

Bell 206B Jet Ranger, G-OBTW

AAIB Bulletin No: 2/98 Ref: EW/C97/3/4 Category: 2.3

Aircraft Type and Registration:	Bell 206B Jet Ranger, G-OBTW
No & Type of Engines:	1 Allison Model 250-C20 turboshaft engine
Year of Manufacture:	1972
Date & Time (UTC):	16 March 1997 at 0805 hrs
Location:	Gravesend near Albury, Hertfordshire
Type of Flight:	Private
Persons on Board:	Crew - 1 - Passengers - None
Injuries:	Crew - 1 fatal - Passengers - N/A
Nature of Damage:	Helicopter destroyed
Commander's Licence:	Private Pilot's Licence (Helicopters)
Commander's Age:	58 years
Commander's Flying Experience:	126 hours (of which all were on type) Last 90 days - 32 hours Last 28 days - 8 hours
Information Source:	AAIB Field Investigation

History of flight

On the morning of the accident the pilot obtained the weather forecast from the Meteorological Office using the MetFax facility. This gave a general low level situation of 18 km visibility, $\frac{6}{8}$ stratocumulus between 2,500 to 4,000 feet with occasional 8 km visibility in haze and $\frac{6}{8}$ stratus cloud between 1,000 and 2,000 feet mainly in the south and east of the country. In addition, for sea and coastal areas there was forecast to be areas of isolated 3,000 metres visibility in mist or drizzle with between $\frac{5}{8}$ and $\frac{8}{8}$ stratus or stratocumulus between 300 feet and 4,000 feet and similar areas of fog or drizzle with $\frac{7}{8}$ of stratus between the surface and 2,000 feet. The pilot's intended route was from Albury, due north to his home near Spalding in Lincolnshire.

After carrying out his external pre-flight checks, the pilot started the engine and radioed Stansted ATC to advise them of his intended flight at 1,000 feet on a track of 360°. In reply he was given a

radar transponder code and advised that he would receive a Flight Information Service (FIS). After a second radio call to Stansted confirming that he was about to lift-off, there was no further radio contact between the pilot and ATC despite several attempts by Stansted ATC to contact him. It is not known whether he listened to the Stansted Aerodrome Terminal Information Service (ATIS) broadcast which is a continuous broadcast of recorded non-control information. The broadcast was reporting a wind of 260_/13 kt, visibility 3,000 metres with scattered cloud at 200 feet and overcast at 300 feet, temperature and dewpoint of 10_ and 9_C respectively and a QNH (an altimeter setting to read airfield height) of 1026 mb. The departure point of the flight was at Piggott's Farm, Albury which is six miles to the west of Stansted Airfield.

The helicopter's flight was observed and recorded by Debden radar and the Stansted Watchman radar. The track plots observed by these two radars were coincident. Height information was not available since the helicopter was not, and was not required to be, fitted with a height encoding altimeter (Mode C). The track, as recorded by the radars, is shown in Figure 1 together with the position of the witnesses closest to the accident site.

After lift-off, the helicopter flew in a northerly direction for less than a mile before turning slowly to the left just south of the village of Cockhampstead. This turn continued until the helicopter was once again tracking north. After crossing Albury Hall it began to turn once again to the left until it was tracking east. The flight continued in this direction for two miles, crossing the villages of Gravesend and Patmore Heath. At this point it turned north for one mile before turning east for another mile until it reached the River Ash where it then flew south before turning left to the north-west. Shortly after crossing the River Ash once again the helicopter was seen to turn very sharply to the left at which point the engine was heard to stop and the helicopter descended vertically until it hit the ground some twenty yards west of the road from Little Hadham to Furneaux Pelham.

A considerable number of witnesses either heard or saw the helicopter during its short flight. The weather in the area was described as consisting of low cloud with areas of mist, dense in places, particularly on the hills and close to woodland areas. There was general agreement that the sound of the helicopter was constantly varying in pitch. Some witnesses were convinced that the helicopter was flying in the cloud although during the final moments of the flight it was seen to be in the clear.

Technical investigation

The aircraft had crashed alongside an unclassified road about one third of a mile north of the village of Gravesend. Damage to the aircraft and ground marks showed that it had descended upright but banked to the right. Its descent had been vertical with no detectable forward or sideways velocity. The machine had bounced after the first impact and had yawed slightly to the left before settling. This showed that it may have been yawing at a low rate as it descended. The rate of descent had been high and the whole fuselage structure was crushed by the impact with the ground. Kerosene fuel had splashed on to the ground from the ruptured fuel tank but it did not catch light and no fire developed in the wreckage. The main rotor and tail rotor were virtually stopped at impact and there was no torsional damage in the transmission shafts between the engine and the rotors to show that power was being produced by the engine at impact.

The pilot's twist grip control on the collective lever, was found to be on the 'flight' side of the Flight Idle Stop. The guarded fuel valve switch was at 'ON' and the valve itself was found to be open. Engine anti-icing was selected to 'OFF'. (Its use is not required above +4.5_C; Stansted reported +10_C.)

There were numerous failures in the mechanical linkages of the flying control system but these all exhibited the characteristics of overload and distortion consistent with impact. None of the failures were characteristic of any pre-existing failure condition. The hydraulic servo-actuators from the flying control system were tested on a rig and they operated correctly. The hydraulic pump and reservoir had suffered impact damage but the pump's internal condition was good.

The possibility was considered that the aircraft had suffered a loss of electrical power because there was no evidence within the wreckage of electrical power being available at impact and the battery switch was found to be in the 'OFF' position. However, this switch position, as with most of the switch positions, could not be considered to be reliable as the switch was unprotected and could easily have been moved in the crash. Electrical failure would stop the two fuel boost pumps which are in the bottom of the fuel tank though this should not normally cause an engine stoppage as the pump within the engine itself ought to be able to continue to suck fuel from the tank. The radar information showed that the aircraft's transponder was operating until the aircraft was directly over the accident site and this is an indication that the aircraft's electrical system was working up to that point.

The main gearbox was not initially free to rotate but when it was dismantled its internal components were found to be intact and the seizure had been caused by light impact damage. The free-wheel unit and tail rotor gearbox operated normally and the failures found in the shafting were in overload and were clearly caused by the ground impact.

Loss of engine power

Fuel from the owner's supply, taken through the delivery nozzle, was analysed and it conformed to specification. A second sample from the supply tank sump contained some contamination but this is not considered significant as it is the purpose of the sump to collect any debris which enters or is generated within the tank and all the fuel that was recovered from the aircraft and the engine was clean. In particular, the main filter on the aircraft was clean and fully charged with fuel and the pipe which fed the fuel spray nozzle in the engine combustion chamber also contained clean fuel.

The engine was removed and examined at the facility of the manufacturer's agent in the UK. After an initial examination involving minimal disturbance the engine was mounted on a test bed and run. On test, the operation of the engine and its control system was completely satisfactory. The engine was then stripped and examined. No significant defects were found except for some slight rubbing damage between rotational and static components which was interpreted as having occurred at impact with the engine rotating at a very low speed. This would be consistent with the engine not producing power but 'running down' from normal speeds towards stop at impact.

The radar information showed the aircraft's flight path to be very erratic with a particularly sharp change of direction just before the crash. If the aircraft was subjected to erratic unbalanced flight then it would be possible for the fuel to slosh from side to side in the tank possibly allowing the fuel pump inlets to be uncovered and air to be entrained into the fuel pipework. This is most likely with a low fuel level. However, the deformation the tank had suffered when it burst on impact showed that it had contained a substantial amount of fuel and taking account of the flight times recorded since the helicopter was last refuelled, it was calculated that there would have been about one third of total fuel remaining at the time of the crash. Furthermore, when the aircraft hit the ground the fuel pipe to the burner nozzle was charged with fuel and there was no direct evidence, therefore, that there had been air in the system.

A loss in the stability of the airflow through the engine (surging) can typically be caused by deterioration within the engine itself or by ingestion of material through the intake and is most likely to occur when large power changes are being demanded. On test, the engine was not found to be susceptible to surge, it was in good condition and showed no evidence that it had ingested anything.

Thus, as no water or other contamination was found there was no evidence for any possible cause of flame extinction and it was not discovered why the engine had lost power before impact.

Conclusions

The pilot had learned to fly in June 1996 after buying his own helicopter (GOBTW). He flew exclusively in this helicopter and had completed his training on 16 February 1997. His private pilot's licence had been issued by the CAA on the 4 March 1997 and the accident flight was his tenth after the completion of his training. He did not hold an Instrument Rating or IMC Rating and the helicopter was not cleared for flight in IMC. Post mortem examination did not reveal any condition which might have contributed to the cause of the accident.

The weather conditions at the time of the accident were not suitable for VFR flight. Considering the meandering nature of the track flown in conjunction with the witness statements, to the pilot appears to have been prevented from following his desired route by the necessity to avoid low cloud and to search for clearer patches. Conditions were such that keeping the surface in sight was difficult and, with limited flying experience, particularly in bad weather, the pilot would have been prone to the onset of both geographical and spatial disorientation. The meandering nature of the helicopter's track, which was not in the pilot's planned direction as drawn on his chart, suggests some geographical disorientation arising from the necessity to maintain VFR flight.

Throughout its short flight the helicopter was constantly manoeuvring, as opposed to being in straight and level flight. This would explain the fluctuating noises reported by witnesses who would have heard the predominant noise from the helicopter's main rotor blades constantly altering as the helicopter banked, climbed, and descended at an unusually low height. Vigorous manoeuvring, which possibly occurred shortly before the crash, could have precipitated engine failure due to a temporary uncovering of the fuel pump inlets and/or disruption of the engine's airflow. For whatever reason, the engine and main rotor were practically stationary at the time of impact. Two possibilities remain; either the accident was the result of pilot disorientation whilst attempting to fly in conditions which were not conducive to VFR or, and possibly connected with such unstable flight, an engine failure occurred at a critical moment when the pilot was unable to take the appropriate emergency action following loss of power.