Dear Home Secretary,

RE: Commission to the ACMD – Framework for the assessment of the impact of rescheduling cannabis-based products for medicinal use (CBPMs)

In February 2019, the then-Home Secretary commissioned the Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs (ACMD) to conduct a longer-term review of cannabis-based products for medicinal use (CBPMs) in humans.¹

That commission was split into three components. I am writing to you with respect to the first component. The first component asked the ACMD to:

“provide an outline for an assessment framework. This should set out how the ACMD will assess the various impacts of rescheduling cannabis-based products for medicinal use to Schedule 2 under the 2001 Regulations, and the data sources (including those provided by the Home Office and DHSC) the ACMD will use by November 2019?”

The ACMD has carefully considered this request and I am pleased to enclose our outline for an assessment framework. This outline framework has taken into account guidance and documentation issued by a number of bodies, including the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), NHS-England and the UK Chief Medical Officers (CMOs), the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) and the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA).

We look forward to providing a full report using this outline assessment framework in November 2020.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Owen Bowden-Jones
Chair of the ACMD
ACMD framework for the assessment of the various impacts of rescheduling Cannabis-based Products for Medicinal use in humans (CBPMs) to Schedule 2 under the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2001
Introduction

Objectives

The ACMD outline assessment for cannabis-based products for medicinal use (CBPM) framework has the following objectives:

- To set out how the ACMD will assess the various impacts of rescheduling CBPMs to Schedule 2 under the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2001 (MDR);
- To set out the data sources that might be used in an assessment.

Sources of Guidance

This outline framework has taken into account guidance and documentation issued by bodies including the UK Chief Medical Officers (CMOs), the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), NHS England, the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC), and the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA).

Limitations

There are a number of factors which may limit the comprehensive assessment of the impact of the rescheduling. These include: the availability of relevant data, mechanisms to successfully collect data; the interpretation of multiple data sources and; the difficulty in assessing the impact of the rescheduling after only a relatively short amount of time following the legislative change occurred in November 2018.

Logic model

A logic model is a graphic which represents the theory of how an intervention (an “input”) produces an impact. It represents a simplified hypothesis about how an intervention is expected to work.

The critical aspects of an intervention are identified, described and arranged in a logic model to represent how the intervention produces change, with arrows indicating causal relationships between those aspects.

The ACMD used a logic model to outline a flexible assessment framework which may develop over time to help prioritise and structure the data collection and analysis that will be necessary for that assessment. The impact assessment may then in turn be used to test and refine the hypothesis represented by the logic model.
The logic model can be found in Annex 1.

*Using the ACMD CBPM outline assessment framework*

The outline assessment framework sets out a number of the potential intended and unintended impacts identified from the ACMD logic model as ‘Policy objectives/elements’ – factors that the ACMD considered might potentially have resulted from the CBPM rescheduling. Alongside those impacts is a ‘description’ which expands on how they might look like in practice.

A ‘justification’ has been included to illustrate why the ACMD have chosen these policy objectives/elements as potential impacts to assess.

The framework then sets out ‘indicators’, potential datasets which would allow the ACMD to assess the extent to which the suggested impact has been realised, and ‘sources/responsible bodies’ – to explain who the ACMD may approach (for example, various Government Departments) to collate these datasets.

For example, one intended impact of the CBPM rescheduling was to facilitate the prescription of unlicensed CBPMs where patients’ conditions are not managed by licensed medicines. This was justified as a potential impact because of the legislative amendments made by ‘The Misuse of Drugs (Amendments) (Cannabis and Licence Fees) (England, Wales and Scotland) Regulations 2018’. In order to assess the extent to which this impact has been realised, the ACMD have suggested collecting the following datasets (from before, and after the legislative change) from DHSC, NHS/HSC Business Services Authority, and NHS Scotland: the ‘number of patients in receipt of special CBPM prescriptions’ and the ‘number of prescribed unlicensed CBPMs’.

The ACMD CBPM outline assessment framework can be found in Annex 2.
Annex 1: ACMD logic model – CBPM rescheduling
## Annex 2: ACMD outline assessment framework – CBPM rescheduling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy objective/elements</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Justification</th>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Sources/responsible bodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical practice</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptions of licensed CBPM</td>
<td>Prescription of CBPM as preferred treatment</td>
<td>The Misuse of Drugs (Amendments) (Cannabis and Licence Fees) (England, Wales and Scotland) Regulations 2018 [Rescheduling of CBPM]</td>
<td>Number of patients with prescriptions of licensed CBPM</td>
<td>DHSC; NHS/HSC Business Services Authority; NHS Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of prescribed licensed CBPM items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special prescriptions of unlicensed CBPM</td>
<td>Prescription of unlicensed CBPM where patients’ conditions are not managed by licensed medicines</td>
<td>Rescheduling of CBPM</td>
<td>Number of patients in receipt of special CBPM prescriptions</td>
<td>DHSC; NHS/HSC Business Services Authority; NHS Scotland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of prescribed unlicensed CBPM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legislation/licensing/regulation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of CBPM</td>
<td>The current definition of CBPM covers an appropriate range of products to meet clinical need</td>
<td>Rescheduling of CBPM</td>
<td>Number of compounds rescheduled under the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2001</td>
<td>ACMD Home Office Industry Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Products either rescheduled back into Schedule 1, or into other Schedules, as appropriate</td>
<td>Commissioning letter to ACMD 15/2/19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markets, monitoring &amp; pharmacovigilance</td>
<td>Updated MHRA guidance on CBPM</td>
<td>Government response to ACMD: cannabis-</td>
<td>Number of licensed specialist importers</td>
<td>MHRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements to the CBPM evidence base</td>
<td>Rescheduling and associated actions led to an increase in the number of registered trials being undertaken</td>
<td>Rescheduling of CBPM</td>
<td>Number of trials registered&lt;br&gt;Number of completed trials&lt;br&gt;Number of trials reporting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NICE guidelines and NIHR CBPM themed call led to an increase in the number of publicly funded trials</td>
<td>Underdeveloped evidence base for effectiveness</td>
<td>Amount of funding involved with trials&lt;br&gt;Number of patients involved in trials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rescheduling CBPM encouraged research organisations to undertake work in this area</td>
<td>Underdeveloped evidence base for effectiveness</td>
<td>NIHR funding portfolio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased availability of improved patient outcomes</td>
<td>Understanding effectiveness of CBPM in routine clinical practice; Response to ACMD: cannabis-</td>
<td>Number of Home Office controlled drug licence renewals and new awards for universities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DHSC; NIHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Home Office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Facilitates access to medicines (importations, domestic); reduces risks to prescribers, patient, and public**

- Derived medicinal products, 21 September 2018
- Updated MHRA guidance on CBPM manufacture, supply and distribution
- GMC guidance on prescribing specials

**Updated MHRA guidance on CBPM manufacture, supply and distribution**

- Number of imports of CBPMs
- Number of licensed domestic manufacturers
- Number of sanctions for regulatory breaches
- Number of reported adverse drug reactions on UK Yellow Card Scheme
- Costs of licensed and unlicensed CBPMs

**DHSC/NHS-England**

**Research**

- Improvements to the CBPM evidence base
- Rescheduling and associated actions led to an increase in the number of registered trials being undertaken
- NICE guidelines and NIHR CBPM themed call led to an increase in the number of publicly funded trials
- Rescheduling CBPM encouraged research organisations to undertake work in this area
- Increased availability of improved patient outcomes

- Rescheduling of CBPM
- Underdeveloped evidence base for effectiveness
- Underdeveloped evidence base for effectiveness
- Understanding effectiveness of CBPM in routine clinical practice; Response to ACMD: cannabis-

**EMA EU Clinical trials Registry; ClinicalTrials.gov; International Standard Randomised Controlled Trials Number (ISRCTN) Registry**

**NIHR**

**DHSC; NIHR**

**Home Office**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New CBPM development</td>
<td>Rescheduling of CBPM encouraged industry/research organisations to undertake work in this area</td>
<td>Number of CBPM on the market is low in contrast to unlicensed products available internationally</td>
<td>Number of CBPM clinical and non-clinical trials</td>
<td>Industry Universities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Awareness/Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient demand – education, expectations</td>
<td>Public informed which products are likely to be prescribed, for which conditions, and the route by which they can be prescribed</td>
<td>Human Medicines Regulations 2012 Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2001 Response to ACMD: cannabis-derived medicinal products, 21 September 2018 Populous poll 24/25 October 2018 The Misuse of Drugs (Amendments) (Cannabis and Licence</td>
<td>Self-reported knowledge How frequently patient information pages/websites are being accessed</td>
<td>DHSC; Papers/reports published by Universities and other research organisations NICE NHS-England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriber and healthcare professional education</td>
<td>Additional training/competence is required to develop understanding of CBPM and support safe and effective prescribing</td>
<td>CBPM are currently an unfamiliar category of drugs for most clinicians GPs and other healthcare workers may be unfamiliar with processes Response to ACMD: cannabis-derived medicinal products, 21 September 2018 Recommendation from NHS England and NHS Improvement (2019)</td>
<td>How many accredited learning modules/courses are being provided by recognised national training organisations and professional bodies Number of successful completions of accredited learning modules</td>
<td>Health Education England; Professional Bodies (e.g. RCGP; GPC; GMC; RCPCH; RPS; RSM); NHS England and NHS Improvement and DHSC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Illicit and non-medical use | Diversion of prescribed CBPM into the illicit market | Increased number of CBPM prescriptions, poor prescription practices, and failure of monitoring of prescribing, supply and administration leads to an increase in products diverted to the illicit market | Response to ACMD: cannabis-derived medicinal products, 21 September 2018 International literature | Number of reports to MHRA notifying counterfeits being seized Number of reports to MHRA notifying diversion Reports of illicit cannabis being misrepresented as CBPMs | Crime Survey for England &amp; Wales (CSEW) - new question; police seizure data MHRA National Crime Agency (NCA) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General population use of cannabis</th>
<th>Availability of CBPM promotes positive social norms towards cannabis more generally, leading to an increase in recent illicit cannabis use</th>
<th>International literature</th>
<th>Changes in use indicated by existing measures/surveys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legislative change is (partly) a response to changing social norms on cannabis</td>
<td></td>
<td>CSEW and equivalents (existing cannabis measures – Last Year Prevalence (LYP); Last Month Prevalence (LMP))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking, drinking and drug use among young people in England (SDD) and equivalents (cannabis measures – LYP; LMP; attitudes to cannabis use; awareness of cannabis; descriptive norms; new measures around CBPM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>British Social Attitudes survey Papers/reports published by Universities and other research organisations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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