



Ministry of Housing,
Communities &
Local Government

The Rt Hon James Brokenshire MP
*Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and
Local Government*

**Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local
Government**
4th Floor, Fry Building
2 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DF

Tel: 0303 444 3450

Email:

james.brokenshire@communities.gsi.gov.uk

www.gov.uk/mhclg

Sarah Richards
Chief Executive
Planning Inspectorate

18 June 2019

Dear Sarah,

The Government wants to see every community covered by an up-to-date plan for sustainable development - meaning that communities are in control of development and are not exposed to speculative development. As made clear in the National Planning Policy Framework, the preparation and implementation of these plans is key to achieving sustainable development.

I recognise the important role that the Planning Inspectorate plays in examining local plans on my behalf and I am committed to ensuring the independence of the examination process. If local people and their representatives are to see the plan as an important platform for shaping their surroundings then they must have confidence that examination of the plan is fair and open and that decisions are made impartially. They are also right to expect that examination will be efficient, timely and easy to engage with.

I do not generally have a role in the examination of local plans. However, this letter – which I am publicising on gov.uk – reminds inspectors and local authorities that Parliament has given me a number of legal powers that, where justified, allow me to become involved in plan making. This includes powers to notify or direct the Inspectorate to take certain steps in relation to the examination of the plan¹ or to intervene to direct modification of the plan or that it is submitted to me for approval². I am frequently asked by those affected by the plan making process to consider use of these powers and must look at each of these requests on a case by case basis. This includes requests from Members of Parliament, who have a legitimate interest in the progress of local plans in their areas and are accountable to their electorates. I am pleased that the Planning Inspectorate's published Procedural Practice encourages MPs to participate in the examination hearing sessions even if they did not make a representation and I would encourage their involvement in this way.

I am grateful for the work that the Planning Inspectorate does in providing factual information to my officials on the progress of examinations that allows them to advise me

¹ S.20(6A) Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended)

² S 21 Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended)


on whether use of my powers would be appropriate. However, I think more can be done to make the provision of this factual information more routine and transparent. For this reason, I am writing formally to set out two changes to our arrangements for sharing information that will be in place from immediate effect.

These changes are:

1. On a quarterly basis the Planning Inspectorate will publish a report that sets out the plans that are expected to be submitted for examination in the following 6-month period. I ask that this report be published on the Planning Inspectorate website. Clearly this can only be as good as the information received from local authorities, and I am arranging for this to be drawn to the attention of local authorities to remind them of the importance of giving clear timetables;
2. The Planning Inspectorate will share all post-hearing advice letters, letters containing interim findings, and any other letters which raise soundness or significant legal compliance issues, as well as fact check³ reports, with my department on a for information basis, at least 48 hours in advance of them being sent to the Local Planning Authority.

These arrangements are in addition to asking you to continue to respond positively to routine requests for information that arise on a case by case basis. I ask that you update the Planning Inspectorate procedural guidance to be clear that these arrangements are in place. I will ask the Chief Planner to write to Local Planning Authorities to draw their attention to this matter.

Finally, on the substance of plan examinations, I wanted to stress to inspectors – who are doing a challenging job – the importance of being pragmatic in getting plans in place that, in line with paragraph 35 of the NPPF, represent a sound plan for the authority and consistent in how they deal with different authorities. We support and expect Inspectors to work with LPAs to achieve a sound plan, including by recommending constructive main modifications in line with national policy. In this regard, I would reiterate the views set out by the Rt Hon Greg Clark MP in his 2015 letter, which I attach, on the need to work pragmatically with councils towards achieving a sound plan.

Yours sincerely,


RT HON JAMES BROKESHIRE MP

³ The fact check report is the version of the report the Planning Inspectorate sends to the LPA to check for factual errors or inconsistencies. The final report is issued after this process has been completed.