



## CHPQA – Understanding Uncertainty

### Joe McQuillen CHPQA

November 2019





### Talk Coverage

- Applicability to CHPQA
- What is uncertainty? % reading vs full-scale
- Relevance to CHPQA
- CHPQA best practice
- Determining uncertainty
- Excessive uncertainty
- Uncertainty adjustment factors (F<sub>OI</sub>, F<sub>OP</sub>, F<sub>OH</sub>)
- Management (and reduction) of uncertainty





### Applicability to CHPQA

- The uncertainty of your monitoring arrangement must be reported to CHPQA when applying via the complex form route: F3 or F2+F4
- The overall uncertainty (U<sub>o</sub>) of each metered or calculated energy input/output is required in forms: F3 or F2
- Uncertainty adjustment factors (F<sub>OI</sub>, F<sub>OP</sub> and F<sub>OH</sub>) are required on Form F4. These are used to correct for excess uncertainties.





### Applicability to CHPQA

Guidance on uncertainty (and bias) is provided in the following detailed CHPQA guidance notes:

**GN13** – CHP Scheme Monitoring Information

**GN17** – Uncertainty in Metered Inputs and Outputs

**GN18** – Uncertainty in Calculated Energy Inputs and Outputs

**GN19** – Adjustment of Energy Inputs and Outputs for Excessive Uncertainty

GN23 – Correction of Bias in Inputs and Outputs Information

Found online at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/chpqa-guidance-notes</u>





### What is uncertainty?

- Any measurement is subject to imperfections, uncertainty is a quantitative indication of the quality of a measured value.
- Uncertainty is expressed as a range ±n% of the measured value.
- > For the purposes of CHPQA, this is defined as:

the range of values, within which there is a high probability (usually >95%) that the true value of a measured (or calculated) variable is estimated to lie.





### What is uncertainty?

#### Example

A manufacturer of a flow meter state that the uncertainty of their device is  $\pm 2\%$ .

The flow meter records a water flowrate of 10l/s through a pipe.

The true flowrate through the pipe is therefore likely (greater than 95% probability) to lie within the range of 9.8l/s and 10.2l/s (an error band of  $\pm 0.2$ l/s).





### What is uncertainty?

- The overall uncertainty (U<sub>o</sub>) of a meter must include for all of its components
- A heat meter has three components: a flowmeter and two thermocouples
- Overall uncertainty is determined by the root-sumsquare (RSS) method as set out in GN17.24-17.25
- The overall uncertainty (U<sub>o</sub>) of such a heat meter becomes:

$$U_o = \sqrt{U1^2 + U2^2 + U3^2}$$





### % of Reading vs Full-scale

- Uncertainty of metered values can be quoted in two ways: % of reading and % of full-scale reading
- Full-scale reading is the maximum value that the meter can record.
- An uncertainty of ±1% of full-scale reading becomes an uncertainty of ±2% of the actual reading at 50% output.
- GN13.11 sets out uncertainty requirements of steam flows in terms of % reading and % full-scale





### **Relevance to CHPQA**

- Monitoring of values (fuel, heat and power) determines a CHP Scheme's performance
- The fiscal benefits available to a CHP Scheme are dependent on its performance
- Low uncertainty in monitored values gives confidence that a Scheme's performance is being correctly determined and hence correct benefits received
- Conversely, high uncertainty casts doubt on measured values





F<sub>OH</sub> – Heat Outputs

### **Relevance to CHPQA**

- CHPQA must therefore correct a CHP Scheme's performance for any excess uncertainty
- CHPQA have developed what it considers 'best practice' limits to uncertainty.
- Where uncertainty exceeds 'best practice', Uncertainty Adjustment Factors (F<sub>OI</sub>, F<sub>OP</sub> and F<sub>OH</sub>) must be applied.



**F<sub>OP</sub>** – Power Outputs





### **CHPQA** best practice

CHPQA have set out what it deems as best practice limits to uncertainty for all energy inputs and outputs.

See table in GN13.11

Fuel Inputs, kWh	±2.0% of reading
<ul> <li>Energy <u>inputs</u> as steam or hot water, kWh</li> </ul>	As for steam or hot water as appropriate (see below)
<ul> <li>Heat metering, of hot water, thermal fluid or other liquid heat circulating loops, kWh,</li> </ul>	Metering to BS EN 1434-1:2007, metrological Class 3 (typically 4.5% of reading) or better, with concessions for Schemes with TPC <2MWe), see GN16.15 – 16.16. The Measuring Instrument Directive MID 2004/22/EC Annex MI-004 is based on BS EN 1434-1:2007.
<ul> <li>Metering of steam flows and derivation of energy content, kWh</li> </ul>	$\pm 2.0\%$ of full scale $\pm 3.0\%$ of reading
Electric power, kWh	Metering to applicable BS and Class dependant on rating, see GN15.7
<ul> <li>Indirect measurement or calculation of energy input or output, kWh</li> </ul>	$\pm 2.0\%$ of value, except for heat outputs from Schemes with TPC <2MWe where $\pm 5.0\%$ of value applies.





### **CHPQA** best practice - electricity

Uncertainty (or class) requirements specific to power meters are detailed separately in Table GN15-1.

Rated	Watt-Hour Meter	Current	Voltage	Nominal
Capacity	Standard and Accuracy	Transformer	Transformer	Overall
	Class	Accuracy	Accuracy	Uncertainty
		Class	Class	for CHPQA
		(Note 1)	(Note 2)	(Note 3)
>100 MVA	BS EN 62053 (2003) Class 0.2S	0.2S	0.2	±0.5%
<100 MVA	BS EN 62053 (2003) Class 0.5S	0.2S	0.5	±1.0%
<10 MVA	BS EN 62053 (2003) Class 1	0.5	1	±1.5%
≤1 MW	BS EN 62053 (2003) Class 2	0.5	1	±2.5%

#### Table GN15-1 – Classification of Electricity Metering Equipment

Notes

(1) CTs to IEC 60044-1 (2002)

(2) VTs to IEC 61869-3 (2011) and 61869-5 (additional requirement)

(3) The actual uncertainty is influenced by power factor and metered load (percent of rated measuring current). The nominal values tabulated shall be used to assess the excess uncertainty of metering systems (meters, current and voltage transformers) that do not meet the applicable standard for their rated capacity.











- In majority of cases, it should be possible to determine uncertainty at this point.
- Accepted sources:
  - Confirmation from manufacturer
  - Meter data sheets
  - Current calibration certificates
  - Fiscal meters should be within best practice though you must have confirmation from your supplier

Can uncertainty be obtained from valid manufacture's specifications, meter data sheets, current calibration cetificates or from accepted standards?





- Calculated energy inputs/outputs must determine uncertainty using GN18.6-18.12
- CHPQA have developed a Simplified Method of determining uncertainty for flow meters – see GN17.27-17.33.







Simplified Method set out in GN17.27-17.33

Note the effect of lack of calibration on uncertainty in table GN17-4

Table GN17-4 – Default values of additional uncertainty due to time elapsed since calibration or inspection of primary device

Time elapsed since calibration or inspection	Effective Uncertainty Ue
≤ 5 years	0.0
> 5 – 7 years	3.0
> 7 – 10 years	7.0
> 10 years	10.0





The uncertainty of each energy input or output of your monitoring arrangement is requested in Question 5 of form F2 (or Q6 of F3).

Provide uncertainty in F2

Q5 : Scheme Details (Monitoring Arrangements)							
(3) Scheme Details (Monitoring Arrangements)							
See: GN13 , 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20 & 22							
<ul> <li>Use this table to list all existing and proposed met</li> <li>Identify each meter by tag number using the nota</li> <li>Provide details of all export metering (heat and ele</li> </ul>	ion in the Guidance Notes.	(Each meter should b	pe identified on you				
<ul> <li>Attach details of any indirect methods used to derive the indirect methods.</li> <li>Identify the meter uncertainty % (= 100 - accurace)</li> </ul>				which these rely). See	GN20 to GN22		
Tag Tag prefix no.	Year installed	Metered service			Uncertainty		
			Range	Units	+/-		
M 1 M1(FcQ)	2018 💌	Fuel	80-1600	m3/hr	% 1.55	delete R	eport to
Model type Example Gas Turbine Meter	MPR meter Yes 👻	MPR no.	9339232669	Serial no. 150911	2935	tw	o decin
M 2 M2(EQ)	2018 💌	Electricity	N/A	MWh	% 1.55	delete D	aces
Model type Example Power Meter - Class 2	MPR meter No 💌	MPR no.	N/A	Serial no. 624397	2		
M 3 M3(HQ)	2018 💌	Heat	0.6-30000	m3/hr	% 1.05	delete	
Model type Example Heat Meter	MPR meter No 🗸	MPR no.	N/A	Serial no. 535123	1		





### **Excessive uncertainty**

- Compare uncertainty against best practice stated in GN13.11 and GN15-1.
- If the uncertainty of an energy input/output exceeds best practice, it is deemed to have excessive uncertainty (UX).







### **Excessive uncertainty**

Excess uncertainty is simply the difference between the overall uncertainty of the energy input/output (U<sub>o</sub>) and the best practice uncertainty (UBP).

If 
$$U_o > UBP$$
, then  $UX = U_o - UBP$   
If  $U_o \le UBP$ , then  $UX = 0.00$ 

Where  $U_o =$  Uncertainty of value, **UBP** = Best practice uncertainty and **UX** = Excess uncertainty.





# Uncertainty Adjustment Factors $F_{OI,} F_{OP and} F_{OH}$

- If an energy stream (fuel, heat or power) has no excessive uncertainty, we essentially apply no uncertainty adjustment factor: F<sub>OI</sub>, F<sub>OP</sub> and F<sub>OH</sub> = 1.0000
- Input/Output has no excess uncertainty.

FOI, FOP or FOH = 1.0000

- This must include for all inputs/outputs of that energy stream!
- Where there is excessive uncertainty associated with energy input/output, F<sub>OI</sub>, F<sub>OP</sub> and F<sub>OH</sub> must be determined using GN19.10-19.21.

Input/Output has excess uncertainty. Calculate FOI, FOP or FOH using GN19.10-19.21 and submit worksheets GN19-1, 19-2 or 19-3 to CHPQA





Provide FOI, FOP or FOH in F4

## Uncertainty Adjustment Factors $F_{OI,} F_{OP and} F_{OH}$

- Uncertainty adjustment factors are requested in Question 6 of the F4 form.
- Note that they act to reduce heat and power efficiencies – hence reducing QI.



Adjustment factors reduce power and heat efficiencies 🟵







### Management of uncertainty

- Uncertainty adjustment factors act to reduce the performance of a scheme. It is therefore in your best interests to minimise uncertainty.
- Optimise your CHPQA performance keep calibrated! Develop an appropriate calibration schedule.
- Alternatively, meters may be replaced for new if this works out to be more economical.
- Evidence of current calibration certificates and a calibration schedule will be requested on site audit.





### **CHPQA Contact Details**

CHPQA Administrator The Gemini Building Fermi Avenue Harwell Didcot OX11 0QR

E-mail: chpqainfo@chpqa.com Tel: 01235 75 3004

Web: https://www.gov.uk/combined-heat-power-quality-assurance-programme

### **Thank You**