BAe 146-200, G-JEAS

AAIB Bulletin No: 2/97 Ref: EW/C96/7/2 Category: 1.1

Aircraft Type and Registration: BAe 146-200, G-JEAS

No & Type of Engines: 4 Lycoming ALF 502-R5 turbofan engines

1984 Year of Manufacture:

4 July 1996 Date & Time (UTC):

Location: Birmingham International Airport

On the ground during night stop **Type of Flight:**

Persons on Board: Crew - N/A - Passengers - N/A

Injuries: Crew - N/A - Passengers - N/A

Hydraulic leak and passenger cabin equipment **Nature of Damage:**

contaminated with skydrol hydraulic fluid

Commander's Licence: Not Applicable

Commander's Age: Not Applicable

Commander's Flying Experience:

Last 90 days - Not Applicable

Last 28 days - Not Applicable

Information Source: AAIB Field Investigation

On a night stop at Birmingham the crew reported 'condensation'dripping on a passenger seat at row 6A; further inspection of the frame 25 area above the seat revealed skydrol contamination of ducting, insulation and emergency oxygen equipment. Corrosionwas noticed on a stainless steel hydraulic pipe supplying pressureto the lift spoiler system. A temporary repair was carried outin accordance with the maintenance manual and the aircraft wasflown to the company's main base for repair.

The temporary repair instructions required the removal of a smallsection of pipe around the leak, and although the removed sectionwas retained, the cutting and dressing operation had destroyed the majority of the failure. The pipe was taken to the manufacturer's metallurgical laboratories by the AAIB where another 1.84 mm longcrack was found, however this crack did not appear to break throughto the inner surface of the pipe. This fracture appeared to have resulted from initiation and growth of fatigue cracks from anextremely localised area of chlorine induced corrosion fissures.

In the absence of the primary crack the investigation was unableto provide a definite explanation, however it is clear that extremelylocalised pitting and associated fissuring had occurred in theregion of the failure. The identification of chlorine ions inthe products of corrosion suggests a possible cause of failure, but the source of the contamination and the reason the damagewas limited to such a relatively small area cannot be explained.