

# **Application Decision**

# by Richard Holland

Appointed by the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Decision date: 7 November 2019

## Application Ref: COM/3234295 Lane End and Green Street Green, Kent

Register Unit No: CL 69

Commons Registration Authority: Kent County Council

- The application, dated 10 July 2019, is made under Section 38 of the Commons Act 2006 (the 2006 Act) for consent to carry out restricted works on common land.
- The application is made by Savills (UK) Ltd for UK Power Networks.
- The works of approximately two weeks duration comprise:
  - i. underground installation of approximately 38m of new low voltage electricity cable;
  - ii. removal of a section of overhead line; and
  - iii. temporary plastic security/safety fencing (approximately 1m high) enclosing an area of approximately 120 square metres during the period of works.

## Decision

- 1. Consent is granted for the works in accordance with the application dated 10 July 2019 and the plans submitted with it subject to the following conditions:
  - i. the works shall begin no later than 3 years from the date of this decision; and
  - ii. all fencing shall be removed, and the land shall be fully reinstated, within one month from the completion of the works.
- 2. For the purposes of identification only, the location of the proposed works is shown on the attached plan.

## **Preliminary Matters**

- 3. I have had regard to Defra's Common Land consents policy<sup>1</sup> in determining this application under section 38, which has been published for the guidance of both the Planning Inspectorate and applicants. However, every application will be considered on its merits and a determination will depart from the policy if it appears appropriate to do so. In such cases, the decision will explain why it has departed from the policy.
- 4. This application has been determined solely on the basis of written evidence.
- 5. I have taken account of the representations made by Natural England (NE) and the Open Spaces Society (OSS), neither of which object to the application.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Common Land consents policy (Defra November 2015)

www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate/services-information

- 6. I am required by section 39 of the 2006 Act to have regard to the following in determining this application:
  - a. the interests of persons having rights in relation to, or occupying, the land (and in particular persons exercising rights of common over it);
  - b. the interests of the neighbourhood;
  - c. the public interest;<sup>2</sup> and
  - d. any other matter considered to be relevant.

## Reasons

## The interests of those occupying or having rights over the land

7. The land is owned by Darenth Parish Council, which was consulted about the application but did not comment. Kent County Council has confirmed that the common land register for CL 69 has no Rights section and that there are no registered rights of common. The Land section of the register records that The Church Commissioners for England claim to be entitled to the rights and interests of the Lord of the Manor. It also records that Kent County Council and Herbert and Gertrude Wingrove claim a right of vehicular access over the common to School House and to OS field 485 respectively. All were consulted but did not comment. I am satisfied that the works are unlikely to harm the interests of those occupying or having rights over the land.

# The interests of the neighbourhood and public rights of access

- 8. The interests of the neighbourhood test relates to whether the works will impact on the way the common land is used by local people and is closely linked with interests of public access.
- 9. The common comprises sections of grassed roadside verge along approximately 2.5km of the B260 and B262 roads between the villages of Lane End and Green Street Green. The verges vary greatly in width and are widest at the Green Street Green end where the works are proposed. The section of verge concerned is approximately 80m wide and I consider it to be a large open space of good recreational value to the neighbourhood.
- 10. The new underground cable will serve five properties situated just outside the common land boundary. It is needed to secure the electricity supply as there are safety issues with the existing overhead line such that it needs to be removed.
- 11. The application plan shows that most of the cable route within the common land boundary will be under the hard-surfaced access track that serves the properties, thus minimising the area of green space that will need to be temporarily disturbed and enclosed to install the cable. The permanent works will be at the edge of the common and entirely underground. All temporary fencing will be removed once the works are completed, which is expected to be within approximately two weeks. I conclude that the works will not have an unacceptable or lasting impact on local use of, and public access over, the common.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Section 39(2) of the 2006 Act provides that the public interest includes the public interest in; nature conservation; the conservation of the landscape; the protection of public rights of access to any area of land; and the protection of archaeological remains and features of historic interest.

www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate/services-information

# The public interest

## Nature Conservation

12. In making its representation NE made no comments about nature conservation. There is no evidence before me to suggest that the works will impact on any designated sites or harm any other nature conservation interests.

## Conservation of the landscape

13. The common has no special designated landscape status but has value as an open green space. I consider the cable undergrounding works to be in the interests of the landscape as they will allow unsightly overhead lines to be removed. NE and OSS raised no objections to the proposals subject to the land being suitably reinstated on completion of the works. The applicant has confirmed that the land will be reinstated, which can be ensured by attaching a suitable condition to the consent. I conclude that although the plastic fencing will cause some visual harm in the short-term the works will be of long-term benefit to the landscape.

## Archaeological remains and features of historic interest

14. Kent County Council's Historic Environment Record Officer advised the applicant that the potential for the proposed works to impact on archaeological remains is limited. There is no evidence before me to suggest that the works will harm any such remains or features of historic interest.

## Conclusion

15. I conclude that the proposed works will not significantly harm the interests set out in paragraph 6 above; indeed, the removal of overhead lines will improve both the appearance of the landscape and the reliability of a safe electricity supply. Consent is therefore granted for the works subject to the conditions set out in paragraph 1.

**Richard Holland** 

