

# Distribution of fire capital grant - *consultation*

## Summary of responses

### Introduction

1. The Department for Communities and Local Government consulted on the distribution of capital grant funding from 12 September to 21 October 2011 at:  
<http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/fire/pdf/1986537.pdf>
2. Capital grant funding is currently distributed by allocation of a fixed sum to every authority with the balance distributed pro rata according to population. The Department sought views on the proposal that future funding be distributed based on a combination of:
  - an efficiency fund, administered via a bidding process; and
  - a pro rata distribution using the current distribution method.
3. In total, 44 submissions were received, mainly from fire and rescue authorities (41) and organisations representing their interests (3).
4. Ministers have now considered the views of the sector and have decided to give fire and rescue authorities more time to prepare bids for capital grant funding that can target efficiency savings while demonstrating value for money. As such the current pro rata distribution method will remain in place for 2012 -13.
5. However, subsequent to this, the allocation of capital will be a combination of pro rata distribution and bids with a one off bidding round for 2013 - 2015 funds. Detailed guidance for the bidding round will be published in the New Year.

## Responses to consultation

### QUESTION 1

**Do you have a view about the proportion of funding that ought to be available for distribution versus the proportion of funding that ought to be available to bid against?**

The vast majority of respondents (31) had no view on this as their overarching preference was that the current distribution system should be retained. However, of the respondents that did indicate a preference, views were split, with approximately half favouring bidding for less than 50 per cent, and half favouring bidding for more than 50 per cent of the total funds available.

### QUESTION 2

**What are your views on our proposal of one bidding round?**

Of the respondents who gave a view, 15 supported the proposal of one bidding round, and 16 preferred more than one bidding round.

### QUESTION 3

**If there is one round, are you content that we should reserve the option for a second round if there are significant under allocations?**

Of the respondents who gave a view, nine agreed that they would be content for the Department to reserve the option for a second round if there are significant under allocations and 17 respondents did not support this approach.

### QUESTION 4

**As an alternative, do you think a bidding round for each year would be better? And if so, why?**

Eight respondents said yes as an alternative one round for each year would be better, with 24 respondents not supporting this approach.

## **QUESTION 5**

**Do you think that these are the right criteria for assessing bids for Capital Grant Funding? If not, what would your proposals be?**

Twelve respondents indicated the proposed criteria were right for assessing bids, while 20 were of mixed views, suggesting that a range of other criteria could be included, including social and environmental factors.

## **QUESTION 6**

**Do you agree with the approach on accountability and monitoring?**

Of the respondents who answered this question, the vast majority supported the proposed light touch approach to accountability and monitoring.

## **QUESTION 7**

**How do you think best practice could be shared with the rest of the fire and rescue service?**

The majority of respondents suggested that best practice could be shared via Chief Fire Officers Association, and the Fire Finance Network. Others suggestions included: ensuring summaries of successful bids are accessible to all fire and rescue authorities; sharing of information via fire and rescue authority websites; and presentations.

## Annex

# List of respondents

## Fire and Rescue Authorities

Avon Fire Authority  
Bedfordshire Fire Authority  
Berkshire Fire Authority  
Cheshire Fire and Rescue Service  
Cleveland Fire Authority  
Cornwall County Council  
Cumbria County Council  
Derbyshire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Devon & Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority  
Dorset Fire and Rescue Authority  
Durham Fire and Rescue Authority  
East Sussex Fire and Rescue Authority  
Essex Fire and Rescue Authority  
Greater London Fire and Rescue Authority  
Gloucestershire County Council  
Greater Manchester Fire and Rescue Authority  
Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Hertfordshire County Council  
Hereford and Worcester Fire and Rescue Service  
Humberside Fire and Rescue Authority  
Isles of Scilly Fire and Rescue Service  
Kent Fire and Rescue Authority  
Lancashire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Leicestershire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Lincolnshire County Council  
Merseyside Fire and Rescue Authority  
North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Northamptonshire County Council  
Northumberland County Council  
Nottinghamshire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Shropshire and Wrekin Fire Authority  
Oxfordshire County Council  
South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Staffordshire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Warwickshire County Council

Suffolk County Council  
Surrey County Council  
West Midlands Fire and Rescue Authority  
West Sussex County Council  
West Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority  
Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Authority

## Other groups

Society of County Treasurers  
Local Government Association and Chief Fire Officers Association Joint Response  
Association of Metropolitan Fire and Rescue Authorities (AMFRA)

© Crown copyright, 2011

December 2011

*Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with the Crown*

ISBN : 978 1 4098 3248 5