

Preventing outbreaks in forgotten institutional settings

What are we missing?

Surveillance → Delivery ← Research

Prepared by UCL Centre for Inclusion Health

Professor Andrew Hayward and Dr Alistair Story

With Ian Hall

And Alasdair Donaldson, Tamsin Berry, Ian Diamond and Jeremy Farrar

Background

Why enclosed institutions? Such settings have the potential to:

- act as reservoirs of infection – making local elimination challenging
- house vulnerable individuals – giving disproportionate impact on morbidity and mortality
- Act as amplifiers of infection – through staff and connection to healthcare system meaning hidden outbreaks causing less efficacious interventions in general
- Have variable access to healthcare by individuals within them – hard to reach groups, trust issues, inequity
- Have weak data connection/traditional surveillance schemes.

Settings that do one of these may be in scope but priority to those that suffer all 5.

- COVID-19 has demonstrated clear ability to cause explosive outbreaks in institutional settings
 - Cruise Ships
 - Hospital
 - Care Homes
 - Prisons
 - Homeless Hostels
 - Migrant dormitories – Singapore
 - Meat Packing Centres – Germany/USA
 - Long stay mental health

Frequency of COVID-19 in homeless settings

- In US screening programmes in homeless hostels
 - 9% - 36% COVID positive in residents
 - 11-15% in staff
- In Marseille - 9% residents - 8% of staff COVID-19 positive
- In London - Most homeless cases diagnosed early in epidemic – extensive interventions to prevent transmission including
 - Awareness raising and social distancing in hostels (see survey dashboard) <https://www.surveymonkey.com/stories/SM-38MSRDG9/>
 - Moving rough sleepers and those in communal night-shelters into hotels with single room own bathroom
 - Establishment pan London surveillance project with outreach testing via Find & Treat
 - Establishment of specialist hotel with 24-hour clinical cover for COVID-19 symptomatic cases
 - Most London cases diagnosed early in pandemic
 - After moving street and night shelter homeless into hotel – 4% positive when screening one hotel.
 - No new cases diagnosed through outreach testing in last 2 weeks but hostels now beginning to lift lockdown and ease social distancing

- <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2765378> <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.12.20059618v1> Mosites E, Parker EM, Clarke KEN, Gaeta JM, Baggett TP, Imbert E, et al. COVID-19 Homelessness Team. Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 Infection Prevalence in Homeless Shelters - Four U.S. Cities, March 27-April 15, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(17):521-522. <http://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6917e1>. Tobolowsky FA, Gonzales E, Self JL, Rao CY, Keating R, Marx GE, et al. COVID-19 Outbreak Among Three Affiliated Homeless Service Sites- King County, Washington, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(17):523-526. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.05.20091934v2> <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.04.20079301v1>

Types of Venue – Inclusion Health Groups

- Homeless
 - Hostels
 - Newly established hotels
 - Night-shelters – formal /informal
 - Pay to sleep
 - Daycentres
 - Streets
 - Moved on to – Houses of Multiple Occupancy – often out of borough
- Migrants
 - Home Office Immigrant Assessment Centres
 - Home Office Hotels
 - Home Office Houses of Multiple Occupancy
 - Migrant workers – dormitory style accommodation
- Criminal Justice
 - Prison
 - Probation Hostels
 - Custody suites

Other institutional settings

- Care Settings
 - Long stay mental health units
 - Dual diagnosis facilities
 - Learning disabilities
 - Looked after children
 - Domiciliary care
- Other communal settings
 - Halls of residence
 - Barracks
 - Boarding schools
 - Ships (naval, merchant and leisure)

Stakeholders

- Home office/MoJ
- MHCLG
- NHSE
- PHE: National and Regional Footprints
- Homeless Link
- Major Commissioned Providers
- e.g. SERCO/SODEXO/CARE UK/Group 4
- DHSC, Testing Centres, Data linkage

Surveillance → Delivery ← Research

- Mapping of venues – Local directories and Regional PHE centre knowledge of outbreaks and local awareness.
- Active surveillance – simple & frequent - linked to support - mandated where possible (e.g. through contracts and performance metrics) – feeding into PHE Regional Centres
 - Have residents been ill?
 - Does anyone need testing?
 - Have staff been ill?
 - Is anyone who is symptomatic unable to self isolate?
 - Do you need PPE and PPE training?
- Pillar 2 Testing
 - In-facility clinical staff (e.g. IACs, Custody suites, Care Centres)
 - Trained Outreach testing teams (e.g to homeless venues, other venues with no clinical support)
 - Professionals with expertise in homeless, drug and alcohol services, peers,
 - Environmental Health Officers (3500 workforce), local clinical homeless teams
 - Explore opportunities for self sampling e.g. sputum/saliva
- Sentinel Surveillance – Whole venue swabbing and serology – size of venue – vulnerability
- Data linkage opportunities (burden) – short and long term issues (legacy and lessons learnt)
- Awareness Raising and Guidance – Webinars – Video training – Online accredited training

Research Questions

- Level of COVID-19 in non care home institutional settings– staff and residents - currently unknown
 - Need to measure over time – use to parameterise models – need for ongoing swabbing and serology testing to establish baseline and monitor resurgence
 - Relative role of staff and residents in introducing infection to institutions
 - Insulated from general population vs. Amplifiers and reservoirs of Infection
 - Data to inform modelling parameters to help control and understanding
 - Occupational risks
 - Vulnerability of residents
 - Burden of A&E, Hospitalisation and Death from institutional settings
 - Accuracy of “low threshold” self sampling (e.g. saliva, sputum) to increase capacity
- Effectiveness of control measures
 - Balance between responsive testing and regular screening
 - Limitations of general population measures e.g. contact tracing
 - Role of peers in delivering service
 - Delivering public health interventions in complex groups
 - Effectiveness of harnessing EHOs and non-traditional providers

Resources

- Authority and delegation to team to get on and deliver this
- Needs a small focused multidisciplinary team
 - Protocol, Ethics, Implementation
 - Accountable for delivery (lessons from ONS Survey)
 - Ready to start implementation – 1st July 2020
 - In place for at least 12 months
- Funding
- Access to testing including swabbing, serology and sequence
- Linked Data
- Data dashboard into SAGE and JBC