Cessna 120, G-BUKO

AAIB Bulletin No: 2/98 Ref: EW/G97/12/15Category: 1.3

Aircraft Type and Registration: Cessna 120, G-BUKO

No & Type of Engines: 1 Continental Motors Corp C85-12 piston engine

Year of Manufacture: 1947

Date & Time (UTC): 28 December 1997 at 1330 hrs

Location: Manor Farm, west of Salisbury, Wiltshire

Type of Flight: Private

Persons on Board: Crew - 1 - Passengers - 1

Injuries: Crew - None - Passengers - None

Nature of Damage:

Propeller bent; right-hand landing gear torn off; fuselage in

cabin area distorted; right-hand wing tip damaged

Commander's Licence: Private Pilot's Licence

Commander's Age: 30 years

Commander's Flying Experience: 274 hours (of which 210 were on type)

Last 90 days - 10 hours

Last 28 days - 2 hours

Information Source: Aircraft Accident Report Form submitted by the pilot

The pilot was familiar with the farm strip, which is oriented09/27, is 447 metres long and slopes downwards to the east. Twobushes beside the strip each mark a distance covered and a distance og of 180 metres in either take-off direction; the bushes are87 metres apart. On the day before the accident the pilot hadaborted two take-off attempts, using Runway 27 into a 7 kt headwind. The surface of the strip was soft and the grass was long andwet, but the third take off was successful when the aircraft wasrunning over grass which had been flattened during the previousruns.

On the day of the accident the aircraft loading was similar to the preceding day, with two less gallons of fuel. The runwayconditions were also similar and the aircraft had just been successfullyoperated by the owner/operator. The wind was 220° at 2 to 3 kt and temperature +3°C. In view of his difficulties the previous day, the pilot decided to take off from Runway 09 taking advantage of the downslope and based on his experience that such a light tailwind had

negligible effect on this aircraft's performance. The engine was run up successfully to 2,100 RPM and a 'shortfield' take-off initiated. Acceleration appeared to be normaland, on reaching a position adjacent to the second bush marker(180 metres remaining), the pilot attempted to rotate the aircraft. He did not consider that the aircraft was ready to become airborneand so he returned the control column to that position best suitedfor the take-off run and held it for a few seconds before makinga second attempt to become airborne. This was also unsuccessfuland the pilot therefore closed the throttle and applied the brakes. Despite an attempt to ground loop the aircraft near to the endof the runway, the pilot was unable to prevent it from crashingthrough a barbed wire fence and into a cutting of a farm trackadjacent to the eastern end of the strip. The aircraft came toan abrupt stop in the far bank of the cutting. The pilot switchedoff the fuel and electrics before he and his passenger exitedthe aircraft. The pilot summoned assistance on his mobile telephone.

In a comprehensive report the pilot reports that after the accidenthe noticed that the wind occasionally picked up to 5 or 6 kt. He also considers that the surface condition of the strip affectedhis braking performance adversely. Nevertheless, he is of the opinion that his decision to abort the take off was preferable to attempting to continue with a strong possibility of eitherstriking the obstacle at speed or stalling due to insufficientairspeed.