

#### **Environment Agency**

# Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016

## Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/CP3939QN
The Operator is: RWE Generation UK PLC
The Installation is: Seal Sands Power Station

This Variation Notice number is: EPR/CP3939QN/V002

#### What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) Conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant (LCP) published on 17 August 2017. This is our decision document, which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we are issuing.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing BAT Conclusions for LCP as detailed in document reference IEDC-7-1. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issued. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been removed because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

Throughout this document we will use a number of expressions. These are as referred to in the glossary and have the same meaning as described in "Schedule 6 Interpretation" of the permit.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. We would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future. A lot of technical terms and acronyms are inevitable in a document of this nature: we provide a glossary of acronyms near the front of the document, for ease of reference.

#### How this document is structured

#### Glossary of terms

- 1 Our decision
- 2 How we reached our decision
- 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant
- 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document
- 3 The legal framework
- 4 Key issues
- 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions
- Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the Operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value
- 7 Emissions to water
- 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements
- 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

#### Glossary of acronyms used in this document

(Please note that this glossary is standard for our decision documents and therefore not all these acronyms are necessarily used in this document.)

APC Air Pollution Control

BAT Best Available Technique(s)

BAT-AEEL BAT Associated Energy Efficiency Level

BAT-AEL BAT Associated Emission Level

BATc BAT conclusion

BREF Best available techniques reference document

CCGT Combined Cycle Gas Turbine
CEM Continuous emissions monitor
CHP Combined heat and power

CV Calorific value

DAA Directly associated activity – Additional activities necessary to be carried out to

allow the principal activity to be carried out

DLN Dry Low NOx burners
DLN-E Dry Low NOx effective

EIONET European environment information and observation network is a partnership

network of the European Environment Agency

ELV Emission limit value derived under BAT or an emission limit value set out in IED

EMS Environmental Management System

EPR Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (SI 2016 No.

1154)

EWC European waste catalogue
FSA Food Standards Agency
IC Improvement Condition

IED Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)

IPPCD Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (2008/1/EC) – now

superseded by IED

LCP Large Combustion Plant subject to Chapter III of IED MSUL/MSDL Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load NOx Oxides of nitrogen (NO plus NO<sub>2</sub> expressed as NO<sub>2</sub>)

NPV Net Present Value

OCGT Open Cycle Gas Turbine
PHE Public Health England

SAC Special Area of Conservation

SGN Sector guidance note
TGN Technical guidance note
TNP Transitional National Plan
TOC Total Organic Carbon

WFD Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

#### 1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the consolidated variation notice to the Operator. This will allow them to continue to operate the installation, subject to the conditions in the consolidated variation notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The consolidated variation notice contains many conditions taken from our standard environmental permit template including the relevant annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the Operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our permit template provides two or more options.

#### 2 How we reached our decision

## 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant

We issued a notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 01 May 2018 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate how the operation of their installation currently meets, or will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the LCP BAT Conclusions document. The notice also required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the Operator should provide information that:

- Describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17 August 2021, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standard, or
- Justifies why standards will not be met by 17 August 2021, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standard is not applicable to those processes, or
- Justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised standard described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions document, the Regulation 61 notice requested that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The regulation 61 notice response from the Operator was received on 13 November 2018.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that review: see below.

Request for information sent	Received
14 February 2020	03 March 2020
BAT Conclusion 40, MSUL/DLN-E and use of low	
pressure fuel gas	
04 March 2020	09 March 2020
MSUL/DLN-E	

# 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous regulatory activities with the facility we have no reason to consider that the Operator will not be able to comply with the conditions that we include in the permit.

In relation to BAT Conclusions 2, 9, 40 and 42 improvements are required.

We have therefore included improvement conditions and a pre-operational condition in the consolidated variation notice, which requires the Operator to upgrade their operational techniques so that the requirements of the BAT Conclusions are delivered. This is discussed in more detail in the key issues section and the decision checklist regarding the relevant BAT Conclusions.

#### 3 The legal framework

The consolidated variation notice will be issued under regulation 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that the consolidated variation notice will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

#### 4 The key issues

The key issues arising during this permit review are:

- Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant.
- The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques (BAT-AEELs)

We therefore describe how we determined these issues in most detail in the relevant sections of this document.

#### 4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant

A number of general principles were applied during the permit review. These included:

- The upper value of the BAT AELs ranges specified were used unless use of the tighter limit was justified.
- The principle of no backsliding, where if existing limits in the permit were already tighter than those specified in the BREF, the existing permit limits were retained.
- Where a limit was specified in both IED Annex V and the BAT Conclusions for a particular reference period, the tighter limit was applied and in the majority of cases this was from the BAT Conclusions.
- Where AELs are indicative in the BAT Conclusions, these were applied unless adequate justification was provided by the Operator to demonstrate that an alternative limit was more appropriate.

 For gas turbines the IED specified that limits applied over 70% load and the BAT Conclusions specified that AELs applied when dry low NOx is effective (DLN-E), we have used DLN-E as a default across all monitoring requirements for NOx and CO. We have set an improvement condition to secure compliance with the IED, refer to section 8 below.

#### a. Plant configuration

LCP270 comprises one 130.28 MWth input combined cycle gas turbine (CCGT), heat recovery steam generator (HRSG), steam turbine and hybrid cooling. It is fuelled on natural gas, and also has the facility to burn low pressure fuel gas.

The plant was put into operation before the IED came into force and therefore the existing limits in the permit are from Part 1 of IED Annex V applicable to existing plant.

The ELVs and AELs are based on unlimited hours of operation.

The following tables outline the limits that have been incorporated into the permit for LCP270, where these were derived from and the reference periods at which they apply. The emission limits refer to concentrations, expressed as mass of emitted substance per volume of flue-gas under the following standard conditions:

- dry gas at a temperature of 273,15 K;
- pressure of 101,3 kPa; and
- 15% volume reference oxygen concentration if flue gases.

The emission limits and monitoring requirements have been incorporated into Schedule 3 of the permit.

#### b. NOx limits

	NOx limits (mg/Nm³) – corrected to 15% oxygen										
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 1)	Existing permit limits	BREF (BAT C 44, Table 24)	Permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring				
Annual	None	None	45	45	BREF	E-DLN					
Monthly	50	50	None	50	IED	E-DLN					
Daily	55	55	55	55	BREF	E-DLN	Continuous				
95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of hourly means	100	60	None	60	IED	E-DLN					

#### c. CO limits

CO indicative emission levels are a yearly average of 30 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup>. For plants operating at low load, the higher end of this range will be 50 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup>.

The Operator has proposed a yearly average limit of 30 mg/Nm<sup>3</sup>, with the existing IED Annex V ELVs remaining unchanged.

The applicable indicative BAT AELs are set out in the table below. We have also added the limits which will be in the varied permit and confirmed the basis for their inclusion.

	С	O (indicativ	/e) limits (mg/N	m³) – corrected	to 15% oxy	gen	
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 1)	Existing permit limits	BREF (BAT C 44, Table 24)	Permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring
Annual	None	None	30	30	BREF	E-DLN	
Monthly	100	100	None	100	IED	E-DLN	
Daily	110	100	None	100	IED	E-DLN	Continuous
95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of hr means	200	100	None	100	IED	E-DLN	

### 4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions

An energy efficiency level associated with the best available techniques (BAT-AEEL) refers to the ratio between the combustion unit's net energy output(s) and the combustion unit's fuel/feedstock energy input at actual unit design. The net energy output(s) is determined at the combustion unit boundaries, including auxiliary systems (e.g. flue-gas treatment systems), and for the unit operated at full load.

We have however included a process monitoring requirement in table S3.3 of the consolidated variation notice. This is required to demonstrate that efficiency levels are maintained following any significant overhauls of equipment in order to fulfil the requirement of BAT Conclusion 2.

The table below sets out the BAT AEELs specified in the LCP BAT Conclusions for the LCP on the site and the energy efficiency levels confirmed through the regulation 61 notice response.

The most recent performance test was undertaken in 2012 whilst operating in CHP mode but there has not been a performance test in the current CCGT configuration. The expectation is that under test conditions the site would align with the bottom end of the BREF AEEL range for CCGT plant.

In their response to our request for information received 03 March 2020 the Operator confirmed that the net electrical efficiency in CHP mode is 46%.

If the site were to return to CHP, efficiency would be dependent on the requirements of a potential future steam customer, but it is anticipated to be within the BREF AEEL range for CHP plant.

Also refer to BAT Conclusion 2 in section 5 of this document.

We cannot be confident that the CCGT operational mode will be compliant with the BAT AEELs and have set an improvement condition to address this.

	BAT AEELs (%)		Plant efficiency (%)			
Net electrical efficiency	Net total fuel utilisation	Net mechanical efficiency	Net electrical efficiency	Net total fuel utilisation	Net mechanical efficiency	
	LCP207: CCGT (CC		CGT, 50–600 MWth	)		
46 - 54	None	None	46 – 54 <sup>Note 1</sup>	NA	NA	
	LCP	207: CHP (CHP CC	GT, 50-600 MWth)	Note 2		
46 - 54	65 - 95	None	46	65 - 75	NA	

Note 1: We have set an improvement condition for a performance test to be carried out in the current CCGT configuration.

#### 4.3 Fuel characterisation – low pressure fuel gas

BAT Conclusion 9 requires the Operator to carry out fuel characterisation.

The Joint Environmental Programme (JEP) report – 'Characterisation of power plant fuels for compliance with LCP BREF Conclusion BAT 9' issued October 2019 does not include low pressure fuel gas.

We have therefore included a pre-operational condition in the consolidated variation notice requiring the Operator to submit a plan for approval outlining how this will be carried out prior to the use of low pressure fuel gas in the gas turbine. This has resulted in the addition of permit condition 2.5.1 referring to pre-operational measures and the addition of associated table S1.3a which sets out the details of the pre-operational measures required regarding fuel characterisation.

Note 2: The power plant does not currently operate in CHP configuration, refer to section 8 of this document (permit condition 1.2.2)

#### 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for LCP were published by the European Commission on 17 August 2017. There are 75 BAT Conclusions. Only the BAT Conclusions relevant to the particular fuel type used on site have been replicated below.

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the consolidated variation notice.

The conditions in the permit through which the relevant BAT Conclusions are implemented include but are not limited to the following:

BAT Conclusion	Permit conditions	Permit tables
requirement topic		
Environmental	1.1.1	S1.2
Management System		
BAT AELs	3.1.1 and 3.5.1	S3.1a
Monitoring	2.3, 3.5 and 3.6	S1.4, S1.5, S1.2, S3.1a
Energy efficiency	1.2 and 2.3	S3.3
Noise	2.3 and 3.4	S1.2
Other operating	2.3	S1.2
techniques		

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA Not applicable

CC Currently compliant

FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT

Conclusions)

NC Not compliant

PC Partially compliant

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
Gener	al		
1	In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features:  i. commitment of the management, including senior management;  ii. definition of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the installation by the management;  iii. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment;  iv. implementation of procedures  (a) Structure and responsibility (b) Training (c) Communication (d) Employee involvement (e) Documentation (f) Efficient process control (g) Maintenance programmes (h) Emergency preparedness and response (i) Safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation v. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to:  (a) monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring) (b) corrective and preventive action (c) maintenance of records (d) independent (where practicable) internal and external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained; vi. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; viii. following the development of cleaner technologies; viiii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viiii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life;	CC	The Operator confirmed that:  The installation operates a local EMS which is fully integrated with the RWE Generation UK EMS and is certified to ISO14001: 2015 (certificate No:10054192). The requirements of ISO14001:2015 are consistent with the requirements of BAT Conclusion 1.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT Conc	lusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	ix. application of sectoral Etc see BAT Conclusi	l benchmarking on a regular ba ons	asis.			
	standardised or non-star	e (e.g. level of detail) and natur ndardised) will generally be rela stallation, and the range of env	ated to the nature, scale			
2	and/or the net mechanical combustion units by carr EN standards, after the country that could significantly affective utilisation and/or the net standards are not available.	net electrical efficiency and/or all energy efficiency of the gasilying out a performance test at commissioning of the unit and affect the net electrical efficiency mechanical energy efficiency oble, BAT is to use ISO, national e provision of data of an equivalent	fication, IGCC and/or full load (1), according to after each modification y and/or the net total fuel of the unit. If EN all or other international	FC	The Operator confirmed that:  The installation operates a Frame 6 B DLN 1.0. in Combined Cycle mode (Combined Cycle Gas Turbine - CCGT). The site formerly operated as a Combined Heat & Power (CHP), supplying steam to the adjacent ConocoPhillips site, but no longer has a steam supply contract. The site has maintained CHP readiness should an option for a future steam contract become available.  The most recent performance test was undertaken in 2012 whilst operating in CHP mode but there has not been a performance test in the current CCGT configuration. The expectation is that under test conditions the site would align with bottom end of the BREF range for CCGT plant.  If the site were to return to CHP, efficiency would be dependent on the requirements of a potential future steam customer, but would be unlikely to exceed 75% efficiency.  We do not agree with the Operator's stated compliance (CC) and have set an improvement condition to address this. This approach is established to ensure that the efficiency of the plant is at least quantified and provides a benchmark to ensure degradation in energy efficiency over time is not unduly significant. We have set this BAT Conclusion to FC.	
3		process parameters relevant	for emissions to air and	СС	The Operator confirmed that:	
	water including those g		T			
	Stream	Parameter(s)	Monitoring		Flue gas emissions are monitored continuously for oxygen content temperature and dew point and periodically for flow and pressure Moisture content is not directly monitored as the extracted gas sample	
	Flue-das	FIOW	Periodic or continuous			

BAT C No.	Summary	of BAT Conclus	sion requireme	ent		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
					determination			is dried prior to analysis.
			Oxygen content, to and pressure	emperature,	Periodic or conti measurement	nuous		Pressure: The existing monitoring requirement is continuous. This is
		V	Vater vapour cont	tent <u>(3)</u>				retained on the basis of no backsliding
	Waste was treatment	ter from flue-gas F	Flow, pH, and tem	perature	Continuous mea	asurement		Flow: The relevant standard for flow rate determination is EN ISO 16911. If a plant runs in a stable pattern continuous flow monitoring is not required. We have set monitoring on a periodic basis.
								Water vapour: The measurement of the water vapour content of the flue-gas is not necessary if the sampled flue-gas is dried before analysis. The permit includes provision for this with a note to tables S3.1 and S3.1a.
								We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.
4	accordance ISO, natio	monitor emission be with EN standa nal or other interri lent scientific qua Fuel/Process/Type	ards. If EN stan national standar lity.	dards are no	ot available, BA	T is to use	CC	The Operator confirmed that:  Emissions of CO and NOx are monitored continuously as required by this BAT Conclusion for natural gas fired turbines.
	nce/Par ameter	combustion plan		s) <u>(</u> <sup>4</sup> )	monitoring frequency (5)	ing associa ted with		Monitoring equipment is continuously in service and maintained. Due to the plants recent infrequent operation during the last 24 months, we have agreed a relaxation on the requirements to perform annual surveillance tests (ASTs). This is consistent with the philosophy that plant operation should not be for the sole purpose of performing
	NH <sub>3</sub>	When SCR a     SNCR is used		Generic EN standards	Continuous_(6)_(7)	BAT 7		emissions measurement. We have updated table S1.2 of the permit to reflect this requirement.
	NOx	Coal and/or li including was co-incineratio      Solid biomass and/or peat including was co-incineratio      HFO- and/or oil-fired boiler and engines	ste sste on gas-	Generic EN standards	Continuous (°) (°)	BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 32 BAT 37 BAT 41 BAT 42 BAT 43 BAT 47 BAT 48 BAT 56 BAT 64		We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.

BAT C No.	Summary	of BA	T Conclusion ı	equireme	nt			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	N <sub>2</sub> O		Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry IGCC plants Combustion plants on offshore platforms Coal and/or lignite in circulating fluidised bed boilers Solid biomass and/or peat in circulating fluidised bed	All sizes	EN 14792 EN 21258	Once every year \( \frac{1}{2} \) Once every year \( \frac{1}{10} \)	BAT 65 BAT 73		
	СО	— ;	boilers  Coal and/or lignite including waste co-incineration  Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co-incineration  HFO- and/or gasoil-fired boilers and engines  Gas-oil-fired gas turbines  Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines  Iron and steel	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous_(*)_(*)	BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 33 BAT 38 BAT 44 BAT 49 BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73		

BAT S lo.	Summary	of BAT Conclusion	requireme	ent			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
		process gases  — Process fuels from the chemical industry  — IGCC plants						
		<ul> <li>Combustion plants on offshore platforms</li> </ul>	All sizes	EN 15058	Once every year (9)	BAT 54		
	SO <sub>2</sub>	<ul> <li>Coal and/or lignite incl waste co-incineration</li> <li>Solid biomass and/or peat incl waste co-incineration</li> <li>HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers</li> <li>HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines</li> <li>Gas-oil-fired gas turbines</li> <li>Iron and steel process gases</li> <li>Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers</li> <li>IGCC plants</li> </ul>	All sizes	Generic EN standards and EN 14791	Continuous_(6)_(11)(12)	BAT 21 BAT 25 BAT 29 BAT 34 BAT 39 BAT 50 BAT 57 BAT 66 BAT 67 BAT 74		
	SO <sub>3</sub>	<ul><li>When SCR is used</li></ul>	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every year	_		
	Gaseous chlorides, expressed as HCI	<ul> <li>Coal and/or lignite</li> <li>Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers</li> </ul>	All sizes	EN 1911	Once every three months 6 (13) (14)	BAT 57		
		<ul> <li>Solid biomass and/or peat</li> </ul>	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous_(15)_(1 6)	BAT 25		
		— Waste co-	All sizes	Generic EN	Continuous (6) (16	BAT 66		

Incineration   Inci	Summary	of BAT Conclusio	n requireme	ent			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliant with the BAT Conclusion requirement
Process fuels from the chemical industry in bollers   Process fuels from the chemical industry in bo		incineration		standards	1	BAT 67		
Standard available	HF	Process fuels fro the chemical	m	standard	months_(6)_(13)_(14			
Dust			All sizes	standard	Once every year	BAT 25		
Solid biomass and/or peat  - Solid biomass and/or peat  - HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers  - Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers  - IGCC plants  - HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired gas turbines  - Gas-oil-fired gas turbines  - Waste co-incineration  Metals and metalloids except mercury (As, Cd, Ca, Cd, Ca, Cd, Cd, Cd, Cd, Cd, Cd, Cd, Cd, Cd, Cd			All sizes		Continuous (6) (16	BAT 66 BAT 67		
Coli-fired boilers   Coli-fired boilers	Dust	<ul><li>Solid biomass and/or peat</li></ul>		standards and EN 13284-1	Continuous 6 (17	BAT 26 BAT 30 BAT 35		
- Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers - IGCC plants - HFO- and/or gasoil-fired engines - Gas-oil-fired gas turbines - Waste coincineration - Waste coincineration - Coal and/or lignite metalloids except mercury (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Me, No. 100 Metals and coil-fired boilers industry in boilers - Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers - IGCC plants - HFO- and/or gasoil-fired boilers - Gas-oil-fired gas turbines - Gas-oil-fired EN Continuous BAT 68 BAT 69 BAT 69 - Continuous BAT 68 BAT 69 - Continuous BAT 68 BAT 69 - Solid biomass and/or plant industry in boilers - Solid biomass and/or peat (All sizes BAT 30) - HFO- and/or gasoil-fired boilers		oil-fired boilers	-			BAT 51 BAT 58		
- HFO- and/or gas- oil-fired engines - Gas-oil-fired gas turbines - Waste co- incineration - Waste co- incineration - Coal and/or lignite mercury (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Metalls and oil-fired boilers - HFO- and/or gas- oil-fired boilers  All sizes - Generic EN standards and EN 13284-2 - Continuous BAT 68 BAT 69  BAT 22 BAT 22 BAT 26 BAT 30		Process fuels fro the chemical						
oil-fired engines  Gas-oil-fired gas turbines  Waste co-incineration  Metals and metalloids except mercury (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Metals oil-fired boilers  All sizes Generic EN standards and EN 13284-2  BAT 68 BAT 69  BAT 22 BAT 26 BAT 30		<ul><li>IGCC plants</li></ul>						
turbines  - Waste co- incineration  Metals and metalloids except mercury (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Math Math Right Dr. Math Right Dr. Math Right Righ								
Metals and metalloids except mercury (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ma N. D.								
metalloids except mercury (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, May Ni, Db. May Ni,			All sizes	standards and	Continuous			
Co, Cr, Cu, oil-fired boilers	metalloids except mercury	<ul> <li>Solid biomass and/or peat</li> </ul>		EN 14385		BAT 26		
Sb, Se, Tl,	Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb,	HFO- and/or gas     oil-fired boilers     and engines						
V, Zn) — Waste co- < 300 MW <sub>th</sub> EN 14385 Once every six months (13) BAT 68 BAT 69		— Waste c	O- < 300 MW <sub>th</sub>	EN 14385	Once every six months (13)			

Summary	of BAT Conclusion	requireme	nt		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	incineration	≥ 300 MW <sub>th</sub>	EN 14385	Once every three months (19) (13)			
	<ul><li>IGCC plants</li></ul>	≥ 100 MW <sub>th</sub>	EN 14385	Once every year (18)	BAT 75		
Hg	<ul> <li>Coal and/or lignite including waste</li> </ul>	< 300 MW <sub>th</sub>	EN 13211	Once every three months (13) (20)	BAT 23		
	co-incineration	≥ 300 MW <sub>th</sub>	Generic EN standards and EN 14884	Continuous (16) (2			
	<ul> <li>Solid biomass and/or peat</li> </ul>	All sizes	EN 13211	Once every year (22)	BAT 27		
	Waste co- incineration with solid biomass and/or peat	All sizes	EN 13211	Once every three months (13)	BAT 70		
	<ul><li>IGCC plants</li></ul>	≥ 100 MW <sub>th</sub>	EN 13211	Once every year (23)	BAT 75		
TVOC	<ul> <li>HFO- and/or gas- oil-fired engines</li> </ul>	All sizes	EN 12619	Once every six months (13)	BAT 33 BAT 59		
	<ul> <li>Process fuels from chemical industry in boilers</li> </ul>						
	Waste co- incineration with coal, lignite, solid biomass and/or peat	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous	BAT 71		
Formaldeh yde	Natural-gas in spark-ignited lean-burn gas and dual fuel engines	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every year	BAT 45		
CH <sub>4</sub>	<ul> <li>Natural-gas-fired engines</li> </ul>	All sizes	EN ISO 25139	Once every year (24)	BAT 45		
PCDD/F	<ul> <li>Process fuels from chemical industry in boilers</li> </ul>	All sizes	EN 1948-1, EN 1948-2, EN 1948-3	Once every six months (13) (25)	BAT 59 BAT 71		
11	<ul><li>Waste co-</li></ul>						

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement						Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement		
	in	cinerati	on								
5	BAT is to monitor emissions to water from flue-gas treatment with at leaf requency given below and in accordance with EN standards. If EN star are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international star that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.		standards	3	NA	The Operator confirmed that:  Not applicable, no flue-gas treatment fitted.					
	Substance/Paramet er		Standard(s)		Minimum monitoring frequency		Mon	itoring ociated vith			We agree that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.
	Total organic carbon (TOC)_(26)		EN 1484		Once every month		BAT	15			
	Chemical oxygen demand (COD) (26)		No EN standard avai	lable							
	Total suspended solids (TSS)		EN 872								
	Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )		EN ISO 10304-1								
	Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )		EN ISO 10304-1								
	Sulphide, easily released (S <sup>2-</sup> )		No EN standard avai	able							
	Sulphite (SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )		EN ISO 10304-3		1						
	Metals and metalloids	As Cd Cr Cu Ni Pb Zn Hg	Various EN standard available (e.g. EN ISO 11885 or EN ISO 17294-2) Various EN standard available (e.g.								
	Chloride (Cl⁻)		EN ISO 12846 or EN ISO 17852) Various EN standard				_				
	Simonac (Ci )		available (e.g. EN ISO 10304-1 or								

BAT C No.	Sumi	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement				Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	Tota	al nitrogen	EN ISO 15682) EN 12260		_		
6	plan ensu tech	ts and to re ure optimise niques give		nd unburnt substarn appropriate com	nces, BAT is to bination of the	CC	The Operator confirmed that:  The installation optimises the environmental performance of its combustion plant through techniques b, c, and d, given in this BAT Conclusion.
	a.	Fuel blending and mixing	Ensure stable combustion conditions and/or reduce the emission of pollutants by mixing different qualities of the same fuel type	Applicability  Generally applicable			We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.
	b.	Maintenan ce of the combustio n system	Regular planned maintenance according to suppliers' recommendations				
	C.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.1	The applicability to or plants may be construed to retrofit the construence and/or contrusystem	rained by the combustion		
	d.	Good design of the combustio n equipment	Good design of furnace, combustion chambers, burners and associated devices	Generally applicable combustion plants	e to new		
	e.	Fuel choice	Select or switch totally or partially to another fuel(s) with a better environmental profile (e.g. with low sulphur and/or mercury content) amongst the available fuels, including in start-up situations or when back-up fuels are used	Applicable within the associated with the suitable types of fue environmental profile which may be impacted by the integrated balance in the case of industrial process.	availability of el with a better e as a whole, cted by the Member State, site's fuel of combustion i fuels.		

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	type of fuel chosen may be limited by the configuration and the design of the plant		
7	In order to reduce emissions of ammonia to air from the use of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and/or selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) for the abatement of NO $_{\rm X}$ emissions, BAT is to optimise the design and/or operation of SCR and/or SNCR (e.g. optimised reagent to NO $_{\rm X}$ ratio, homogeneous reagent distribution and optimum size of the reagent drops). BAT-associated emission levels  The BAT-associated emission level (BAT-AEL) for emissions of NH $_{\rm 3}$ to air from the use of SCR and/or SNCR is < 3–10 mg/Nm $^{\rm 3}$ as a yearly average or average over the sampling period. The lower end of the range can be achieved when using SCR and the upper end of the range can be achieved when using SNCR without wet abatement techniques. In the case of plants combusting biomass and operating at variable loads as well as in the case of engines combusting HFO and/or gas oil, the higher end of the BAT-AEL range is 15 mg/Nm $^{\rm 3}$ .	NA	The Operator confirmed that:  Not applicable, SCR/SNCR not present.  We agree that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.
8	In order to prevent or reduce emissions to air during normal operating conditions, BAT is to ensure, by appropriate design, operation and maintenance, that the emission abatement systems are used at optimal capacity and availability.	NA	The Operator confirmed that:  Not applicable, CCGT without emissions abatement.  We agree that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.
9	In order to improve the general environmental performance of combustion and/or gasification plants and to reduce emissions to air, BAT is to include the following elements in the quality assurance/quality control programmes for all the fuels used, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1):  (i) Initial full characterisation of the fuel used including at least the parameters listed below and in accordance with EN standards. ISO, national or other international standards may be used provided they ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality;  (ii) Regular testing of the fuel quality to check that it is consistent with the initial characterisation and according to the plant design specifications. The frequency of testing and the parameters chosen from the table below are based on the variability of the fuel and an assessment of the relevance of pollutant releases (e.g. concentration in fuel, flue-gas treatment employed);	FC	The Operator confirmed that:  Fuel gas is supplied to installation via the National Grid and has been assessed in accordance with technique (i) and is continually monitored via gas chromatograph in accordance with technique (ii) given in this BAT Conclusion.  We consider that for plants which burn natural gas from the National Grid as a fuel that it is not necessary for the Operator to replicate the testing carried out by the National Grid.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance of CC for the natural gas fuel supplied via the National grid.

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT Conclu	sion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC		
	practicable (e.g. inte advanced control sys Description Initial characterisation and Operator and/or the fuel su	replace of the plant settings as and when needed and gration of the fuel characterisation and control in the stem (see description in Section 8.1)).  I regular testing of the fuel can be performed by the applier. If performed by the supplier, the full results are in the form of a product (fuel) supplier specification  Substances/Parameters subject to characterisation  LHV  moisture  Ash  C, CI, F, N, S, K, Na  Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Zn)  LHV  Moisture  Volatiles, ash, fixed carbon, C, H, N, O, S  Br, CI, F  Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, TI, V, Zn)		The Operator confirmed in their response to our further information request received 03 March 2020 that the plant does not currently burn low pressure fuel gas; however they want to maintain the ability to do so.  Refer to key issues section of this document.  If the Operator were to burn low pressure fuel gas they would be required to carry our full characterisation in accordance with this BAT Conclusion prior to its use as a fuel. We have set a pre-operational condition to address this.  We have set the compliance status to FC based on characterisation of the low pressure fuel gas.	
	HFO	— Ash — C, S, N, Ni, V			
	Gas oil	— Ash — N, C, S			
	Natural gas	<ul> <li>LHV</li> <li>CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>+, CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, Wobbe index</li> </ul>			
	Process fuels from the chemical industry (27)	<ul> <li>Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S</li> <li>Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn,</li> </ul>			

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT Conclus	sion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
		Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)		
	Iron and steel process gases	<ul> <li>LHV, CH<sub>4</sub> (for COG), C<sub>X</sub>H<sub>Y</sub> (for COG), CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, total sulphur, dust, Wobbe index</li> </ul>		
	Waste_(28)	— LHV		
		— Moisture		
		<ul><li>Volatiles, ash, Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S</li></ul>		
		<ul> <li>Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)</li> </ul>		
10	operating conditions (OTNo plan as part of the ecommensurate with the rethe following elements:  — appropriate design of that may have an impload design concepts loads for stable generated these relevant systems.  — review and recording circumstances and implement frequency of events,	tation of a specific preventive maintenance plan for	CC	The Operator confirmed that:  The installation does not have a specific OTNOC management plan. However the requirements of this BAT Conclusion are met by existing site documentation and procedures. In all cases, emissions to air and water are assessed as part of the annual environmental performance report. In the event of an accident or environmental incident, emissions, cause etc. are reviewed during the incident investigation process ensuring any relevant corrective and / or preventive actions are implemented. Starts are optimised based on plant condition (i.e. warmth category) to minimise emissions. GT components are included within preventative maintenance programmes.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.
11	Description The monitoring can be ca monitoring of surrogate p scientific quality than the start-up and shutdown (SU measurement carried out for	nitor emissions to air and/or to water during OTNOC.  rried out by direct measurement of emissions or by parameters if this proves to be of equal or better direct measurement of emissions. Emissions during I/SD) may be assessed based on a detailed emission or a typical SU/SD procedure at least once every year, his measurement to estimate the emissions for each	СС	The Operator confirmed that:  Monitoring equipment for emissions to air and water are fully operable during all operating conditions (including start-up and shut-down) and is not affected by OTNOC events. Emissions monitoring equipment is included within preventative maintenance programmes.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement				Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	and	d every SU/SD	throughout the year.			
12	IGO		ase the energy efficiency of coted $\geq$ 1 500 h/yr, BAT is to use a en below.		CC	The Operator confirmed that:  Energy efficiency is optimised through techniques; a, b, c, d, g and h
		Technique	Description	Applicability		given in this BAT Conclusion (See BAT 40).
	a.	Combustion optimisation	See description in Section 8.2. Optimising the combustion minimises the content of unburnt substances in the flue-gases and in solid combustion residues	Generally applicable		We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.
	b.	Optimisation of the working medium conditions	Operate at the highest possible pressure and temperature of the working medium gas or steam, within the constraints associated with, for example, the control of NO <sub>X</sub> emissions or the characteristics of energy demanded			
	C.	Optimisation of the steam cycle	Operate with lower turbine exhaust pressure by utilisation of the lowest possible temperature of the condenser cooling water, within the design conditions			
	d.	Minimisation of energy consumption	Minimising the internal energy consumption (e.g. greater efficiency of the feed-water pump)			
	e.	Preheating of combustion air	Reuse of part of the heat recovered from the combustion flue-gas to preheat the air used in combustion	Generally applicable within the constraints related to the need to control NO <sub>X</sub> emissions		
	f.	Fuel preheating	Preheating of fuel using recovered heat	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the boiler design and the need to control NO <sub>X</sub> emissions		
	g.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.2. Computerised control of the main combustion parameters enables the combustion efficiency to be	Generally applicable to new units. The applicability to old units may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion		

S	ummary of BAT	Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
		improved	system and/or control command system		
ř	n. Feed-water preheating using recovered heat	Preheat water coming out of the steam condenser with recovered heat, before reusing it in the boiler	Only applicable to steam circuits and not to hot boilers. Applicability to existing units may be limited due to constraints associated with the plant configuration and the amount of recoverable heat		
i	by cogeneration (CHP)	Heat recovery by Recovery of heat (mainly from the steam system) for producing hot cogeneration water/steam to be used in Applicable within the constraints associated with the local heat and power demand.			
j	. CHP readiness	See description in Section 8.2.	Only applicable to new units where there is a realistic potential for the future use of heat in the vicinity of the unit		
F	c. Flue-gas condenser	See description in Section 8.2.	Generally applicable to CHP units provided there is enough demand for low-temperature heat		
I	. Heat accumulation	Heat accumulation storage in CHP mode	Only applicable to CHP plants. The applicability may be limited in the case of low heat load demand		
r	m Wet stack	See description in Section 8.2.	Generally applicable to new and existing units fitted with wet FGD		
r	n. Cooling tower discharge	The release of emissions to air through a cooling tower and not via a dedicated stack	Only applicable to units fitted with wet FGD where reheating of the flue-gas is necessary before release, and where the		

BAT C No.	Su	mmary of BAT	Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
				unit cooling system is a cooling tower		
	0.	Fuel pre- drying	The reduction of fuel moisture content before combustion to improve combustion conditions	Applicable to the combustion of biomass and/or peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain). The retrofit of existing plants may be restricted by the extra calorific value that can be obtained from the drying operation and by the limited retrofit possibilities offered by some boiler designs or plant configurations		
	p.	Minimisation of heat losses	Minimising residual heat losses, e.g. those that occur via the slag or those that can be reduced by insulating radiating sources	Only applicable to solid-fuel- fired combustion units and to gasification/IGCC units		
	q.	Advanced materials	Use of advanced materials proven to be capable of withstanding high operating temperatures and pressures and thus to achieve increased steam/combustion process efficiencies	Only applicable to new plants		
	r.	Steam turbine upgrades	This includes techniques such as increasing the temperature and pressure of medium-pressure steam, addition of a low-pressure turbine, and modifications to the geometry of the turbine rotor blades	The applicability may be restricted by demand, steam conditions and/or limited plant lifetime		
	S.	Supercritical and ultra- supercritical steam conditions	Use of a steam circuit, including steam reheating systems, in which steam can reach pressures above 220,6 bar and temperatures above 374 °C in the case of supercritical conditions, and above 250 –	Only applicable to new units of ≥ 600 MW <sub>th</sub> operated > 4,000 h/yr.  Not applicable when the purpose of the unit is to produce low steam		

BAT C No.	Sur	mmary of I	BAT Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
			300 bar and temperatures above 580 – 600 °C in the case of ultrasupercritical conditions	temperatures and/or pressures in process industries. Not applicable to gas turbines and engines generating steam in CHP mode. For units combusting biomass, the applicability may be constrained by high-temperature corrosion in the case of certain biomasses			
13			duce water usage and the volume AT is to use one or both of the technic		СС	The Operator confirmed that:	
	Technique Description			Applicability		Raw, demineralised and cooling water is provided under contract by	
	a.	Water recycling	Residual aqueous streams, including run-off water, from the plant are reused for other purposes. The degree of recycling is limited by the quality requirements of the recipient water stream and the water balance of the plant	Not applicable to waste water from cooling systems when water treatment chemicals and/or high concentrations of salts from seawater are present		host site and is optimised where ever plant operations allow. Surface water and plant drainage are collected, monitored and returned to the host for treatment, before discharge to the environment as per contractual arrangements.  The quality of surface water for re-use may potentially have an adverse impact on the process or operation of the ConocoPhillips water	
	b.	Dry bottom ash handling	Dry, hot bottom ash falls from the furnace onto a mechanical conveyor system and is cooled down by ambient air. No water is used in the process.	Only applicable to plants combusting solid fuels. There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing combustion plants		treatment plant, potentially leading to increased chemical and energy usage.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.	
14	In order to prevent the contamination of uncontaminated waste water and to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to segregate waste water streams and to treat them separately, depending on the pollutant content.  **Description**  Waste water streams that are typically segregated and treated include surface run-off water, cooling water, and waste water from flue-gas treatment.  **Applicability**  The applicability may be restricted in the case of existing plants due to the configuration of the drainage systems.			ste water streams and to treat it.  d and treated include surface flue-gas treatment.	СС	The Operator confirmed that:  Surface and process water (i.e. plant drains) are collected in the site's effluent tank, monitored for pH and conductivity and returned to ConocoPhillips for treatment before discharge to the environment as per contractual arrangement. In the unlikely event that collected effluent is out of specification, it will be retained and treated as necessary before being returned to ConocoPhillips.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.	

BAT C No.					Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
15	арр		f the techniques give	gas treatment, BAT is to use an n below, and to use secondary order to avoid dilution.	NA	The Operator confirmed that:  Not applicable, no flue-gas treatment.	
		Technique	Typical pollutants prevented/abated	Applicability		We agree that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities	
			Primary techniques			carried out at the installation.	
	a.	Optimised combustion (see BAT 6) and flue- gas treatment systems (e.g. SCR/SNCR, see BAT 7)	AT 6) and flue- eatment systems CR/SNCR, see				
			Secondary techniques	2(29)			
	b.	Adsorption on activated carbon	Organic compounds, mercury (Hg)	Generally applicable			
	C.	Aerobic biological treatment	Biodegradable organic compounds, ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> )	Generally applicable for the treatment of organic compounds. Aerobic biological treatment of ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) may not be applicable in the case of high chloride concentrations (i.e. around 10 g/l)			
	d.	Anoxic/anaerobic biological treatment	Mercury (Hg), nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> ), nitrite (NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	Generally applicable			
	e.	Coagulation and flocculation	Suspended solids	Generally applicable			
	f.	Crystallisation	Metals and metalloids, sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ), fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	Generally applicable			
	g.	Filtration (e.g. sand filtration, microfiltration, ultrafiltration)	Suspended solids, metals	Generally applicable			
	h.	Flotation	Suspended solids, free oil	Generally applicable			
	i.	Ion exchange	Metals	Generally applicable			
	j.	Neutralisation	Acids, alkalis	Generally applicable			
	k.	Oxidation	Sulphide (S <sup>2-</sup> ),	Generally applicable			

Т	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement					Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			sulphite (SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )			
	l.	Precipitation	Metals and metalloids sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ), fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )	s, Generally applicable		
	m.	Sedimentation	Suspended solids	Generally applicable		
	n.			Generally applicable		
	The BAT-AELs refer to direct discharges to a where the emission leaves the installation.  BAT-AELs for direct discharges to a receit treatment					
		Substance/Pa	rameter	BAT-AELs		
	Tot			Daily average		
				$(0-50 \text{ mg/l} (3^0) (3^1) (3^2)$ $(0-150 \text{ mg/l} (3^0) (3^1) (3^2)$		
		Total suspended solids (TSS)		0–30 mg/l		
		Fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )		0–25 mg/l_( <sup>32</sup> )		
		phate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )		$3-2,0$ g/l $(3^2)$ $(3^3)$ $(3^4)$ $(3^5)$		
		phide (S <sup>2-</sup> ), easily relea		0,1-0,2 mg/l_( <sup>32</sup> )		
	Sul	phite (SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )		–20 mg/l <u>(<sup>32</sup>)</u>		
	Me	tals and metalloids	As 1	0–50 μg/l		
			Cd 2	2–5 μg/l		
			Cr 1	0–50 μg/l		
				0–50 μg/l		
				),2–3 μg/l		
				0–50 μg/l		
				0–20 μg/l		
			Zn 5	i0–200 μg/l		
	and, ope	or gasification productions so as to max ethinking:	ess and abatement kimise, in order of pri	for disposal from the combustion techniques, BAT is to organise ority and taking into account life-		The Operator confirmed that:  There are no by-products identified by this BAT Conclusion associate with the combustion process at the installation. Other wastes arising from site activities are dealt with according to the waste hierarchy.

BAT C No.	Sun	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement  N /				Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	as by-products;  (b) waste preparation for reuse, e.g. according to the specific requested quality criteria;					We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.
	(c)	waste recyc	cling;			
	(d)		e recovery (e.g. energy recovery),			
			an appropriate combination of techr			
		echnique	Description	Applicability		
	a.	Generation of gypsum as a by-product	Quality optimisation of the calcium- based reaction residues generated by the wet FGD so that they can be used as a substitute for mined gypsum (e.g. as raw material in the plasterboard industry). The quality of limestone used in the wet FGD influences the purity of the gypsum produced	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required gypsum quality, the health requirements associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	b.					
	C.	Energy recovery by using waste in the fuel mix	The residual energy content of carbon-rich ash and sludges generated by the combustion of coal, lignite, heavy fuel oil, peat or biomass can be recovered for example by mixing with the fuel	Generally applicable where plants can accept waste in the fuel mix and are technically able to feed the fuels into the combustion chamber		
	d.	Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse	Preparation of catalyst for reuse (e.g. up to four times for SCR catalysts) restores some or all of the original performance, extending the service life of the catalyst to several decades. Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse is integrated in a catalyst management scheme	The applicability may be limited by the mechanical condition of the catalyst and the required performance with respect to controlling NO <sub>X</sub> and NH <sub>3</sub> emissions		

BAT C No.	Sumn	nary of BAT	Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
17	techni	iques given b			CC	The Operator confirmed that:
	Те	chnique	Description	Applicability		Noise emissions from the installation are reduced by the application of techniques a, b, c and d as identified in this BAT Conclusion.
	a.	Operational	These include:	Generally applicable		techniques a, b, c and d as identified in this BAT Conclusion.
		measures	<ul> <li>improved inspection and maintenance of equipment</li> </ul>			We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.
			<ul> <li>closing of doors and windows of enclosed areas, if possible</li> </ul>			
			<ul> <li>equipment operated by experienced staff</li> </ul>			
			<ul> <li>avoidance of noisy activities at night, if possible</li> </ul>			
			<ul> <li>provisions for noise control during maintenance activities</li> </ul>			
	b.	Low-noise equipment	This potentially includes compressors, pumps and disks	Generally applicable when the equipment is new or replaced		
	c.	Noise attenuation	Noise propagation can be reduced by inserting obstacles between the emitter and the receiver. Appropriate obstacles include protection walls, embankments and buildings	Generally applicable to new plants. In the case of existing plants, the insertion of obstacles may be restricted by lack of space		
	d.	Noise- control	This includes:  — noise-reducers	The applicability may be restricted by lack of space		
		equipment				
			' '			
			<ul><li>— enclosure of noisy equipment</li><li>— soundproofing of buildings</li></ul>			
	e.	Appropriate location of equipment and buildings	Noise levels can be reduced by increasing the distance between the emitter and the receiver and by using buildings as noise screens	Generally applicable to new plant		

The following BAT Conclusions are deleted as they are not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation: Combustion of solid fuels only (coal and/or lignite) – BAT Conclusions 18 to 23

AT o.	Summary of	BAT Conclusi	on requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
omb omb	ustion of liqui ustion of liqui	d fuels (HFO ar d fuels (HFO ar	omass and/or peat) - BAT Conclusions 24 to 27 nd/or gas-oil-fired boilers) – BAT Conclusions 28 t nd/or gas-oil-fired engines) – BAT Conclusions 31 fired gas turbines) – BAT Conclusions 36 to 39		
omb	ustion of gase	eous fuels			
)			ergy efficiency of natural gas combustion, BAT is to ion of the techniques given in BAT 12 and below.	FC	The Operator confirmed that:
	Techniq ue	Descriptio n	Applicability		CCGT 46-54% (Net electrical efficiency)
		except when operated < 1,500 h/yr.  Applicable to existing gas turbines and engines within the constraints associated with the steam cycle design and the space availability.  Not applicable to existing gas turbines and engines operated < 1,500 h/yr.  Not applicable to mechanical drive gas turbines operatin discontinuous mode with extended load variations a frequent start-ups and shutdowns.  Not applicable to boilers  BAT-associated energy efficiency levels (BAT-AEELs) for the combust			CHP 65-75% (Net total fuel utilisation)  Refer to Section 4.2 of this document and BAT Conclusion 2.  Energy efficiency is optimised through techniques; a, b, c, d, g and h given in BAT Conclusion 12.  We do not agree with the Operator's stated compliance (CC) and have set an improvement condition to address this. We have set this BAT Conclusion to FC.
					-
	of natural ga	as 	BAT-AEELs <u>(136)</u> (137)		

Type of	BAT-AEELs <u>(136)</u> (137)								
combustion unit		ectrical ncy (%)	Net total fuel utilisation (%) (138) (139)	Net mechanical energy efficiency (%) (139) (140)					
	New unit	Existi ng unit		New unit	Existing unit				
Gas engine	39,5– 44 <u>(141)</u>	35– 44 <u>(<sup>141</sup>)</u>	56–85 <u>(<sup>141</sup>)</u>	No BAT-AEEL.					
Gas-fired boiler	39– 42,5	38–40	78–95	No BAT-A	EEL.				
Open cycle gas turbine, ≥ 50 MWth	36– 41,5	33–41,5	No BAT-AEEL	36,5–41	33,5–41				
	Combined cycle gas turbine (CCGT)								

BAT C No.	Su							Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
		CGT, 50– 00 MW <sub>th</sub>	53– 58,5	46–54	No BAT-AE	EL No BAT-AEEL			
	C	CGT, ≥ 600 MW <sub>th</sub>	57– 60,5	50–60	No BAT-AE	EL	No BAT-AEEL		
		HP CCGT, 50– 00 MW <sub>th</sub>	53– 58,5	46–54	65–95		No BAT-AEEL		
		HP CCGT, 600 MW <sub>th</sub>	57– 60,5	50–60	65–95		No BAT-AEEL		
41	nat	tural gas in boi					from the combustion of nation of the techniques	NA	The Operator confirmed that:
	_	ren below. Technique		Description	n		Applicability		Not applicable, no boilers at the installation.
	a	Air and/or fuel staging	See descriptions in Section 8.3. Air staging is often associated with low-NO <sub>x</sub> burners See description in Section 8.3		Generally applicable			We agree that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.	
	b	Flue-gas recirculation							
	C	Low-NO <sub>X</sub> burners (LNB)							
	d	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr		The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system				
	e Reduction of the combustion air temperature  f. Selective non—catalytic reduction (SNCR)  See description in Section 8.3  Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the process needs  Not applicable to combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr with highly variable boiler loads. The applicability may be limited in the case of combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr with highly variable boiler loads  Rot applicable to combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr with highly variable boiler loads  Rot applicable to combustion								

BAT C No.	Sur	nmary of B	AT Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
		catalytic reduction (SCR)	Not g com Ther ecor retro plan	ts operated < 500 h/yr. generally applicable to bustion plants of < 100 MW <sub>th</sub> . te may be technical and nomic restrictions for fitting existing combustion ts operated between 500 h/yr 1,500 h/yr		
42	natu		event or reduce NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to a as turbines, BAT is to use one or a co		FC	The Operator confirmed that:  NOx emissions are reduced by the application of techniques a and c as
	Τe	chnique	Description	Applicability		identified in this BAT Conclusion. The GT is a GE Frame 6B DLN 1.0
	a.	Advanced control system	This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr  See description in Section 8.3	The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system		and is already fitted with DLN combustors and emissions will be within the applicable BAT-AEL ranges for NOx.  The effective DLN point is currently defined as 75% GT load, equal to 30 MW.
	b.	Water/stea m addition		The applicability may be limited due to water availability		If the site were to be returned to CHP operation, efficiency would be dependent on the requirements of a potential future steam customer, but would be unlikely to exceed 75%. Therefore, the applicable BAT-
	C.	Dry low- NO <sub>X</sub> burners (DLN)		The applicability may be limited in the case of turbines where a retrofit package is not available or when water/steam addition systems are installed		AEL ranges for NOx would remain consistent with CCGT operation.  Annual AEL 10-45 mg/Nm³  Daily AEL
	d.	Low-load design concept	Adaptation of the process control and related equipment to maintain good combustion efficiency when the demand in energy varies, e.g. by improving the inlet airflow control capability or by splitting the combustion process into decoupled combustion stages	The applicability may be limited by the gas turbine design		35-55 mg/Nm³  Refer to Section 4.1 of this document for setting of limits.  We do not agree with the Operator's stated compliance (CC) and have set an improvement condition to address this. We require additional
	e.	Low-NO <sub>X</sub> burners (LNB)	See description in Section 8.3	Generally applicable to supplementary firing for heat recovery steam generators (HRSGs) in the case of combined-cycle gas turbine		information to demonstrate that the proposed effective DLN point is appropriate. We have set this BAT Conclusion to FC.

BAT C No.	Sur	mmary of B	AT Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	f.	Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)		(CCGT) combustion plants  Not applicable in the case of combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr.  Not generally applicable to existing combustion plants of < 100 MW <sub>th</sub> .  Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space.  There may be technical and economic restrictions for retrofitting existing combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1,500 h/yr		
43	natu			ns to air from the combustion of a combination of the techniques	NA	The Operator confirmed that:  Not applicable, no engines at the installation.
	_	echnique	Description	Applicability		
	a.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr	The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system		We agree that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.
	b.	Lean-burn concept	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	C.	Advanced lean-burn concept	See descriptions in Section 8.3	Only applicable to new spark plug ignited engines		
	d.	Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)		Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space.  Not applicable to combustion plants onerated < 500 h/vr.		

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion	requirement			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
				ions for retrofitting on plants operated		
44	In order to prevent or reduce C gas, BAT is to ensure optimised Description - See description BAT-associated emission lever the combustion Type of combustion plant	d combustion and s in Section 8.3	d/or to use oxida for NO <sub>x</sub> emiss in gas turbine	ation catalysts.	CC	The Operator confirmed that:  CO emissions are reduced as far as possible by optimising combustion and will be within the applicable BAT-AEL range.  Indicative AEL 5-30 mg/Nm³
		plant total rated thermal input (MWth)	Yearly average (144) (145)	Daily average or average over the sampling period		Refer to Section 4.1 of this document for setting of limits.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.
	Open-cycle	gas turbines (OCC	GTs <u>) (<sup>146</sup>)  (<sup>147</sup>)</u>			
	New OCGT	≥ 50	15–35 25–50			
	Existing OCGT (excluding turbines for mechanical drive applications) — All but plants operated < 500 h/yr	≥ 50	15–50	25–55 <u>(<sup>148</sup>)</u>		
	Combined-cyc	le gas turbines (C	CGTs <u>) (<sup>146</sup>) (<sup>149</sup>)</u>	-		
	New CCGT	≥ 50	10–30	15–40		
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 %	≥ 600	10–40	18–50		
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of $\geq$ 75 %					
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of $\geq$ 75 % $25-50 \underline{\ (151)} \qquad 35-55 \underline{\ (152)}$					
	Open- and	combined-cycle g	as turbines			
	Gas turbine put into operation no later than 27 November 2003 or	≥ 50	No BAT-AEL	60–140 (153) (154)		

.T						Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	existing gas turbine for emergency use and of < 500 h/yr						
	Existing gas turbine for mechanical drive application All but plants operated	lications —	≥ 50	15–50 <u>(<sup>155</sup>)</u>	25–55 <u>(<sup>156</sup>)</u>		
	higher end of th	perated ≥ 1 be as follows ≥ 50 MWth: greater than dis range, c cal energy	,500 h/yr and fos: < 5–40 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> , a 39 %, a correctorresponding to efficiency or net	or each type of For plants witton factor may [higher end] × mechanical er	f new combustion		
	<ul> <li>Existing OCGT applications): &lt; : 80 mg/Nm³ in t</li> </ul>	of ≥ 50 M 5–40 mg/Ni he case of	/IW <sub>th</sub> (excluding m <sup>3</sup> . The higher e f existing plants	turbines for nd of this rang that cannot	mechanical drive e will generally be be fitted with dry nat operate at low		
	higher end of the	greater thar e range, co	n 55 %, a correct rresponding to [h	tion factor may nigher end] × E	th a net electrical be applied to the E/55, where EE is d at ISO baseload		
	<ul> <li>Existing CCGT will generally be</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Existing gas turbines of ≥ 50 MW<sub>th</sub> for mechanical drive applications: &lt; 5– 40 mg/Nm³. The higher end of the range will generally be 50 mg/Nm³ when plants operate at low load.</li> </ul>				applications: < 5– 50 mg/Nm³ when		
	In the case of a gas correspond to when BAT-associated er the corr	the DLN op	peration is effecti	ve. <b>) for NO</b> x emis	sions to air from		
	Type of			Ls (mg/Nm³)	<u> </u>		
	combustion	Yearly av			verage over the		

BAT C No.	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement							Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the Operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	plant			san	npling per	iod		
		New plant	Existing plant (158)	New plant	Existin	ng plant <u>(<sup>159</sup>)</u>		
	Boiler	10–60	50–100	30–85	85–110			
	Engine (160)	20–75	20–100	55–85	55–110	<u>(161)</u>		
	<ul> <li>As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels will generally be:         <ul> <li>&lt; 5–40 mg/Nm³ for existing boilers operated ≥ 1,500 h/yr,</li> <li>&lt; 5–15 mg/Nm³ for new boilers,</li> <li>30–100 mg/Nm³ for existing engines operated ≥ 1,500 h/yr and for new engines.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
45	In order to reduce non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) and methane (CH <sub>4</sub> ) emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in sparkignited lean-burn gas engines, BAT is to ensure optimised combustion and/or to use oxidation catalysts.  **Description**  See descriptions in Section 8.3. Oxidation catalysts are not effective at reducing the emissions of saturated hydrocarbons containing less than four carbon atoms.  BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for formaldehyde and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in a spark-ignited lean-burn gas engine					gas in spark- stion and/or to ve at reducing carbon atoms. de and CH <sub>4</sub> ark-ignited	NA	The Operator confirmed that:  Not applicable, no engines at the installation.  We agree that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.
	Combustion pla			_s (mg/Nm	-			
	thermal input (MW <sub>th</sub> ) Formaldehyde CH <sub>4</sub>							
	Average over the sampling period							
			-	lew or ting plant	New plant	Existing plant		
	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							

The following BAT Conclusions are deleted as they are not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation:

BAT Conclusions for iron and steel process gases – BAT Conclusions 46 to 51

BAT Conclusions for offshore platforms - BAT Conclusions BAT 52 to 54

BAT Conclusions for chemical process gases - BAT Conclusions 55 to 59

BAT Conclusions for co-incineration – BAT Conclusions 60 to 71

BAT Conclusions for gasification – BAT Conclusions 72 to 75

#### 6 Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the Operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value

The IED enables a competent authority to allow derogations from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4):

By way of derogation from paragraph 3, and without prejudice to Article 18, the competent authority may, in specific cases, set less strict emission limit values. Such a derogation may apply only where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs compared to the environmental benefits due to:

- (a) the geographical location or the local environmental conditions of the installation concerned; or
- (b) the technical characteristics of the installation concerned.

The Operator has not made any such request.

#### 7 Emissions to water

There are no direct emissions to water from the installation. There is a release to sewer at emission point S1.

Non-hazardous liquid emissions (surface water drainage and cooling water blow-down) are collected and pumped to the effluent treatment facilities at the adjacent ConocoPhillips site. Flows from the installation are combined with those generated by the ConocoPhillips site following which the effluent is subjected to primary and secondary and treatment. The treated effluent is then sent to the Bran Sands sewage treatment plant and further treated prior to being discharged to the River Tees

There are no BAT AELs specified in the BAT Conclusions for this type of plant.

#### 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements:

We have made the following changes to the permit which are outside the scope of the review in order that the varied and consolidated permit reflects the current operations at the site.

Condition/table	Justification
Condition 1.2.2	To drive the active review of the available local options to
added	reinstate combined heat and power (CHP).
Condition 2.3.7	In the event of a black out National Grid would call on
and	combustion plant to operate and may require them to do so
improvement	outside their permitted conditions. We have dedicated black
condition IC4 added	start plant and they are permitted to run as such but this
added	scenario is relevant to the rest of the LCP which could be called depending on the circumstances.
(definition in	called depending on the circumstances.
Schedule 6	A risk assessment will be carried out by Energy UK/Joint
already in	Environmental Programme on behalf of LCP connected to
permit)	the National Transmission System. Air emissions modelling
	will be based on generic black start scenarios to establish
	whether they have the potential to have a local impact on
	the environment or not (on a national basis). If the
	modelling demonstrates that no significant impacts are
	likely, the plant can operate under condition 2.3.7. This condition allows the hourly ELVs for plants operating under
	a black start instruction to be discounted for the purpose of
	reporting. We would also require there to be a procedure in
	place for minimisation of emissions in the case of a black
	start event and for reporting in the event of a black start.
	This modelling and the procedures have not been agreed in
	advance of the issue of the permit review and therefore a
	condition linking back to an improvement condition has
Toble C1 1	been included in the permit.
Table S1.1	Amended to include, 1 MWth black start emergency gas-
	oil generator.
Table S1.2	Amended to include reference to an agreement to use a
	reduced AST/QAL2 during periods of low operational use.
	This had already been agreed with the Operator 25 January 2019 and this agreement is now incorporated into
	the table.
Table S1.3	Amended to confirm the completion of IC3.
	Amended to include an improvement condition to ensure
	compliance with IED Chapter III/Annex V limits.
Table S2.1	Amended to add natural gas, consistent with other permits
	in the sector. It also helps with regulation as it is clear that
	the Operator is permitted to burn natural gas.

Table S3.1	The Operator confirmed that sampled flue gas is dried prior to analysis.  The existing permit requires the continuous measurement of water vapour.  We have added a note to table S3.1 to confirm that measurement of the water vapour content of the flue-gas is not necessary if the sampled flue-gas is dried before analysis. This provides operational flexibility should sampling arrangements change.  We have also included this note to table S3.1a.  The 'hourly average' reference period for NOx and CO was amended to '95% of validated hourly averages within a calendar year', consistent with IED chapter III/Annex V requirements.  We have added a note to table S3.1 to confirm that dust
	monitoring requirements are only applicable when the gas turbine is fired on LP fuel gas.  For gas turbines fired on natural gas the dust emissions will always be reported as zero.
	We have also included this note to table S3.1a.
Tables S3.1 and S3.1a	The Chapter III Annex V limits in the existing permit apply above 75% load. There can be no deviation from these limits and they apply above 70% load.  We have set an improvement condition to address this deviation.
Table S3.2	Amended to require inspection for oil at release point S1, effluent from the collection sump.
Table 4.3	Amended to include the use of low pressure fuel gas.
Schedule 6 Interpretation	Deleted "background concentration" definition which is not applicable to the installation.
	<ul> <li>"background concentration" means such concentration of that substance as is present in: <ul> <li>for emissions to surface water, the surface water quality up-gradient of the site; or</li> <li>for emissions to sewer, the surface water quality up-gradient of the sewage treatment works discharge.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Amended the reference conditions interpretation to remove those that are not applicable to the facility.</li> </ul>
General	Whilst the regulation 61 response did not make any
(reference to	reference to the firing of low pressure fuel gas in the gas

low pressure fuel gas)	turbine, the Operator confirmed in their response to our further information request received 03 March 2020 that they wanted it to be retained in the permit.
	The low pressure fuel gas is from the adjacent ConocoPhillips facility.
	We have retained the approach for the existing permit i.e. that the limits for the firing of the process gas are the same as those for natural gas. We have set a pre-operational condition to secure compliance with BAT Conclusion 9.

## 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and notice.

Aspect considered	Decision	
Receipt of application		
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.	
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.	
The site		
Biodiversity, heritage, landscape and nature conservation	The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat.	
	A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the sites/species/habitat has not been carried out as part of the permit review process. We consider that the review will not affect the features of the sites/species/habitat as the conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.  We have not consulted Natural England on the	
	application. The decision was taken in accordance with	

Aspect considered	Decision	
	our guidance.	
Operating technique	es	
General operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the Operator where they are relevant to the BAT Conclusions and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.	
	The permit conditions ensure compliance with the relevant BREF, BAT Conclusions. The ELVs deliver compliance with the BAT AELs.	
Permit conditions		
Updating permit conditions during consolidation	We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.	
Changes to the permit conditions due to an Environment Agency initiated variation	We have varied the permit as stated in the variation notice.	
Pre-operational conditions	Based on the information in the application, we consider that we need to impose a pre-operational condition. Refer to key issues section above, BAT Conclusion 9 for fuel characterisation.	
Improvement programme	Based on the information in the application, we consider that we need to impose an improvement programme.	
	We have imposed an improvement programme to ensure that the performance of the plant is verified in accordance with BAT Conclusions 2, 40 and 42. Refer to key issues section of this document.	
Emission limits	We have decided that emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit.	

Aspect considered	Decision	
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Sections 4.1 and 5 of this document.	
	It is considered that the ELVs described above will ensure that significant pollution of the environment is prevented and a high level of protection for the environment is secured.	
Monitoring	We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified.	
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Sections 4.1 and 5 of this document.	
	Table S3.3 Process monitoring requirements was amended to include the requirement to monitor energy efficiency after overhauls on site in line with BAT Conclusion 2.	
	Based on the information in the application we are satisfied that the Operator's techniques, personnel and equipment have either MCERTS certification or MCERTS accreditation as appropriate.	
Reporting	We have specified reporting in the permit for the following parameters:	
	Nitrogen dioxide	
	Carbon monoxide	
	Sulphur dioxide (IED Chapter III requirement)	
	Dust (IED Chapter III requirement)	
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Sections 4.1 and 5 of this document.	
Operator competence		
Management system	There is no known reason to consider that the Operator will not have the management system to enable them to comply with the permit conditions.	
<b>Growth Duty</b>		
Section 108	We have considered our duty to have regard to the	

Associations d	Decision
Aspect considered	Decision
Deregulation Act 2015 – Growth duty	desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit.
	Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says:
	"The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation."
	We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non-compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.
	We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate Operators because the standards applied to the Operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.