Boeing 767-336, G-BNWP, 7 December 1995

AAIB Bulletin No: 4/96 Ref: EW/G95/12/07 Category: 1.1

Aircraft Type and Registration:Boeing 767-336, G-BNWP

No & Type of Engines:2 Rolls-Royce RB211-524H-36 turbofan engines

Year of Manufacture: 1992

Date & Time (UTC):7 December 1995 at about 0735 hrs

Location: Beirut International Airport

Type of Flight: Public Transport

Persons on Board: Crew - 11 Passengers - 158

Injuries:Crew - None Passengers - None

Nature of Damage: None

Commander's Licence: Airline Transport Pilot's Licence

Commander's Age:52 years

Commander's Flying Experience: 10,680 hours

Last 90 days - 140 hours

Last 28 days - 23 hours

Information Source: Documentary evidence from the operator and Beirut ATC, and followup enquiries by the AAIB

Synopsis

The aircraft was departing on a flight fromBeirut to London Heathrow, for which the normal westbound departureclearance is to join airway B 15, track 326° from Beirut, climbing as cleared to the reporting point BALMA(44 nm).

The incident occurred when the aircraft tookoff from Beirut Airport and departed on the only published StandardInstrument Departure (SID) for Runway 21, the 'BEIRUT1', which tracks 022° for 26 nmto 'CHEKKA'and then 090° for 44 nm to 'LEBOR'. (See attached SID chart). This SID is used for only eastbounddepartures.

History of the flight

The crew had arrived in Amman, Jordan twodays previously and had spent two days rest period there. Thefirst officer was to be the handling pilot (HP) for both of thereturn sectors to London Heathrow Airport and the first, from Amman to Beirut, was uneventful. There is a Route Clearance Unitbrief for Beirut and the commander had been to Beirut before butthe first officer had not.

For the departure from Beirut, in line withnormal procedure, the commander, as non-handling pilot (NHP), set up the Flight Management System (FMS). The FMS requires aSID (or an ATC cleared 'Direct To') to be entered and so, as therewas no published alternative to the (eastbound) 'BEIRUT1' SID and no other clearance had beenissued by ATC or suggested in the departure publications on theaircraft, the commander programmed the SID into it. It was discussed between the crew that this would be amended immediately that theywere cleared to make a direct track to BALMA; after take-off if necessary.

The Aerad 'Special Procedures', under theheading 'Departures', require that flights which cannot complywith the published departure must inform ATC on 'start-up'. Whilstthe Lebanese Air Pilot's authoritative description of the departure instructions requires that the appropriate airway should be intercepted by a direct track unless otherwise instructed by ATC, the Aeradchart in use by the crew did not state this. This omission hassince been rectified.

The aircraft left the stand at 0700 hrs andduring the taxiing, at 0705 hrs, ATC issued the departure clearance"CLEAR TO LONDON AIRPORTVIA B15FLIGHT PLANNED ROUTE CLIMB AND MAINTAIN INITIALLY FLIGHT LEVEL240 REQUEST LEVEL CHANGE ON ROUTE AND SQUAWK A 4252". This was read back correctly and discussed by the crew but, asit did not include either the word 'BALMA'or the word 'Direct', they did not realise that it constituted a'Direct to BALMA'departure clearance and, therefore, did not re-programme the FMS. This clearance did not mention the BEIRUT1 SID.

The flight took off from Runway 21 at 0708hrs and, following the required return to Beirut beacon, followed the SID towards 'CHEKKA'. At 0710 hrs, the commander asked ATC to confirm that the flightwas cleared to climb to Flight Level (FL) 240. This was confirmed and he was told to report reaching that level and passing BALMA. The commander did not ask either for confirmation of the SID route or for re-clearance direct to BALMA.

Beirut Radar was unserviceable and, at 0718hrs, ATC asked for the aircraft's ETA for BALMA, which the commander gave as 0734 hrs. The direct track from Beirutto BALMAis 41 nm, and the controller, therefore, requested confirmation of this ETA, which was given. The distance from Beirut via CHEKKAand LEBORto BALMA, which would have been displayed on the FMS, would have been about 165 nm and it would have been displaying an ETA of 0724 hrs. The controller then asked for further verification of the estimate and for their range from Beirut. The commander stated that theywere overhead CHEKKAand, giving the range from Beirut as 37 nm, was asked for the radial from Beirut. The commander replied that the radial was 212°, which was 180° in error, and was instructed toproceed to BALMA. There followed a series of requests by ATC for radials and distances from other local radio beacons, as the controller tried to establish the position of the aircraft. About one minute later, ATC instructed the aircraft to "IMMEDIATELYTURN LEFT WESTERLY HEADING LEFT LEFT" and asked "WHAT'S YOURLEVEL?". The commander acknowledgedthis instruction and the first officer turned the aircraft leftonto a heading of 270°. Following further confirmation of radials and distances from Beirut, the controller informed the commander that they were flying over a prohibited area and ona different airway (R 655) from that in their clearance. The prohibited area in question was no longer operative. The

commanderreplied that they had exactly followed the controller's instruction turn left onto 270°. The flight to London was continued without further incident and the commander did not consider that the event was significant enough to file a report to the operating company on the matter.

Additional information

The Lebanese authorities subsequently askedthe AAIB to investigate the incident. After the operating companyhad been informed of the event and conducted its own investigation, it arranged for the Aerad departure charts to be amended and tookmeasures designed to ensure that there will not be a recurrence of this event within the company.

The AAIB have transmitted a report upon this incident to the Lebanese Civil Aviation Authorities.