloud Broken base 300 feet

Temperature +13°C

Dew point +13°C

0920 hrs Surface wind Calm

Visibility 6,000 metres in mist

Cloud Broken base 700 feet

Temperature +14°C

Dew point +13°C

A report from the Humber Observatory said that the surface wind speed at the time and location of the balloon's take off was probably 1 to 1.5 metres/sec (2 to 3 kt), decreasing as the flight progressed. The direction was probably north east to east north east at the take off point veering to east or east south east at the estuary water surface. The surface temperature at take off was probably about 13°C rising to about 15 to 16°C as the flight progressed.

### **Performance**

The company used a combined document known as the 'Technical Log Sector Record Page Load sheet and Passenger Manifest'. There were eleven passengers plus the pilot on the manifest and, with the balloon, propane cylinders and propane gas, the total lift required was calculated to be 1,507 Kg. The permitted lift calculation assumed a datum temperature of 10°C which gave a total permitted lift of 1,772 Kg, 265 Kg more than required. If, as recorded by Humber Observatory, the temperature was 13°C when the balloon eventually took off at 0834 hrs, the total permitted lift would have been about 1,650 Kg, 143 Kg more than required. However, the extra passenger's weight was 71 kg, and even with the addition of the extra passenger, the balloon would still have been below its maximum permitted lift for take off.

### **Recorded Data**

The GPS equipment found on the site was working throughout the accident flight. The equipment uses satellite navigation to establish its position and displays it on a small screen. More importantly, the equipment has a logging facility, which saves position, time and date information into non-volatile memory periodically when there is a significant change in direction or speed. The equipment was found to operate satisfactorily after the accident.

The data stored in the non-volatile memory was downloaded into a computer. Assessment of the orbital position of the navigation satellites in view of the GPS equipment over the period of the accident flight indicated that the accuracy of individual points could not be guaranteed to better than 175 feet; this may have been degraded further by the balloon occupants occasionally obscuring the GPS aerial. However, there was a very close correlation between the positions plotted and the known positions of the balloon at takeoff and at the accident site.

### **Pilot's flying experience**

It is believed that the pilot's licence and log book were in the basket and were destroyed by fire. Licence and medical summaries were obtained from the CAA.

The pilot was granted a PPL (Groups A/B) on 17 August 1994; the licence has a lifetime validity. On 20 January 1997 this was upgraded to a CPL (Group B) which is valid for 10 years from the date of issue. He held a Class 2 medical certificate which was valid until 30 November 1997.

The Company Chief Pilot converted the pilot to Group B balloons between 6 December 1996 and 14 May 1997; the course was completed successfully and about 5:25 hours were flown. It was not

possible to determine the pilot's total flying experience with any accuracy, however, he estimated that he had about 300 hours balloon flying of which 20 were on type.

### **The company**

The company operating the balloon held a current Air Operator's Certificate which was most recently re-issued on 4 May 1997, valid for 12 months, following an inspection by the CAA on 9 April 1997. G-OJWE was added to the company's Operations Manual on 19 June 1997.

### **General Description of the balloon**

The Cameron A210 hot air balloon had a sewn nylon fabric envelope with a volume of 210,000 cu ft. The envelope was constructed from 20 gores, each comprising 23 panels and was fitted with a type 210TT model 'double T' partitioned basket. A leather covered steel frame was attached to the top of the basket, giving extra rigidity and dividing it into a central area for the pilot and four 60 litre gas cylinders, and an area at each end, subdivided to provide a total of four passenger units each capable of holding three passengers.

The balloon was equipped with three burners, each attached to the burner frame which was suspended below the envelope. The burners were each connected to a gas cylinder by two hoses, one carrying liquid propane, and the other carrying propane vapour. The basket was also connected to the burner frame by two loops of 6 mm stainless steel cable, which ran around the basket through the wickerwork structure.

For in-flight venting a candy striped vent line was used; this opened the parachute in the crown of the envelope to release hot air. For final deflation a red rip line pulled the centre of the parachute down towards the basket, causing it to collapse into a column in the centre of the parachute aperture. The parachute panel could be made to refill the aperture by pulling on the candy striped venting line.

G-OJWE had been manufactured in April 1997 and had flown for 15.30 hrs total time before the accident flight.

### **Accident Site**

The burnt out remains of the balloon basket were found in a grass field immediately to the west of a hedge consisting of a line of small trees aligned on a heading of 280\_M. A three-wire 33 kVA power line ran approximately east/west over the hedge and a few yards south of the basket, with a pole support positioned close to the eastern side of the hedge. The power wires were approximately 8m above ground level, and the southernmost pair of wires showed some slight damage to wire strands within their respective bundles.

The basket wickerwork had been burnt out except for some small areas on the undersurface which were resting on the ground. The stainless steel basket frame had survived, but was bent and showed signs of arcing. The basket suspension cables had sustained multiple breaks due to overheating, both under the basket and where the cables had passed close to the steel basket frame. The four gas cylinders were found amongst the remains of the basket and showed signs of extreme heat which had melted their aluminium fittings. The hoses of the three cylinders which had been connected to the burners had separated at one or other of their swaged end fittings. These three cylinders showed no signs of expansion due to internal pressure. However, the fourth cylinder had no hose fittings attached and had vented gas through its pressure relief valve.

## **Subsequent Inspection**

The balloon envelope, still attached to the burners on the burner frame, had separated from the basket and drifted away, finally sinking in the Humber and partially inflating under water. The recovery of these items from the water was a difficult operation which resulted in extensive damage to the balloon envelope and some damage to the burners. The balloon envelope, burner frame and metallic components from the accident site were examined by the AAIB and the manufacturer.

Due to the damage done to the burners and their pilot lights during their immersion in sea water, it was not considered possible to determine their serviceability before the accident. Although the audio track of the video recorded a burner application prior to the wire contact, the number of burners in use could not be determined. The burner valves were easily toggled on/off and the positions found after the recovery were not necessarily considered to represent the selections in use before the accident.

The envelope was taken to the manufacturer's premises where it was inspected:

Panels Approximately half of the 460 panels had been damaged by tearing but no seams had come undone. The damage was assumed to have been produced during recovery from the River Humber.

Temperature Tape Both temperature strips inside the top of the balloon indicated that their maximum temperature of 150\_C had been exceeded, although the effects of immersion in salt water was not known. A temperature indicating flag, which would have been dropped at 128\_C by a low melting point solder, had not been released.

Pulleys Seven of the 20 black plastic pulleys on the parachute showed signs of melting produced by cord burns, this was most likely to have occurred during the release of the envelope after the contact with the power line. If the temperature strip indications were valid the pulley plastic could have been softened by excess temperatures. One of the cords running over the pulleys had failed under loading.

Candy striped and Red Rope Both ropes had detached from their steel clips at the lower ends, these clips were normally attached to the burner frame, and the eyes in the ropes which had held the clips had been subjected to sufficient tension to permanently change the cross section of the rope.

The freed rope end on the candy striped line had torn the intermediate pulley from its nylon loop attached to the envelope and had ended up jammed in the top pulley. The red rope had also pulled out a nylon attachment and was jammed in a pulley.

## **Probable Sequence of Events**

A video recording showed the last few minutes of the flight and the contact with the wires. Two of the electricity wires had short circuited through the two 6 mm suspension cables fitted round the basket and the basket frame; this had caused multiple breaks in the cables which transferred the loads to the six burner hoses. The burner hoses parted, releasing the balloon and the burner frame to drift back over the Humber; the basket then fell to the ground with the four gas cylinders and the passengers. It is probable that the three in-use tanks had their valves open and were venting liquid propane at around 100 psi into a propane fire. It is also possible that some of the passengers were tipped out of the basket and so escaped the worst of the conflagration.

In view of the uncontrolled release of liquid propane caused by the failure of the basket suspension cables it is recommended that the CAA require UK balloon manufacturers to review the integrity of their balloons in similar circumstances.

During the video recording rope(s) could be seen extending from the envelope to the basket as the envelope rose in the air. It was assumed that these ropes were the red and candy striped ropes, their effect on the parachute during the accident sequence could have been:

The envelope detached, having about 1000 kg excess lift, with both ropes fastened to basket at their lower ends.

As the red rope came under tension it released the parachute, further tension to the pulley from the envelope and eventually released the clip attached to the basket rail.

The candy striped rope, which was longer because it had a double fall then closed the parachute and eventually its clip released from the basket rail in the same way as the red rope.

During the initial ascent of the freed envelope the bottom LHS appeared to partially collapse. This appeared to have been caused by the hot air rising from a propane fire beneath it.

### **Safety equipment**

Because the region of operation for United Kingdom balloon operators does not extend beyond any point to seaward more than 1 nm from the Spring Tide high water mark, the carriage of crew and passenger life jackets is not addressed in either the Operation manual or related legislation. There are other popular ballooning areas, for example the Bristol Channel where, like the River Humber, balloons can spend a significant part of their flight over water. It is therefore recommended that the CAA consider the requirement for the provision of life jackets for the occupants of balloons which, although within the region of operation, spend a significant part of their flight over water.

### **CAA Database**

The CAA Mandatory Occurrence Report (MOR) database contained 45 other reports of wire strikes involving UK registered balloons since 1977. Of these 45, 19 were reported to have been in the Passenger category, and they had resulted in four serious injuries and seven minor injuries.

### **Discussion**

Early morning fog and mist normally clear when the surface temperature increases and thermal activity mixes the air near surface with the warmer air above it. Both the temperature rise and the turbulence generated by surface warming can adversely affect a balloon's performance. This is why they are normally flown in the 2 to 3 hours after sunrise and the 2 hours before sunset. Rather than disappoint the passengers by cancelling the flight at 0530 hrs, the pilot elected to wait until the visibility improved and then reassess the situation. When the visibility did improve enough to make the flight viable he evidently decided that the prevailing conditions were such as not to cause concern about the balloon's performance.

It would have been evident to him that the wind near the surface was from the southeast becoming north east with increasing altitude. In other words, related to the north shore of the River Humber, the wind direction was onshore at low level becoming offshore with increasing altitude; a normal

situation. The stronger wind at 2,000 feet would have caused the balloon to track between 190° and 200° at 8 to 10 kt which would have taken it across the estuary in reasonable time. Although the wind was light, generally less than 3 kt, it should be borne in mind that a balloon has a very limited surface wind domain and would not normally be operated in surface wind speeds exceeding 8 kt. It would have been reasonable to assume that these wind velocities would be relatively stable for the whole of the rescheduled flight, possibly with the speed increasing slightly with time.

The balloon drifted slowly south west as altitude was gained. Although it would have given the pilot the advantage of a more favourable wind, the balloon did not climb above about 600 feet amsl. This was almost certainly because the pilot was obliged to conduct the flight in VMC and he would have been reluctant to enter the layer of cloud, the base of which was reported to be about 700 to 1,000 feet amsl. His progress was slow and, at about 0854 hrs, he descended, to use the onshore wind at low level to bring him back to land.

When the pilot decided to land on the foreshore, at 0908 hrs, it is possible that he intended to terminate the flight at this point. However, after the balloon had been manhandled over the rocks onto the shore path, the pilot may have considered that the proximity of a railway line would have proved a hazard to the deflation of so large a balloon and it was also apparent that access would have been less than ideal for the recovery vehicle. The balloon was not short of fuel and its occupants were in no immediate danger so when he sensed a slight onshore breeze the pilot took off again, probably with the intention of flying inland for a while before finding a suitable field in which to land. It was evident that any attempted increase in height led to the balloon tracking back towards the estuary which forced the pilot to descend again. The balloon continued across a field towards a row of houses where it came to a halt, probably because the light airflow had been modified by the presence of the buildings; this prevented him passing over them at a safe height. Again, the balloon was not short of fuel and its occupants were in no immediate danger.

He subsequently climbed again into the north easterly airflow and tracked towards the field over which the accident occurred. The field was suitable for a landing and the consensus of informed opinion is that the pilot was attempting a landing when the balloon struck the electricity cable. At this point the cable crossed the narrow northern edge of the field almost at the fence line. The balloon's approach track certainly changed at a critical stage in the descent, making a safe landing unlikely. The pilot therefore aborted the landing but despite the continuous burn he was unable to arrest the rate of descent. It was not possible to determine how many burners he used in this attempt.

## **Safety recommendations**

### **Recommendation 98-21**

It is recommended that the CAA consider the requirement for the provision of life jackets for the occupants of balloons which, although within the region of operation, spend a significant part of their flight over water.

### **Recommendation 98-22**

It is recommended that the CAA require UK balloon manufacturers to review the integrity of their balloons so that separation of the basket from the envelope does not result in uncontrolled release of gaseous or liquid propane.