[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent:
To: AI Call For Views
Subject: UK IPO consultation on AI and IP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

To whom it may concern,

I am responding on behalf of the UK IPO consultation on AI and IP.

I am responding specifically to the copyright questions.
1) This does not account for the difficulty of ascertaining what is out of copyright in materials where authors are not identified (i.e large newspaper corpora). The complexity of the multiple unidentified authors means that intimations likes the British Library assume that anything from later than 1879 may still be in copyright. This can be restrictive.

3) As somebody who makes use of the Texta and data mining copyright exception, I would say it is not fit for purpose. It's ambiguity has been used by some to allow all sorts of use of data. However, for cautious institutions with a high profile that ambiguity can be very limiting. Clauses around proving legal access are a particular area of difficulty.

Thanks for your time.
All best wishes.
Ruth

Ruth Ahnert
Professor of Literary History & Digital Humanities

School of English and Drama
Queen Mary University of London, London, E1 4NS

[redacted]
Webpage: https://www.qmul.ac.uk/sed/staff/ahnertr.html
PI Living with Machines
Co-I Networking Archives

Queen Mary
University of London