



Department
for Education

Education Data Division - request for change form for CBDS

RFC 1075

Exclusion review result - codeset

Type of change

Update to codeset

Name and team/company of RFC Originator:

Kirsty Knox, DDU

Contact: Queries.SUPPLIER@education.gov.uk

Date RFC raised

06 March 2019

Date change required

For the 2019/20 school census

Priority

[2]

Priority ratings

1 = Top - Ministerial or legislative requirement

2 = High - Senior official customer requirement or clear net benefit / efficiency saving to EDD, department or MIS suppliers

3 = Medium - Customer requirement, marginal net benefit

4 = Low - Nice to have, net cost, does not affect functionality, cosmetic change

Section 1 – details of change

Data item / rule number

CS105

Description of change

To remove code O – ongoing from the exclusion review result below

CS105	Exclusion review result
A	Exclusion upheld by initial governor review (confirmed)
B	Exclusion upheld by governing body after independent review panel recommended governing board to reconsider reinstatement
C	Exclusion upheld by governing body after independent review panel directed governing board to reconsider reinstatement
D	Exclusion upheld by independent review panel (confirmed)
E	Pupil accepted reinstatement after initial governor review
F	Pupil accepted offer of reinstatement after independent review panel recommended governing board to reconsider reinstatement
G	Pupil accepted offer of reinstatement after independent review panel directed governing board to reconsider reinstatement
H	Pupil declined reinstatement after initial governor review
J	Pupil declined offer of reinstatement after independent review panel recommended governing board to reconsider reinstatement
K	Pupil declined offer of reinstatement after independent review panel directed governing board to reconsider reinstatement
[Delete] O	[Delete] Ongoing

So the codeset reads

CS105	Exclusion review result
A	Exclusion upheld by initial governor review (confirmed)
B	Exclusion upheld by governing body after independent review panel recommended governing board to reconsider reinstatement
C	Exclusion upheld by governing body after independent review panel directed governing board to reconsider reinstatement
D	Exclusion upheld by independent review panel (confirmed)
E	Pupil accepted reinstatement after initial governor review
F	Pupil accepted offer of reinstatement after independent review panel recommended governing board to reconsider reinstatement
G	Pupil accepted offer of reinstatement after independent review panel directed governing board to reconsider reinstatement
H	Pupil declined reinstatement after initial governor review
J	Pupil declined offer of reinstatement after independent review panel recommended governing board to reconsider reinstatement
K	Pupil declined offer of reinstatement after independent review panel directed governing board to reconsider reinstatement

Reason for change (including benefits)

Confirmation from policy team has indicated that only the final outcome of the exclusion review should be submitted. There are two reasons for the removal of code 'O'.

- 1) As exclusion reviews will pull through based on date of review – if 'ongoing', there would be no review date as yet and;

2) Ongoing is not the final outcome.

Given issues with physically collecting 'ongoing' reviews from systems - where the exclusion review process has begun but no final outcome has been reached, we believe it would be best to remove 'ongoing' as a category to be returned to DfE.

If required and would be useful for schools – we could leave in the codelist and annotate to say for use in systems only – please indicate in your feedback

Impact of not doing the change

Leaving in the expected codelist would result in confusion for suppliers, LAs & schools as to when it should be used and why it wasn't pulling through into returns.

Impact assessment to be undertaken by

Working group

School census software suppliers

Date consulted

06 March 2019

Response requested by

13 March 2019

Section 2 – impact analysis

Software suppliers' summary of impact assessment

Supplier 1 - We support this amendment.

Supplier 2 - We have no issues with removing O from the codeset.

DfE internal colleagues' summary of impact assessment

TDU - No impact from TDU point of view.

Alternative Solutions / Workarounds (if appropriate)

Section 3 - outcome / decision

Date of review meeting

14 March 2019

Attendees

Paul Hirst, Kirsty Knox

Brief Summary of Discussion

Reviewed the proposal and reasons why the code should be removed, agreed this was a sensible approach. Based on the feedback received from internal and external colleagues agreed to remove code 'O' from the codeset.

Accept / Reject

Accept

If accept, provide details

Updated codeset CS105 in the CBDS

© Crown copyright 2019