Piper PA-23-250, G-BAED, 20 March 1996

AAIB Bulletin No: 8/96 Ref: EW/C96/3/3 Category: 1.2

Aircraft Type and Registration: Piper PA-23-250, G-BAED

No & Type of Engines: 2 Lycoming I0-540-C4B5-pistonengines

Year of Manufacture: 1968

Date & Time (UTC): 20 March 1996 at 1655 hrs

Location: Runway 08 at Eglington (Londonderry) Airport

Type of Flight: Private

Persons on Board: Crew - 1 Passengers - 5

Injuries: Crew - Nil Passengers - Nil

Nature of Damage: Both propellers damaged beyond repair, engines shock loaded and the lower nose fuselage and nose landinggear doors damaged

Commander's Licence: Airline Transport Pilot's Licence

Commander's Age: 29

Commander's Flying Experience: 2,720 hours (of which 110were on type)

Last 90 days - 105 hours

Last 28 days - 20 hours

Information Source: AAIB Field Investigation

After turning onto final approach with all final approach checkscompleted, which included a check that the three green landinggear 'down-and-locked' lights were illuminated, a normal approachand smooth initial landing were made. After a gentle loweringof the nose, the pilot commenced brake application whereupon thenose landing gear collapsed. During the ground slide, the pilotnoticed smoke entering the cockpit which prompted him to requestimmediate fire service assistance. When the aircraft came toa halt, the pilot ordered an evacuation and all the passengersdisembarked safely and with no injuries. The pilot turned offthe fuel and all the electrical systems before disembarking. There was no fire. Some time following the accident the pilotrecalled that following the take off on the accident flight hehad to select the landing gear UP three times before he couldobtain a landing gear 'up-and-locked' indication.

Initial examination showed that the landing gear system functioned satisfactorily using the aircraft's hydraulic hand pump, except that the nose landing gear down-and-locked light would not illuminate, even though the down lock was engaged. This fault was found to have been caused by severe buckling of a two piece 'U' shapedfloating link assembly between the landing gear end of the hydraulicactuator and the down lock (Piper part number 16667-00). Examination of the damage to this floating link assembly and the system operationindicated that the buckling had occurred during the nose landinggear collapse. A new link assembly was fitted and a full hydraulic system test was carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's Maintenance Manual, section VI, paragraph 6-11 to 6-23 using anexternal hydraulic pressure supply rig and two pressure gaugesfitted in the aircraft's supply and return lines. From the hydraulic system test, it was found that the aircraft's hydraulic powerpack was not functioning correctly. When the landing gear wasselected down, the landing gear extended and locked down and thehydraulic pressure increased to 900 psi (the correct pressureshould have been 1250 0 psi) before the landing gear selectorlever returned to its neutral position. After the selector leverreturned to its neutral position, the supply pressure to the landinggear actuators dropped to about 50 psi, over a 40 to 50 secondinterval. It was also observed that the return line gauge exhibited steady indication of approximately 125 psi. The effect of thiswould have been that the 'retract' side of the landing gear actuatorswould have had a higher hydraulic pressure than the 'extend' side. It was also noted that during the retract cycle the first eventto occur was that the nose landing gear down lock disengaged, followed by the 'breaking' of the over-centre mechanical lock. The nose landing gear down lock was withdrawn by the first 1/4inch of movement of the actuator. The specification for the hydraulic system is that the supply pressure should not drop below 700 psiover a 10 minute period and that there should be no more than18 psi pressure in the return line.

After further testing of the hydraulic system it was diagnosed that the hydraulic power pack and the priority valve were faulty. A reconditioned power pack was fitted, the tests repeated and the system was found to function satisfactorily, except that therewas still a residual pressure of approximately 125 psi in thereturn line. A reconditioned priority valve was fitted which rectified this high return line pressure.

Both defective units were taken to an authorised overhaul organisation for testing and examination. The hydraulic power pack did notperform correctly when bench tested. On strip examination therewas good evidence that the unit had, within the last few hundredhours of operation, been dismantled and cleaned. In addition themain relief valve, which sets the pressure at which the landinggear selector lever is returned to neutral, had been incorrectly adjusted. The reason for the unit not holding the pressure in the supply line was corrosion of the poppet valves in the areaswhere they interfaced with the valve seats. No fault could befound associated with the priority valve.

The operation and maintenance records for the aircraft were examined in detail. There were no entries in the aircraft log books (whichonly went back to 1983) to indicate that the hydraulic power packhad been removed for repair or adjustment, or had been replaced. The aircraft had been involved in two previous accidents, bothof which featured collapse of the nose landing gear during landing(AAIB Bulletins 11/83 and 12/87). No link could be found between this accident and the two previous accidents. Following the accidentin 1987, the aircraft was dismantled and transported by road toa repair organisation where it remained for 15 months until therepair had been completed. It is considered that the corrosion of the poppet valves within the hydraulic power pack may have initiated during the period of this repair. Following this repair, the aircraft was operated in the Private Category for about 4 years during which time a number of landing gear problems werereported, the majority of which were recorded as "Port/starboardlanding gear down-and-locked light going out during the landing, when landing gear selector lever put in the DOWN position

thelight illuminated immediately". There was also a report that the landing gear doors were opening in flight. There wereno entries in the airframe log book of any rectification workhaving taken place to rectify these defects. The aircraft wasnot flown between May 1993 and March 1994, when it changed ownershipto its present owners. On two occasions during 1995 it was reported that the landing gear up-and-locked light went out during flight(the landing gear is held in the up-andlocked position by hydraulicpressure). After both of these reports the aircraft was placedon jacks with the landing gear retracted for a period of time, but no faults were found. The accident flight was the first flight of the aircraft following a thorough annual maintenance check. During this check considerable time and effort had been expendedon the landing gear and hydraulic system, which included an emergencylanding gear extension using the aircraft's compressed air system, but no faults were found. During the emergency landing gear extension, the priority valve was disengaged by the compressed air pressure. When the compressed air pressure was removed from the priorityvalve it would have automatically reset to its normal operatingposition upon application of hydraulic system pressure. It isfelt that following this emergency landing gear extension thepriority valve did not fully reset which resulted in the abnormallyhigh pressure observed in the return line during the landing gearextension tests. The hydraulic system check using an external hydraulic test rig and the insertion of two pressure gauges as detailed in the aircraft manufacturer's Maintenance Manual sectionXI, paragraph 6-11 to 6-23 was not carried out, or required during this maintenance check.

Summary: Following an uneventful approach and touch down the noselanding gear collapsed during the landing roll. The engineeringinvestigation identified faults with the hydraulic power packand priority valve which allowed a positive hydraulic pressure to be present in the landing gear retract system once the landinggear had achieved the 'down-and-locked' position. The effectof this positive pressure would have been to withdraw the noselanding gear down lock and possibly initiate the 'breaking' of the over-centre mechanical lock. The fault within the hydraulicpower pack was found to have been caused by corrosion which wasconsidered to have initiated during a long period when the aircraftunderwent repair following a similar, but unrelated accident in1987. No fault could be found within the priority valve, butit is considered that following the activation of the landinggear emergency lowering system during the maintenance check immediatelyprior to the accident flight, the priority valve did not completelyreset. Since the repair following the earlier accident in 1987a number of landing gear problems were reported that did not appearto have been investigated and rectified satisfactorily.