

Boeing 737 3Y0 Series, F-GLLD

AAIB Bulletin No: 5/97 Ref: EW/C96/12/4 Category: 1.1

Aircraft Type and Registration:	Boeing 737 3Y0 Series, F-GLLD
No & Type of Engines:	2 CFM 56 3B1 turbofan engines
Year of Manufacture:	1988
Date & Time (UTC):	6 December 1996
Location:	London Heathrow Airport, Block 95
Type of Flight:	Public Transport
Persons on Board:	Crew - Not Known - Passengers - Not Known
Injuries:	Crew - Nil - Passengers - Nil
Nature of Damage:	Failure of No 1 mainwheel
Commander's Licence:	Not Known
Commander's Age:	Not Known
Commander's Flying Experience:	Last 90 days - Not Known Last 28 days - Not Known
Information Source:	Pilot Report submitted to operating company, AAIB examination of wheels and failed bolts, information supplied by UK overhaul subsidiary of wheel manufacturer

The aircraft was manoeuvring through Block 95 before take off. The path taken through that taxiway area requires aircraft to make a right-hand followed by a left-hand turn. As speed was reduced, the flight crew became aware of noise and vibration and noted that the aircraft was listing to the left. The aircraft was brought to a halt and the crew requested a check for the presence of fire or smoke.

The APU was started, the engines were shut down and the tower was informed of the situation. A passenger announcement was made and the handling company were informed by radio. As the Captain left the aircraft to examine the landing gear the emergency services arrived. The Captain and the fire service confirmed that no fire or smoke was present, so the passengers waited aboard the

aircraft until the passenger bus arrived. Original reports stated that both tyres on the left side were found to be deflated.

AAIB examined the Nos 1 and 2 main wheels and tyres after their removal from the aircraft and confirmed that No 1 wheel had failed whilst No 2 wheel and tyre were undamaged. The No 2 tyre was, however, found to be deflated. It was accordingly re-inflated (in an appropriate tyre-bay protected inflation enclosure) to a high pressure and checked in a water tank for leakage. None was found. (It is the practice of the handling company to deflate tyres once removed from an aircraft, although they generally retain a low pressure of approximately 25 psi to ensure the bead of the tyre remains in contact with the wheel flange and re-inflation can be carried out readily).

The main wheels are of conventional split hub design, the two wheel halves being held together by 16 bolts. On examination of the number 1 wheel, it was noted that it had lost one complete rim, together with sections of the spoke area through which 6 of the split hub securing bolts pass. The 6 bolts in question had fractured; neither any of their nuts nor the detached threaded bolt ends were recovered. All heads and shanks of the failed bolts were, however, available for examination.

The bolt type is manufactured from an ultra-high tensile strength steel. It is understood that the correct torque tightening of these bolts results in a tensile loading of approximately 60% of the bolt material ultimate tensile strength being applied.

All six bolts had fractured by a very fast fatigue mechanism resulting in cup and cone type fractures in the main plane of separation. Event markers in the fast fatigue separation region were present in each bolt. These were thought to each equate to one flight cycle. There were also separate regions of very much slower tension fatigue initiation and progression, in some cases not in the primary plane of separation but connected to it by plastically deformed shear-out.

The UK overhaul agents responsible for the wheel type subsequently visited the company responsible for wheel maintenance on this fleet after the remainder of the wheel (*ie* the other wheel half with the remaining portion of the failed half still bolted to it) had been returned to them. Their investigation revealed that the surviving unbroken bolts had been correctly torque tightened and the standard method of torque tightening, including the use of thread lubricant, was being carried out correctly by the overhauler. Records showed that all the bolts in the failed wheel had been previously used, but the repair company routinely use a magnetic particle method to inspect such wheel bolts before re-use.

The operator reported that the wheel had completed 161 landings since it was last assembled. The event markers visible in the rapid fatigue areas indicated that as few as 15 events had occurred since the initiation of the fast fatigue. There is reason to believe, however, that the slower tension mode fatigue cracking may have been present before these wheels were last assembled but remained undetected during pre-assembly inspection of the bolts. The consequent slight reduction in local cross-sectional area may have been sufficient to have caused the cyclic or intermittent loadings, such as those resulting from landing or ground manoeuvring, to raise the local stresses into the fatigue range. It should be noted that magnetic particle inspection, in common with many other NDT methods, presents difficulties of interpretation when applied to threaded regions of components.

The major UK operator of the heavier Boeing 737-400 series aircraft has experienced a number of bolt failures on this type of wheel recently and has responded by instituting a limited calendar life on its bolts together with a programme of progressive replacement of these bolts by similar items manufactured from Inconel. The Boeing 737-300 series aircraft does not appear to have any

history of failure of wheel bolts. It is understood that the operator in this incident, in common with many others, operates its 300 series aircraft at weights of up to 139,000 LB, whereas the main UK operator of the nominally heavier 400 series Boeing 737 uses a reduced maximum weight for their 400 series machines not greatly in excess of this figure. These two operators, however, use a different classification of tyre with a different tyre pressure, hence making reliable comparisons of wheel loadings difficult to make.

Since the incident, the affected operator has also elected to begin replacing the steel bolts with Inconel components.